

# CORNELL University Library



3 1924 103 657 809



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

# E S S A Y S

AND

# TREATISES

ON

## SEVERAL SUBJECTS.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

By DAVID HUME, Efq;

VOL. I.

CONTAINING

Essays, Moral, Political, and LITERARY.

### A NEW EDITION.

LONDON:
Printed for A. MILLAR, in the Strand;

AND

A. Kincaid, and A. Donaldson, at Edinburgh, M DCC LXVII.



#### THE

## CONTENTS

#### OF THE

## FIRST VOLUME.

### ESSAYS, MORAL, POLITICAL, and LITERARY.

#### PART I. Ellay Page F the Delicacy of Taste and Passion 3 Of the Liberty of the Press 9 III. That Politics may be reduced to a Science 15 IV. Of the Principles of Government 31 V. Of the Independency of Parliament 37 VI. Whether the British Government inclines more to absolute Monarchy or to a Republic 43 VII. Of Parties in general 51 VIII. Of the Parties of Great Britain 6 r IX. Of Superstition and Enthusiasm 75 X. Of Avarice 83 XI. Of the Dignity of Human Nature 88 XII. Of Civil Liberty 97 XIII. Of Eloquence 107 XIV. Of the Rife and Progress of the Arts and Sciences 123 XV. The Epicurean 155 XVI. The Stoic 165 XVII. The Platonist 175 XVIII. The Sceptic 181

Effay

	C	O	N	T	E	N	T	S:	
Effay	7			_					Page
XIX.	Of P	olyg	amy	and	Div	orces			205
								Writing	217
XXI.	Of na	atio <b>n</b>	al C	harać	ters				223
XXII.	Of T	rage	dy						243
XXIII.;	Of th	e Şt	anda	r₫ of	Ta	ste.			253

## PART II.

I. F Commerce	281
I. O F Commerce II. Of Refinement in the Arts	297
III. Of Money	311
IV. Of Interest	327
V. Of the Balance of Trade	341
VI. Of the Jealoufy of Trade	36 <b>1</b>
VII. Of the Balance of Power	367
VIII. Of Taxes	377
IX. Of public Credit	383
X. Of some remarkable Customs	401
XI. Of the Populousness of antient Nations	411
XII. Of the Original Contract	491
XIII. Of Passive Obedience	513
XIV. Of the Coalition of Parties	517
XV. Of the Protestant Succession	527
XVI. Idea of a perfect Commonwealth	530

# ESSAYS,

## MORAL, POLITICAL,

AND

# LITERARY.

PART I.\*

\* Published in 1742.

Vol. I.

## ESSAY I.

Of the Delicacy of Taste and Passion.

OME People are subject to a certain delicacy of passion, which makes them extremely sensible to all the accidents of life, and gives them a lively joy upon every prosperous event, as well as a piercing grief, when they meet with misfortunes and adversity. Favours and good offices easily engage their friendship; while the smallest injury provokes their resentment. honour or mark of distinction elevates them above meafure; but they are as fenfibly touched with contempt. People of this character have, no doubt, much more lively enjoyments, as well as more pungent forrows, than men of cool and fedate tempers: But, I believe, when every thing is balanced, there is no one, who would not rather chuse to be of the latter character, were he entirely mafter of his own disposition. Good or ill fortune is very little at our own disposal: And when a perfon, that has this fensibility of temper, meets with any misfortune, his forrow or refentment takes intire possession of him, and deprives him of all relish in the common occurrences of life; the right enjoyment of which forms the greatest part of our happiness. Great pleasures are much less frequent than great pains; so that a sensible temper must meet with fewer trials in the former way than in the latter. Not to mention, that men of fuch lively passions are apt to be transported beyond all bounds B 2

of prudence and discretion, and to take false steps in the conduct of life, which are often irretrievable.

There is a delicacy of taste observable in some men, which very much refembles this delicacy of passion, and produces the fame fenfibility to beauty and deformity of every kind, as that does to prosperity and adversity, obligations and injuries. When you prefent a poem or a picture to a man possessed of this talent, the delicacy of his feeling, makes him be touched very fenfibly with every part of it; nor are the masterly strokes perceived with more exquisite relish and satisfaction, than the negligences or absurdities with disgust and uneafiness. polite and judicious conversation affords him the highest entertainment; rudeness or impertinence is as great a punishment to him. In short, delicacy of taste has the same effect as delicacy of passion: It enlarges the sphere both of our happiness and misery, and makes us sensible to pains as well as pleafures, which escape the rest of mankind.

I believe, however, there is no one, who will not agree with me, that notwithstanding this resemblance, a delicacy of taste is as much to be desired and cultivated as a delicacy of passion is to be lamented, and to be remedied, if possible. The good or ill accidents of life are very little at our disposal; but we are pretty much masters what books we shall read, what diversions we shall partake of, and what company we shall keep. Philosophers have endeavoured to render happiness entirely independent of every thing external. That is imposfible to be attained: But every wife man will endeavour to place his happiness on such objects as depend most upon himself: and that is not to be attained so much by any other means as by this delicacy of fentiment. When a man is possessed of that talent, he is more happy by what pleases his taste, than by what gratifies his appetites. tites, and receives more enjoyment from a poem or a piece of reasoning than the most expensive luxury can afford.

How far delicacy of taste, and that of passion, are connected together in the original frame of the mind, it is hard to determine. To me there appears a very confiderable connexion between them. For we may observe that women, who have more delicate passions than men, have also a more delicate taste of the ornaments of life, of dress, equipage, and the ordinary decencies of behaviour. Any excellency in these hits their taste much sooner than ours; and when you please their taste, you soon engage their affections.

But whatever connexion there may be originally between these dispositions, I am persuaded, that nothing is fo proper to cure us of this delicacy of passion, as the cultivating of that higher and more refined tafte, which enables us to judge of the characters of men, of compolitions of genius, and of the productions of the nobler arts. A greater or less relish of those obvious beauties which firike the fenses, depends entirely upon the greater or less fensibility of the temper: But with regard to the sciences and liberal arts, a fine taste is, in some measure, the same with strong sense, or at least depends so much upon it, that they are inseparable. To judge aright of a composition of genius, there are so many views to be taken in, so many circumstances to be compared, and such a knowledge of human nature requisite, that no man, who is not possessed of the soundest judgment, will ever make a tolerable critic in fuch performances. And this is a new reason for cultivating a relish in the liberal arts. Our judgment will strengthen by this exercise: We shall form juster notions of life: Many things. which please or afflict others, will appear to us too frivolous to engage our attention: And we shall lose by degrees that fenfibility and delicacy of passion, which is so incommodious.

But perhaps I have gone too far in faying, That a cultivated tafte for the polite arts extinguishes the passions, and renders us indifferent to those objects which are so fondly pursued by the rest of mankind. On farther restlection, I find, that it rather improves our sensibility for all the tender and agreeable passions; at the same time that it renders the mind incapable of the rougher and more boisterous emotions.

Ingenuas didicisse fideliter artes, Emollit mores, nec sinit esse feros.

For this, I think there may be affigned two very natural reasons. In the first place, nothing is so improving to the temper as the study of the beauties, either of poetry, eloquence, musick, or painting. They give a certain elegance of sentiment, to which the rest of mankind are entire strangers. The emotions they excite are soft and tender. They draw the mind off from the hurry of business and interest; cherish reslection; dispose to tranquillity; and produce an agreeable melancholy, which, of all dispositions of the mind, is the best suited to love and friendship.

In the fecond place, a delicacy of taste is savourable to love and friendship, by confining our choice to sew people, and making us indifferent to the company and conversation of the greatest part of men. You will very seldom find, that mere men of the world, whatever strong sense they may be endowed with, are very nice in distinguishing of characters, or in marking those insensible differences and gradations which make one man preferable to another. Any one, that has competent sense, is sufficient for their entertainment: They talk to him, of their pleasures and affairs, with the same frankness as they would to another; and finding many, who are fit to supply his place, they never feel any vacancy or want

in his absence. But to make use of the allusion of a celebrated \* FRENCH author, the judgment may be compared to a clock or watch, where the most ordinary machine is fufficient to tell the hours; but the most elaborate and artificial alone can point out the minutes and feconds, and distinguish the smallest differences of time. One that has well digested his knowledge both of books and men, has little enjoyment but in the company of a few felect companions. He feels too fenfibly, how much all the rest of mankind fall short of the notions which he has entertained. And, his affections being thus confined within a narrow circle, no wonder he carries them further than if they were more general and undistinguished. The gaiety and frolic of a bottle companion improves with him into a folid friendship: And the ardours of a youthful appetite become an elegant passion.

<sup>\*</sup> Mons. FORTENELLE, Pluralité des Mondes. Soir 6.

## ESSAY H.

Of the LIBERTY of the PRESS.

NOTHING is more apt to furprize a foreigner, than the extreme liberty, which we enjoy in this country, of communicating whatever we please to the public, and of openly censuring every measure, entered into by the king or his ministers. If the administration resolve upon war, it is affirmed, that either wilfully or ignorantly they mistake the interest of the nation, and that peace, in the present situation of affairs, is infinitely preferable. If the passion of the ministers lie towards peace, our political writers breathe nothing but war and devastation, and represent the pacific conduct of the government as mean and pufillanimous. liberty is not indulged in any other government, either republican or monarchical; in HOLLAND and VENICE. no more than in FRANCE or SPAIN; it may very naturally give occasion to these two questions, How it happens that GREAT BRITAIN enjoys such a peculiar privilege? and Whether the unlimited exercise of this liberty be advantageous or prejudicial to the public?

As to the first question, Why the laws indulge us in such an extraordinary liberty? I believe the reason may be derived from our mixed form of government, which is neither wholly monarchical, nor wholly republican. It will be found, if I mistake not, a true observation in politics, that the two extremes in government, liberty and

and flavery, commonly approach nearest to each other; and that as you depart from the extremes, and mix a little of monarchy with liberty, the government becomes always the more free; and on the other hand, when you mix a little of liberty with monarchy, the yoke becomes always the more grievous and intolerable. I shall endeavour to explain myself. In a government, such as that of FRANCE, which is entirely absolute, and where laws, cuftom, and religion concur, all of them, to make the people fully fatisfied with their condition, the monarch cannot entertain the least jealousy against his subjects, and therefore is apt to indulge them in great liberties both of speech and action. In a government altogether republican, such as that of HOLLAND, where there is no magistrate so eminent as to give jealously to the state, there is no danger in intrusting the magistrates with very large discretionary powers; and though many advantages refult from fuch powers, in the preserving peace and order, yet they lay a confiderable reffraint on men's actions, and make every private fubject pay a great respect to the government. Thus it seems evident, that the two extremes of absolute monarchy and of a republic, approach very near to each other in some material circumstances. In the first, the magistrate has no jealoufy of the people: In the fecond, the people have no jealoufy of the magistrate: Which want of jealoufy begets a mutual confidence and trust in both cases, and produces a species of liberty in monarchies, and of arbitrary power in republics.

To justify the other part of the foregoing observation, that in every government the means are most wide of each other, and that the mixtures of monarchy and liberty render the yoke either more easy or more grievous; I must take notice of a remark of TACITUS with regard to the ROMANS under the emperors, that they nei-

ther

ther could bear total flavery nor total liberty, Nec totam fervitutem, nec totam libertatem pati possint. This remark a celebrated poet has translated and applied to the English, in his lively description of queen ELIZABETH's policy and government.

Et fît aimer son joug a l'Anglois indompté, Qui ne peut ni servir, ni vivre en liberté.

HENRIADE, Liv. I.

According to these remarks, we are to consider the ROMAN government under the emperors as a mixture of despotism and liberty, where the despotism prevailed; and the English government as a mixture of the same kind, but where the liberty predominates. The confequences are exactly conformable to the foregoing observation; and fuch as may be expected from those mixed forms of government, which beget a mutual watchfulness and jealousy. The ROMAN emperors were, many of them, the most frightful tyrants that ever difgraced human nature; and it is evident that their cruelty was chiefly excited by their jealousy, and by their observing that all the great men of ROME bore with impatience the dominion of a family, which, but a little before, was no wife superior to their own. On the other hand. as the republican part of the government prevails in ENGLAND, though with a great mixture of monarchy, 'tis obliged, for its own preservation, to maintain a watchful jealousy over the magistrates, to remove all difcretionary powers, and to fecure every one's life and fortune by general and inflexible laws. No action must be deemed a crime but what the law has plainly determined to be fuch: No crime must be imputed to a man but from a legal proof before his judges; and even thefe judges must be his fellow-subjects, who are obliged, by their own interest, to have a watchful eye over the encroachcroachments and violence of the ministers. From these causes it proceeds, that there is as much liberty, and even, perhaps, licentiousness in BRITAIN, as there were formerly slavery and tyranny in ROME.

These principles account for the great liberty of the press in these kingdoms, beyond what is indulged in any other government. 'Tis fufficiently known, that arbitrary power would freal in upon us, were we not extremely watchful to prevent its progress, and were there not an easy method of conveying the alarum from one end of the kingdom to the other. The spirit of the people must frequently be rouzed in order to curb the ambition of the court; and the dread of roufing this spirit, must be employed to prevent that ambition. thing so effectual to this purpose as the liberty of the press, by which all the learning, wit and genius of the nation may be employed on the fide of freedom, and every one be animated to its defence. As long, therefore, as the republican part of our government can maintain itself against the monarchical, it must be extremely careful of preserving the press open, as of the utmost importance to its own preservation.

Since therefore that liberty is so effential to the support of our mixed government; this sufficiently decides the second question, Whether such a liberty be advantageous or prejudicial; there being nothing of greater importance in every state than the preservation of the ancient government, especially if it be a free one. But I would sain go a step farther, and affert, that this liberty is attended with so few inconveniencies, that it may be claimed as the common right of mankind, and ought to be indulged them almost in every government: except the ecclesiastical, to which indeed it would prove satal. We need not dread from this liberty any such ill consequences as sollowed from the harangues of the popular demagogues of ATHENS and tribunes of ROME. A man reads a book

or pamphlet alone and coolly. There is none prefent from whom he can catch the passion by contagion. He is not hurried away by the force and energy of action. And should he be wrought up to ever so feditious a humour, there is no violent resolution presented to himby which he can immediately vent his passion. The liberty of the press, therefore, however abused, can scarce ever excite popular tumults or rebellion. And as to those murmurs or secret discontents it may occasion, 'tis better they should get vent in words, that they may come to the knowlege of the magistrate before it be too late. in order to his providing a remedy against them. Mankind, it is true, have always a greater propenfion to believe what is faid to the disadvantage of their governors. than the contrary; but this inclination is inseparable from them, whether they have liberty or not. A whifper may fly as quick, and be as pernicious as a pamphlet. Nav. it will be more pernicious, where men are not accustomed to think freely, or distinguish between truth and falshood.

It has also been found, as the experience of mankind increases, that the people are no such dangerous monster as they have been represented, and that it is in every respect better to guide them, like rational creatures, than to lead or drive them, like brute beafts. United Provinces fet the example, toleration was deemed incompatible with good government; and it was thought impossible, that a number of religious sects could live together in harmony and peace, and have all of them an equal affection to their common country, and to each other. ENGLAND has fet a like example of civil liberty: and though this liberty feems to occasion some small ferment at present, it has not as yet produced any pernicious effects; and it is to be hoped, that men, being every day more accustomed to the free discussion of public affairs, will improve in the judgment of them, and be with greater difficulty seduced by every idle rumour and popular clamour.

It is a very comfortable reflection to the lovers of liberty, that this peculiar privilege of BRITAIN is of a kind that cannot easily be wrested from us, but must last as long as our government remains, in any degree, free and independent. It is feldom, that aberty of any kind is loft all at once. Slavery has fo frightful an afpect to men accustomed to freedom, that it must steal upon them by degrees, and must disguise itself in a thoufand shapes, in order to be received. But, if the liberty of the press ever be lost, it must be lost at once. general laws against fedition and libelling are at present as firong as they poffibly can be made. Nothing can impose a farther restraint, but either the clapping an IMPRIMATUR upon the prefs, or the giving to the court very large discretionary powers to punish whatever displeases them. But these concessions would be such a bare-faced violation of liberty, that they will probably be the last efforts of a despotic government. We may conclude, that the liberty of Britain is gone for ever when these attempts shall succeed.

## E S S A Y III.

That Politics may be reduced to a Science.

It is a question with many, Whether there be any essential difference between one form of government and another? and, whether every form may not become good or bad, according as it is well or ill administred \*? Were it once admitted, that all governments are alike, and that the only difference consists in the character and conduct of the governors, most political disputes would be at an end, and all Zeal for one constitution above another, must be esteemed mere bigotry and folly. But, though a friend to Moderation, I cannot forbear condemning this sentiment, and should be forry to think, that human affairs admit of no greater stability, than what they receive from the casual humours and characters of particular men.

'Tis true, those who maintain, that the goodness of all government consists in the goodness of the administration, may cite many particular instances in history, where the very same government, in different hands, has varied suddenly into the two opposite extremes of good and bad. Compare the FRENCH government under HENRY III. and under HENRY IV. Oppression, levity, artissice on the part of the rulers; saction, sedition, treachery,

Essay on Man, Book 3.

<sup>\*</sup> For forms of government let fools contest; Whate'er is hest administer'd is hest.

rebellion, disloyalty on the part of the subjects: These compose the character of the former miserable æra. But when the patriot and heroic prince, who succeeded, was once firmly seated on the throne, the government, the people, every thing seemed to be totally changed; and all from the difference of the temper and sentiments of these two sovereigns. An equal difference of a contrary kind, may be found on comparing the reigns of Elizabeth and James, at least with regard to foreign affairs: and instances of this kind may be multiplied, almost without number, from ancient as well as modern history.

But here I would beg leave to make a diffinction. All absolute governments (and such, in a great measure, was that of ENGLAND, till the middle of the last century, notwithstanding the numerous panegyrics on ancient English liberty) must very much depend on the administration; and this is one of the great inconveniencies of that form of government. But a republican and free government would be a most obvious absurdity, if the particular checks and controuls, provided by the conflicution, had really no influence, and made it not the interest, even of bad men, to operate for the public good. Such is the intention of these forms of government, and fuch is their real effect, where they are wifely conflituted: As, on the other hand, they are the fources of all disorder, and of the blackest crimes, where either skill or honesty has been wanting in their original frame and institution.

So great is the force of laws, and of particular forms of government, and so little dependence have they on the humours and tempers of men, that consequences almost as general and certain may be deduced from them, on most occasions, as any which the mathematical sciences afford us.

2 .

The ROMAN government gave the whole legislative power to the commons, without allowing a negative either to the nobility or confuls. This unbounded power the commons possessed in a collective, not in a representative body. The confequences were: When the people, by fuccess and conquest, had become very numerous, and had spread themselves to a great distance from the capital, the city-tribes, tho' the most contemptible, carried almost every vote: They were, therefore, most caioled by every one who affected popularity: They were supported in idleness by the general distribution of corn, and by particular bribes, which they received from almost every candidate: By this means they became every day more licentious, and the CAMPUS MARTIUS was a perpetual scene of tumult and sedition: Armed slaves were introduced among these rascally citizens; so that the whole government fell into anarchy, and the greatest happiness which the ROMANS could look for, was the despotic power of the CÆSARS. Such are the effects of democracy without a representative.

A Nobility may possess the whole, or any part of the legislative power of a state, in two different ways. Either every nobleman shares the power as part of the whole body, or the whole body enjoys the power as composed of parts, which have each a distinct power and authority. The VENETIAN aristocracy is an instance of the first kind of government: The Polish of the second. In the VENETIAN government the whole body of nobility possesses the whole power, and no nobleman has any authority which he receives not from the whole. In the Polish government every nobleman, by means of his fiefs, has a peculiar hereditary authority over his vasfals, and the whole body has no authority but what it receives from the concurrence of its parts. The distinct operations and tendencies of these two species of government might be made most apparent even a priori. Vol. I.

A VENETIAN nobility is infinitely preferable to a Po-LISH, let the humours and education of men be ever so much varied. A nobility, who posses their power in common, will preserve peace and order, both among themselves, and their subjects; and no member can have authority enough to controul the laws for a moment. The nobles will preserve their authority over the people, but without any grievous tyranny, or any breach of private property; because such a tyrannical government promotes not the interest of the whole body, however it may that of some individuals. There will be a distinction of rank between the nobility and people, but this will be the only diffinction in the flate. The whole nobility will form one body, and the whole people another, without any of those private feuds and animosities. which foread ruin and defolation every where. 'Tis eafy to see the disadvantages of a Polish nobility in every. one of these particulars.

'Tis possible so to constitute a free government, as that a fingle person, call him doge, prince, or king, shall possess a very large share of power, and shall form a proper balance or counterpoise to the other parts of the legislature. This chief magistrate may be either elective or bereditary; and though the former inflitution may, to a superficial view, appear the most advantageous; yet a more accurate inspection will discover in it greater inconveniencies than in the latter, and fuch as are founded on causes and principles eternal and immutable. The filling of the throne, in such a government, is a point of too great and too general interest, not to divide the whole people into factions: From whence a civil war, the greatest of ills, may be apprehended, almost with certainty, upon every vacancy. The prince elected must be either a Foreigner or a Native: The former will be ignorant of the people whom he is to govern; suspicious of his new subjects, and suspected by them; giving his

confidence entirely to strangers, who will have no other care but of enriching themselves in the quickest manner, while their master's savour and authority are able to support them. A native will carry into the throne all his private animosities and friendships, and will never be regarded, in his elevation, without exciting the sentiments of envy in those, who formerly considered him as their equal. Not to mention, that a crown is too high a reward ever to be given to merit alone, and will always induce the candidates to employ force, or money, or intrigue, to procure the votes of the electors: So that such an election will give no better chance for superior merit in the prince, than if the state had trusted to birth alone for determining their sovereign.

It may therefore be pronounced as an universal axiom in politics, That an hereditary prince, a nobility without vassals, and a people voting by their representatives, form the best monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy. But in order to prove more fully, that politics admit of general truths, which are invariable by the humor or education either of subject or sovereign, it may not be amiss to observe some other principles of this science, which may seem to deserve that character.

It may easily be observed, that though free governments have been commonly the most happy for those who partake of their freedom; yet are they the most ruinous and oppressive to their provinces: And this observation may, I believe, be fixed as a maxim of the kind we are here speaking of. When a monarch extends his dominions by conquest, he soon learns to consider his old and his new subjects as on the same footing; because, in reality, all his subjects are to him the same, except the sew friends and savourites, with whom he is personally acquainted. He does not, therefore, make any distinction between them in his general laws; and, at the same

time, is no less careful to prevent all particular acts of oppression on the one as on the other. But a free state necessarily makes a great distinction and must always do fo, till men learn to love their neighbours as well as themselves. The conquerors, in such a government, are all legislators, and will be fure so to contrive matters, by restrictions of trade, and by taxes, as to draw some private, as well as public, advantage from their conquests. Provincial governors have also a better chance in a republic, to escape with their plunder, by means of bribery or interest; and their fellow-citizens, who find their own state to be inriched by the spoils of the subjectprovinces, will be the more inclined to tolerate fuch abuses. Not to mention, that it is a necessary precaution in a free state to change the governors frequently; which obliges these temporary tyrants to be more expeditious and rapacious, that they may accumulate sufficient wealth before they give place to their fucceffors. cruel tyrants were the ROMANS over the world during the time of their commonwealth! 'Tis true, they had laws to prevent oppression in their provincial magistrates; but CICERO informs us, that the ROMANS could not better consult the interest of the provinces than by repealing these very laws. For in that case, says he, our magistrates, having intire impunity, would plunder no more than would fatisfy their own rapaciousness; whereas, at present, they must also satisfy that of their judges, and of all the great men of ROME, whose protection they stand in need of. Who can read of the cruelties and oppressions of VERRES without horror and astonishment? And who is not touched with indignation to hear, that after CICERO had exhausted on that abandoned criminal all the thunders of his eloquence, and had prevailed so far as to get him condemned to the utmost extent of the laws; yet that cruel tyrant lived peaceably to old age, in opulence and ease, and, thirty years after\_

afterward, was put into the profcription by MARK AN-THONY, on account of his exorbitant wealth, where he fell, with CICERO himself, and all the most virtuous men of ROME? After the diffolution of the commonwealth, the ROMAN yoke became easier upon the provinces, as TACITUS informs us \*; and it may be obferved, that many of the worst emperors, Domitian to for instance, were very careful to prevent all oppression of the provinces. In † TIBERIUS'S time, GAUL WAS esteemed richer than ITALY itself: Nor, do I find, during the whole time of the ROMAN monarchy, that the empire became less rich or populous in any of its provinces; though indeed its valour and military discipline were always upon the decline. The oppression and tyranny of the CARTHAGINIANS over their subject states in Africa went fo far, as we learn from Polybius !. that not content with exacting the half of all the produce of the ground, which of itself was a very high rent, they also loaded them with many other taxes. If we pass from antient to modern times, we shall always find the observation to hold. The provinces of absolute monarchies are always better treated than those of free states. Compare the Pais conquis of FRANCE with IRELAND, and you will be convinced of this truth; though this latter kingdom being, in a good measure peopled from ENGLAND, possesses fo many rights and privileges as should naturally make it challenge better treatment than that of a conquered province. Corsica is also an obvious instance to the same purpose.

<sup>\*</sup> Ann. lib. 1. cap. 2.

<sup>+</sup> Suet. in vita Domit.

<sup>‡</sup> Egregium resumendæ libertati tempus, si ipsi storentes, quam inops, ITALIA, quam imbellis urbana plebs, nibil validum in exercitibus, nisi quod externum cogitarent. TACIT. Ann. lib. 3.

<sup>|</sup> Lib. 1. cap. 72.

There is an observation of MACHIAVEL, with regard to the conquests of ALEXANDER the Great, which, I think, may be regarded as one of those eternal political truths which no time nor accidents can vary. It may feem strange, says that politician, that such sudden conquests, as those of ALEXANDER, should be settled so peaceably by his fucceffors, and that the Persians, during all the confusions and civil wars of the GREEKS, never made the fmallest effort towards the recovery of their former independent government. To fatisfy us concerning the cause of this remarkable event, we may confider, that a monarch may govern his subjects in two different ways. He may either follow the maxims of the eastern princes, and stretch his power so far as to leave no distinction of ranks among his subjects, but what proceeds immediately from himself; no advantages of birth; no hereditary honours and poffessions; and, in a word, no credit among the people, except from his commission alone. Or a monarch may exert his power after a milder manner, like our Euro-PEAN princes; and leave other fources of honour, beside his smile and favour: Birth, titles, possessions, valour, integrity, knowlege, or great and fortunate atchievements. In the former species of government, after a conquest, 'tis impossible ever to shake off the yoke; since no one possesses, among the people, so much personal credit and authority as to begin fuch an enterprize: Whereas, in the latter, the least misfortune, or discord of the victors, will encourage the vanquished to take arms, who have leaders ready to prompt and conduct them in every undertaking \*.

Such

<sup>\*</sup> I have taken it for granted, according to the supposition of Machiavel, that the antient Persians had no nobility; though there is reason to suspect, that the Figure Time secretary, who seems to have been better acquainted with the Roman than the Greek authors, was mistaken in this particular. The more ancient Persians, whose manners are described by Xenophon, were a free people, and had nobility. Their omotions

Such is the reasoning of MACHIAVEL, which seems to me very solid and conclusive; though I wish he had not mixed salshood with truth, in asserting that monarchies governed according to the eastern policy, though more easily kept when once subdued, yet are the most

preserved even after the extending of their conquests and the consequent change of their government. ARRIAN mentions them in DARIUS's time, De exped. ALEX. lib. 2. Hiftorians also speak often of the persons in command as men of family. TYGRANES, who was general of the MEDES under XERXES, was of the race of ACHMENES, HEROD. lib. 7. cap. 62. ARTACHEAS, who directed the cutting of the canal about mount ATHOS. was of the same family. Id. cap. 117. MEGABYZUS was one of the seven eminent PERSIANS who conspired against the MAGI. His fon ZORY. RUS, was in the highest command under DARIUS, and delivered BABY-LON to him. His grandfon, MEGABYSUS, commanded the army, defeated at MARATHON. His great grandfon ZopyRus, was also eminent. and was banished Persta. Herod. lib. 3. Thuc. lib. 1. Rosaces. who commanded an army in Egypt under ARTAXERXES, was also descended from one of the feven conspirators, DIOD. SIC. lib. 16. AGESILAUS, in XENOPHON, Hift. GRÆC. lib. 4. being defirous of making a marriage betwixt king Corys his ally, and the daughter of SPITHRIDATES, a PER-SIAN of rank, who had deferted to him, first asks Corvs what family SPITHRIDATES is of. One of the most considerable in Persia, says ARIEUS, when offered the fovereignty by CLEARCHUS and the ten thousand GREEKS, refused it as of too low a rank, and said. that fo many emigent PERSIANS would never endure his rule. Id. de exped. lib. 2. Some of the families, descended from the seven PERSIANS abovementioned remained during all ALEXANDER's successors; and MI-THRIDATES, in ANTIOCHUS's time, is faid by POLYBIUS to be descended from one of them, lib. 5. cap. 43. ARTABAZUS was effeemed, as ARRIAN fays, ev Tois TewTois Megows. lib. 3. And when ALEXANDER married in one day 80 of his captains to Persian women, his intention plainly was to ally the MACEDONIANS with the most eminent Persian families. Id. lib. 7. DIODORUS SICULUS says they were of the most noble birth in Persia, lib. 17. The government of Persia was despotic, and conducted, in many respects, after the eastern manner, but was not carried fo far as to extirpate all nobility, and confound all ranks and orders. It left men who were still great, by themselves and their family, independent of their office and commission. And the reason why the MACEDONIANS kept so easily dominion over them was owing to other causes easy to be found in the historians; though it must be owned that MACHIAVEL's reasoning is, in itself, just, however doubtful its application to the present çafe. dif-Ç4

difficult to subdue; since they cannot contain any powerful subject whose discontent and faction may facilitate the enterprizes of an enemy. For besides, that such a tyrannical government enervates the courage of men, and renders them indifferent towards the fortunes of their sovereign; besides this, I say, we find by experience, that even the temporary and delegated authority of the generals and magistrates; being always, in such governments, as absolute within its sphere, as that of the prince himself; is able, with barbarians accustomed to a blind submission, to produce the most dangerous and fatal revolutions. So that, in every respect, a gentle government is preferable, and gives the greatest security to the sovereign as well as to the subject.

Legislators, therefore, ought not to trust the future government of a state entirely to chance, but ought to provide a fystem of laws to regulate the administration of public affairs to the latest posterity. Effects will always correspond to causes; and wife regulations in any commonwealth, are the most valuable legacy which can be left to future ages. In the smallest court or office, the stated forms and methods, by which business must be conducted, are found to be a confiderable check on the natural depravity of mankind. Why should not the case be the same in public affairs? Can we ascribe the flability and wisdom of the VENETIAN government, through fo many ages, to any thing but the form of government? And is it not easy to point out those defects in the original constitution, which produced the tumultuous governments of ATHENS and ROME, and ended at last in the ruin of these two famous republics? And fo little dependance has this affair on the humours and education of particular men, that one part of the same republic may be wifely conducted, and another weakly, by the very fame men, merely on account of the difference of the forms and inflitutions, by which these parts

are regulated. Historians inform us that this was actually the case with Genoa. For while the state was always sull of sedition, and tumult, and disorder, the bank of St. George, which had become a considerable part of the people, was conducted, for several ages, with the utmost integrity and wisdom \*.

The ages of greatest public spirit are not always most eminent for private virtue. Good laws may beget order and moderation in the government, where the manners and customs have instilled little humanity or justice into the tempers of men. The most illustrious period of the ROMAN history, considered in a political view, is that between the beginning of the first and the end of the last Punic war; the due balance between the nobility and people being then fixed by the contests of the tribunes. and not being yet lost by the extent of conquests. Yet at this very time, the horrid practice of poisoning was fo common, that, during part of a feafon, a Prætor punished capitally for this crime above three thousand + persons in a part of ITALY; and found informations of this nature still multiplying upon him. There is a similar, or rather a worse instance t, in the more early times of the commonwealth. So depraved in private life were that people, whom in their histories we fo much admire. I doubt not but they were really more virtuous during the time of the two Triumvirates, when they were tearing their common country to pieces, and spreading slaugh-

Essempio veramente raro, & da Filosofi intante soro imaginate & vedute Republiche mai non trovato, vedere dentro ad un medesimo cerchio, fra medesimi cittadini, la liberta, & la tirannide, la vita civile & la corotta, la giustitia & la licenza; perche quello ordine solo mantiene quella citta piena di cossumi antichi & venerabili. E s'egli auvenisse (che col tempo in ogni modo auvera) que San Giorgio tutta quel la città occupasse, sarrebbe quella una Republica piu dalla Venetiana memorabile.

Della Hist. Florentine, lib. 8.

<sup>+</sup> T. Livii, lib. 40. cap. 43.

<sup>‡</sup> Id. lib. 8. cap. 18.

ter and desolation over the face of the earth, merely for the choice of tyrants \*.

Here, then, is a sufficient inducement to maintain, with the utmost Zeal, in every free state, those forms and institutions by which liberty is secured, the public good consulted, and the avarice or ambition of particular men restrained and punished. Nothing does more honour to human nature, than to see it susceptible of so noble a passion; as nothing can be a greater indication of meanness of heart in any man, than to see him devoid of it. A man who loves only himself, without regard to friendship and merit, is a detestable monster; and a man, who is only susceptible of friendship, without public spirit, or a regard to the community, is deficient in the most material part of virtue.

But this is a subject which needs not be longer insisted on at present. There are enow of zealots on both sides who kindle up the passions of their partizans, and under the pretence of public good, pursue the interests and ends of their particular faction. For my part, I shall always be more fond of promoting moderation than zeal; though perhaps the surest way of producing moderation in every party is to encrease our zeal for the public. Let us therefore try, if it be possible, from the foregoing doctrine, to draw a lesson of moderation with regard to the parties into which our country is at present divided; at the same time, that we allow not this moderation to abate the industry and passion with which every individual is bound to pursue the good of his country.

Those who either attack or defend a minister in such a government as ours, where the utmost liberty is allowed, always carry matters to an extreme, and exaggerate his merit or demerit with regard to the public. His ene-

<sup>\*</sup> L'Aigle contre L'Aigle, ROMAINS contre ROMAINS, Cembatans sculement pour le choix de tyrans.

CORNEILLE.

mies are sure to charge him with the greatest enormities, both in domestic and foreign management; and there is no meanness nor crime, of which, in their account, he is not capable. Unnecessary wars, scandalous treaties, profusion of public treasure, oppressive taxes, every kind of mal-administration is ascribed to him. To aggravate the charge, his pernicious conduct, it is said, will extend its baleful influence even to posterity, by undermining the best constitution in the world, and disordering that wise system of laws, institutions and customs, by which our ancestors, for so many centuries, have been so happily governed. He is not only a wicked minister in himself, but has removed every security provided against wicked ministers for the future.

On the other hand, the partizans of the minister make his panegyric run as high as the accusation against him, and celebrate his wise, steady and moderate conduct in every part of his administration. The honour and interest of the nation supported abroad, public credit maintained at home, persecution restrained, faction subdued; the merit of all these blessings is ascribed solely to the minister. At the same time he crowns all his other merits, by a religious care of the best constitution in the world, which he has preserved in all its parts, and has transmitted entire, to be the happiness and security of the latest posterity.

When this accusation and panegyric are received by the partizans of each party, no wonder they beget a most extraordinary ferment on both sides, and fill the nation with the most violent animosities. But I would fain perfuade these party-zealots, that there is a flat contradiction both in the accusation and panegyric, and that it were impossible for either of them to run so high, were it not for this contradiction. If our constitution be really that

noble fabric, the pride of BRITAIN, the envy of our neighbours, raised by the labour of so many centuries, repaired at the expence of so many millions, and cemented by such a profusion of blood \*; I say, if our constitution does in any degree deserve these gulogies, it would never have fuffered a wicked and weak minister, to govern triumphantly for a course of twenty years, when opposed by the greatest geniuses of the nation, who exercised the utmost liberty of tongue and pen, in parliament, and in their frequent appeals to the people. But, if the minifter be wicked and weak, to the degree fo ftrenuously infifted on, the constitution must be faulty in its original principles, and he cannot confiftently be charged with undermining the best constitution in the world. A constitution is only so far good, as it provides a remedy against mal-administration; and if the BRITISH constitution, when in its greatest vigour, and repaired by two fuch remarkable events, as the Revolution and Accession, by which our ancient royal family was facrificed to it; if our constitution, I say, with so great advantages, does not, in fact, provide any fuch remedy, we are rather beholden to any minister who undermines it, and affords us an opportunity of erecting in its place a better constitution.

I would make use of the same topics to moderate the zeal of those who defend the minister. Is our constitution so excellent? Then a change of ministry can be no such dreadful event; since it is essential to such a constitution, in every ministry, both to preserve itself from violation, and to prevent all enormities in the administration. Is our constitution very bad? Then so extraordinary a jealously and apprehension, on account of changes, is ill-placed; and a man should no more be anxious in this case, than a husband, who had married a woman from the stews,

Differtation on parties, Letter 10.

I

should be watchful to prevent her infidelity. Public affairs in such a constitution, must necessarily go to consusion, by whatever hands they are conducted; and the zeal of patriots is much less requisite in that ease than the patience and submission of philosophers. The virtue and good intentions of Cato and Brutus are highly laudable; but, to what purpose did their zeal serve? To nothing, but to hasten the fatal period of the Roman government, and render its convulsions and dying agonies more violent and painful.

I would not be understood to mean, that public affairs deferve no care and attention at all. Would men be moderate and consistent, their claims might be admitted; at least might be examined. The country-party might still affert, that our constitution, though excellent, will admit of mal-administration to a certain degree; and therefore, if the minister be bad, it is proper to oppose him with a fuitable degree of zeal. And, on the other hand, the court party may be allowed, upon the supposition that the minister were good, to defend, and with some zeal too, his administration. I would only persuade men not to contend, as if they were sighting pro aris & society, and change a good constitution into a bad one, by the violence of their factions \*.

\* What our author's opinion was of the famous minister here pointed at, may be learned from that essay, printed in the former editions, under the title of A character of Sir Robert Walpole: It was as follows: There never was a man, whose actions and character have been more earnestly and openly canvassed, than those of the present minister, who, having governed a searned and free nation for so long a time, amidst such mighty opposition, may make a large library of what has been wrote for and against him, and is the subject of above half the paper that has been blotted in the nation within these twenty years. I wish for the honour of our country, that any one character of him had been drawn with such judgment and impartiality, as to have credit with posterity, and to shew, that our liberty has, once at least, been employed to good purpose. I am only assaid of failing in the former quality of judgment: But if it should be so, 'tis but one page

I have not here confidered any thing that is personal in the present controversy. In the best civil constitution, where every man is restrained by the most rigid laws, it is easy to discover either the good or bad intentions of a minister, and to judge, whether his personal character deserves love or hatred. But such questions are of little importance to the public, and lay those who employ their pens upon them, under a just suspicion either of malevolence or flattery.

more thrown away, after an hundred thousand, upon the same subject, that have perished, and become useless. In the mean time, I shall flatter my-felf with the pleasing imagination, that the following character will be adopted by suture historians.

Sir ROBERT WALPOLE, prime minister of GREAT BRITAIN, is a man of ability, not a genius; good-natured, not virtuous; conftant, not magnanimous; moderate, not equitable +; His virtues, in some instances. are free from the allay of those vices, which usually accompany such virtues: He is a generous friend, without being a bitter enemy. His vices, in other instances, are not compensated by those virtues which are nearly allyed to them: His want of enterprise is not attended with frugality. The private character of the man is better than the public: His virtues more than his vices: His fortune greater than his fame. With many good qualities he has incurred the public hatred: With good capacity he has not escaped ridicule. He would have been efteemed more worthy of his high station had he never possessed it; and is better qualified for the second than for the first place in any government. His ministry has been more advantageous to his family than to the public, better for this age than for posterity, and more pernicious by bad precedents than by real grievances. During his time trade has flourished, liberty declined, and learning gone to ruin. As I am a man, I love him; as I am a scholar I hate him; as I am a BRITON, I calmly wish his fall. And were I a member of either house, I would give my vote for removing him from ST. JAMES'S; but should be glad to see him retire to HOUGHTON-HALL, to pass the remainder of his days in case and pleasure.

The author is pleased to find, that aster animostices are laid, and calumny has easted, the vohole nation almost have returned to the same moderate sentiments with regard to this great man, if they are not rather become more savourable to him, by a very natural transition, from one extreme to another. The author would not oppose those humane sentiments towards the dead; though he cannot sorbear observing, that the not paying more of our public debts was, as hinted in this character, a great, and the only great, error in that long administration.

\* Moderate in the exercise of power, not equitable in engrossing it.

# E S S A Y IV.

Of the First Principles of Government.

NOTHING is more furprifing to those, who confider human affairs with a philosophical eye, than to fee the eafiness with which the many are governed by the few; and to observe the implicit submission with which men refign their own fentiments and passions to those of their rulers. When we enquire by what means this wonder is brought about, we shall find, that as FORCE is always on the fide of the governed, the governors have nothing to support them but opinion. 'Tis therefore, on opinion only that government is founded; and this maxim extends to the most despotic and most military governments, as well as to the most free and most popular. The foldan of EGYPT, or the emperor of ROME, might drive his harmless subjects, like brute beasts, against their fentiments and inclination: But he must, at least, have led his mamalukes, or prætorian bands, like men, by their opinion.

Opinion is of two kinds, viz. opinion of INTEREST, and opinion of RIGHT. By opinion of interest, I chiefly understand the sense of the public advantage which is reaped from government; together with the persuasion, that the particular government, which is established, is equally advantageous with any other that could easily be settled. When this opinion prevails among the generality of a state, or among those who have the force

in their hands, it gives great security to any governa

Right is of two kinds, right to power and right to PROPERTY. What prevalence opinion of the first kind has over mankind, may eafily be understood by observing the attachment which all nations have to their ancient government, and even to those names which have had the fanction of antiquity. Antiquity always begets the opinion of right; and whatever disadvantageous sentiments we may entertain of mankind, they are always found to be prodigal both of blood and treasure in the maintenance of public justice. This passion we may denominate enthusiasm, or we may give it what appellation we please; but a politician, who should overlook its influence on human affairs, would prove himself but of a very limited understanding. There is, indeed, no particular, in which, at first fight, there may appear a greater contradiction in the frame of the human mind than the present. When men act in a faction, they are apt, without any shame or remorfe, to neglect all the ties of honour and morality, in order to ferve their party; and yet, when a faction is formed upon a point of right or principle, there is no occasion where men discover a greater obstinacy, and a more determined sense of instice and equity. The same social disposition of mankind is the cause of both these contradictory appearances.

'Tis sufficiently understood, that the opinion of right to property is of the greatest moment in all matters of government. A noted author has made property the soundation of all government; and most of our political writers seem inclined to follow him in that particular. This is carrying the matter too far; but still it must be owned, that the opinion of right to property has a great influence in this subject.

Upon these three opinions, therefore, of public interest, of right to power, and of right to property, are all

governments founded, and all authority of the few over the many. There are indeed other principles, which add force to these, and determine, limit, or alter their operation; such as felf-interest, sear, and affection: But still we may affert, that these other principles can have no influence alone, but suppose the antecedent influence of those opinions above-mentioned. They are, therefore, to be esteemed the secondary, not the original principles of government.

For, first, as to self-interest, by which I mean the expectation of particular rewards, diffinct from the general protection which we receive from government, 'tis evident that the magistrate's authority must be antecedently established, or, at least be hoped for, in order to produce this expectation. The prospect of reward may augment the authority with regard to fome particulat persons; but can never give birth to it, with regard to the public. Men naturally look for the greatest favours from their friends and acquaintance; and therefore, the hopes of any confiderable number of the state, would never center in any particular fet of men, if these men had no other title to magistracy, and had no separate influence over the opinions of mankind. The fame obfervation may be extended to the other two principles of fear and affection. No man would have any reason to fear the fury of a tyrant, if he had no authority over any but from fear; fince, as a fingle man, his bodily force can reach but a small way, and all farther power he posfesses must be founded either on our own opinion, or on the prefumed opinion of others. And tho' affection to wisdom and virtue in a sovereign extends very far, and has great influence; yet he must be antecedently supposed invested with a public character, otherwise the public esteem will serve him in no stead, nor will his virtue have any influence beyond a narrow sphere.

Vol. I. D A Go-

A Government may endure for several ages, tho' the balance of power, and the balance of property do not agree. This chiefly happens, where any rank or order of the state has acquired a large share of the property; but, from the original constitution of the government, has no share of the power. Under what pretext would any individual of that order assume authority in public affairs? As men are commonly much attached to their ancient government, it is not to be expected, that the public would ever favour fuch usurpations. But where the original conflitution allows any share of power, tho' fmall, to an order of men, who possess a large share of the property, 'tis easy for them gradually to stretch their authority, and bring the balance of power to coincide with that of property. This has been the case with the house of commons in ENGLAND.

Most writers, who have treated of the BRITISH government, have supposed, that as the house of commons represents all the commons of GREAT BRITAIN; so its weight in the scale is proportioned to the property and power of all whom it represents. But this principle must not be received as absolutely true. For the people are apt to attach themselves more to the house of commons, than to any other member of the constitution; that house being chosen by them as their representatives, and as the public guardians of their liberty; yet are there instances where the house, even when in opposition to the crown, has not been followed by the people; as we may particularly observe of the tory house of commons in the reign of king WILLIAM. Were the members of the house obliged to receive instructions from their constituents, like the DUTCH deputies, this would entirely alter the case; and, if such immense power and riches, as those of the whole commons of BRITAIN. were brought into the scale, 'tis not easy to conceive, that the crown could either influence that multitude of people, or withstand that overbalance of property. true, the crown has great influence over the collective body of BRITAIN in the elections of members; but were this influence, which at prefent is only exerted once in feven years, to be employed in bringing over the people to every vote, it would foon be wasted; and no skill, popularity or revenue, could support it. therefore, be of opinion, that an alteration, in this particular, would introduce a total alteration in our government, and would foon reduce it to a pure republic; and, perhaps, to a republic of no inconvenient form. tho' the people collected in a body like the ROMAN tribes, be quite unfit for government, yet when dispersed in fmall bodies, they are more fusceptible both of reafon and order; the force of popular currents and tides is, in a great measure, broke; and the public interest may be purfued with fome method and constancy. But 'tis needless to reason any farther concerning a form of government, which is never likely to have place in BRI-TAIN, and which seems not to be the aim of any party amongst us. Let us cherish and improve our ancient government as much as possible, without encouraging a passion for such dangerous novelties.

### ESSAY V.

Of the Independency of Parliament.

POLITICAL writers have established it as a maxim, That in contriving any system of government, and fixing the several checks and controuls of the constitution, every man ought to be supposed a knave, and to have no other end, in all his actions, but private interest. By this interest we must govern him, and, by means of it, make him co-operate to public good, notwithstanding his insatiable avarice and ambition. Without this, say they, we shall in vain boast of the advantages of any constitution, and shall find, in the end, that we have no security for our liberties or possessions, except the good-will of our rulers; that is, we shall have no security at all.

'Tis, therefore, a just political maxim, That every man must be supposed a knave: Tho' at the same time, it appears somewhat strange, that a maxim should be true in politics, which is salse in fact. But to satisfy us on this head, we may consider, that men are generally more honest in their private than in their public capacity, and will go greater lengths to serve a party, than when their own private interest is alone concerned. Honour is a great check upon mankind: But where a considerable body of men act together, this check is, in a great measure, removed; since a man is sure to be approved of by

his own party, for what promotes the common interest, and he soon learns to despise the clamours of his adverfaries. To which we may add, that every court or senate is determined by the greater number of voices; so that, if selfish views influence only the majority, (as they will always do) the whole senate follows the allurements of this separate interest, and acts as if it contained not one member, who had any regard to public interest and liberty.

When there offers, therefore, to our censure, and examination, any plan of government, real or imaginary, where the power is distributed among several courts, and several orders of men, we should always consider the private interest of each court, and each order; and, if we find, that, by the skilful division of the power, the private interest must necessarily, in its operation, concur with the public, we may pronounce that government to be wise and happy. If, on the contrary, the private interest of each order be not checked, and be not directed to public interest, we ought to look for nothing but faction, disorder, and tyranny from such a government. In this opinion we are justissed by experience, as well as by the authority of all philosophers and politicians both antient and modern.

How much, therefore, would it have furprized such a genius as CICERO, or TACITUS, to have been told, That, in a suture age, there should arise a very regular system of mixt government, where the authority was so distributed, that one rank, whenever it pleased, might swallow up all the rest, and engross the whole power of the constitution. Such a government, they would say, will not be a mixed government. For so great is the natural ambition of men, that they are never satisfied with power; and if one order of men, by pursuing its own interest, can usurp upon every other order, it will

will certainly do fo, and render itself, as far as possible, absolute and uncontroulable.

But, in this opinion, experience shews that they would have been mistaken. For this is actually the case with the BRITISH constitution. The share of power allotted by our conflitution to the house of commons is so great, that it absolutely commands all the other parts of the government. The king's legislative power is plainly no proper check to it. For tho' the king has a negative in the passing of laws; vet this, in fact, is esteemed of so little moment, that whatever is voted by the two houses, is always fure to pass into a law, and the royal affent is little better than a mere form. The principal weight of the crown lies in the executive power. But befides that the executive power in every government, is altogether fubordinate to the legislative; besides this, I sav. the exercise of this power requires an immense expense. and the commons have assumed to themselves the sole power of disposing of public money. How easy, therefore, would it be for that house to wrest from the crown all these powers, one after another, by making every grant of money conditional, and choosing their time so well, that their refusal of supplies should only distress the government, without giving foreign powers any advantage over us? Did the house of commons depend in the fame manner on the king, and had none of the members any property but from his gift, would not he command all their resolutions, and be from that moment absolute? As to the house of lords, they are a very powerful support to the crown so long as they are, in their turn, supported by it; but both experience and reason shew us, that they have no force nor authority sufficient to maintain themselves alone, without such fupport.

How, therefore, shall we solve this paradox? And by what means is this member of our conflitution confined within the proper limits; fince, from our very constitution, it must necessarily have as much power as it demands, and can only be confined by itself? How is this confistent with our experience of human nature? I anfwer, That the interest of the body is here restrained by the interest of the individuals, and that the house of commons stretches not its power, because such an usurpation would be contrary to the interest of the majority of its members. The crown has fo many offices at its difpofal, that, when affifted by the honest and difinterested part of the house, it will always command the resolutions of the whole; fo far at least, as to preserve the ancient conflitution from danger. We may, therefore, give to this influence what name we please; we may call it by the invidious appellations of corruption and dependence; but some degree and some kind of it are inseparable, from the very nature of the conflitution, and neceffary to the preservation of our mixed government.

Instead then of afferting † absolutely, that the dependence of parliament, in every degree, is an infringement of British liberty, the country-party had better have made some concessions to their adversaries, and have only examined what was the proper degree of this dependence, beyond which it became dangerous to liberty. But such a moderation is not to be expected of party-men of any kind. After a concession of this nature, all declamation must be abandoned; and a serious calm enquiry into the proper degree of court-influence, and parliamentary dependence would have been expected by the readers. And tho' the advantage, in such a controversy, might possibly remain to the country-party; yet the vic-

<sup>†</sup> See Differtation on Parties, throughout.

tory would not be so compleat as they wish for, nor would a true patriot have given an entire loose to his zeal, for fear of running matters into a contrary extreme, by diminishing too † far the influence of the crown. It was, therefore, thought best to deny, that this extreme could ever be dangerous to the constitution, or that the crown could ever have too little influence over members of parliament.

All questions concerning the proper medium between extremes are very difficult to be decided; both because it is not easy to find words proper to fix this medium, and because the good and ill, in such cases, run so gradually into each other, as even to render our sentiments doubtful and uncertain. But there is a peculiar difficulty in the present case, which would embarrass the most knowing and most impartial examiner. The power of the crown is always lodged in a fingle person, either king or minister; and as this person may have either a greater or less degree of ambition, capacity, courage, popularity or fortune, the power, which is too great in one hand, may become too little in another. In pure republics. where the power is distributed among several assemblies or fenates, the checks and controuls are more regular in their operation; because the members of such numerous affemblies may be prefumed to be always nearly equal in capacity and virtue; and 'tis only their number, riches,

<sup>†</sup> By that influence of the crown, which I would justify, I mean only, that arising from the offices and honours which are at the disposal of the crown. As to private bribery, it may be considered in the same light as the practice of employing spies, which is scarce justifiable in a good minister, and is infamous in a bad one: But to be a spy, or to be corrupted, is always infamous under all ministers, and is to be regarded as a shameless prostitution. Polymbus justly esteems the pecuniary influence of the senate and censors in giving offices to be one of the regular and constitutional weights, which preserved the balance of the ROMAN government. Lib. 6. cap. 15.

or authority, which enter into confideration. But a limited monarchy admits not of any such stability; nor is it possible to assign to the crown such a determinate degree of power, as will, in every hand, form a proper counter-balance to the other parts of the constitution. This is an unavoidable disadvantage, among the many advantages, attending that species of government.

# E S S A Y VI.

Whether the British Government inclines more to Absolute Monarchy, or to a Republic.

T affords a violent prejudice against almost every science, that no prudent man, however sure of his principles, dares to prophefy concerning any event, or foretell the remote consequences of things. A physician will not venture to pronounce concerning the condition of his patient a fortnight or month after: And still less dares a politician foretel the situation of public affairs a few years hence. HARRINGTON thought himself so fure of his general principle, That the balance of power depends on that of property, that he ventured to pronounce it impossible ever to re-establish monarchy in England: But his book was scarce published when the king was restored; and we see that monarchy has ever since subfifted upon the same footing as before. Notwithstanding this unlucky example, I will venture to examine a very important question, viz. Whether the BRITISH government inclines more to absolute monarchy, or to a republic; and in which of these two species of government it will most probably terminate? As there seems not to be any great danger of a sudden revolution either way, I shall at least escape the shame attending my temerity, if I should be found to have been mistaken. Those

Those who affert, That the balance of our government inclines towards absolute monarchy, may support their opinion by the following reasons. That property has a great influence on power cannot possibly be denied; but yet the general maxim; That the balance of one depen is on the balance of the other, must be received with feveral limitations. 'Tis evident, that much less property in a fingle hand will be able to counter-balance a greater property in several hands; not only because it is difficult to make many persons combine in the same views and measures, but also because property, when united, causes much greater dependance, than the same property, when dispersed. An hundred persons, of 1000 l. a year a piece, can confume all their income, and no body shall ever be the better for them, except their fervants and tradefmen, who justly regard their profits as the product of their own labour. But a man possessed of 100,000 l. a year, if he has either any generofity, or any cunning, may create a great dependance by obligations, and still a greater by expectations. Hence we may observe, that in all free governments any subject exorbitan ly rich has always created a jealoufy, even tho' his riches bore no manner of proportion to the riches of CRASSUS'S fortune, if I remember well, amounted only to about fixteen hundred thousand pounds in our money; and yet we find, that the' his genius was nothing extraordinary, he was able, by means of his riches alone, to counter-balance, during his life-time, the power of POMPEY as well as that of CÆSAR, who afterwards became master of the world. The wealth of the MEDICIS made them masters of FLORENCE; tho', 'tis probable, it was very inconfiderable, compared to the united property of that opulent republic.

These considerations are apt to make one entertain a very magnificent idea of the BRITISH spirit and love

of liberty; fince we could maintain our free government, during fo many centuries, against our sovereigns, who, besides the power and dignity and majesty of the crown, have always been possessed of much greater riches than any subject has ever enjoyed in any commonwealth. But it may be faid, that this spirit, however great, will never be able to support itself against that immense property, which is now lodged in the king, and which is still encreasing. Upon a moderate computation, there are near three millions at the difposal of the crown. The civil list amounts to near a million; the collection of all taxes to another million; and the employments in the army and navy, together with ecclefiaftical preferments, to above a third million: An enormous fum, and what may fairly be computed to be more than a thirtleth part of the whole income and labour of the kingdom. When we add to this immense property, the increasing luxury of the nation, our proneness to corruption, together with the great power and prerogatives of the crown, and the command of such numerous military forces, there is no one but must defpair of being able, without extraordinary efforts, to support our free government much longer under all these difadvantages.

On the other hand, those who maintain, that the byass of the British government leans towards a republic, may support their opinion by very specious arguments. It may be said, that the this immense property in the crown, be joined to the dignity of first magistrate, and to many other legal powers and prerogatives, which should naturally give it a greater influence; yet it really becomes less dangerous to liberty upon that very account. Were Britain a republic, and were any private man possessed of a revenue, a third,

third, or even a tenth part as large as that of the crown, he would very justly excite jealoufy; because he would infallibly have great authority in the government: And fuch an irregular authority, not avowed by the laws, is always more dangerous than a much greater authority, which is derived from them. A man possessed of usurped power, can set no bounds to his pretensions: His partizans have liberty to hope for every thing in his favour: His enemies provoke his ambition, with his fears, by the violence of their opposition: And the government being thrown into a ferment, every corrupted humour in the state naturally gathers to him. On the contrary, a legal authority, tho' very great, has always fome bounds, which terminate both the hopes and pretentions of the person possessed of it: The laws must have provided a remedy against its excesses: Such an eminent magistrate has much to fear, and little to hope from his usurpations: And as his legal authority is quietly submitted to, he has fmall temptation and fmall opportunity of extending it farther. Besides, it happens, with regard to ambitious aims and projects, what may be observed with regard to fects of philosophy and religion. A new fect excites such ferment, and is both opposed and defended with fuch vehemence, that it spreads always faster, and multiplies its partizans with greater rapidity, than any old established opinion, recommended by the fanction of the laws and of antiquity. Such is the nature of noyelty, that where any thing pleases it becomes doubly agreeable, if new; but if it displeases, it is doubly displeasing, upon that very account. And, in most cases, the violence of enemies is favourable to ambitious proiects, as well as the zeal of partizans.

It may further be faid, that tho' men be very much governed by interest; yet even interest itself, and all human affairs, are entirely governed by opinion. Now, there has been a very fudden and a very fenfible change in the opinions of men within these last fifty years, by the progress of learning and of liberty. Most people, in this island, have divested themselves of all superstitious reverence to names and authority: The clergy have much loft their credit: Their pretenfions and doctrines have been ridiculed; and even religion can scarce support itself in the world. The mere name of king commands little respect; and to talk of a king as GOD's vicegerent on earth, or to give him any of those magnificent titles, which formerly dazzled mankind, would but excite laughter in every one. Tho' the crown, by means of its large revenue, may maintain its authority in times of tranquillity, upon private interest and influence; yet as the least shock or convulfion must break all these interests to pieces, the kingly power being no longer supported by the settled principles and opinions of men, will immediately diffolve. Had men been in the fame disposition at the revolution, as they are at prefent, monarchy would have run a great risque of being entirely lost in this ifland.

Durst I venture to deliver my own sentiments amidst these opposite arguments, I would assert, that unless there happen some extraordinary convulsion, the power of the crown, by means of its large revenue, is rather upon the increase; tho, at the same time I own, that its progress seems very slow, and almost insensible. The tide has run long, and with some rapidity, to the side of popular government, and is just beginning to turn towards monarchy.

'Tis well known that every government must come to a period, and that death is unavoidable to the political as well as to the animal body. But, as one kind of death may be preferable to another, it may be enquired, whether it be more defirable for the BRITISH constitution to terminate in a popular government, or an absolute monarchy? Here I would declare frankly, that tho' liberty be infinitely preferable to flavery, in almost every case; yet I should much rather wish to see an absolute monarch than a republic in this island. For, let us consider, what kind of republic we have reason to expect. The question is not concerning any fine imaginary republic, of which a man may form a plan in his There is no doubt, but a popular government may be imagined more perfect than absolute monarchy, or even than our present constitution. But what reason have we to expect that any fuch government will ever be established in BRITAIN, upon the dissolution of our monarchy? If any fingle person acquire power enough to take our constitution to pieces, and put it up a-new. he is really an absolute monarch; and we have had already an instance of this kind, sufficient to convince us. that fuch a person will never resign his power, or establish any free government. Matters, therefore, must be trusted to their natural progress and operation; and the house of commons, according to its present constitution, must be the only legislature in such a popular government. The inconveniencies, attending fuch a fituation of affairs, present themselves by thousands. If the house of commons, in such a case, ever dissolves itself, which is not to be expected, we may look for a civil war every election. If it continues itself, we shall fuffer all the tyranny of a faction, fubdivided into new factions. And as fuch a violent government cannot long fublist, we shall, at last, after infinite convulsions, and civil

civil wars, find repose in absolute monarchy, which it would have been happier for us to have established peaceably from the beginning. Absolute monarchy, therefore, is the easiest death, the true Euthanasia of the British constitution.

Thus, if we have reason to be more jealous of monarchy, because the danger is more imminent from that quarter; we have also reason to be more jealous of popular government, because that danger is more terrible. This may teach us a lesson of moderation in all our political controversies.

## ESSAY VII.

#### Of PARTIES in GENERAL.

F all men, who distinguish themselves by memorable atchievements, the first place of honour seems due to LEGISLATORS, and founders of states, who transmit a system of laws and institutions to secure the peace, happiness, and liberty of future generations. The influence of useful inventions in the arts and sciences may, perhaps, extend farther than those of wife laws, whose effects are limited both in time and place; but the benefit arifing from the former is not so sensible as that which proceeds from the latter. Speculative sciences do, indeed, improve the mind; but this advantage reaches only to a few persons, who have leifure to apply themselves to them. And as to practical arts, which increase the commodities and enjoyments of life, it is well known, that mens happiness consists not so much in an abundance of these, as in the peace and fecurity with which they possess them; and those blessings can only be derived from good government. Not to mention, that general virtue and good morals in a state, which are so requisite to happiness, can never arise from the most refined precepts of philosophy, or even the severest injunctions of religion; but must proceed entirely from the virtuous education of the youth, the effect of wife laws and institutions. I must, therefore, presume to differ from my Lord BACON in this particular, and must regard antiquity as somewhat unjust in E 2 its

#### E S S A Y VII.

its distribution of honour, when it made gods of all the inventors of useful arts, such as CERES, BACCHUS, ÆSCULAPIUS; and dignified legislators, such as ROMULUS and THESEUS, only with the appellation of demigods and heroes.

As much as legislators and founders of states ought to be honoured and respected among men, as much ought the founders of fects and factions to be detefted and hated; because the influence of faction is directly contrary to that of laws. Factions subvert government, render laws impotent, and beget the fiercest animosities among men of the same nation, who ought to give mutual affiftance and protection to each other. And what fhould render the founders of parties more odious is. the difficulty of extirpating these parties, when once they have taken rise in any state. They naturally propagate themselves for many centuries, and seldom end but by the total dissolution of that government, in which they are planted. They are, besides, seeds which grow most plentifully in the richest soils; and though despotic governments be not entirely free from them, it must be confessed, that they rise more easily, and propagate themselves faster in free governments, where they always infect the legislature itself, which alone could be able, by the steady application of rewards and punishments, to eradicate them.

Factions or parties may be divided into Personal and Real; that is, into factions founded on personal friend-ship or animosity among those who compose the factions, and into those founded on some real difference of sentiment or interest. The reason of this distinction is obvious tho' I must acknowledge, that parties are seldom sound pure and unmixed, either of the one kind or the other. 'Tis not often seen, that a government divides into factions, where there is no difference in the views of

the conflituent members, either real or apparent, trivial or material: And in those factions, which are founded on the most real and most material difference, there is always observed to be a great deal of personal animosity or affection. But notwithstanding this mixture, a party may be denominated either personal or real, according to that principle which is predominant, and is found to have the greatest influence.

Personal factions arise most easily in small republics. Every domestic quarrel becomes an affair of state. Love, vanity, emulation, any passion begets public division, as well as ambition and resentment. The Ners and BIANCHI of FLORENCE, the FREGOSI and ADORNI of GENOA, the COLONESI and ORSINI of modern ROME, were parties of this kind.

Men have such a propensity to divide into personal factions, that the smallest appearance of real difference will produce them. What can be imagined more trivial than the difference between one colour of livery and another in horse-races? Yet this difference begot two most inveterate factions in the Greek empire, the Prasint and Venett, who never suspended their animosities, till they ruined that unhappy government.

We find in the ROMAN history a very remarkable faction between two tribes, the POLLIA and PAPIRIA, which continued for the space of near three hundred years, and discovered itself in their suffrages at every election of magistrates \*. This faction was the more remarkable,

<sup>\*</sup> As this fact has not been much observed by antiquaries or politicians, I shall deliver it in the words of the Roman historian. Populus Tusculanus cum conjugibus ac liberis Roman wenit: Ea multitudo, vesse mutata, & specie recoum tribus circuit, genibus se omnium advolvens. Plus itaque misericordia ad perme veniam impetrandam, quam causa ad crimen purgandum valuit. Tribus omnes præter Polliam, antiquarunt legem. Polliæ sententia suit, puberes verberatos necari, liberos conjugesque sub corona lege belli ventre: Memoriamque E 3

markable, as it could continue for fo long a tract of time; even though it did not spread itself, nor draw any of the other tribes into a share of the quarrel. If mankind had not a strong propensity to such divisions, the indifference of the rest of the community must have suppressed this soolish animosity, that had not any aliment of new benefits and injuries, of general sympathy and antipathy, which never fail to take place, when the whole state is rent into two equal factions.

Nothing is more usual than to see parties, which have begun upon a real difference, continue even after that difference is loft. When men are once inlifted on oppofite fides, they contract an affection to the persons with whom they are united, and an animofity against their antagonists: And these passions they often transmit to their The real difference between GUELF and GHIBBELLINE was long lost in ITALY, before these factions were extinguished. The GUELFS adhered to the pope, the GHIBBELLINES to the emperor; and yet the family of SFORSA, who were in alliance with the emperor, though they were Guelfs, being expelled MILAN by the king \* of FRANCE, affifted by JACOMO TRIVULZIO and the GHIBBELLINES, the pope concurred with the latter, and they formed leagues with the pope against the emperor.

The civil wars which arose some few years ago in Mo-ROCCO, betwixt the blacks and whites, merely on account of their complexion, are sounded on a very pleasant difference. We laugh at them; but I believe, were things

ejus iræ Tusculanis in pænæ tam atrocis austores mansisse ad patris ætatem eonstat; nec quemquam sere ex Pollia tribu candidatum Papiram sere sostam, T. Livii, lib. 8. The Castelani and Nicolloti are two mobbish sections in Venice, who frequently box together, and then lay aside their quarrels presently.

<sup>\*</sup> LEWIS XII.

rightly examined, we afford much more occasion of ridicule to the Moons. For, what are all the wars of religion, which have prevailed in this polite and knowing part of the world? They are certainly more abfurd than the Moorish civil wars. The difference of complexion is a fensible and a real difference: But the difference about an article of faith, which is utterly abfurd and unintelligible, is not a difference of fentiments, but only a difference of a few phrases and expressions, which one party accepts of, without understanding them; and the other rejects in the fame manner. Besides, I do not find, that the whites in Morocco ever imposed on the blacks any necessity of altering their complexion, or threatened them with inquisitions and penal laws in case of obstinacy: nor have the blacks been more unreasonable in this particular. But is a man's opinion, where he is able to form a real opinion, more at his disposal than his complexion? And can one be induced by force or fear to do more than paint and disguise in the one case as well as in the other?

Real factions may be divided into factions from interest. from principle, and from affection. Of all factions, those from interest are the most reasonable, and the most ex-Where two orders of men; fuch as the nobles and people, have a diffinct authority in a government; which is not very accurately balanced and modelled, they naturally follow a distinct interest; nor can we reafonably expect a different conduct, confidering that degree of felfishness, which is implanted in human nature. It requires very great skill in a legislator to prevent such factions; and many philosophers are of opinion, that this fecret, like the grand elixir, or perpetual motion, may amuse men in theory, but can never possibly be reduced to practice. In despotic governments, indeed, factions often do not appear; but they are not the less real; or rather. they they are more real and more pernicious, upon that very account. The distinct orders of men, nobles and people, soldiers and merchants, have all a distinct interest; but the more powerful oppresses the weaker with impunity, and without resistance; which begets a seeming tranquillity in such governments.

There has been an attempt to divide the landed and trading interest of England; but without success. The interest of these two bodies is not really distinct, and never will be so, till our public debts increase to such a degree, as to become altogether oppressive and intolerable.

Parties from principles, especially abstract speculative principles, are known only to modern times, and are, perhaps, the most extraordinary and unaccountable phanomenon, that has ever yet appeared in human affairs. Where different principles beget a contrariety of conduct, which is the case with all different political principles, the matter may be more eafily explained. A man, who esteems the true right of government to lie in one man, or one family, cannot easily agree with his fellow citizen, who thinks that another man or family is posfessed of this right. Each naturally wishes that right may take place, according to his own notions of it. But where the difference of principles is attended with no contrariety of action, but each may follow his own way, without interfering with his neighbour, as happens in all religious controversies; what madness, what fury can beget fuch unhappy and fuch fatal divisions?

Two men, travelling on the highway, the one east, the other west, can easily pass each other, if the way be broad enough: But two men, reasoning upon opposite principles of religion, cannot so easily pass, without shocking; though one should think, that the way were

also,

also, in that case, sufficiently broad, and that each might proceed, without interruption, in his own course. But such is the nature of the human mind, that it always takes hold of every mind that approaches it; and as it is wonderfully fortisted and corroborated by an unanimity of sentiments, so is it shocked and disturbed by any contrarlety. Hence the eagerness, which most people discover in a dispute; and hence their impatience of opposition, even in the most speculative and indifferent opinions.

This principle, however frivolous it may appear, feems to have been the origin of all religious wars and divi-But as this principle is universal in human nature, its effects would not have been confined to one age, and to one fect of religion, did it not there concur with other more accidental causes, which raise it to such a height as to produce the highest disorder and devastation. Most religions of the ancient world arose in the unknown ages of government, when men were as yet barbarous and uninstructed, and the prince, as well as peafant, was disposed to receive, with implicit faith, every pious tale or fiction which was offered him. The magistrate embraced the religion of the people, and entering cordially into the care of facred matters, naturally acquired an authority in them, and united the ecclefiaftical with the civil power. But the Christian religion arifing, while principles directly opposite to it were firmly established in the polite part of the world, who defpised the nation that first broached this novelty; no wonder, that in such circumstances, it was but little countenanced by the civil magistrate, and that the priesthood were allowed to engross all the authority in the new sect. So bad a use did they make of this power, even in those early times, that the perfecutions of Christianity may, perhaps, perhaps, in part\*, be ascribed to the violence instilled by them into their followers. And the same principles of priestly government continuing, after Christianity became the established religion, they have engendered a spirit of persecution, which has ever since been the poison of human society, and the source of the most inveterate factions in every government. Such sactions, therefore, on the part of the people, may justly be esteemed factions of principle: but, on the part of the priests, who are the prime movers, they are really sactions of interest.

There is another cause (beside the authority of the priests, and the separation of the ecclesiastical and civil powers) which has contributed to render Christendom the scene of religious wars and divisions. Religions, that arise in ages totally ignorant and barbarous, consist mostly of traditional tales and sictions, which may be very different in every sect, without being contrary, to each other; and even when they are contrary, every one adheres to the tradition of his own sect, without much

\* I fay, in part; For 'tis a vulgar error to imagine, that the ancient's were as great friends to toleration as the ENGLISH or DUTCH are at prefent. The laws against external superstition, amongst the ROMANS, were as ancient as the time of the twelve tables; and the JEws as well as CHRISTIANS were fometimes punished by them; tho', in general, these laws were not rigorously executed. Immediately after the conquest of GAUL, the ROMANS forbad all but the natives to be initiated into the religion of the DRUIDS; and this was a kind of perfecution. In about a century after this conquest, the emperor, CLAUDIUS, quite abolished that superstition by penal laws: which would have been a very grievous perfecution, if the imitation of the ROMAN manners had not, before-hand, weaned the GAULS from their ancient prejudices. Suetonius in vita Claudii, Pling ascribes the abolition of the Druid superstition to TIBERIUS, probably because that emperor had taken some steps towards restraining them, (lib. 30. cap. 1.) This is an instance of the usual caution and moderation of the Ro-MANS in fuch cases; and very different from their violent and sanguinary method of treating the Christians. Hence we may entertain a suspicion. that those furious persecutions of Christianity were, in some measure, owing to the imprudent zeal and bigotry of the first propagators of that sect; and Ecclefialfical history affords us many reasons to confirm this suspicion.

reasoning

reasoning or disputation. But as philosophy was widely spread over the world, at the time when Christianity arose, the teachers of the new sect were obliged to form a system of speculative opinions; to divide, with some accuracy, their articles of faith; and to explain, comment, consute, and confirm with all the subtilty of argument and science. From hence naturally arose keenness in dispute, when the christian religion came to be split into new divisions and heresses: And this keenness assisted the priests in their policy, of begetting a mutual hatred and antipathy among the deluded followers. Sects of philosophy in the ancient world, were more zealous than parties of religion; but in modern times, parties of religion are more furious and enraged than the most cruel factions that ever arose from interest and ambition.

I have mentioned parties from affection as a kind of real parties, beside those from interest and principle. parties from affection. I understand those which founded on the different affections of men towards particular families and persons, whom they defire to rule over them. These parties are often very violent; tho', I must own, it is fomewhat unaccountable, that men should attach themselves so strongly to persons, with whom they are no wife acquainted, whom perhaps they never faw, and from whom they never received, nor can ever hope for any favour. Yet this we find often to be the case, and even with men, who, on other occasions, discover no great generofity of spirit, nor are found to be easily transported by friendship beyond their own interest. We are apt, I know not how, to think the relation between us and our fovereign very close and intimate. The splendor of majesty and power bestows an importance on the fortunes even of a fingle person. And when a man's goodnature gives him not this imaginary interest, his ill-nature will, from spite and opposition to persons whose fentiments are different from his own.

ESSAY

### ESSAY VIII.

Of the Parties of Great Britain,

ERE the British government proposed as a subject of speculation to a studious man, he would immediately perceive in it a fource of division and party, which it would be almost impossible for it, under any administration, to avoid. The just balance between the republican and monarchical part of our constitution is really, in itself, so extremely delicate and uncertain, that when joined to mens passions and prejudices, 'tis impossible but different opinions must arise concerning it, even among persons of the best understanding. Those of mild tempers, who love peace and order, and detest fedition and civil wars, will always entertain more favourable fentiments of monarchy, than men of bold and generous spirits, who are passionate lovers of liberty, and think no evil comparable to subjection and slavery. And though all reasonable men agree in general to preserve our mixed government; yet when they come to particulars, fome will incline to trust larger powers to the crown. to bestow on it more influence, and to guard against its encroachments with less caution, than others who are terrified at the most distant approaches of tyranny and defpotic power. Thus are there parties of PRINCIPLE involved in the very nature of our constitution, which may properly properly enough be denominated those of † Court and Country. The strength and violence of each of these parties will much depend upon the particular administration. An administration may be so bad, as to throw a great majority into the opposition; as a good administration will reconcile to the court many of the most passionate lovers of liberty. But however the nation may succeed the parties themselves will always subsists to long as we are governed by a limited monarchy.

But, besides this difference of Principle, those parties are very much fomented by a difference of INTEREST, without which they could scarce ever be dangerous or violent. The crown will naturally bestow all its trust and power upon those, whose principles, real or pretended, are most favourable to monarchical government; and this temptation will naturally engage them to go greater lengths than their principles would otherwise carry them. Their antagonists, who are disappointed in their ambitious aims, throw themselves into the party whose principles incline them to be most jealous of royal power, and naturally carry those principles to a greater length than found politics will justify. Thus the Court and Country parties, which are the genuine offspring of the BRITISH government, are a kind of mixt parties, and are influenced both by principle and by interest.

<sup>†</sup> These words have become of general use, and therefore I shall employ them, without intending to express by them an universal blame of the one party, or approbation of the other. The court-party may, no doubt, on some eccasions consult best the interest of the country, and the country-party oppose it. In like manner, the Roman parties were denominated Optimates and Populares; and Cicero, like a true party man, defines the Optimates to be such as, in all their public condust, regulated themselves by the sentiments of the best and worthiest of the Romans: Pro Sextio, cap. 45. The term of Country-party may afford a savourable definition or etymology of the same kind: But it would be folly to draw any argument from that head, and I have no regard to it in employing these terms.

The heads of the factions are commonly most governed by the latter motive; the inferior members of them by the former. I must be understood to mean this of persons who have motives for taking party on any side. For, to tell the truth, the greatest part are commonly men who associate themselves they know not why; from example, from passion, from idleness. But still it is requisite, that there be some source of division, either in principle or interest; otherwise such persons would not find parties, to which they could associate themselves.

As to ecclefiastical parties; we may observe, that, in all ages of the world, priefts have been enemies to liberty\*, and 'tis certain, that this fleady conduct of theirs must have been founded on fixt reasons of interest and ambition. Liberty of thinking, and of expressing our thoughts, is always fatal to priestly power, and to those pious frauds, on which it is commonly founded; and, by an infallible connexion, which prevails among every fpecies of liberty, this privilege can never be enjoyed, at least, has never yet been enjoyed, but in a free government. Hence it must happen, in such a government as that of BRITAIN, that the established clergy, while things are in their natural fituation, will always be of the Courtparty; as, on the contrary, diffenters of all kinds will be of the Country-party; fince they can never hope for that toleration, which they stand in need of, but by means of our free constitution. All princes, who have aimed at despotic power, have known of what importance it was to gain the established clergy: As the clergy, on their fide, have shewn a great facility of enter-

<sup>\*</sup> This proposition is true, notwithstanding, that in the early times of the ENGLISH government, the clergy were the great and principal opposers of the crown: But, at that time, their possessions were so immensely great, that they composed a considerable part of the proprietors of ENGLAND, and in many contests were direct rivals of the crown,

ing into the views of such princes \*. Gustavus Vaza was, perhaps, the only ambitious monarch, that ever depressed the church, at the same time that he discouraged liberty. But the exorbitant power of the bishops in Sweden, who, at that time, overtopped the crown itself, together with their attachment to a foreign family, was the reason of his embracing such an unusual system of politics.

This observation concerning the propensity of priests to despotic power, and to the government of a single person, is not true with regard to one sect only. The Presbyterian and Calvinistic clergy in Holland were always prosessed friends to the family of Orange; as the Arminians, who were esteemed heretics, were always of the Louvestein saction, and zealous for liberty. But if a prince has the choice of both, 'tis easy to see, that he will preser the episcopal to the presbyterian form of government, both because of the greater affinity between monarchy and episcopacy, and because of the facility which a prince finds, in such a government, of ruling the clergy, by means of their ecclesiastical superiors †.

If we consider the first rise of parties in ENGLAND, during the civil wars, we shall find, that it was exactly conformable to this general theory, and that the species of government gave birth to these parties, by a regular and infallible operation. The ENGLISH constitution, before that time, had lain in a kind of confusion; yet so, as that the subjects possessed many noble privileges, which,

<sup>\*</sup> Judæi sibi ipsi reges imposuere; qui mobilitate vulgi expulsi, resumpta per arma dominatione; sugas civium, urbium eversiones, fratrum, conjugum, parentum neces, aliaque solita regibus ausi, superstitionem sovebant; quia honor sacerdotii sirmamentum potentiæ assumebatur. Tacit. biss. 5.

<sup>†</sup> Populi imperium juxta libertatem: paucorum dominatio regiæ libidini proprior est. Tacit. Ann. lib. 6.

tho' not, perhaps, exactly bounded and fecured by laws. were universally deemed, from long possession, to belong to them as their birth-right. An ambitious, or rather an ignorant, prince arose, who esteemed all these privileges to be concessions of his predecessors, revocable at pleafure; and, in profecution of this principle, he openly acted in violation of liberty, during the course of several years. Necessity, at last, constrained him to call a parliament: The spirit of liberty arose and spread itself: The prince, being without any support, was obliged to grant every thing required of him: And his enemies, jealous and implacable, fet no bounds to their pretensions. Here then began those contests, in which it was no wonder, that men of that age were divided into different parties; fince, even at this day, the impartial are at a loss to decide concerning the justice of the quarrel. The pretentions of the parliament, if yielded to, broke the balance of the conftitution, by rendering the government almost entirely republican. If not yielded to, the nation were, perhaps, still in danger of despotic power, from the settled principles and inveterate habits of the king, which had plainly appeared in every concession that he had been constrained to make to his people. In this question, so delicate and uncertain, men naturally fell to the fide which was most conformable to their usual principles; and those, who were the most passionate favourers of monarchy, declared for the king, as the zealous friends of liberty fided with the parliament. The hopes of fuccess being nearly equal on both fides, interest had no general influence in this contest: So that ROUND-HEAD and CAVALIER were merely parties of principle; neither of which disowned either monarchy or liberty; but the former party inclined most to the republican part of our government, and the latter to the monarchical. In this respect, they may be considered as court and country-party, enflained into a civil war, by an unhappy concurrence of circumstances, and by the You. I. turbulent

turbulent spirit of the age. The commonwealth's men, and the partizans of despotic power, lay concealed in both parties, and formed but an inconsiderable part of them.

The clergy had concurred with the king's arbitrary defigns, according to their usual maxims in such cases: And, in return, were allowed to persecute their adversaries, whom they called heretics and schismatics. The established clergy were episcopal; the non-conformists presbyterian: So that all things concurred to throw the former, without reserve, into the king's party; and the letter into that of the parliament. The Cavaliers being the court-party, and the Round-heads the country-party, the union was infallible between the former and the established presacy, and between the latter and presbyterian non-conformists. This union is so natural, according to the general principles of politics, that it requires some very extraordinary situation of affairs to break it.

Every one knows the event of this quarrel; fatal to the king first, and to the parliament afterwards. After many confusions and revolutions, the royal family was at last restored, and the government established on the same footing as before. CHARLES II. was not made wifer by the example of his father; but profecuted the same measures, tho' at first with more secrecy and caution. New parties arose, under the appellation of Whig and Tory, which have continued ever fince to confound and distract our government. What the nature is of these parties, is, perhaps, one of the most difficult questions, which can be met with, and is a proof that history may contain problems, as uncertain as any, which are to be found in the most abstract sciences. We have seen the conduct of these two parties, during the course of seventy years, in a vast variety of circumstances, possessed of power, and deprived of it, during peace, and during war:

Persons, who profess themselves of one side or other, we meet every hour, in company, in our pleasures, in our serious occupations: We ourselves are constrained, in a manner, to take party; and living in a country of the highest liberty, every one may openly declare all his sentiments and opinions: And yet we are at a loss to tell the nature, pretensions, and principles of the parties. The question is, perhaps, in itself, somewhat difficult; but has been rendered more so, by the prejudice and violence of party.

When we compare the parties of WHIG and TORY, to those of ROUND-HEAD and CAVALIER, the most obvious difference, which appears between them, confifts in the principles of passive obedience, and indefeasible right, which were but little heard of among the CAVALIERS. but became the universal doctrine, and were esteemed the true characteristic of a Tory. Were these principles pushed into their most obvious consequences, they imply a formal renunciation of all our liberties, and an avowal of absolute monarchy; fince nothing can be a greater absurdity than a limited power, which must not be refisted, even when it exceeds its limitations. But as the most rational principles are often but a weak counterpoile to passion; 'tis no wonder that these absurd principles, fufficient, according to a celebrated author \*, to shock the common sense of a HOTTENTOT or SAMOIEDE, were found too weak for that effect. The TORIES, as men, were enemies to oppression; and also as ENGLISH-MEN, they were enemies to arbitrary power. Their zeal for liberty was, perhaps, less fervent than that of their antagonists; but was sufficient to make them forget all their general principles, when they saw themselves openly threatened with a subversion of the antient government.

<sup>\*</sup> Differtation on parties, Letter 2d.

From these sentiments arose the revolution; an event of mighty consequence, and the sirmest soundation of BRITISH liberty. The conduct of the Tories, during that event, and after it, will afford us a true insight into the nature of that party.

In the first place, They appear to have had the fentiments of true BRITONS in their affections to liberty, and in their determined resolution not to facrifice it to any abstract principles whatsoever, or to any imaginary rights of princes. This part of their character might justly have been doubted of before the revolution, from the obvious tendency of their avowed principles, and from their great compliances with a court, which made little fecret of its arbitrary defigns. The revolution flewed them to have been, in this respect, nothing but a genuine court-party, fuch as might be expected in a BRI-TISH government: That is, Lovers of liberty, but greater lovers of monarchy. It must, however, be confessed, that they carried their monarchical principles further, even in practice, but more fo in theory, than was, in any degree, confistent with a limited government.

Secondly, Neither their principles nor affections concurred, entirely or heartily, with the fettlement made at the revolution, or with that which has fince taken place. This part of their character may feem contradictory to the former; fince any other fettlement, in those circumstances of the nation, must probably have been dangerous, if not fatal to liberty. But the heart of man is made to reconcile contradictions; and this contradiction is not greater than that betwixt passive obedience, and the resistance employed at the revolution. A Tory, therefore, fince the revolution, may be defined in a few words, to be a lover of monarchy, tho' without abandoning liberty; and a partizan of the family of STUART. As a WHIG may be defined to be a lover of liberty, tho' without renouncing monarchy;

monarchy; and a friend to the settlement in the PROTESTANT line\*.

These different views, with regard to the settlement of the crown, were accidental, but natural additions to the

\* The author above cited has afferted, that the REAL diffinction betwixt WHIG and TORY was lost at the revolution, and that ever since they have continued to be mere perfonal parties, like the GUELFS and GIBBELINES, after the emperors had lost all authority in ITALY. Such an opinion, were it received, would turn our whole history into an ænigma.

I shall first mention, as a proof of a real distinction between these parties, what every one may have observed or heard concerning the conduct and conversation of all his friends and acquaintance on both sides. Have not the Tories always borne an avowed affection to the family of Stuart, and have not their adversaries always opposed with vigour the succession of that family?

The TORY principles are confessedly the most favourable to monarchy. Yet the TORYES have almost always opposed the court these fifty years; nor were they cordial friends to King WILLIAM, even when employed by him. Their quarrel, therefore, cannot be supposed to have lain with the throne, but with the person who sat on it.

They concurred heartily with the court during the four last years of Queen Anne. But is any one at a loss to find the reason?

The fucceffion of the crown in the BRITISH government is a point of too great confequence to be absolutely indifferent to perfons who concern themselves, in any degree, about the fortune of the public; much less can it be supposed that the Tork party, who never valued themselves upon moderation, could maintain a social indifference in a point of such importance. Were they, therefore, zealous for the house of Hanourr? Or was there any thing that kept an opposite zeal from openly appearing, if it did not openly appear, but prudence, and a sense of decency?

\*Tis monstrous to see an established episcopal clergy in declared opposition to the court, and a con-conformist presbyterian clergy in conjunction with it. What could have produced such an unnatural conduct in both? Nothing, but that the former espoused monarchical principles too high for the present settlement, which is sounded on principles of liberty: And the latter, being assault of the prevalence of those high principles, adhered to that party from whom they had reason to expect liberty and toleration.

The different conduct of the two parties, with regard to foreign politics, is also a proof to the same purpose. Holland has always been most faroured by one, and France by the other. In short, the proofs of this kind seem so palpable and evident, that it is almost needless to collect them.

F 3 principles

principles of the court and country parties, which are the genuine parties of the BRITISH government. sionate lover of monarchy is apt to be displeased at any change of the fuccession; as favouring too much of a commonwealth: a passionate lover of liberty is apt to think that every part of the government ought to be fubordinate to the interests of liberty. 'Tis however remarkable, that the the principles of WHIG and TORY were both of them of a compound nature; yet the ingredients, which predominated in both, were not correspondent to each other. A Tony loved monarchy, and bore an affection to the family of STUART; but the latter affection was the predominant inclination of the party. A WHIG loved liberty, and was a friend to the fettlement in the PROTESTANT line; but the love of liberty was professedly his predominant inclination. The Tories have frequently acted as republicans, where either policy or revenge has engaged them to that conduct; and there was no one of that party, who, upon the supposition, that he was to be disappointed in his views with regard to the fuccession, would not have defired to impose the strictest limitations on the crown, and to bring our form of government as near republican as possible, in order to depress the family, which, according to his apprehension, succeeded without any just title. The WHIGS, 'tis true, have also taken steps dangerous. to liberty, under colour of fecuring the fuccession and fettlement of the crown, according to their views: But as the body of the party had no passion for that succession. otherwise than as the means of securing liberty, they have been betrayed into these steps by ignorance, or frailty, or the interests of their leaders. The succession of the crown was, therefore, the chief point with the Tories; the fecurity of our liberties with the Whigs. Nor is this feeming irregularity at all difficult to be accounted for, by our present theory. Court and country parties are the true parents

parents of Tory and Whig. But 'tis almost impossible, that the attachment of the court party to monarchy should not degenerate into an attachment to the monarch; there being so close a connexion between them, and the latter being so much the more natural object. How easily does the worship of the divinity degenerate into a worship of the idol? The connexion is not so great between liberty, the divinity of the old country party or Whigs, and any monarch or royal family; nor is it so reasonable to suppose, that in that party, the worship can be so easily transferred from the one to the other. Tho' even that would be no great miracle.

Tis difficult to penetrate into the thoughts and fentiments of any particular man; but 'tis almost impossible to distinguish those of a whole party, where it often happens, that no two persons agree precisely in the same maxims of conduct. Yet I will venture to affirm, that it was not so much PRINCIPLE, or an opinion of indefeasible right, which attached the TORIES to the ancient royal family, as AFFECTION, or a certain love and efteem for their persons. The same cause divided ENGLAND formerly between the houses of York and LANCASTER, and SCOTLAND between the families of BRUCE and BA-LIOL; in an age, when political disputes were but little in fashion, and when political principles must of course have had but little influence on mankind. The doctrine of passive obedience, in its rigid sense, is so absurd in itfelf, and so opposite to our liberties, that it seems to have been chiefly left to pulpit-declaimers, and to their deluded followers among the vulgar. Men of better fense were guided by affection; and as to the leaders of this party, 'tis probable, that interest was their chief motive, and that they acted more contrary to their private fentiments, than the leaders of the opposite party. Tho' 'tis almost impossible to maintain with zeal the right of any FA perfon

person or family, without acquiring a good-will to them, and changing the principle into affection; yet is this less natural to people of an elevated station, and liberal education, who have had full opportunity of observing the weakness, folly, and arrogance of monarchs, and have found them to be nothing superior, if not rather inserior to the rest of mankind. The interest, therefore, of being heads of a party, does often, with such people, supply the place both of principle and affection.

Some, who will not venture to affert, that the real difference between WHIG and TORY was loft at the revolution, feem inclined to think, that the difference is now abolished, and that affairs are fo far returned to their natural state, that there are at present no other parties amongst us but court and country; that is, men, who by interest or principle are attached either to monarchy or to liberty. It must, indeed, be confest, that the TORY party feem, of late, to have decayed much in their numbers; still more in their zeal; and I may venture to say, still more in their credit and authority. The TORIES have been so long obliged to talk in the republican stile, that they feem to have made converts of themselves by their hypocrify, and to have embraced the fentiments, as well as language of their adversaries. There are, however, very confiderable remains of that party in Eng-LAND, with all their old prejudices; and a proof that court and country are not our only parties, is, that almost all the diffenters fide with the court, and the lower clergy, at least, of the church of ENGLAND, with the op-This may convince us, that some biass still hangs upon our constitution, some extrinsic weight, which turns it from its natural course, and causes a confusion in our parties.

I shall conclude this subject with observing that we never had any Tories in Scotland, according to the proper

proper fignification of the word, and that the division of parties in this country was really into WHIGS and IA-COBITES. A JACOBITE feems to be a Tory, who has no regard to the conflitution, but is either a zealous partizan of absolute monarchy, or at least willing to facrifice our liberties to the obtaining the fuccession in that family to which he is attached. The reason of the difference between ENGLAND and SCOTLAND, I take to be this: Political and religious divisions in the latter country, have been, fince the revolution, regularly correfpondent to each other. The PRESBYTERIANS were all WHIGS without exception: Those who favoured epifcopacy, of the opposite party. And as the clergy of the latter feet were turned out of the churches at the revolution, they had no motive for making any compliances with the government in their oaths, or their forms of prayers, but openly avowed the highest principles of their party; which is the cause why their followers have been more violent than their brethren of the Tory party in ENGLAND \*.

<sup>\*</sup> Some of the opinions, delivered in these Essays, with regard to the public transactions in the last century, the Author, on more accurate examination, sound reason to retract in his History of GREAT BRITAIN. And as he would not enslave himself to the systems of either party, neither would he fetter his judgment by his own preconceived opinions and principles; nor is he ashamed to acknowledge his mistakes.

## E S S A Y IX.

Of Superstition and Enthusiasm.

THAT the corruption of the best things produces the worst, is grown into a maxim, and is commonly proved, among other instances, by the pernicious effects of supersition and enthusiasm, the corruptions of true religion.

These two species of false religion, though both pernicious, are yet of a very different, and even of a contrary nature. The mind of man is subject to certain unaccountable terrors and apprehensions, proceeding either from the unhappy fituation of private or public affairs, from ill health, from a gloomy and melancholy disposition, or from the concurrence of all these circumstances. In such a state of mind, infinite unknown evils are dreaded from unknown agents; and where real objects of terror are wanting, the foul, active to its own prejudice, and fostering its predominant inclination, finds imaginary ones, to whose power and malevolence it sets no limits. As these enemies are entirely invisible and unknown, the methods taken to appeale them are equally unaccountable, and confift in ceremonies, observances, mortifications, facrifices, prefents, or in any practice, however abfurd or frivolous, which either folly or knavery recommends to a blind and terrified credulity. Weakness, fear, melancholy, together with ignorance, are, therefore, the true fources of Superstition.

But the mind of man is also subject to an unaccountable elevation and prefumption, proceeding from profperous fuccess, from luxuriant health, from ftrong spirits, or from a bold and confident disposition. In such a state of mind, the imagination fwells with great, but confused conceptions, to which no fublunary beauties or enjoyments can correspond. Every thing mortal and perishable vanishes as unworthy of attention. And a full range is given to the fancy in the invisible regions or world of spirits, where the soul is at liberty to indulge itself in every imagination, which may best suit its present taste and disposition. Hence arise raptures, transports, and furprifing flights of fancy; and confidence and prefumption fill increasing, these raptures, being altogether unaccountable, and feeming quite beyond the reach of our ordinary faculties, are attributed to the immediate inspiration of that Divine Being, who is the object of devotion. In a little time, the inspired person comes to regard himfelf as the chief favourite of the Divinity; and when this frenzy once takes place, which is the fummit of enthufiafin, every whimfy is confecrated: Human reason; and even morality are rejected as fallacious guides: And the fanatic madman delivers himself over, blindly, without referve, to the supposed illapses of the spirit, and to infpirations from above. Hope, pride, prefumption, a warm imagination, together with ignorance, are, therefore, the true fources of ENTHUSIASM.

These two species of salse religion might afford occafion to many speculations; but I shall confine myself, at present, to a few reflections concerning their different influence on government and society.

My first restection is, That superstition is favourable to priestly power, and enthusiasm as much or rather more contrary to it, than sound reason and philosophy. As superstition is founded

founded on fear, forrow, and a depression of spirits, it represents the man to himself in such despicable colours. that he appears unworthy in his own eyes, of approaching the divine prefence, and naturally has recourse to any other person, whose fanctity of life, or, perhaps, impudence and cunning, have made him be supposed more favoured by the Divinity. To him the superstitious entrust their devotions: To his care they recommend their prayers, petitions, and facrifices: And by his means, they hope to render their addresses acceptable to their incensed Deity. Hence the origin of PRIESTS, who may justly be regarded as one of the groffest inventions of a timorous and abject superstition, which, ever diffident of itself, dares not offer up its own devotions, but ignorantly thinks to recommend itself to the Divinity, by the mediation of his supposed friends and servants. As superfition is a confiderable ingredient in almost all religions, even the most fanatical; there being nothing but philofophy able to conquer entirely these unaccountable terrors; hence it proceeds, that in almost every sect of religion there are priests to be found: But the stronger mixture there is of superstition, the higher is the authority of the priefthood. Judaism and Popery, (especially the latter) being the most unphilosophical and abfurd superstitions which have yet been known in the world, are the most enslaved by their priests. As the church of ENGLAND may justly be said to retain some mixture of Popish superstition, it partakes also, in its original constitution, of a propensity to priestly power and dominion; particularly in the respect which it exacts to the facerdotal character. And though, according to the fentiments of that church, the prayers of the priest must be accompanied with those of the laity; vet is he the mouth of the congregation, his person is facred,

facred, and without his presence few would think their public devotions, or the sacraments, and other rites, acceptable to the Divinity.

On the other hand, it may be observed, that all enthufiafts have been free from the yoke of ecclefiaftics, and have expressed great independence in their devotion; with a contempt of forms, ceremonies and traditions, The quakers are the most egregious, tho' at the same time. the most innocent enthusiasts that have yet been known; and are, perhaps, the only fect, who have never admitted priests amongst them. The independents, of all the Eng-LISH sectaries, approach nearest to the quakers in fanaticism, and in their freedom from priestly bondage. The presbyterians follow after, at an equal distance in both these particulars. In short, this observation is founded in the most certain experience; and will also appear to be founded in reason, if we consider, that as enthusiasm arifes from a prefumptuous pride and confidence, it thinks itself sufficiently qualified to approach the Divinity, without any human mediator. Its rapturous devotions are fo fervent, that it even imagines itself actually to approach him by the way of contemplation and inward converse: which makes it neglect all those outward ceremonies and observances, to which the affistance of the priests appears so requisite in the eyes of their superstitious vo-The fanatic confecrates himself, and bestows on his own person a sacred character, much superior to what forms and ceremonious inftitutions can confer on any other.

My fecond reflection with regard to these species of false religion is, that religions, which partake of enthusiasm are, on their first rise, much more surious and violent than those which partake of superstition; but in a little time become

much more gentle and moderate. The violence of this species of religion, when excited by novelty, and animated by opposition, appears from numberless instances; of the anabaptists in Germany, the eamisars in France, the levellers and other fanatics in England, and the cavenanters in Scotland. Enthusiasm being founded on strong spirits, and a presumptuous boldness of character, it naturally begets the most extreme resolutions; especially after it rises to that height as to inspire the deluded fanatic with the opinion of divine illuminations, and with a contempt for the common rules of reason, morality and prudence.

'Tis thus enthusiasm produces the most cruel desolations in human fociety; but its fury is like that of thunder and tempest, which exhaust themselves in a little time, and leave the air more calm and ferene than before. When the first fire of enthusiasm is spent, men naturally, in all fanatical fects, fink into the greatest remissiness and coolness in facred matters; there being no body of men amongst them, endowed with sufficient authority, whose interest is concerned to support the religious spirit: No rites, no ceremonies, no holy observances, which may enter into the common train of life, and preserve the sacred principles from oblivion. Superstition, on the contrary, steals in gradually and infenfibly; renders men tame and submissive; is acceptable to the magistrate, and seems inoffensive to the people: Till at last the priest, having firmly established his authority, becomes the tyrant and disturber of human society, by his endless contentions, persecutions, and religious wars. How smoothly did the Romish church 2dvance in her acquisition of power? But into what dismal convulsions did she throw all Europe, in order to maintain it? On the other hand, our sectaries, who were formerly, formerly such dangerous bigots, are now become very free reasoners; and the quakers seem to approach nearly the only regular body of deists in the universe, the literati, or the disciples of Confucius in China\*.

My third observation on this head is, that superstition is an enemy to civil liberty, and enthusiasm a friend to it. As fuperstition groans under the dominion of the priests. and enthusiasm is destructive of all ecclesiastical power, this fufficiently accounts for the present observation. Not to mention, that enthusiasm being the infirmity of bold and ambitious tempers, is naturally accompanied with a spirit of liberty; as superstition, on the contrary, renders men tame and abject, and fits them for flavery. learn from the English history, that, during the civil wars, the independents and deifts, tho' the most opposite in their religious principles; yet were united in their political ones, and were alike passionate for a commonwealth. And fince the origin of whig and tory, the leaders of the whigs have either been deists or professed latitudinarians in their principles; that is, friends to toleration, and indifferent to any particular fect of christians: While the fectaries, who have all a strong tincture of enthusiasm, have always, without exception, concurred with that party, in the defence of civil liberty. The resemblance in their fuperstitions long united the high-church tories, and the Roman catholics, in the support of prerogative and kingly power; though experience of the tolerating spirit of the whigs feems of late to have reconciled the catholics to that party.

The molinists and jansenists in FRANCE have a thousand unintelligible disputes, which are not worthy the reflection of a man of sense: But what principally diffinguishes these two sects, and alone merits attention, is the different

<sup>\*</sup> The CHINESE Literati have no priests or ecclesiastical establishment.

fpirit of their religion. The molinists, conducted by the jesuites, are great friends to superstition, rigid observers of external forms and ceremonies, and devoted to the authority of the priests, and to tradition. The jansenists are enthusiasts, and zealous promoters of the passionate devotion, and of the inward life; little influenced by authority; and, in a word, but half catholics. The consequences are exactly conformable to the foregoing reasoning. The jesuites are the tyrants of the people, and the slaves of the court: And the jansenists preserve alive the small sparks of the love of liberty, which are to be found in the French nation.

# ÉSSAY X.

#### Of AVARICE.

IS easy to observe, that comic writers exaggerate every character, and draw their fop, or coward with stronger features than are any where to be met with in nature. This moral kind of painting for the stage has been often compared to the painting for cupolas and cielings, where the colours are over-charged, and every part is drawn exceffively large, and beyond nature. figures feem monstrous and disproportioned, when feen too nigh; but become natural and regular, when fet at a distance, and placed in that point of view, in which they are intended to be furveyed. For a like reason, when characters are exhibited in theatrical representations, the want of reality removes, in a manner, the personages; and rendering them more cold and unentertaining, makes it necessary to compensate, by the force of colouring, what they want in substance. Thus we find in common life, that when a man once allows himself to depart from truth in his narrations, he never can keep within the bounds of probability; but adds still some new circumstance to render his stories more marvellous, and to satisfy his imagination. Two men in buckram suits became eleven to Sir John Falstaff before the end of his ftory.

There

There is only one vice, which may be found in life with as strong features, and as high a colouring as needs be employed by any fatyrist or comic poet; and that is AVARICE. Every day we meet with men of immense" fortunes, without heirs, and on the very brink of the grave, who refuse themselves the most common necesfaries of life, and go on heaping possessions on possesfions, under all the real pressures of the severest poverty. An old usurer, fays the story, lying in his last agonies was prefented by the priest with the crucifix to worship. He opens his eyes a moment before he expires, confiders the crucifix, and cries, These jewels are not true; I can only lend ten pistoles upon such a pledge. This was probably the invention of fome epigrammatift; and yet every one, from his own experience, may be able to recollect almost as strong instances of perseverance in avarice. 'Tis commonly reported of a famous mifer in this city, that finding himfelf near death, he fent for some of the magistrates, and gave them a bill of an hundred pounds, payable after his decease; which sum he intended should be disposed of in charitable uses; but scarce were they gone, when he orders them to be called back, and offers them ready money, if they would abate five pounds of the Another noted mifer in the north, intending to defraud his heirs, and leave his fortune to the building an hospital, protracted the drawing of his will from day to day; and 'tis thought, that if those interested in it had not paid for the drawing it, he had died intestate. short, none of the most furious excesses of love and ambition are in any respect to be compared to the extremes of avarice.

The best excuse that can be made for avarice is, that it generally prevails in old men, or in men of cold tempers, where all the other affections are extinct; and the mind being incapable of remaining without some passion or pursuit, at last finds out this monstrously absurd one, which

which fuits the coldness and inactivity of its temper. At the same time, it seems very extraordinary, that so frofty, spiritless a passion should be able to carry us farther than all the warmth of youth and pleasure. But if we look more narrowly into the matter, we shall find, that this very circumstance renders the explication of the . . case more easy. When the temper is warm and full of vigour, it naturally shoots out more ways than one, and produces inferior passions to counter-balance, in some ' degree, its predominant inclination. 'Tis impossible for a person of that temper, however bent on any pursuit, to be deprived of all fense of shame, or all regard to the fentiments of mankind. His friends must have some influence over him: And other confiderations are apt to have their weight. All this serves to restrain him within fome bounds. But 'tis no wonder that the avaritious man, being, from the coldness of his temper, without regard to reputation, to friendship, or to pleasure, should be carried fo far by his prevailing inclination, and should display his passion in such surprising instances.

Accordingly we find no vice so irreclaimable as avarice: And tho' there scarcely has been a moralist or philosopher, from the beginning of the world to this day, who has not levelled a stroke at it, we hardly find a single instance of any person's being cured of it. For this reason, I am more apt to approve of those, who attack it with wit and humour, than of those who treat it in a serious manner. There being so little hopes of doing good to the people insected with this vice, I would have the rest of mankind, at least, diverted by our manner of exposing it: As indeed there is no kind of diversion, of which they seem so willing to partake.

Among the fables of *Monsieur de la* Motte, there is one levelled against avarice, which seems to me more natural and easy, than most of the fables of that ingenious

author. A mifer, fays he, being dead, and fairly interred, came to the banks of the STYX, defiring to be ferried over along with the other ghosts. CHARON demands his fare, and is surprized to see the miser, rather than pay it, throw himself into the river, and swim over to the other fide, notwithstanding all the clamour and opposition that could be made to him. All hell was in an uproar; and each of the judges was meditating fome punishment, suitable to a crime of such dangerous confequence to the infernal revenues. Shall he be chained to the rock with PROMETHEUS? Or tremble below the precipice in company with the DANAIDES? Or affift SI-SYPHUS in rolling his stone? No, says MINOS, none of these. We must invent some severer punishment. Let him be fent back to the earth, to fee the use his heirs are making of his riches.

I hope it will not be interpreted as a defign of fetting myself in opposition to this celebrated author, if I proceed to deliver a sable of my own, which is intended to expose the same vice of avarice. The hint of it was taken from these lines of Mr. Pope.

Damn'd to the mines, an equal fate betides The flave that digs it, and the slave that hides.

Our old mother Earth once lodged an indictment against Avarice before the courts of heaven, for her wicked and malicious council and advice, in tempting, inducing, persuading, and traiterously seducing the children of the plaintist to commit the detestable crime of parricide upon her, and, mangling her body, ransack her very bowels for hidden treasure. The indictment was very long and verbose; but we must omit a great part of the repetitions and synonymous terms, not to tire our readers too much with our tale. Avarice, being called before Jupiter to answer to this charge, had not much

much to fay in her own defence. The injuffice was clearly proved upon her. The fact, indeed, was notorious, and the injury had been frequently repeated. When therefore the plaintiff demanded juffice, JUPITER very readily gave fentence in her favour; and his decree was to this purpose, That since dame Avarice, the defendant, had thus grievously injured dame Earth, the plaintiff, she was hereby ordered to take that treasure, of which she had feloniously robbed the said plaintiff, by ransacking her bosom, and in the same manner, as before, opening her bosom, restore it back to her, without diminution or retention. From this sentence, it shall follow, says Jupiter to the by-standers, That, in all suture ages, the retainers of Avarice shall bury and conceal their riches, and thereby restore to the earth what they took from her,

### ESSAY XI.

Of the DIGNITY Of HUMAN NATURE.

HERE are certain fects, which fecretly form themselves in the learned world, as well as in the po-Itical; and the fometimes they come not to an open rup. ture, yet they give a different turn to the ways of thinking of those who have taken party on either side. most remarkable of this kind are the sects, that are - founded on the different sentiments with regard to the dignity of human nature; which is a point that feems to have divided philosophers and poets, as well as divines, from the beginning of the world to this day. Some exalt our species to the skies, and represent man as a kind of human demi-god, who derives his origin from heaven, and retains evident marks of his lineage and descent. infift upon the blind fides of human nature, and can difcover nothing, except vanity, in which man furpaffes the other animals, whom he affects fo much to despise. If an author possesses the talent of rhetoric, and declamation, he commonly takes party with the former: If his turn lies towards irony and ridicule, he naturally throws bimfelf into the other extreme.

I am far from thinking, that all those, who have depreciated human nature have been enemies to virtue, and have exposed the frailties of their fellow creatures with any bad intention. On the contrary, I am sensible, that

a very delicate fense of morals, especially when attended with fomewhat of the Misanthrope, is apt to give a man a difgust of the world, and to make him consider the common course of human affairs with too much spleen and indignation. I must, however, be of opinion, that the fentiments of those, who are inclined to think favourably of mankind, are much more advantageous to virtue, than the contrary principles which give us a mean opinion of our nature. When a man is possessed of a high notion of his rank and character in the creation, he will naturally endeavour to act up to it, and will fcorn to do a base or vicious action, which might fink him be-- low that figure which he makes in his own imagination. - Accordingly we find, that all our polite and fashionable moralists infift upon this topic, and endeavour to reprefent vice as unworthy of man, as well as odious in itfelf.

Women are generally much more flattered in their youth than men; which may proceed from this reason, among others, that their chief point of honour is confidered as much more difficult than ours, and requires to be supported by all that decent pride, which can be infilled into them.

We find very few disputes which are not founded on some ambiguity in the expression; and I am persuaded, that the present dispute concerning the dignity of human nature, is not more exempt from it than any other. It may, therefore, be worth while to consider, what is real, and what is only verbal in this controversy.

That there is a natural difference between merit and demerit, virtue and vice, wisdom and folly, no reasonable man will deny: but yet 'tis evident, that in affixing the term, which denotes either our approbation or blame, we are commonly more influenced by comparison than

Q I

by any fixt unalterable standard in the nature of things. In like manner, quantity, and extention, and bulk, are by every one acknowledged to be real things: But when we call any animal great or little, we always form a fccret comparison between that animal and others of the fame species; and 'tis that comparison which regulates our judgment concerning its greatness. A dog and a horse may be of the very same size, while the one is admired for the greatness of its bulk, and the other for the smallness. When I am present, therefore, at any dispute, I always consider with myself, whether it be a question of comparison or not that is the subject of the controversy; and if it be, whether the disputants compare the fame objects together, or talk of things that are widely different. As the latter is commonly the case, I have long fince learnt to neglect fuch disputes as manifest abuses of leifure, the most valuable present that could be made to mortals.

In forming our notions of human nature, we are very apt to make a comparison between men and animals which are the only creatures endowed with thought that fall under our fenses. Certainly this comparison is very favourable to mankind. On the one hand we see a creature, whose thoughts are not limited by any narrow bounds, either of place or time; who carries his refearches into the most distant regions of this globe, and beyond this globe, to the planets and heavenly bodies; looks backward to confider the first origin, at least, the History of human race; casts his eyes forward to see the influence of his actions upon posterity, and the judgments which will be formed of his character a thousand years hence; a creature, who traces causes and effects to a great length and intricacy; extracts general principles from particular appearances; improves upon his discoyeries; corrects his mistakes; and makes his very errors

profitable. On the other hand, we are presented with a creature the very reverse of this; limited in its observations and reasonings to a very sew sensible objects which surround it; without curiosity, without foresight; blindly conducted by instinct, and attaining in a very short time, its utmost persection, beyond which it is never able to advance a single step. What a wide difference is here between these creatures! And how exalted a notion must we entertain of the sormer, in comparison of the latter!

There are two means commonly employed to 'deflroy' this conclusion: First, By making an unfair representation of the case, and infisfing only upon the weaknesses of human nature. And fecondly, By forming a new and fecret comparison between man and beings of the most perfect wisdom. Among the other excellencies of man, this is remarkable, that he can form an idea of perfections much beyond what he has experience of in himfelf; and is not limited in his conception of wisdom and virtue. He can eafily exalt his notions and conceive a degree of knowledge, which, when compared to his own, will make the latter appear very contemptible, and will cause the difference between that and the sagacity of animals, in a manner, to disappear and vanish. Now this being a point, in which all the world is agreed, that human understanding falls infinitely short of perfect wifdom; 'tis proper we should know when this comparison takes place, that we may not dispute, where there is no real difference in our fentiments. Man falls much more short of perfect wisdom, and even of his own ideas of perfect wisdom, than animals do of man; but yet the latter difference is so considerable, that nothing but a comparison with the former, can make it appear of little moment.

'Tis also very usual to compare one man with another: and finding very few whom we can call wife or virtuous. we are apt to entertain a contemptible notion of our species in general. That we may be fenfible of the falacy of this way of reasoning, we may observe, that the honourable appellations of wife and virtuous, are not annexed to any particular degree of those qualities of wisdom and virtue; but arise altogether from the comparison we make between one man and another. When we find a man, who arrives at fuch a pitch of wifdom as is very uncommon, we pronounce him a wife man: So that to fay, there are few wife men in the world, is really to fay nothing; fince 'tis only by their fearcity, that they merit that appellation. Were the lowest of our species as wise as TULLY, or my lord BACON, we should still have reafon to fay, that there are few wife men. For in that cafe we should exalt our notions of wisdom, and should not pay a fingular honour to any one, who was not fingularly diffinguished by his talents. In like manner, I have heard it observed by thoughtless people, that there are few women possessed of beauty, in comparison of those who want it; not confidering, that we bestow the epithet of beautiful only on such as possess a degree of beauty, that is common to them with a few. The fame degree of beauty in a woman is called deformity, which is treated as real beauty in one of our fex.

As 'tis usual, in forming a notion of our species, to compare it with the other species above or below it, or to compare the individuals of the species among themselves; so we often compare together the different motives or actuating principles of human nature, in order to regulate our judgment concerning it. And indeed, this is the only kind of comparison which is worth our attention, or decides any thing in the present question. Were our selfish and vicious principles so much predominant above

above our focial and virtuous, as is afferted by some philosophers, we ought undoubtedly to entertain a contemptible notion of human nature.

There is much of a dispute of words in all this controversy. When a man denies the fincerity of all public spirit or affection to a country and community, I am at a loss what to think of him. Perhaps he never felt this passion in so clear and distinct a manner as to remove all his doubts concerning its force and reality. But when he proceeds afterwards to reject all private friendship, if no interest or self-love intermixes itself; I am then confident that he abuses terms, and consounds the ideas of things; fince it is impossible for any one to be so felfish, or rather fo stupid, as to make no difference between one man and another, and give no preference to qualities, which engage his approbation and esteem. Is he also, fay I, as infenfible to anger as he pretends to be to friendthip? And does injury and wrong no more affect him than kindness or benefits? Impossible: He does not know himself: He has forgot the movements of his mind; or rather he makes use of a different lauguage from the rest of his countrymen, and calls not things by their What say you of natural affection? (I proper names. fubjoin) Is that also a species of self-love? Yes: All is Your children are loved only because they are yours: Your friend for a like reason: And your country engages you only fo far as it has a connexion with yourself: Were the idea of self removed, nothing would affect you: You would be altogether inactive and insenfible: Or if you ever gave yourfelf any movement, it would only be from vanity, and a defire of fame and reputation to this fame felf. I am willing, reply I, to receive your interpretation of human actions, provided you admit the facts. That species of self-love, which displays itself in kindness to others, you must allow to have great influence, and even greater, on many occasions, than that which remains in its original shape and form. For how few are there, who, having a family, children, and relations, do not spend more on the maintenance and education of these than on their own pleasures? This, indeed, you justly observe, may proceed from their self-love, since the prosperity of their family and friends is one, or the chief of their pleasures, as well as their chief honour. Be you also one of these selfish men, and you are sure of every one's good opinion and good will; or not to shock your nice ears with these expressions, the self-love of every one, and mine amongst the rest, will then incline us to serve you, and speak well of you.

In my opinion, there are two things which have led aftray those philosophers, who have insisted so much on the selfishness of man. In the first place, they found, that every act of virtue or friendship was attended with a secret pleasure: from whence they concluded, that friendship and virtue could not be disinterested. But the sallacy of this is obvious. The virtuous sentiment or passion produces the pleasure, and does not arise from it. I seel a pleasure in doing good to my friend, because I love him; but do not love him for the sake of that pleasure.

In the fecond place, it has always been found, that the virtuous are far from being indifferent to praise; and therefore they have been represented as a set of vainglorious men, who had nothing in view but the applauses of others. But this also is a fallacy. 'Tis very unjust in the world, when they find any tincture of vanity in a laudable action, to depreciate it upon that account, or ascribe it entirely to that motive. The case is not the same with vanity, as with other passions. Where avarice or revenge enters into any seemingly virtuous action tis difficult for us to determine how far it enters, and

'tis natural to suppose it the sole actuating principle. But vanity is so closely allied to virtue, and to love the same of laudable actions approaches so near the love of laudable actions for their own sake, that these passions are more capable of mixture, than any other kinds of affection; and 'tis almost impossible to have the latter without some degree of the former. Accordingly we find, that this passion for glory is always warped and varied according to the particular taste or sentiment of the mind on which it salls. Nero had the same vanity in driving a chariot, that Trajan had in governing the empire with justice and ability. To love the glory of virtuous actions is a sure proof of the love of virtuous actions.

### ESSAY XII.

#### Of Civil LIBERTY.

HOSE who employ their pens on political fubjects, free from party-rage, and party-prejudices, cultivate a science, which, of all others, contributes most to public utility, and even to the privaté satisfaction of those who addict themselves to the study of it. I am apt, however, to entertain a suspicion, that the world is still too young to fix many general truths in politics, which will remain true to the latest posterity. We have not as yet had experience of three thousand years; so that not only the art of reasoning is still defective in this science, as in all others, but we even want sufficient materials upon which we can reason. 'Tis not fully known, what degrees of refinement, either in virtue or vice, human nature is susceptible of; nor what may be expected of mankind from any great revolution in their education, customs or principles. MACHIAVEL was certainly a great genius; but having confined his study to the furious and tyrannical governments of ancient times, or to the little disorderly principalities of ITALY, his reasonings, especially upon monarchical government, have been found extremely defective; and there scarce is any maxim in his prince, which subsequent experience has not entirely refuted. A weak prince, fays he, is incapable of receiving good counsel; for if he consult with several, he will Vol. I.

not be able to choose among their different counsels. If he abandon himself to one, that minister may, perhaps, have cappacity; but he will not be long a minister: He will be sure to disposses his master, and place himself and his family upon the throne. I mention this, among innumerable instances of the errors of that politician, proceeding, in a great measure, from his having lived in too early an age of the world, to be a good judge of political truth. Almost all the princes of Europe are at present governed by their ministers; and have been so for near two centuries; and yet no such event has ever happened, or can possibly happen. Sejanus might project dethroning the Cæsars; but Fleury, though ever so vicious, could not, while in his senses, entertain the least hopes of dispossibling the Bourbons.

Trade was never esteemed an affair of state, 'till the last century; and there scarcely is any ancient writer on politics, who has made mention of it †. Even the ITALIANS have kept a profound silence with regard to it; tho' it has now excited the chief attention, as well of ministers of state, as of speculative reasoners. The great opulence, grandeur, and military atchievements of the two maritime powers, seem first to have instructed mankind in the vast importance of an extensive commerce.

Having, therefore, intended in this essay to have made a full comparison of civil liberty and absolute government, and to have shewn the great advantages of the former above the latter; I began to entertain a suspicion, that no man in this age was sufficiently qualified for such

<sup>†</sup> ΧΕΝΟΡΗΟΝ mentions it; but with a doubt if it be of any advantage to a state. Είδε καὶ ἐμπορία οφέλει τι πίλιν, &c. ΧΕΝ. ΗΙΕΚΟ. ΡΙΑΤΟ totally excludes it from his imaginary republic. De legibus, lib. 4.

an undertaking; and that whatever any one should advance on that head would, in all probability, be resulted by further experience, and be rejected by posterity. Such mighty revolutions have happened in human affairs, and so many events have arisen contrary to the expectation of the ancients, that they are sufficient to beget the suspicion of still surther changes.

It had been observed by the ancients, that all the arts and sciences arose among free nations; and, that the PERSIANS and EGYPTIANS, notwithstanding their ease. opulence and luxury, made but faint efforts towards a relish in those finer pleasures, which were carried to fuch perfection by the GREEKS, amidst continual wars, attended with poverty, and the greatest simplicity of life and manners. It had also been observed, that as the GREEKS lost their liberty, tho' they encreased mightily in riches, by the conquests of ALEXANDER; yet the arts, from that moment, declined among them, and have never fince been able to raise their head in that climate. Learning was transplanted to Rome, the only free nation at that time in the universe; and having met with fo favourable a foil, it made prodigious shoots for above a century; till the decay of liberty produced also the decay of letters, and spread a total barbarism over the world. From these two experiments, of which each was double in its kind, and shewed the fall of learning in despotic governments, as well as its rise in popular ones. Longinus thought himself sufficiently justified, in afferting, that the arts and sciences could never flour rish, but in a free government: and in this opinion, he has been followed by feveral eminent writers + in our own country, who either confined their view merely to ancient facts, or entertained too great a partiality in fa-

<sup>+</sup> Mr. Addison and lord SHAFTESBURY.

vour of that form of government, which is established amongst us.

But what would these writers have faid, to the inflances of modern Rome and of Florence? Of which the former carried to perfection all the finer arts of sculpture, painting and music, as well as poetry, tho' it groaned under tyranny, and under the tyranny of priests: While the latter made the greatest progress in the arts and sciences, after it began to lose its liberty by the usurpations of the family of MEDICIS. ARIOSTO, TASSO, GALILEO, no more than RAPHAEL, and MICHAEL ANGELO, were not born in republics. And tho' the LOMBARD school was famous as well as the ROMAN, vet the VENETIANS have had the smallest share in its honours, and seem rather inferior to the other ITALIANS, in their genius for the arts and sciences. RUBENS established his school at ANTWERP, not at AMSTERDAM: DRESDEN, not HAMBURGH, is the centre of politeness in GERMANY.

But the most eminent instance of the flourishing of learning in absolute governments, is that of France, which scarce ever enjoyed any established liberty, and yet has carried the arts and sciences as near perfection as any other nation. The English are, perhaps, better philosophers\*; the Italians better painters and musicians; the Romans were greater orators: But the French are the only people, except the Greeks, who have been at once philosophers, poets, orators, historians, painters, architects, sculptors, and musicians. With regard to the stage, they have excelled even the Greeks, who have far excelled the English. And, in common life, they have, in a great measure, perfected that art, the most useful and agreeable of any, Part de Vivre, the art of society and conversation.

<sup>\*</sup> N. B. This was published in 1742.

If we consider the state of the sciences and polite arts in our own country, HORACE's observation, with regard to the ROMANS, may, in a great measure, be applied to the BRITISH.

Manserunt, hodieque manent vestigia ruris.

The elegance and propriety of style have been very much neglected among us. We have no dictionary of our language, and scarce a tolerable grammar. The first polite profe we have, was wrote by a man who, is still alive \*. As to SPRAT, LOCKE, and even TEMPLE, they knew too little of the rules of art to be esteemed very elegant writers. The profe of BACON, HARRING-TON, and MILTON, is altogether stiff and pedantic; tho' their fense be excellent. Men, in this country, have been fo much occupied in the great disputes of Religion, Politics and Philosophy, that they had no relish for the feemingly minute observations of grammar and criti-And tho' this turn of thinking must have confiderably improved our fense and our talent of reasoning; it must be confessed, that even in those sciences abovementioned, we have not any standard-book, which we can transmit to posterity: And the utmost we have to boast of, are a few essays towards a more just philosophy; which, indeed, promise somewhat, but have not, as yet, reached any degree of perfection.

It has become an established opinion, that commerce can never slourish but in a free government; and this opinion seems to be founded on a longer and larger experience than the foregoing, with regard to the arts and sciences. If we trace commerce in its progress through

 $H_3$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Swift.

Tyre, Athens, Syracuse, Carthage, Venice, Florence, Genoa, Antwerp, Holland, England, &c. we shall always find it to have fixt its seat in free governments. The three greatest trading towns now in Europe, are London, Amsterdam, and Hamburgh; all free cities, and protestant cities; that is, enjoying a double liberty. It must, however, be observed, that the great jealousy entertained of late, with regard to the commerce of France, seems to prove, that this maxim is no more certain and infallible, than the foregoing, and that the subjects of an absolute prince may become our rivals in commerce, as well as in learning.

Durst I deliver my opinion in an affair of so much uncertainty, I would affert, that, notwithstanding the efforts of the FRENCH, there is fomething hurtful to commerce inherent in the very nature of absolute government, and inseparable from it: Tho' the reason I would affign for this opinion, is somewhat different from that which is commonly infifted on. Private property feems almost as secure in a civilized European monarchy. as in a republic; nor is danger much apprehended in fuch a government, from the violence of the fovereign; more than we commonly dread harm from thunder, or earthquakes, or any accident the most unusual and extraordinary. Avarice, the spur of industry, is so obstinate a passion, and works its way thro' so many real dangers and difficulties, that 'tis not likely it will be scared by an imaginary danger, which is fo fmall, that it scarce admits of calculation. Commerce, therefore, in my opinion, is apt to decay in absolute governments, not because it is there less secure, but because it is less honourable. A subordination of ranks is necessary to the support of monarchy. Birth, titles, and place, must be honoured above industry and riches. And while these notions notions prevail, all the confiderable traders will be tempted to throw up their commerce, in order to purchase some of those employments, to which privileges and honours are annexed.

Since I am upon this head of the alterations which time has produced, or may produce in politics. I must observe, that all kinds of government, free and absolute, feem to have undergone, in modern times, a great change to the better, with regard both to foreign and domestic management. The balance of power is a secret in politics, fully known only to the present age; and I must add, that the internal POLICE of the state has also received great improvements within this century. We are informed by SALLUST, that CATILINE'S army was much augmented by the accession of the highwaymen about ROME; tho' I believe, that all of that profession, who are at present dispersed over Europe, would not amount to a regiment. In CICERO's pleadings for Miles I find this argument, among others, made use of to prove, that his client had not affaffinated CLO-DIVS. Had MILO, faid he, intended to have killed CLODIUS, he had not attacked him in the day-time, and at such a distance from the city: He had way-laid him at night, near the fuburbs, where it might have been pretended, that he was killed by robbers; and the frequency of the accident would have favoured the deceit. This is a furprizing proof of the loose police of ROME. and of the number and force of these robbers; fince CLODIUS \* was at that time attended with thirty flaves, who were compleatly armed, and fufficiently accustomed to blood and danger in the frequent tumults excited by that feditious tribune.

But the all kinds of government be improved in modern times, yet monarchical government feems to have

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Afe, Ped. in Orat. pro Milone.

made the greatest advances towards perfection. It may now be affirmed of civilized monarchies, what was formerly faid in praise of republics alone, that they are a government of Laws, not of men. They are found fufceptible of order, method, and constancy, to a surprizing degree. Property is there secure; industry encouraged; the arts flourish; and the prince lives secure among his fubjects, like a father among his children. There are perhaps, and have been for two centuries, near two hundred absolute princes, great and small, in EUROPE; and allowing twenty years to each reign, we may suppose, that there have been in the whole two thousand monarchs or tyrants, as the GREEKS would have called them: Yet of these there has not been one, not even Philip II. of Spain, fo bad as Tiberius, CALIGULA, NERO, or DOMITIAN, who were four in twelve amongst the Roman emperors. It must, however, be confessed, that the' monarchical governments have approached nearer to popular ones, in gentleness and stability; they are still inferior. Our modern education and customs instil more humanity and moderation than the ancient; but have not as yet been able to overcome entirely the disadvantages of that form of government.

But here I must beg leave to advance a conjecture, which seems very probable, but which posterity alone can fully judge of. I am apt to think, that in monarchical governments there is a source of improvement, and in popular governments a source of degeneracy, which in time will bring these species of government still nearer in equality. The greatest abuses which arise in France, the most perfect model of pure monarchy, proceed not from the number or weight of the taxes, beyond what are to be met with in free countries; but from the expensive, unequal, arbitrary, and intricate method

method of levying them, by which the industry of the poor, especially of the peasants and farmers, is, in a great measure, discouraged, and agriculture rendered a beggarly and flavish employment. But to whose advantage do these abuses tend? If to that of the nobility, they might be esteemed inherent in that form of government; fince the nobility are the true supports of monarchy; and 'tis natural their interest should be more consulted, in such a constitution, than that of the people. But the nobility are, in reality, the principal losers by this oppression; since it ruins their estates, and beggars their tenants. The only gainers by it are the Financiers, a race of men rather odious to the nobility and the whole kingdom. If a prince or minister, therefore, should arise endowed with sufficient discernment to know his own and the public interest, and with sufficient force of mind to break thro' ancient customs, we might expect to see these abuses remedied; in which case, the difference between their absolute government and our free one, would not appear so considerable as at present.

The fource of degeneracy, which may be remarked in free governments, confifts in the practice of contracting debt, and mortgaging the public revenues, by which taxes may, in time, become altogether intolerable, and all the property of the state be brought into the hands of the public. This practice is of modern date. The ATHENIANS, tho' governed by a republic, paid near two hundred per Cent. for those sums of money, which any emergent occasion made it necessary for them to borrow; as we learn from XENOPHON\*. Among the moderns, the Dutch first introduced the practice of borrowing

great fums at low interest, and have well nigh ruined themselves by it. Absolute princes have also contracted debt; but as an absolute prince may play the bankrupt when he pleases, his people can never be opprest by his In popular governments, the people, and chiefly those who have the highest offices, being commonly the public creditors, 'tis difficult for the state to make use of this remedy, which, however it may be fometimes necessary, is always cruel and barbarous. This, therefore, feems to be an inconvenience, which nearly threatens all free governments; especially our own, at the present juncture. And what a strong motive is this. to increase our frugality of the public money; lest, for want of it, we be reduced, by the multiplicity of taxes, to curse our free government, and wish ourselves in the fame state of servitude with all the nations that surround us?

## E S S A Y XIII.

## Of ELOQUENCE.

THOSE, who confider the periods and revolutions of human kind, as represented in history, are entertained with a spectacle full of pleasure and variety, and fee, with furprize, the manners, cuftoms, and opinions of the same species susceptible of such prodigious changes in different periods of time. It may, however, be observed, that in civil history there is found a much greater uniformity than in the history of learning and science, and that the wars, negotiations, and politics of one age refemble more those of another, than the tafte, wit, and speculative principles. Interest and ambition, honour and shame, friendship and enmity, gratitude and revenge, are the prime movers in all public transactions; and these passions are of a very stubborn and intractable nature, in comparison of the sentiments and understanding, which are easily varied by education and example. The Goths were much more inferior to the ROMANS, in taste and science, than in courage and virtue.

But not to compare together nations so widely different, that they may almost be esteemed of a different species; it may be observed, that even this latter period of human learning, is, in many respects, of an opposite character to the ancient; and that if we be superior in

philosophy, we are still, notwithstanding all our refinements, much inferior in eloquence.

In ancient times, no work of genius was thought to require fo great parts and capacity, as the speaking in public; and some eminent writers have pronounced the talents, even of a great poet or philosopher, to be of an inferior nature to those requisite for such an undertaking. GREECE and ROME produced, each of them, but one accomplished orator; and whatever praises the other celebrated speakers might merit, they were still esteemed much inferior to these great models of eloquence. 'Tis observable, that the ancient critics could scarce find two orators in any age, who deserved to be placed precifely in the fame rank, and possessed the same degree of merit. Calvus, Cælius, Curio, Hor-TENSIUS, CÆSAR rose one above another: But the greatest of that age was inferior to CICERO, the most eloquent speaker, who had ever appeared in ROME. Those of fine taste, however, pronounced this judgment of the Roman orator, as well as of the GRECIAN, that both of them surpassed in eloquence all that had ever appeared, but that they were far from reaching the perfection of their art, which was infinite, and not only exceeded human force to attain, but human imagination to conceive. CICERO declares himself distatisfied with his own performances; nay, even with those of DE-MOSTHENES. Ita funt avidæ & capaces meæ aures, says he, & semper aliquid immensum, infinitumque desiderant.

This fingle circumstance is sufficient to make us apprehend the wide difference between ancient and modern eloquence, and to let us see how much the latter is inferior to the former. Of all the polite and learned nations, Britain alone possesses a popular government, or admits into the legislature such numerous assemblies as can be supposed to lie under the dominion of eloquence.

But

But what has BRITAIN to boast of in this particular? In enumerating all the great men, who have done honour to our country, we exult in our poets and philosophers: but what orators are ever mentioned? Or where are the monuments of their genius to be met with? There are found, indeed, in our histories, the names of feveral, who directed the resolutions of our parliament: But neither themselves nor others have taken the pains to preserve their speeches; and the authority which they possessed, seems to have been owing to their experience, wisdom, or power, more than to their talents for oratory. At present, there are above half a dozen speakers in the two houses, who, in the judgment of the public, have reached very near the same pitch of eloquence; and no man pretends to give any one the preference to the rest. This feems to me a certain proof, that none of them have attained much beyond a mediocrity in their art. and that the species of eloquence, which they aspire to, gives no exercise to the sublimer faculties of the mind, but may be reached by ordinary talents and a flight application. A hundred cabinet-makers in London can work a table or a chair equally well; but no one poet can write verses with such spirit and elegance as Mr. POPE.

We are told, that when DEMOSTHENES was to plead, all ingenious men flocked to ATHENS from the most remote parts of GREECE, as to the most celebrated spectacle of the world †. At London you may see men sauntering in the court of requests, while the most important debate is carrying on in the two houses; and

<sup>†</sup> Ne illud quidem intelligunt, non modo ita memoriæ proditum esse, sed ita necesse suiste, cum Demosthenes dicturus esset, ut concursus, audiendi causa, ex tota Grecia sierent. At cum issi Attici dicunt, non modo a corona (quod ess ipsum miserabile) sed etiam ab advocatis relinquuntur.

many do not think themselves sufficiently compensated, for the losing of their dinners, by all the eloquence of our most celebrated speakers. When old CIBBER is to act, the curiosity of several is more excited, than when our prime minister is to defend himself from a motion for his removal or impeachment.

Even a person unacquainted with the noble remains of ancient orators, may judge, from a few strokes, that the stile or species of their eloquence was infinitely more fublime than that which modern orators aspire to. How abfurd would it appear, in our temperate and calm fpeakers, to make use of an Apostrophe, like that noble one of DEMOSTHENES, fo much celebrated by QUINC-TILIAN and LONGINUS, when, justifying the unfuccefsful battle of CHERONEA, he breaks out, No. my Fellow-Citizens, No: You have not erred. I fwear by the manes of those heroes, who fought for the same cause in the plains of MARATHON and PLATEA. Who could now endure fuch a bold and poetical figure, as that which CICERO employs, after describing in the most tragical terms the crucifixion of a ROMAN citizen. Should I vaint the horrors of this scene, not to ROMAN citizens, not to the allies of our state, not to those who have ever heard of the ROMAN Name, not even to men, but to brute-creatures; or, to go farther, should I lift up my voice, in the most desolate solitude, to the rocks and mountains, yet should I surely see those rude and inanimate parts of nature moved with horror and indignation at the recital of fo enormous an action +. With what a blaze of eloquence must such

<sup>†</sup> The original is; Quod fi hæc non ad cives Romanos, non ad aliquos amicos nostras civitatis, non ad eos qui populi Romani nomen audissent; denique, fi non ad homines, verum ad bestias; aut etiam, ut longius progrediar, si in aliqua desertissima solitudine, ad saxa & ad scopulos hæc conqueri & deplorare vellem, tamen omnia muta atque inanima, tanta & tam indigna rerum atrocitate commoverentur.

Cic, in ver.

a fentence be furrounded to give it grace, or cause it to make any impression on the hearers? And what noble art and sublime talents are requisite to arrive, by just degrees, at a sentiment so bold and excessive: To instance the audience, so as to make them accompany the speaker in such violent passions, and such elevated conceptions: And to conceal, under a torrent of eloquence, the artissice, by which all this is effectuated! Should this sentiment even appear to us excessive, as perhaps it justly may, it may at least serve to give an idea of the style of antient eloquence, where such swelling expressions were not rejected as wholly monstrous and gigantic.

Suitable to this vehemence of thought and expression, was the vehemence of action, observed in the ancient orators. The fupplosio pedis, or stamping of the foot, was one of the most usual and moderate gestures which they made use of; tho that is now esteemed too violent, either for the senate, bar, or pulpit, and is only admitted into the theatre, to accompany the most violent passions, which are there represented.

One is somewhat at a loss to what cause we may ascribe so sensible a decline of eloquence in latter ages. The genius of mankind, at all times, is, perhaps, equal: The moderns have applied themselves, with great industry and success, to all the other arts and sciences: And one of the most learned nations of the universe possesses a popular government; a circumstance which seems requisite for the full display of these noble talents: But notwithstanding all these advantages, our progress in eloquence is very inconsiderable, in comparison of the advances, which we have made in all the other parts of learning.

† Ubi dolor? Ubi ardor animi, qui etiam ex infantium ingeniis elicere voces & querelas folet? nulla perturbatio animi, nulla corporis: frons non percusta, non semur; pedis (quod minimum est) nulla supplosio. Itaque tantum absuit ut inflammares nostros animos; somnum isto loco vix tenea bamus.

Cicero de Claris Oratoribus.

Shall we affert, that the strains of ancient eloquence are unsuitable to our age, and not to be imitated by modern orators? Whatever reasons may be made use of to prove this, I am persuaded they will be found, upon examination, to be unsound and unsatisfactory.

First. It may be faid, that in ancient times, during the flourishing period of the GREEK and ROMAN learning, the municipal laws, in every state, were but few and fimple, and the decision of causes was, in a great measure, left to the equity and common sense of the judges. The study of the laws was not then a laborious occupation, requiring the drudgery of a whole life to finish it, and utterly incompatible with every other study or profession. The great statesmen and generals among the ROMANS were all lawyers; and CICERO, to shew the facility of acquiring this science, declares, that in the midst of all his occupations, he would undertake, in a few days, to make himself a compleat civilian. where a pleader addresses himself to the equity of his judges, he has much more room to display his eloquence, than where he must draw his arguments from strict laws, statutes, and precedents. In the former case, many circumftances must be taken in, many personal considerations regarded; and even favour and inclination, which it belongs to the orator, by his art and eloquence, to conciliate, may be disguised under the appearance of equity. But how shall a modern lawyer have leifure to quit his toilfome occupations, in order to gather the flowers of PARNASSUS? Or what opportunity shall he have of displaying them, amidst the rigid and subtile arguments, objections, and replies, which he is obliged to make use of? The greatest genius, and greatest orator, who should pretend to plead before the Chancellor, after a month's study of the laws, would only labour to make himself ridiculous.

I am ready to own, that this circumstance, of the multiplicity and intricacy of laws, is a discouragement

to eloquence in modern times: But I affert, that it will not account entirely for the decline of that noble art. It may banish oratory from Westminster-Hall, but not from either house of parliament. Among the Athenians, the Areopagites expressly forbad all allurements of eloquence; and some have pretended that in the Greek orations wrote in the judiciary form, there is not such a bold and rhetorical stile, as appears in the Roman. But to what a pitch did the Athenians carry their eloquence in the deliberative kind, when affairs of state were canvassed, and the liberty, happiness, and honour of the republic were the subject of debate? Disputes of this nature elevate the genius above all others, and give the fullest scope to eloquence; and such disputes are very frequent in this nation.

Secondly, It may be pretended, that the decline of eloquence is owing to the superior good sense of the moderns, who reject with disdain, all those rhetorical tricks, employed to feduce the judges, and will admit of nothing but folid argument in any debate or delibera-If a man be accused of murder, the fact must be proved by witnesses and evidence; and the laws will afterwards determine the punishment of the criminal. It would be ridiculous to describe, in strong colours, the horror and cruelty of the action: To introduce the relations of the dead; and, at a fignal, make them throw themselves at the feet of the judges, imploring justice with tears and lamentations: And still more ridiculous would it be, to employ a picture representing the bloody deed, in order to move the judges by the display of so tragical a spectacle: Tho' we know, that this poor artifice was fometimes practifed by the pleaders of old \*. Now, banish the pathetic from public discourses, and you

<sup>·</sup> QUINTIE, lib. vi. cap. 1.

reduce the speakers merely to modern eloquence; that is, to good-sense, delivered in proper expressions.

Perhaps it may be acknowledged, that our present customs, or our superior good-sense, if you will, should make our orators more cautious and referved than the ancient, in attempting to inflame the passions, or elevate the imagination of their audience: But, I fee no reason, why it should make them despair absolutely of succeeding in that attempt. It should make them redouble their art, not abandon it intirely. The ancient orators feem also to have been on their guard against this jealousy of their audience; but they took a different way of eluding They hurried away with fuch a torrent of fublime and pathetic, that they left their hearers no leisure to perceive the artifice, by which they were deceived. Nay, to confider the matter aright, they were not deceived by any artifice. The orator, by the force of his own genius and eloquence, first inflamed himself with anger, indignation, pity, forrow; and then communicated those impetuous movements to his audience.

Does any man pretend to have more good fense than Julius Cæsar? Yet that haughty conqueror, we know, was so subdued by the charms of Cicero's eloquence, that he was, in a manner, constrained to change his settled purpose and resolution, and to absolve a criminal, whom, before that orator pleaded, he was determined to condemn.

Some objections, I own, notwithstanding his vast success, may lie against some passages of the ROMAN orator. He is too storid and rhetorical: His sigures are too striking and palpable: The Divisions of his Discourse drawn chiefly from the rules of the schools: And his wit disdains not always the artistice even of a pun, rhyme

<sup>†</sup> Longinus, cap. 15.

or jingle of words. The Grecian addressed himself to an audience much less refined than the Roman senate or judges. The lowest vulgar of Athens were his sovereigns, and the arbiters of his eloquence †. Yet is his manner much more chaste and austere than that of the other. Could it be copied, its success would be infallible over a modern assembly. 'Tis rapid harmony, exactly adjusted to the sense: 'Tis vehement reasoning, without any appearance of art: 'Tis disdain, anger, boldness, freedom, involved in a continued stream of argument: And of all human productions, the orations of Demosthemes present to us the models, which approach the nearest to perfection.

Thirdly, It may be pretended, that the diforders of the ancient governments, and the enormous crimes, of which the citizens were often guilty, afforded much ampler matter for eloquence than can be met with among the moderns. Were there no Verres or Catiline, there would be no Cicero. But that this reason can have no great influence, is evident. It would be easy to find a Philip in modern times; but where shall we find a Demosthenes?

What remains, then, but that we lay the blame on the want of genius, or of judgment in our speakers, who either found themselves incapable of reaching the heights of ancient eloquence, or rejected all such endeavours, as unsuitable to the spirit of modern assemblies? A few

† The orators formed the taste of the ATHENIAN people, not the people of the orators. Gorgias Leontinus was very taking with them, till they became acquainted with a better manner. His figures of speech, says Diodorus Siculus, his antithesis, his isound. his operation, which are now despised, had a great effect upon the audience. Lib. 12. page 106. ex editione Rhod. 'Tis in vain therefore for modern orators to plead the taste of their hearers as an apology for their lame performances. It would be strange prejudice in favour of antiquity, not to allow a British parliament to be naturally superior in judgment and delicacy to an ATHENIAN mob.

fuccessful attempts of this nature might rouze the genius of the nation, excite the emulation of the youth, and accustom our ears to a more sublime and more pathetic elocution, than what we have been hitherto entertained with. There is certainly fomething accidental in the first rife and the progress of the arts in any nation. whether a very fatisfactory reason can be given, why ancient Rome, tho' it received all its arts from GREECE, could attain only to a taste or relish of statuary, painting and architecture, without reaching the practice of these noble arts: While modern Rome has been excited, by a few remains found among the ruins of antiquity, and has carried these arts to the greatest persection. Had fuch a cultivated genius for oratory, as WALLER's for boetry, arisen, during the civil wars, when liberty began to be fully established, and popular assemblies to enter into all the most material points of government; I am perfuaded fo illustrious an example would have given a quite different turn to BRITISH eloquence, and made us reach the perfection of the ancient model. Our orators would then have done honour to their country, as well as our poets and philosophers, and BRITISH CICEROS have appeared as well as BRITISH PLUTARCHS and VIRGILS.

I have confest that there is something accidental in the origin and progress of the arts in any nation; and yet I cannot forbear thinking, that if the other learned and polite nations of Europe had possess the same advantages, of a popular government, they would probably have carried eloquence to a greater height than it has yet reached in Britain. The French sermons, especially those of Flechier and Bossuet, are much superior to the English in this particular; and in both these authors are found many strokes of the most sublime poetry. None but private causes, in that country, are ever debated

bated before their parliaments or courts of judicature: but notwithstanding this disadvantage, there appears a spirit of eloquence in many of their lawyers, which, with proper cultivation and encouragement, might rife to the greatest height. The pleadings of PATRU are very elegant, and give us room to imagine what so fine a genius could have performed in questions concerning public liberty or flavery, peace or war, who exerts himfelf with fuch fuccess, in debates concerning the price of an old horse, or a gossiping story of a quarrel between an abbess and her nuns. For 'tis remarkable, that this polite writer, tho' esteemed by all the men of wit in his time, was never employed in the most considerable causes of their courts of judicature, but lived and died in poverty: From an ancient prejudice industriously propagated by the dunces in all countries, That a man of genius is unfit for business. The disorders produced by the factions against cardinal MAZARINE, made the parliament of PARIS enter into the discussion of public affairs, and during that fhort interval, there appeared many fymptoms of the revival of ancient eloquence. The associate general TALON, in an oration, invoked on his knees. the spirit of St. Louis to look down with compassion on his divided and unhappy people, and to inspire them. from above, with the love of concord and unanimity +. The members of the FRENCH academy have attempted to give us models of eloquence in their harangues at their admittance: But, having no subject to discourse upon, they have run altogether into a fulfome strain of panegyric and flattery, the most barren of all subjects. Their stile, however, is commonly, on these occasions, very elevated and fublime, and might reach the greatest heights, were it employed on a subject more favourable and engaging.

There are some circumstances, I confess, in the Eng-LISH temper and genius, which are disadvantageous to the progress of eloquence, and render all attempts of that kind more dangerous and difficult among them than among any other nation. The ENGLISH are conspicuous for good-sense, which makes them very jealous of any attempts to deceive them by the flowers of rhetoric and elocution. They are also peculiarly modest; which makes them confider it as a piece of arrogance to offer any thing but reason to public assemblies, or attempt to guide them by passion or fancy. I may, perhaps, be allowed to add, that the people in general are not remarkable for delicacy of tafte, or for fenfibility to the charms of the muses. Their musical parts, to use the expression of a noble author, are but indifferent. Hence their comic poets, to move them, must have recourse to obscenity; their tragic poets to blood and flaughter: And hence their orators, being deprived of any fuch resource, have abandoned altogether the hopes of moving them, and have confined themselves to plain argument and reasoning.

These circumstances, joined to particular accidents, may, perhaps, have retarded the growth of eloquence in this kingdom; but will not be able to prevent its success, if ever it appear amongst us: And one may safely pronounce, that this is a field, in which the most flourishing laurels may yet be gathered, if any youth of accomplished genius, thoroughly acquainted with all the polite arts, and not ignorant of public business, should appear in parliament, and accustom our ears to an eloquence more commanding and pathetic. And to confirm me in this opinion, there occur two considerations, the one derived from ancient, the other from modern times.

'Tis seldom or never found, when a false taste in poetry or eloquence prevails among any people, that it has been

been preferred to a true, upon comparison and reflection. It commonly prevails merely from ignorance of the true. and from the want of perfect models, to lead men into a juster apprehension, and more refined relish of those productions of genius. When these appear, they soon unite all fuffrages in their favour, and, by their natural and powerful charms, gain over, even the most prejudiced, to the love and admiration of them. The principles of every passion, and of every sentiment, is in every man; and when touched properly, they rife to life, and warm the heart, and convey that fatisfaction by which a work of genius is distinguished from the adulterate beauties of a capricious wit and fancy. And if this observation be true, with regard to all the liberal arts, it must be peculiarly fo, with regard to eloquence; which, being merely calculated for the public, and for men of the world, cannot, with any pretence of reason, appeal from the people to more refined judges; but must submit to the public verdict, without referve or limitation. Whoever, upon comparison, is deemed by a common audience the greatest orator, ought most certainly to be pronounced fuch, by men of science and erudition. And tho' an indifferent orator may triumph for a long time, and be esteemed altogether perfect by the vulgar, who are satisfied with his accomplishments, and know not in what he is defective: Yet, whenever the true genius arises, he draws to him the attention of every one, and immediately appears superior to his rival.

Now to judge by this rule, ancient eloquence, that is, the sublime and passionate, is of a much juster taste than the modern, or the argumentative and rational; and, if properly executed, will always have more command and authority over mankind. We are satisfied with our mediocrity, because we have had no experience of any thing better: But the ancients had experience of both,

and, upon comparison, gave the preference to that kind, of which they have left us such applauded models. For, if I am not mistaken, our modern eloquence is of the same stile or species with that which ancient critics denominated ATTIC eloquence, that is, calm, elegant and fubtile, which instructed the reason more than affected the paffions, and never raifed its tone above argument or common discourse. Such was the eloquence of Lysias among the ATHENIANS, and of CALVUS among the These were esteemed in their time; but when compared with DEMOSTHENES and CICERO, were eclipsed like a taper when set in the rays of the meridian Those latter orators possessed the same elegance and fubtilty, and force of argument, with the former; but what rendered them chiefly admirable, was that pathetic and fublime, which, on proper occasions, they threw into their discourse, and by which they commanded the refolutions of their audience.

Of this species of eloquence we have scarce had any instances in BRITAIN, at least in our public speakers. In our writers, we have had fome inflances, which have met with great applause, and might assure our ambitious youth of equal or superior glory in attempts for the revival of ancient eloquence. Lord BOLINGBROKE'S productions, with all their defects in argument, method, and precision, contain a force and energy, which our orators scarce ever aim at; tho' 'tis evident, that such an elevated stile has much better grace in a speaker than in a writer, and is affured of more prompt and more aftonishing success. 'Tis there seconded by the graces of voice and action: The movements are mutually communicated between the orator and the audience: And the very aspect of a large assembly, attentive to the discourse of one man, must inspire him with a peculiar elevation, sufficient to give a propriety to the strongest figures figures and expressions. 'Tis true, there is a great preiudice against set speeches; and a man can scarce escape ridicule, who repeats a discourse as a school-boy his leffon, and takes no notice of any thing which has been advanced in the course of the debate. But where is the necessity of falling into this absurdity? A public speaker must know beforehand the question under debate. He may compose all the arguments, objections, and anfwers, fuch as he thinks will be most proper for his difcourse +. If any thing new occur, he may supply it from his invention; nor will the difference be very apparent between his elaborate and his extemporary compositions. The mind naturally continues with the same impetus or force, which it has acquired by its motion; as a vessel, once impelled by the oars, carries on its course for some time, when the original impulse is suspended.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that even tho' our modern orators should not elevate their stile or aspire to a rivalship with the ancient; yet there is a material desect in most of their speeches, which they might correct, without departing from that composed air of argument and reasoning, to which they limit their ambition. Their great affectation of extemporary discourses has made them reject all order and method, which seems so requisite to argument, and without which 'tis scarce possible to produce an entire conviction on the mind. 'Tis not, that one would recommend many formal divisions in a public discourse, unless the subject very evidently offer them: But 'tis easy, without this formality, to observe a method, and make that method conspicuous to the hearers, who will be infinitely pleased

<sup>†</sup> The first of the Athenians, who composed and wrote his speeches, was Pericles, a man of business and a man of sense, if ever there was one, Newt & yearto doyor by dixacings else, two wes ait of the dixacings. Suidas in neglection.

to fee the arguments rife naturally from one another, and will retain a more thorough persuasion, than can arise from the strongest reasons, which are thrown together in consusion.

## E S S A Y XIV.

Of the Rise and Progress of the Arrs and Sciences.

THERE is nothing which requires greater nicety, in our inquiries concerning human affairs, than to diffinguish exactly what is owing to chance, and what proceeds from causes; nor is there any subject, in which an author is more liable to deceive himself by false subtilties and refinements. To say, that any event is derived from chance, cuts short all farther enquiry concerning it, and leaves the writer in the same state of ignorance with the rest of mankind. But when the event is supposed to proceed from certain and stable causes, he may then display his ingenuity, in assigning these causes; and as a man of any subtilty can never be at a loss in this particular, he has thereby an opportunity of swelling his volumes, and discovering his prosound knowledge in observing what escapes the vulgar and ignorant.

The distinguishing between chance and causes must depend upon every particular man's sagacity, in considering every particular incident. But, if I were to assign any general rule to help us in applying this distinction, it would be the following, What depends upon a few persons is, in a great measure, to be ascribed to chance, or secret and unknown causes: What arises from a great number, may often be accounted for by determinate and known causes.

There

There may two very natural reasons be affigned for this rule. First, If you suppose a dye to have any biass, however small, to a particular side, this biass, tho' perhaps it may not appear in a few throws, will certainly prevail in a great number, and will cast the balance entirely to that side. In like manner, when any causes beget a particular inclination or passion, at a certain time, and among a certain people; tho' many individuals may escape the contagion, and be ruled by passions peculiar to themselves; yet the multitude will certainly be insected with the common affection, and be governed by it in all their actions.

Secondly, Those principles or causes, which are fitted to operate on a multitude, are always of a grosser and more stubborn nature, less subject to accidents, and less influenced by whim and private fancy, than those which operate on a few only. The latter are commonly so delicate and refined, that the smallest incident in the health, education, or fortune of a particular person, is sufficient to divert their course, and retard their operation; nor is it possible to reduce them to any general maxims or observations. Their influence at one time will never assure us concerning their influence at another; even tho' all the general circumstances should be the same in both cases.

To judge by this rule, the domestic and the gradual revolutions of a state, must be a more proper subject of reasoning and observation, than the foreign and the violent, which are commonly produced by single persons, and are more influenced by whim, folly, or caprice, than by general passions and interests. The depression of the lords, and rise of the commons in England, after the statutes of alienation, and the increase of trade and industry, are more easily accounted for by general principles,

ciples, than the depression of the Spanish, and rise of the French monarchy, after the death of Charles Quint. Had Harry IV. Cardinal Richelieu, and Louis XIV. been Spaniards; and Philip II. III. and IV. and Charles II. been Frenchmen, the history of these two nations had been entirely reversed.

For the same reason, 'tis more easy to account for the rife and progress of commerce in any kingdom, than for that of learning; and a state which should apply itself to the encouragement of the one, would be much more affured of fuccess, than one which should cultivate the other. Avarice, or the defire of gain, is an universal passion, which operates at all times, in all places, and upon all persons: But curiosity, or the love of knowledge, has a very limited influence, and requires youth, leifure, education, genius, and example, to make it govern any person. You will never want booksellers, while there are buyers of books: But there may frequently be readers, where there are no authors. Multitudes of people, neceffity and liberty, have begot commerce in Holland: But fludy and application have scarce produced any eminent writers.

We may, therefore, conclude, that there is no subject, in which we must proceed with more caution, than in tracing the history of the arts and sciences; lest we assign causes which never existed, and reduce what is merely contingent to stable and universal principles. Those, who cultivate the sciences in any state, are always sew in number: The passion, which governs them, limited: Their taste and judgment tender and easily perverted: And their application disturbed with the smallest accident. Chance, therefore, or secret and unknown causes, must have a great influence on the rise and progress of all the refined arts.

But there is a reason, which induces me not to ascribe the matter altogether to chance. Tho' the persons, who cultivate the sciences with such aftonishing success, as to attract the admiration of posterity, be always few, in all nations and all ages; 'tis impossible but a share of the same spirit and genius must be antecedently diffused thro' the people among whom they arise, in order to produce, form, and cultivate, from their earliest infancy, the taste and judgment of those eminent writers. The mass cannot be altogether insipid, from which such refined spirits are extracted. There is a God within us, says OVID, who breathes that divine air by which we are animated \*. Poets, in all ages, have advanced this claim to inspiration. There is not, however, any thing supernatural in the case. Their fire is not kindled from heaven. It only runs along the earth; is caught from one breaft to another; and burns brightest, where the materials are best prepared, and most happily disposed. The question, therefore, concerning the rife and progress of the arts and sciences, is not altogether a question concerning the taste, genius, and spirit of a few, but concerning those of a whole people; and may, therefore, be accounted for, in fome measure, by general causes and principles. grant, that a man, who should inquire, why such a particular poet, as Homer, for instance, existed, at such a place, in such a time, would throw himself headlong into chimæra, and could never treat of fuch a subject, without a multitude of false subtilties and refinements. He might as well pretend to give a reason, why such particular generals, as FABIUS and SCIPIO, lived in ROME at fuch a time, and why FABIUS came into the world before Scipio. For such incidents as those, no other reason can be given but that of HORACE.

<sup>\*</sup> Est Deus in nobis; agitan e calescimus illo: Impetus hic, sacræsemina mentis habet. Ovid. Fast. Lib. 1. Scit

Scit genius, natale comes, qui temperat astrum,
Naturæ Deus humanæ, mortalis in unum——
Quodque caput, vultu mutabilis, albus & ater.

But I am persuaded, that in many cases very good reafons might be given, why such a nation is more polite and learned, at a particular time, than any of its neighbours. At least, this is so curious a subject, that it were a pity to abandon it entirely, before we have found, whether it be susceptible of reasoning, and can be reduced to any general principles. I shall therefore proceed to deliver a few observations on this subject, which I submit to the censure and examination of the learned.

My first observation is, That it is impossible for the arts and sciences to arise, at first, among any people, unless that people enjoy the blessing of a free government.

In the first ages of the world, when men are as yet barbarous and ignorant, they feek no farther fecurity against mutual violence and injustice, than the choice of fome rulers, few or many, in whom they place an implicite confidence, without providing any fecurity, by laws or political inflitutions, against the violence and injustice of these rulers. If the authority be centered in a fingle person, and if the people either by conquest, or by the ordinary course of propagation, increase to a great multirude, the monarch finding it impossible, in his own person, to execute every office of sovereignty, in every place, must delegate his authority to inferior magistrates, who preserve peace and order in their particular districts. As experience and education have not yet refined the judgments of men to any confiderable degree, the prince, who is himself unrestrained, never thinks of restraining his ministers, but delegates his full authority to every one, whom he fets over any por-

tion of the people. All general laws are attended with inconveniencies, when applied to particular cases; and it requires great penetration and experience, both to perceive that these inconveniencies are fewer than what refult from full discretionary powers in every magistrate; and also to discern what general laws are, upon the whole, attended with fewest inconveniencies. matter of fo great difficulty, that men may have made some advances, even in the sublime arts of poetry and eloquence, where a rapidity of genius and imagination affifts their progress, before they have arrived at any great refinement in their municipal laws, where frequent trials, and diligent observation, can alone direct their improvements. It is not, therefore, to be supposed, that a barbarous monarch, unrestrained and uninstructed, will ever become a legislator, or think of restraining his Bashaws in every province, or even his Cadis in every village. We are told, that the late Czar, tho' actuated with a noble genius, and fmit with the love and admiration of Euro-PEAN arts; yet professed an esteem for the TURKISH policy in this particular, and approved of fuch fummary decisions of causes, as are practised in that barbarous monarchy, where the judges are not restrained by any methods, forms, or laws. He did not perceive, how contrary fuch a practice would have been to all his other endeavours for refining his people. Arbitrary power, in all cases, is somewhat oppressive and debasing; but 'tis altogether ruinous and intolerable, when contracted into a fmall compass; and becomes still worse, when the person, who possesses it, knows that the time of his authority is limited and uncertain. Habet subjectos tanquam suos; viles, ut alienos \*. He governs the subjects with full authority, as if they were his own; and with negligence or tyranny, as belonging to another. A people governed after

<sup>\*</sup> TACIT. Hift. lib. 1.

fuch a manner are flaves in the full and proper sense of the word; and 'tis impossible they can ever aspire to any refinements of taste or reason. They dare not so much as pretend to enjoy the necessaries of life in plenty or security.

To expect, therefore, that the arts and sciences should take their first rise in a monarchy, is to expect a contradiction. Before these refinements have taken place, the monarch is ignorant and uninstructed; and not having knowlege fufficient to make him fenfible of the necesfity of balancing his government upon general laws, he delegates his full powers to all inferior magistrates. This barbarous policy debases the people, and for ever prevents all improvement. Were it possible, that, before science was known in the world, a monarch could posfess so much wisdom as to become a legislator, and govern his people by law, not by the arbitrary will of their fellow subjects, it might be possible for that species of government to be the first nursery of arts and sciences. But in that supposition there seems to be a manifest contradiction.

It may happen, that a republic, in its infant state, may be supported by as few laws as a barbarous monarchy. and may entrust as unlimited an authority to its magistrates or judges. But, besides that the frequent elections of these magistrates by the people, are a considerable check upon their authority; 'tis impossible, but, in time. the necessity of restraining the magistrates, in order to preserve liberty, must at last appear, and give rise to general laws and statutes. The Roman Confuls, for some time, decided all causes, without being confined by any politive statutes, till the people, bearing this yoke with impatience, created the decemvirs, who promulgated the twelve tables; a body of laws, which, tho', perhaps, they were not equal in bulk to one ENGLISH act of parlia-Vot. I. K ment,

ment, were almost the only written rules which regulated property and punishment, for some ages, in that famous republic. They were, however, fufficient, together with the forms of a free government, to secure the lives and properties of the citizens; to exempt one man from the dominion of another; and to protect every one against the violence or tyranny of his fellow citizens. In fuch a fituation the sciences may raise their heads and flourish: But never can have being amidst such a scene of oppresfion and flavery, as always refults from barbarous monarchies, where the people alone are restrained by the authority of the magistrates, and the magistrates are not reffrained by any law or flatute. An unlimited defpotism of this nature, while it exists, effectually puts a stop to all improvements, and keeps men from attaining that knowlege, which is requifite to instruct them in the advantages arising from a better police, and more moderate authority.

Here then are the advantages of republics. Tho' a republic should be barbarous, it necessarily, by an infallible operation, gives rife to LAW, even before mankind have made any confiderable advances in the other sciences. From law arises security: From security curiosity: And from curiofity knowlege. The latter steps of this progress may be more accidental; but the former are altogether necessary. A republic without laws can never have any duration. On the contrary, in a monarchical government, law arises not necessarily from the forms of government. Monarchy, when absolute, contains even fomething repugnant to law. Great wisdom and reflexion can alone reconcile them. But fuch a degree of wisdom can never be expected, before the greater refinements and improvements of human reason. These refinements require curiofity, fecurity, and law. The first growth, therefore, of the arts and sciences can never be expected in despotic governments.

According to the necessary progress of things, law must precede science. In republics law may precede fcience, and may arise from the very nature of the government. In monarchies it arises not from the nature of the government, and cannot precede science. An abfolute prince, who is barbarous, renders all his ministers and magistrates as absolute as himself: And there needs no more to prevent, for ever, all industry, curiofity, and fcience.

There are other causes which discourage the rise of the refined arts in despotic governments; though I take the want of laws, and the delegation of full powers to every petty magistrate, to be the principal. Eloquence certainly arises more naturally in popular governments: Emulation too in every accomplishment, must there be more animated and enlivened: And genius and capacity have a fuller scope and career. All these causes render free governments the only proper nursery for the arts and sciences.

The next observation which I shall make on this head. is, That nothing is more favourable to the rife of politeness and learning, than a number of neighbouring and independent states connected together by commerce and policy. The emulation, which naturally arises among those neighbouring states, is an obvious source of improvement: But what I would chiefly infift on is the stop, which such limited territories give both to power and to authority.

Extended governments, where a fingle person has great influence, foon become despotic; but small ones change naturally into commonwealths. A large government is accustomed by degrees to tyranny; because each act of violence is at first performed upon a part, which, being distant from the majority, is not taken notice of, nor excites any violent ferment. Besides, a large government, though the whole be discontented, may, by a ·K 2' little little art, be kept in obedience; while each part, ignorant of the resolutions of the rest, is askaid to begin any commotion or insurrection. Not to mention, that there is a superstitious reverence for princes, which mankind naturally fall into when they do not often see the sovereign, and when many of them become not acquainted with him so as to perceive his weaknesses. And as large states can afford a great expence, in order to support the pomp of majesty; this is a kind of sascination on mankind, and naturally contributes to the enslaving them.

In a small government, any act of oppression is immediately known through the whole: The murmurs and discontents, proceeding from it, are easily communicated: And the indignation rises the higher, that the subjects are not apt to apprehend in such states, that the distance is very wide between themselves and their sovereign. "No "man," said the Prince DE CONDE, "is a hero to his "Valet de Chambre." 'Tis certain, that admiration and acquaintance are altogether incompatible towards any mortal creature. Sleep and love convinced even Alexander himself that he was not a God: But I suppose that such as attended him daily could easily have given him many other still more convincing proofs of his humanity.

But the divisions into small states are favourable to learning, by stopping the progress of authority as well as that of power. Reputation is often as great a fascination upon men as sovereignty, and is equally destructive to the freedom of thought and examination. But where a number of neighbouring states have a great intercourse of arts and commerce, their mutual jealousy keeps them from receiving too lightly the law from each other, in matters of taste and of reasoning, and makes them examine every work of art with the greatest care and accumine

racy. The contagion of popular opinions spreads not so easily from one place to another. It readily receives a check in some state or other, where it concurs not with the prevailing prejudices. And nothing but nature and reason, or at least, what bears them a strong resemblance, can force its way through all obstacles, and unite the most rival nations into an esteem and admiration of it.

GREECE was a cluster of little principalities, which foon became republics; and being united both by their near neighbourhood, and by the ties of the same language and interest, they entered into the closest intercourse of commerce and learning. There concurred a happy climate, a foil not unfertile, and a most harmonious and comprehenfive language; fo that every circumstance among that people seemed to favour the rise of the arts and sciences. Each city produced its feveral artists and philosophers, who refused to yield the preference to those of the neighbouring republics: Their contentions and debates sharpened the wits of men: A variety of objects was prefented to the judgment, while each challenged the preference to the rest: And the sciences, not being dwarfed by the restraint of authority, were enabled to make such confiderable shoots, as are, even at this time, the objects of our admiration. After the ROMAN christian, or catholic church had spread itself over the civilized world, and had engroffed all the learning of the times; being really one large state within itself, and united under one head; this variety of fects immediately disappeared; and the PE-RIPATETIC philosophy was alone admitted into all the schools, to the utter depravation of every kind of learning. But mankind, having at length thrown off this voke, affairs are now turned nearly to the same situation as before, and EUROPE is at present a copy at large, of what GREECE was formerly a pattern in miniature. We have feen the advantage of this fituation in feveral instan-

What checked the progress of the CARTESIAN philosophy, to which the FRENCH nation shewed such a strong propensity towards the end of the last century, but the opposition made to it by the other nations of EUROPE, who foon discovered the weak sides of that philosophy? The feverest scrutiny, which NEWTON's theory has undergone, proceeded not from his countrymen, but from foreigners; and if it can overcome the obstacles which it meets with at prefent in all parts of EUROPE, it will probably go down triumphant to the latest posterity. The ENGLISH are become fensible of the scandalous licentiousness of their stage, from the example of the FRENCH decency and morals. The FRENCH are convinced, that their theatre has become fomewhat effeminate, by too much love and gallantry; and begin to approve of the more masculine taste of some neighbouring nations.

In China there feems to be a pretty confiderable flock of politeness and science, which, in the course of so many centuries, might naturally be expected to ripen into something more perfect and sinished, than what has yet arisen from them. But China is one vast empire, speaking one language, governed by one law, and sympathizing in the same manners. The authority of any teacher, such as Confucius, was propagated easily from one corner of the empire to another. None had courage to resist the torrent of popular opinion. And posterity were not bold enough to dispute what had been universally received by their ancestors. This seems to be one natural reason, why the sciences have made so slow a progress in that mighty empire \*,

If

<sup>\*</sup> If it be asked how we can reconcile to the foregoing principles the happiness, riches, and good police of the Chinese, who have always been governed by a fole monarch, and can scarce form an idea of a free government; I would answer, that tho' the Chinese government be a pure monarchy, it

If we consider the face of the globe, EUROPE, of all the four parts of the world, is the most broken by seas, rivers, and mountains; and GREECE of all countries of EUROPE. Hence these regions were naturally divided into several distinct governments. And hence the sciences arose in GREECE; and EUROPE has been hitherto the most constant seat of them.

I have fometimes been inclined to think, that interruptions in the periods of learning, were they not attended with such a destruction of ancient books, and the records of history, would be rather favourable to the arts and sciences, by breaking the progress of authority, and dethroning the tyrannical usurpers over human reason. In this particular, they have the same influence, as interruptions in political governments and societies. Consider the blind submission of the ancient philosophers to the several masters in each school, and you will be convinced, that no good could ever be expected from an hundred centuries of such a service philosophy. Even the Eclectics, who arose about the age of Augustus, notwithstanding their professing to chuse freely what pleafed them from every different sect, were yet, in the main,

is not, properly speaking, absolute. This proceeds from a peculiarity of the situation of that country: They have no neighbours, except the TARTARS, from whom they were, in some measure secured, at least seemed to be secured, by their samous wall, and by the great superiority of their numbers. By this means, military discipline has always been much neglected amongst them; and their standing forces are mere militia, of the worst kind; and unsit to suppress any general insurrection in countries so extremely populous. The sword, therefore, may properly be said to be always in the hands of the people, which is a sufficient restraint upon the monarch, and obliges him to lay his mandarins or governors of provinces under the restraint of general laws, in order to prevent those rebellions, which we learn from history to have been so frequent and dangerous in that government. Perhaps, a pure monarchy of this kind, were it sitted for a desence against foreign enemies, would be the best of all governments, as having both the tranquillity attending kingly power, and the moderation and liberty of popular assembles.

as flavish and dependent as any of their brethren; since they sought for truth, not in nature, but in the several schools; where they supposed she must necessarily be found, though not united in a body, yet dispersed in parts. Upon the revival of learning, those sects of Stoics and Epicureans, Platonists, and Pythagoreans, could never regain any credit or authority; and, at the same time, by the example of their fall, kept men from submitting, with such blind deference, to those new sects, which have attempted to gain an ascendant over them.

The third observation, which I shall form on this head, of the rise and progress of the arts and sciences, is, That though, the only proper Nursery of these noble plants be a free government; yet they may be transplanted into any gow vernment; and that a republic is most favourable to the growth of the sciences, and a civilized monarchy to that of the polite arts.

To balance a large state or fociety, whether monarchical or republican, on general laws, is a work of fo great difficulty, that no human genius, however comprehenfive, is able, by the mere dint of reason and reflection, to effect it. The judgments of many must unite in this work: Experience must guide their labour: Time must bring it to perfection: And the feeling of inconveniencies must correct the mistakes, which they inevitably fall into, in their first trials and experiments. Hence the impossibility appears, that this undertaking should be begun and carried on in any monarchy; fince such a form of goyernment, ere civilized, knows no other fecret in policy, than that of entrusting unlimited powers with every governor or magistrate, and subdividing the people into fo many classes and orders of slavery. From such a situation, no improvement can ever be expected in the sciences,

in the liberal arts, in laws, and fcarce in the manual arts and manufactures. The fame barbarism and ignorance, with which the government commences, is propagated to all posterity, and can never come to a period by the efforts or ingenuity of such unhappy slaves.

But the law, the fource of all fecurity and happiness, arises late in any government, and is the flow product of order and of liberty, it is not preserved with the same difficulty, with which it is produced; but when it has once taken root, is a hardy plant, which will scarce ever perish thro' the ill culture of men, or the rigour of the The arts of luxury, and much more the liberal arts, which depend on a refined tafte or fentiment, are eafily lost; because they are always relished by a few only, whose leifure, fortune, and genius fit them for such But what is profitable to every mortal. and in common life, when once discovered, can scarce ever perish, but by the total subversion of society, and by fuch furious inundations of barbarous invaders, as obliterate all memory of former arts and civility. Imitation also is apt to transport these coarser and more useful arts from one climate to another, and make them precede the refined arts in their progress; though perhaps they sprang after them in their first rise and propagation. From these causes proceed civilized monarchies, where the arts of government, first invented in free states, are preferved to the mutual advantage and fecurity of fovereign and subject.

However perfect, therefore, the monarchical form may appear to some politicians, it owes all its perfection to the republican; nor is it possible, that a pure despotism, established among a barbarous people, can ever, by its native force and energy, refine and polish itself. It must borrow its laws, and methods, and institutions, and confequently

fequently its stability and order, from free governments. These advantages are the sole growth of republics. The extensive despotism of a barbarous monarchy, by entering into the detail of the government, as well as into the principal points of administration, for ever prevents all such improvements.

In a civilized monarchy, the prince alone is unrestrained in the exercise of his authority, and possesses alone a power, which is not bounded by any thing but custom, example, and the sense of his own interest. Every minister or magistrate, however eminent, must submit to the general laws, which govern the whole fociety, and must exert the authority delegated to him after the manner, which is prescribed. The people depend on none but their fovereign, for the fecurity of their property. He is fo far removed from them, and is fo much exempt from private jealousies or interests, that this dependence is not felt. And thus a species of government arises, to which, in a high political rant, we may give the name of Tyranny, but which, by a just and prudent administration, may afford tolerable security to the people, and may answer most of the ends of political fociety.

But the 'in a civilized monarchy, as well as in a republic, the people have fecurity for the enjoyment of their property; yet in both these forms of government, those who possess the supreme authority have the disposal of many honours and advantages, which excite the ambition and avarice of mankind. The only difference is, that in a republic, the candidates for offices must look downwards, to gain the suffrages of the people; in a monarchy, they must turn their attention upwards, to court the good graces and savour of the great. To be successful in the former way, 'tis necessary for a man to make himself

useful, by his industry, capacity, or knowlege: To be prosperous in the latter way, 'tis requisite for him to render himself agreeable, by his wit, complaisance, or civility. A strong genius succeeds best in republics: A refined taste in monarchies. And consequently the sciences are the more natural growth of the one, and the polite arts of the other.

Not to mention, that monarchies, receiving their chief flability from a superstitious reverence to priests and princes, have almost always abridged the liberty of reasoning, with regard to religion and politics, and consequently metaphysics and morals. All these form the most considerable branches of science. Mathematics and natural philosophy, which only remain, are not half so valuable.

There is a very great connection among all the arts. which contribute to pleasure; and the same delicacy of taste, which enables us to make improvements in one, will not allow the others to remain altogether rude and barbarous. Amongst all the arts of conversation, no one pleases more than mutual deference or civility, which leads us to refign our own inclinations to those of our companion, and to curb and conceal that prefumption and arrogance so natural to the human mind. A goodnatured man, who is well educated, practifes this civility to every mortal without premeditation or interest. But, in order to render that valuable quality general among any people, it feems necessary to assist the natural dispofition by some general motive. Where power rifes upwards from the people to the great, as in all republics, fuch refinements of civility are apt to be little practifed, fince the whole state are, by that means, brought near to a level, and every member of it is rendered, in a great measure, independent of another. The people have the advantage, by the authority of their suffrages: The

great, by the superiority of their station. But in a civilized monarchy, there is a long train of dependence from the prince to the peasant, which is not great enough to render property precarious, or depress the minds of the people; but is sufficient to beget in every one an inclination to please his superiors, and to form himself upon those models, which are most acceptable to people of condition, and education. Positeness of manners, therefore, arises most naturally in monarchies and courts; and where that flourishes, none of the liberal arts will be altogether neglected or despised.

The republics in Europe are at present noted for want of politeness. The good manners of a Swiss civilized in Holland\*, is an expression for rusticity among the French. The English, in some degree, fall under the same censure, notwithstanding their learning and genius. And if the Venetians be an exception to the rule, they owe it, perhaps, to their communication with the other Italians, most of whose governments beget a dependence more than sufficient for civilizing their manners.

'Tis difficult to pronounce any judgment concerning the refinements of the ancient republics in this particular: But I am apt to suspect, that the arts of conversation were not brought so near to perfection among them as the arts of writing and composition. The scurrility of the ancient orators, in many instances, is quite shocking, and exceeds all belief. Vanity too is often not a little offensive in authors of that age +; as well as the

\* C'est la politesse d' un Suisse En Hollande civilisé.

ROUSSEAU.

† 'Tis needless to cite CICERO or PLINY on this head: They are too much noted: But one is a little furprized to find ARRIAN, a very grave, judicious writer, interrupt the thread of his narration all of a sudden, to tell his readers

common licentiousness and immodesty of their stile, Quicunque impudicus, adulter, ganeo, manu, ventre, pene, bona
patria laceraverat, says Sallust in one of the gravest
and most moral passages of his history. Nam fuit ante
Helenam Cunnus teterrima belli Causa, is an expression of
Horace, in tracing the origin of moral good and evil.
Ovid and Lucretius\* are almost as licentious in their
stile as my Lord Rochester; tho' the former were fine
gentlemen and delicate writers, and the latter, from the
corruptions of that court, in which he lived, seems to
have thrown off all regard to shame and decency. Juvenal inculcates modesty with great zeal; but sets a
very bad example of it, if we consider the impudence
of his expressions.

I shall also be so bold, as to affirm, That among the ancients, there was not much delicacy of breeding, or that polite deserence and respect, which civility obliges us either to express or counterseit towards the persons with whom we converse. Cicero was certainly one of the politest gentlemen of his age; and yet I must consess I have frequently been shocked with the poor sigure under which he represents his friend Atticus, in those dialogues, where he himself is introduced as a speaker. That learned and virtuous Roman, whose dignity, tho he was only a private gentleman, was inferior to that of no one in Rome, is there shewn in rather a more pitiful light than Philalethes's friend in our modern dialogues. He is a humble admirer of the orator,

readers that he himself is as emirent among the GREEKS for eloquence as ALEXANDER was for arms. Lib. 1.

<sup>\*</sup>This poet (See lib. 4. 1165) recommends a very extraordinary cure for love, and what one expects not to meet with in so elegant and philosophical a poem. It seems to have been the original of some of Dr. Swift's beautiful and cleanly images. The elegant Catullus and Phædrus fall under the same censure.

pays him frequent compliments, and receives his instructions, with all the deference a scholar owes to his master \*. Even CATO is treated in somewhat a cavalier manner in the dialogues de finibus. And 'tis remarkable, that CICERO, being a great fceptic in matters of religion, and unwilling to determine any thing on that head among the different fects of philosophy, introduces his friends disputing concerning the being and nature of the gods, while he is only a hearer; because, forsooth, it would have been an impropriety for fo great a genius as himself, had he spoke, not to have faid fomething decifive on the subject, and have carried every thing before him, as he always does on other occasions. There is also a spirit of dialogue observed in the eloquent books de Oratore, and a tolerable equality maintained among the speakers: But then these speakers are the great men of the age preceding the author, and he recounts the conference as only from hearfay.

One of the most particular details of a real dialogue, which we meet with in antiquity, is related by Polyburs, when Philip, king of Macedon, a prince of wit and parts, met with Titus Flaminius, one of the politest of the Romans as we learn from Plutarch; accompanied with ambassadors from almost all the Greek cities. The Ætolian ambassador very abruptly tells the king, that he talked like a fool or a madman (light) That's evident, says his majesty, even to a blind man; which was a raillery on the blindness of his excellency. Yet all this past not the usual bounds: For the conference was not disturbed; and Flaminius

<sup>\*</sup> ATT. Non mihi videtur ad beate vivendum fatis esse virtutem. Mar. At hercule Bauto meo videtur; cujus ego judicium, pace tua dixerim, longe antepono tuo. Tusc. Quæst. lib. 5.

<sup>†</sup> Lib. 17.

I In vita FLAMIN.

was very well diverted with these strokes of humour. At the end, when Philip craved a little time to consult with his friends, of whom he had none present, the Roman general, being desirous also to shew his wit, as the historian says, tells him, that perhaps the reason, why he had none of his friends with him, was because he had murdered them all; which was actually the case. This unprovoked piece of rusticity is not condemned by the historian, caused no farther resentment in Philip, than to excite a Sardonian smile, or what we call a grin, and hindered him not from renewing the conference next day. Plutarch \* too mentions this raillery amongst the witty and agreeable sayings of Flaminius.

'Tis but an indifferent compliment, which Horace pays to his friend Grosphus, in the ode addressed to him. No one, says he, is happy in every respect. And I may perhaps enjoy some advantages, which you are deprived of. You possess great riches: Your bellowing herds cover the Sicilan plains: Your chariot is drawn by the finest horses: And you are arrayed in the richest purple. But the indulgent fates, with a small inheritance, have given ME a fine genius, and have endowed me with a contempt for the malignant judgments of the vulgar †. Phædrus says to

\* In vita FLAMIN.

† Nihil est ab omni Parte beatum.

Abstulit clarum cita mors ACHILLEM,
Longa TITHONUM minuit senectus,
Et mihi forsan, tibi quod negarit,
Porriget hora,

Te greges centum, Siculæque circum Mugiunt vaccæ: tibi tollit, hinni-Tum apta quadrigis equa: te bis Afro Murice tinctæ

Vestiunt lanæ: mihi parva rura, & Spiritum Graiæ tenuem Camænæ Parca non mendax dedit & malignum Spernere vulgus.

Lib. 2. Ode 15.

his patron, EUTYCHUS, If you intend to read my works, I shall be pleased: If not, I shall, at least, have the advantage of pleasing posterity\*. I am apt to think that a modern poet would not have been guilty of such an impropriety as that which may be observed in Virgil's address to Augustus, when, after a great deal of extravagant flattery, and after having defined the emperor, according to the custom of those times, he, at last, places this god on the same level with himself. By your gracious nod, says he, render my undertaking prosperous; and taking pity, together with me, of the Swains ignorant of husbandry, bestow your favourable instuence on this work †. Had men, in that age, been accustomed to observe such niceties, a writer

- \* Quem si leges, lætabor; sin autum minus, Habebunt certe quo se oblectent posteri.
- † Ignarosque viæ mecum miseratus agrestes
  Ingredere, & votis jam nunc assuesce vocari.

One would not say to a prince or great man, "When you and I were in such a place, we saw such a thing happen:" But, "When you were in such a place, I attested you! And such a thing happened."

Here I cannot forbear mentioning a piece of delicacy observed in FRANCE; which seems to me excessive and ridiculous. You must not say, "That is a very fine dog, Madam," But, "Madam, that is a very fine dog." They think it indecent that those words, dog and madam, should be coupled together in the sentence; though they have no reference to each other in the sense.

After all, I acknowledge, that this reasoning from single passages of ancient authors may seem fallacious; and that the foregoing arguments cannot have great force, but with those who are well acquainted with these writers; and know the truth of the general position. For instance, what absurdity would it be to affert, that Virgit understood not the force of the terms he employs, and could not chuse his epithets with propriety? Because in the following lines, addressed also to Augustus, he has failed in that particular, and has ascribed to the Indians a quality, which seems, in a manner, to turn his hero into ridicule.

—— Et te maxime Cæsar, Qui nunc extremis Asiæ jam victor in oris Imbellem avertis Romanis arcibus Indum.

Georg. Lib. 2.

To delicate as VIRGIL would certainly have given a different turn to this fentence. The court of Augustus, however polite, had not yet, it feems, worn off the manners of the republic.

Cardinal Wolsey apologized for his famous piece of infolence, in faying, Ego et Rex Meus, I and my king, by observing, that this expression was exactly conformable to the Latin idiom, and that a Roman always named himself before the person to whom, or of whom he spake. Yet this seems to have been an instance of want of civility among that people. The ancients made it a rule, That the person of the greatest dignity should be mentioned first in the discourse: insomuch, that we find, the spring of a quarrel and jealousy between the Romans and Ætolians, to have been a poet's naming the Ætolians before the Romans, in celebrating a victory gained by their united arms over the Macedonians \*. Thus Livia disgusted Tiberius by placing her own name before his in an inscription †.

No advantages in this world are pure and unmixed. In like manner, as modern politeness, which is naturally so ornamental, runs often into affectation and soppery and disguise and infincerity; so the ancient simplicity, which is naturally so amiable and affecting, often degenerates into rusticity and abuse, scurrility and obscenity.

If the superiority in politeness should be allowed to modern times, the modern notions of gallantry and honour, the natural produce of courts and monarchies, will probably be assigned as the causes of this refinement. No one denies these inventions to be modern ‡. But

<sup>\*</sup> PLUT. in vita FLAMININI.

<sup>†</sup> TACET. Ann. lib. 3. cap. 64.

In the Self-Tormentor of TERENCE, CLIMAS, whenever he comes to town, inited of waiting on his miftress, fends for her to come to him.

fome of the most zealous partizans of the ancients, have afferted them to be foppish and ridiculous, and a reproach rather than credit to the present age \*. It may here be proper to examine this question, with regard both to gallantry and honour. We shall begin with gallantry.

Nature has implanted in all living creatures an affection between the fexes, which even in the fiercest and most rapacious animals, is not merely confined to the fatiffaction of the bodily appetite, but begets a friendship and mutual fympathy, which runs thro' the whole tenor of their lives. Nay, even in those species, where nature limits the indulgence of this appetite to one seafon and to one object, and forms a kind of marriage or affociation between a fingle male and female, there is yet a visible complacency and benevolence, which extends farther, and mutually foftens the affections of the fexes towards each other +. How much more must this have place in man, where the confinement of the appetite is not natural; but either is derived accidentally from fome strong charm of love, or arises from reflections on duty and convenience? Nothing, therefore, can proceed less from affectation than the passion of gallantry. 'Tis natural in the highest degree. Art and education, in the most elegant courts, make no more alteration on it, than on all the other laudable passions. They only turn the

My Lord SHAFTESBURY, fee his Moralifts.

† Tutti gli altri animai, che sono in terra,
O che vivon quieti & stanno in pace;
O se vengon a rissa, & si fan guerra,
A la femina il maschio non la face.
L' orsa con l' orso al bosco sicura erra,
La Leonessa appresso il Leon giace,
Con Lupo vive il Lupa sicura.
Ne la Guivenca ha del Torel paura,

Ariosto, Canto 5. mind

mind more towards it; they refine it; they polish it; and give it a proper grace and expression.

But gallantry is as generous as it is natural. To correct fuch gross vices, as lead us to commit a real injury to others, is the part of morals, and the object of the most ordinary education. Where that is not attended to. in some degree, no human society can subsist. But in order to render conversation, and the intercourse of minds more easy and agreeable, Good-manners have been invented, and have carried the matter fomewhat farther. Wherever nature has given the mind a propenfity to any vice, or to any passion disagreeable to others, refined breeding has taught men to throw the biass on the oppofite fide, and to preserve, in all their behaviour, the appearance of fentiments contrary to those which they naturally incline to. Thus, as we are commonly proud and felfish, and apt to assume the preference above others, a polite man learns to behave with deference towards those with whom he converses, and to yield the superiority to them in all the common incidents of fociety. In like manner, wherever a person's situation may naturally beget any disagreeable suspicion in him, 'tis the part of good-manners to prevent it, by a studied display of fentiments, directly contrary to those of which he is apt to be jealous. Thus, old men know their infirmities, and naturally dread contempt from the youth: Hence. well-educated youth redouble the inflances of respect and deference to their elders. Strangers and foreigners are without protection: Hence, in all polite countries, they receive the highest civilities, and are intitled to the first place in every company. A man is lord in his own family, and his guests are, in a manner, subject to his authority: Hence, he is always the lowest person in the company; attentive to the wants of every one; and giving himself all the trouble, in order to please, which may

not betray too visible an affectation, or impose too much constraint on his guests \*. Gallantry is nothing but an instance of the same generous and refined attention. As nature has given man the superiority above woman, by endowing him with greater strength both of mind and body; 'tis his part to alleviate that superiority, as much as possible, by the generosity of his behaviour, and by a studied deference and complaisance for all her inclinations and opinions. Barbarous nations display this superiority, by reducing their females to the most abject slavery; by confining them, by beating them, by felling them, by killing them. But the male fex, among a polite people, discover their authority in a more generous, though not a less evident manner; by civility, by respect, by complaifance, and, in a word, by gallantry. In good company, you need not ask, Who is the master of the feast? The man who sits in the lowest place, and who is always industrious in helping every one, is most certainly the person. We must either condemn all such instances of generofity, as foppish and affected, or admit of gallantry among the rest. The ancient Muscovites wedded their wives with a whip, instead of a weddingring. The same people, in their own houses, took always the precedency above foreigners, even + foreign. ambassadors. These two instances of their generosity and politeness are much of a piece.

Gallantry is not less consistent with wisdom and prudence, than with nature and generosity; and when under

<sup>\*</sup> The frequent mention in ancient authors of that ill-bred custom of the master of the family's eating better bread or drinking better wine at table, than he afforded his guests, is but an indifferent mark of the civility of those ages. See Juvenal. sat. 5. Plinii, lib. 14. cap. 13. Also Plinii Epist. Lucian de mercede conductis, Saturnalia, &c. There is scarce any part of Europe at present so uncivilized as to admit of such a custom.

<sup>+</sup> See Relation of three Embassies, by the Earl of CARLISLE.

proper regulations, contributes more than any other invention, to the entertainment and improvement of the youth of both fexes. In all vegetables, 'tis observable, that the flower and the feed are always connected together; and in like manner, among every species of animals, nature has founded on the love between the fexes their fweetest and best enjoyment. But the satisfaction of the bodily appetite is not alone of great value; and even among brute creatures, we find, that their play and dalliance, and other expressions of fondness, form the greatest part of the entertainment. In rational beings, we must certainly admit the mind for a confiderable share. we to rob the feast of all its garniture of reason, discourse, fympathy, friendship, and gaiety, what remains would scarce be worth acceptance, in the judgment of the truly elegant and luxurious.

What better school for manners, than the company of virtuous women; where the mutual endeavour to please must insensibly polish the mind, where the example of the semale softness and modesty must communicate itself to their admirers, and where the delicacy of that sex puts every one on his guard, lest he give offence by any breach of decency?

Among the ancients, the character of the fair-fex was confidered as altogether domestic, nor were they regarded as part of the polite world, or of good company. This, perhaps, is the true reason why the ancients have not left us one piece of pleasantry, that is excellent, (unless one may except the banquet of XENOPHON, and the dialogues of LUCIAN) though many of their serious compositions are altogether inimitable. HORACE condemns the coarse railleries and cold jests of PLAUTUS: But, though the most easy, agreeable, and judicious writer in the world, is his own talent for ridicule very striking or refined? This, therefore, is one considerable improvement, which the polite arts have

received from gallantry, and from courts, where it first arose.

The point of honour, or duelling, is a modern invention, as well as gallantry; and by some esteemed equally useful for the refining of manners: But how it has contributed to that effect, I am at a loss to determine. Conversation, among the greatest rustics, is not commonly infested with such rudeness as can give occasion to duels, even according to the most refined laws of this fantastic honour; and as to the other small indecencies, which are the most offensive, because the most frequent, they can never be cured by the practice of duelling. But these notions are not only useless: They are also perni-By separating the man of honour from the man of virtue, the greatest profligates have got something to value themselves upon, and have been able to keep themfelves in countenance, tho' guilty of the most shameful and most dangerous vices. They are debauchees, spendthrifts, and never pay a farthing they owe: But they are men of honour; and therefore are to be received as gentlemen in all companies.

There are some of the parts of modern honour, which are the most effential parts of morality; such as sidelity, the observing promises, and telling truth. These points of honour Mr. Addison had in his eye when he made Juba say,

Honour's a facred tye, the law of kings, The noble mind's distinguishing perfection, That aids and strengthens virtue when it meets her, And imitates her actions where she is not: It ought not to be sported with.

These lines are very beautiful: But I am afraid, that Mr. Addison has here been guilty of that impropriety

of fentiment, with which, on other occasions, he has fo justly reproached our poets. The ancients certainly never had any notion of honour as distinct from virtue-

But, to return from this digression, I shall advance it as a fourth observation on this subject, of the rise and progress of the arts and sciences, That when the arts and sciences come to perfestion in any state, from that moment they naturally, or rather necessarily decline, and seldom or never revive in that nation, where they formerly flourished.

It must be confessed, that this maxim, though conformable to experience, may, at first fight, be esteemed very contrary to reason. If the natural genius of mankind be the same in all ages, and in almost all countries, (as I am of opinion it is) it must very much forward and cultivate this genius, to be possessed of exact patterns in every art, which may regulate the tafte, and fix the obiects of imitation. The models left us by the ancients gave birth to all the arts about 200 years ago, and have mightily advanced their progress in every country of Eu-ROPE: Why had they not a like effect during the reign of TRAJAN and his fuccessors, when they were much more entire, and were still admired and studied by the whole world? So late as the emperor JUSTINIAN, the POET, by way of distinction, was understood, among the GREEKS, to be HOMER; among the ROMANS, Such admiration still remained for these divine Geniuses; though no poet had appeared for many centuries, who could justly pretend to have imitated them.

A man's genius is always, in the beginning of his life, as much unknown to himself as to others, and 'tis only after frequent trials, attended with success,

that he dares think himself equal to those undertakings, in which they who have succeeded, have fixed the admiration of mankind. If his own nation be already possessed of many models of eloquence, he naturally compares his own juvenile exercises with these; and being sensible of the infinite disproportion between them, is discouraged from any further attempts, and never aims at a rivalship with those authors, whom he so much admires. A noble emulation is the source of every excellence. Admiration and modesty naturally extinguish this emulation. And no one is so liable to an excess of admiration and modesty, as a truly great genius.

Next to emulation, the greatest encourager of the noble arts is praise and glory. A writer is animated with new force, when he hears the applaufes of the world for his former productions; and, being roused by fuch a motive, he often reaches a pitch of perfection, which is equally furprizing to himself, and to his readers. But when the posts of honour are all occupied, his first attempts are but coldly received by the public; being compared to productions, which are both in themselves more excellent, and have already the advantage of an established reputation. Were Mo-LIERE and CORNEILLE to bring upon the stage at present their early productions, which were formerly fo well releived, it would discourage the young poets, to see the indifference and disdain of the public. The ignorance of the age alone could have given admission to the Prince of TYRE; but 'tis to that we owe the Moor: Had Every man in his humour been rejected, we had never feen VCLPONE.

Perhaps it may not be for the advantage of any nation, to have the arts imported from their neighbours

153

in too great perfection. This extinguishes emulation, and finks the ardour of the generous youth. So many models of ITALIAN painting brought into BRITAIN, instead of exciting our artists, is the cause of their small progress in that noble art. The same, perhaps, was the case of Rome, when it received the arts from GREECE. That multitude of polite productions in the FRENCH language, dispersed all over GERMANY and the NORTH, hinder these nations from cultivating their own language, and keep them still dependent on their neighbours for those elegant entertainments.

'Tis true, the ancients had left us models in every kind of writing, which are highly worthy of admiration. But befides that they were wrote in languages, which were known only to the learned; befides this, I fay, the comparison is not so perfect nor intire between modern wits, and those who lived in so remote an age. Had Waller been born in Rome, during the reign of Tiberius, his first productions had been despited, when compared to the sinished odes of Horace. But in this island the superiority of the Roman poet diminished nothing from the same of the English. We esteemed ourselves sufficiently happy, that our climate and language could produce but a faint copy of so excellent an original.

In short, the arts and sciences, like some plants, require a fresh soil; and however rich the land may be, and however you may recruit it by art or care, it will never, when once exhausted, produce any thing that is persect or sinished in the kind.

## E S S A Y XV.

## The Epicurean \*.

that his utmost art and industry can never equal the meanest of nature's productions, either for beauty or value. Art is only the underworkman, and is employed to give a few strokes of embellishment to those pieces, which come from the hand of the master. Some of the drapery may be of his drawing; but he is not allowed to touch the principal figure. Art may make a suit of clothes: But nature must produce a man.

Even in those productions, which are commonly denominated works of art, we find that the noblest of the kind are beholden for their chief beauty to the force and happy influence of nature. To the Oestrum or native enthusiasm of the poets, we owe whatever is admirable in their productions. The greatest genius, where nature at any time fails him (for she is not equal) throws aside the lyre, and hopes not, from the rules of art, to reach that divine harmony, which must proceed from her inspiration

\* On, The man of elegance and pleasure. The intention of this and the three following essays is not so much, to explain accurately the sentiments of the ancient sects of philosophy, as to deliver the sentiments of sects, that naturally form themselves in the world, and entertain different ideas of human life and of happiness. I have given each of them the name of the philosophical sect, to which it bears the greatest affinity.

alone. How poor are those songs, where a happy flow of fancy has not surnished materials for art to embellish and refine!

But of all the fruitless attempts of art, no one is so ridiculous, as that which the fevere philosophers have undertaken, the producing an artificial happiness, and making us be pleased by rules of reason, and by re-Rection. Why did none of them claim the reward, which XERXES promised to him, who could invent a new pleafure? Unless, perhaps, they invented so many pleasures for their own use, that they despised riches, and stood in no need of any enjoyments, which the rewards of that monarch could procure them. I am apt, indeed, to think, that they were not willing to furnish the PER-SIAN court with a new pleasure, by presenting it with fo new and unusual an object of ridicule. Their speculations, when confined to theory, and gravely delivered in the schools of GREECE, might excite admiration in their ignorant pupils: But the attempting to reduce such principles to practice would foon have betrayed their abfurdity.

You pretend to make me happy by reason, and by rules of art. You must, then, create me anew by rules of art. For on my original frame and structure does my happiness depend. But you want power to effect this; and skill too, I am afraid: Nor can I entertain a less opinion of nature's wisdom than of yours. And let her conduct the machine, which she has so wisely framed. I find that I should only spoil it by my tampering.

To what purpose should I pretend to regulate, refine, or invigorate any of those springs or principles, which nature has implanted in me? Is this the road by which I must reach happiness? But happiness implies ease, contentment, repose, and pleasure; not watchfulness, care,

and

and fatigue. The health of my body consists in the facility with which all its operations are performed. The stomach digests the aliments: The heart circulates the blood: The brain separates and refines the spirits: And all this without my concerning myself in the matter. When by my will alone I can stop the blood, as it runs with impetuosity along its canals, then may I hope to change the course of my sentiments and passions. In vain should I strain my faculties, and endeavour to receive pleasure from an object, which is not sitted by nature to affect my organs with delight. I may give myfelf pain by my fruitless endeavours, but shall never reach any pleasure.

Away then with all those vain pretences of making ourselves happy within ourselves, of feasting on our own thoughts, of being satisfied with the consciousness of well-doing, and of despising all assistance and all supplies from external objects. This is the voice of PRIDE, not of NATURE. And it were well, if even this pride could support itself, and communicate a real inward pleasure, however melancholy or fevere. But this impotent pride can do no more than regulate the outside; and with infinite pains and attention compose the language and countenance to a philosophical dignity, in order to deceive the ignorant vulgar. The heart, mean while, is empty of all enjoyment: And the mind, unsupported by its proper objects, finks into the deepest forrow and dejection. Miserable, but vain mortal! Thy mind be happy within itself! With what resources is it endowed to fill fo immense a void, and supply the place of all thy bodily fenses and faculties? Can thy head subsist without thy other members? In fuch a fituation,

What foolish figure must it make? Do nothing else but sleep and ake.

Into fuch a lethargy, or fuch a melancholy, must thy mind be plunged, when deprived of foreign occupations, and enjoyments.

Keep me, therefore, no longer in this violent confirmant. Confine me not within myself; but point out to me those objects and pleasures, which afford the chief enjoyment. But why do I apply to you, proud and ignorant sages, to shew me the road to happiness? Let me consult my own passions and inclinations. In them must I read the dictates of nature; not in your frivolous discourses.

But see, propitious to my wishes, the divine, the amiable PLEASURE\*, the supreme Love of GODS and men, advances towards me. At her approach, my heart heats with genial heat, and every fense and every faculty is diffolved in joy; while she pours around me all the embellishments of the spring, and all the treasures of the autumn. The melody of her voice charms my ears with the foftest music, as she invites me to partake of those delicious fruits, which with a smile that diffuses a glory on the heavens and the earth, she presents to me. sportive Cupids, who attend her, or fan me with their odoriferous wings, or pour on my head the most fragrant oils, or offer me their sparkling nectar in golden goblets. O! for ever let me spread my limbs on this bed of roses. and thus, thus feel the delicious moments, with foft and downy fteps, glide along. But cruel chance! Whither do you fly so fast? Why do my ardent wishes, and that load of pleafures, under which you labour, rather haften than retard your unrelenting pace? Suffer me to enjoy this foft repose, after all my fatigues in search of happiness. Suffer me to satiate myself with these de-

<sup>\*</sup> Dia Voluptat. LUCRET.

licacies, after the pains of so long and so foolish an ab-

But it will not do. The roses have lost their hue: The fruit its flavour: And that delicious wine, whose fumes, fo late, intoxicated all my fenfes with fuch delight, now folicits in vain the fated palate. Pleasure smiles at my languor. She beckens her fifter, Virtue, to come to her affistance. The gay, the frolic Virtue observes the call, and brings along the whole troop of my jovial friends. Welcome, thrice welcome, my ever dear companions, to these shady bowers, and to this luxurious repast. Your presence has restored to the rose its hue. and to the fruit its flavour. The vapours of this fprightly nectar now again play around my heart; while you partake of my delights, and discover in your chearful looks, the pleasure which you receive from my happiness and satisffaction. The like do I receive from yours; and encouraged by your joyous presence, shall again renew the feaft, with which, from too much enjoyment, my fenfes were well nigh fated; while the mind kept not pace with the body, nor afforded relief to her over-burthened partner.

In our chearful discourses, better than in the formal reasonings of the schools, is true wisdom to be found. In our friendly endearments, better than in the hollow debates of statesmen and pretended patriots, does true virtue display itself. Forgetful of the past, secure of the suture, let us here enjoy the present; and while we yet possess a being, let us six some good, beyond the power of sate or fortune. To-morrow will bring its own pleasures along with it: Or should it disappoint our fond wishes, we shall at least enjoy the pleasure of restecting on the pleasures of to-day.

Fear not, my friends, that the barbarous dissonance of BACCHUS, and of his revellers, should break in upon

this entertainment, and confound us with their turbulent and clamorous pleasures. The sprightly muses wait around; and with their charming symphony, sufficient to soften the wolves and tygers of the savage desert, inspire a soft joy into every bosom. Peace, harmony, and concord reign in this retreat; nor is the silence every broke but by the music of our songs, or the chearful accepts of our friendly voices.

But hark! the favourite of the mules, the gentle DA-Mon, strikes the lyre; and while he accompanies its harmonious notes with his more harmonious fong, he infpires us with the same happy debauch of fancy, by which he is himself transported. "Ye happy youth," he fings, 46 Ye favoured of heaven\*, while the wanton fpring co pours upon you all her blooming honours, let not ec glory feduce you, with her delusive blaze, to pass in co perils and dangers this delicious feafon, this prime of ce life. Wisdom points out to you the road to pleasure: Nature too beckons you to follow her in that smooth and flowery path. Will you flut your ears to their commanding voice? Will you harden your heart to "their foft allurements? Oh, deluded mortals, thus to co lose your youth, thus to throw away so invaluable a er present, to trifle with so perishing a bleffing. Contemplate well your recompence. Consider that glory, " which fo allures your proud hearts, and feduces you "With your own praises. 'Tis an echo, a dream, nay the shadow of a dream, which is diffipated by every « wind, and lost by every contrary breath of the ignorant and ill-judging multitude. You fear note that even death itself shall ravish it from you. But be-

Giurefalemme liberata, Canto 14.

An imitation of the STRENS fong in TASSO.

<sup>&</sup>quot; O Giovinetti, mentre APRILE & MAGGIO

<sup>&</sup>quot; V' ammantan di fiorité & verde spoglie," &c.

<sup>&</sup>quot; hold!

of it; ignorance neglects it; nature enjoys it not; fancy alone, renouncing every pleasure, receives this airy recompence, empty and unstable as herself."

Thus the hours pass unperceived along, and lead in their wanton train all the pleasures of sense, and all the joys of harmony and friendship. Smiling innocence closes the procession; and while she presents herself to our ravished eyes, she embellishes the whole scene, and renders the view of these pleasures as transporting, after they have past us, as when, with laughing countenances, they were yet advancing towards us.

But the sun has sunk below the horison; and darkness stealing silently upon us, has now buried all nature in an universal shade. "Rejoice, my friends, continue "your repast, or change it for soft repose. Tho' absent, "your joy or your tranquillity shall still be mine." But whither do you go? Or what new pleasures call you from our society? Is there aught agreeable without your friends? And can aught please, in which we partake not? "Yes, "my friends; the joy, which I now seek, admits not of your participation. Here alone I wish your absence: And here alone can I find a sufficient compensation for the loss of your society."

But I have not advanced far thro' the shades of the thick wood, which spreads a double night around me, ere, methinks, I perceive through the gloom the charming Cælia, the mistress of my wishes, who wanders impatient thro' the grove, and preventing the appointed hour, silently chides my tardy steps. But the joy, which she receives from my presence, best pleads my excuse; and dissipating every anxious and every angry thought, leave room for nought but mutual joy and rapture. With what words, my fair one, shall I express my tenderness,

or describe the emotions which now warm my transported bosom! Words are too faint to describe my love; and if, alas! you feel not the same slame within you, in vain shall I endeavour to convey to you a just conception of it. But your every word and every motion suffice to remove this doubt; and while they express your passion, serve also to enslame mine. How amiable this solitude, this silence, this darkness! No objects now importune the ravished soul. The thought, the sense, all full of nothing but our mutual happiness, wholly possess the mind, and convey a pleasure, which deluded mortals vainly seek for in every other enjoyment.——

But why does your bosom heave with these fighs, while tears bathe your glowing cheeks? Why diffract your heart with fuch vain anxieties? Why so often ask me. How long my love shall yet endure? Alas, my CALIA, can I resolve this question? Do I know how long my life shall yet endure? But does this also disturb your tender breast? And is the image of our frail mortality for ever present with you, to throw a damp on your gayest hours. and poison even those joys which love inspires? Consider rather, that if life be frail, if youth be transitory, we fhould well employ the present moment, and lose no part of so perishable an existence. Yet a little moment and these shall be no more. We shall be, as if we had never been. Not a memory of us be left upon earth; and even the fabulous shades below will not afford us a habitation. Our fruitless anxieties, our vain projects, our uncertain speculations shall all be swallowed up and lost. Our present doubts, concerning the original cause of all things, must never, alas! be resolved. This alone we may be certain of, that if any governing mind prefide over the universe, he must be pleased to see us fulfil the ends of our being, and enjoy that pleasure, for which alone

alone we were created. Let this reflection give ease to your anxious thoughts; but render not your joys too ferious, by dwelling for ever upon it. 'Tis sufficient, once, to be acquainted with this philosophy, in order to give an unbounded loose to love and jollity, and remove all the scruples of a vain superstition: But while youth and passion, my fair-one, prompt our eager defires, we must find gayer subjects of discourse, to intermix with these amorous caresses.

ESSAY

## E S S A Y XVI.

The Store +.

THERE is this obvious and material difference in the conduct of nature, with regard to man and other animals, that having endowed the former with a fublime celestial spirit, and having given him an affinity with fuperior beings, the allows not fuch noble faculties to lie lethargic or idle; but urges him, by necessity, to employ, on every emergence, his utmost art and industry. Brute creatures have many of their necessities supplied by nature, being cloathed and armed by this beneficent parent of all things: And where their own industry is requifite on any occasion, nature, by implanting instincts, still supplies them with the art, and guides them to their good, by her unerring precepts. But man, exposed naked and indigent to the rude elements, rifes flowly from that helpless state, by the care and vigilance of his parents; and having attained his utmost growth and perfection, reaches only a capacity of subsisting, by his own care and vigilance. Every thing is fold to skill and labour; and where nature furnishes the materials, they are still rude and unfinished, till industry, ever active and intelligent, refines them from their brute state, and fits them for human use and convenience.

<sup>†</sup> Or the man of action and virtue.

Acknowlege, therefore, O man, the beneficence of nature: For she has given thee that intelligence which supplies all thy necessities. But let not indolence, under the false appearance of gratitude, persuade thee to rest contented with her presents. Wouldest thou return to the raw herbage for thy food, the open sky for thy covering, and to stones and clubs for thy defence against the ravenous animals of the desert? Then return also to thy savage manners, to thy timorous superstition, to thy brutal ignorance; and sink thyself below those animals, whose condition thou admirest, and wouldest so fondly imitate.

Thy kind parent, nature, having given thee art and intelligence, has filled the whole globe with materials for these talents to work upon: Hearken to her voice, which so plainly tells thee, that thou thyself shouldest also be the object of thy industry, and that by art and attention thou canst alone acquire that ability, which will raise thee to thy proper station in the universe. Behold this artizan, who converts a rude and shapeless stone into a noble metal; and molding that metal by his cunning hands, creates, as it were by magic, every weapon for his desence, and every utensil for his convenience. He has not this skill from nature: Use and practice hath taught it him: And if thou wouldest emulate his success, thou must follow his laborious sootsseps.

But while thou ambitiously aspirest to the perfecting thy bodily powers and faculties, wouldest thou meanly neglect thy mind, and from a preposterous sloth, leave it still rude and uncultivated, as it came from the hands of nature? Far be such folly and negligence from every rational being. If nature has been frugal in her gifts and endowments, there is the more need of art to supply her defects. If she has been generous and liberal, know that

she still expects industry and application on our part, and revenges herself in proportion to our negligent ingratitude. The richest genius, like the most fertile soil, when uncultivated, shoots up into the rankest weeds; and instead of vines and olives for the pleasure and use of man, produces, to its slothful owner, the most abundant crop of poisons.

The great end of all human industry, is the attainment of happiness. For this were arts invented, sciences cultivated, laws ordained, and focieties modelled, by the profoundest' wisdom of patriots and legislators. the lonely favage, who lies exposed to the inclemency of the elements, and the fury of the wild beafts, forgets not, for a moment, this grand object of his being. Ignorant as he is of every art of life, he keeps still in view the end of all those arts, and eagerly feeks for felicity amidst that darkness with which he is environed. But as much as the wildest favage is inferior to the polished citizen. who, under the protection of laws, enjoys every convenience which industry has invented; so much is this citizen himself inferior to the man of virtue, and the true philosopher, who governs his appetites, subdues his pasfions, and has learned, from reason, to set a just value on every pursuit and enjoyment. For is there an art and apprenticeship necessary for every other attainment? And is there no art of life, no rule, no precepts to directs us in this principal concern? Can no particular pleasure be attained without skill; and can the whole be regulated without reflection or intelligence, by the blind guidance of appetite and instinct? Surely then no mistakes are ever committed in this affair; but every man, however dissolute and negligent, proceeds in the pursuit of happiness, with as unerring a motion, as that which the celestial bodies observe, when, conducted by the hand of the Almighty, they roll along the ethereal plains. But if mistakes M 4

mistakes be often, be inevitably committed, let us register these mistakes; let us consider their causes; let us weigh their importance; let us inquire for their remedies. When from this we have fixed all the rules of conduct, we are philosophers: When we have reduced these rules to practice, we are sages.

Like many subordinate artists, employed to form the several wheels and springs of a machine: Such are those who excel in all the particular arts of life. He is the master workman who puts those several parts together, moves them according to just harmony and proportion, and produces true selicity as the result of their conspiring order.

While thou hast such an alluring object in view, shall that labour and attention, which is requisite to the attaining thy end, ever feem burdensome and intolerable? Know, that this labour itself is the chief ingredient of the felicity to which thou aspirest, and that every enjoyment foon becomes infipid and distasteful, when not acquired by fatigue and industry. See the hardy hunters rife from their downy couches, shake off the slumbers which still weigh down their heavy eye-lids, and, ere Aurora has yet covered the heavens with her flaming mantle, hasten to the forest. They leave behind, in their own houses, and in the neighbouring plains, animals of every kind, whose fiesh furnishes the most delicious fare, and which offer themselves to the fatal ftroke. Laborious man disdains so easy a purchase. feeks for a prey, which hides itself from his fearch, or flies from his pursuit, or defends itself from his violence. Having exerted in the chace every passion of the mind, and every member of the body, he then finds the charms of repose, and with joy compares its pleasures to those of his engaging labours.

And can vigorous industry give pleasure to the pursuit even of the most worthers prey, which frequently efcapes our toils? And cannot the same industry render the cultivating our mind, the moderating our passions, the enlightening our reason, an agreeable occupation; while we are every day fenfible of our progress, and behold our inward features and countenance brightening incessantly with new charms? Begin by curing yourself of this lethargic indolence; the task is not difficult: You need but tafte the fweets of honest labour. Proceed to learn the just value of every pursuit; long study is not requisite: Compare, tho' but for once, the mind to the body, virtue to fortune, and glory to pleafure. You will then perceive the advantages of industry: You will then be fenfible what are the proper objects of your industry.

In vain do you feek repose from beds of roses: In vain do you hope for enjoyment from the most delicious wines and fruits. Your indolence itself becomes a fatigue: Your pleasure itself creates disgust. The mind, unexercised, finds every delight insipid and loathsome; and ere yet the body, full of noxious humours, feels the torment of its multiplied diseases, your nobler part is sensible of the invading poison, and seeks in vain to relieve its anxiety by new pleasures, which still augment the fatal malady.

I need not tell you, that by this eager pursuit of pleafure, you more and more expose yourself to fortune and accidents, and rivet your affections on external objects, which chance may, in a moment, ravish from you. I shall suppose, that your indulgent stars favour you still with the enjoyment of your riches and possessions. I prove to you, that even in the midst of your luxurious pleasures, you are unhappy; and that, by too much indulgence, you are incapable of enjoying what prosperous fortune still allows you to posses. But surely the instability of fortune is a consideration not to be over-looked or neglected. Happiness cannot possibly exist, where there is no security; and security can have no place, where fortune has any dominion, Tho' that unstable deity should not exert her rage against you, the dread of it would still torment you; would disturb your slumbers, haunt your dreams, and throw, a damp on the jollity of your most delicious banquets.

The temple of wisdom is seated on a rock, above the rage of the fighting elements, and inaccessible to all the malice of man. The rolling thunder breaks below; and those more terrible instruments of human sury reach not to so sublime a height. The sage, while he breathes that serene air, looks down with pleasure, mixed with compassion, on the errors of mistaken mortals, who blindly seek for the true path of life, and pursue riches, nobility, honour, or power, for genuine selicity. The greatest part he beholds disappointed of their sond wishes: Some lament, that having once possessed the object of their desires, it is ravished from them by envious fortune: And all complain, that even their own vows, tho granted, cannot give them happiness, or relieve the anxiety of their distracted minds.

But does the fage preserve himself always in this philosophic indifference, and rest contented with lamenting the miseries of mankind, without ever employing himself for their relief? Does he constantly indulge this severe wisdom, which, by pretending to elevate him above human accidents, does in reality harden his heart, and render him careless of the interests of mankind, and of society? No: He knows that in this sullen Apathy, neither true wisdom nor true happiness are to be sound. He seels too strongly the charm of the social affections ever to counteract so sweet, so natural, so virtuous a

propensity. Even when, bathed in tears, he laments the miseries of human race, of his country, of his friends, and unable to give succour, can only relieve them by compassion; he yet rejoices in the generous disposition, and feels a satisfaction superior to that of the most indulged sense. So engaging are the sentiments of humanity, that they brighten up the very face of sorrow, and operate like the sun, which, shining on a dusky cloud or falling rain, paints on them the most glorious colours which are to be found in the whole circle of nature.

But 'tis not here alone, that the focial virtues display their energy. With whatever ingredient you mix them, they are still predominant. As forrow cannot overcome them, so neither can sensual pleasure obscure them. The joys of love, however tumultuous, banish not the tender fentiments of fympathy and affection. They even derive their chief influence from that generous paffion; and when prefented alone, afford nothing to the unhappy mind but lassitude and disgust. Behold this sprightly debauchee, who professes a contempt of all other pleafures but those of wine and jollity: Separate him from his companions, like a fpark from a fire, where before it contributed to the general blaze: His alacrity fuddenly extinguishes; and though furrounded with every other means of delight, he lothes the fumptuous banquet, and prefers even the most abstracted study and speculation, as more agreeable and entertaining.

But the focial paffions never afford such transporting pleasures, or make so glorious an appearance in the eyes both of GOD and man, as when, shaking off every earthly mixture, they affociate themselves with the sentiments of virtue, and prompt us to laudable and worthy actions. As harmonious colours mutually give and receive a lustre by their friendly union; so do these ennobling

nobling fentiments of the human mind. See the triumph of nature in parental affection! What felfish passion; what sensual delight is a match for it! Whether a man exults in the prosperity and virtue of his offspring, or slies to their succour, thro' the most threatning and tremendous dangers?

Proceed still in purifying the generous passion, you will still the more admire its shining glories. What charms are there in the harmony of minds, and in a friendship founded on mutual esteem and gratitude! What satisfaction in relieving the distressed, in comforting the assisted, in raising the fallen, and in stopping the career of cruel fortune, or of more cruel man, in their insults over the good and virtuous! But what supreme joy in the victories over vice as well as misery, when, by virtuous example or wise exhortation, our fellow-creatures are taught to govern their passions, reform their vices, and subdue their worst enemies, which inhabit within their own bosoms?

But these objects are still too limited for the human mind, which, being of celestial origin, swells with the divinest and most enlarged affections, and carrying its attention beyond kindred and acquaintance, extends its benevolent wishes to the most distant posterity. It views liberty and laws as the source of human happiness, and devotes itself, with the utmost alacrity, to their guardianship and protection. Toils, dangers, death itself, carry their charms, when we brave them for the public good, and ennoble that being, which we generously sacrifice for the interests of our country. Happy the man, whom indulgent fortune allows to pay to virtue what he owes to nature, and to make a generous gift of what must otherwise be ravished from him by cruel necessity?

In the true fage and patriot are united whatever can distinguish human nature, or elevate mortal man to a

the most undaunted resolution, the tenderest sentiments, the most undaunted resolution, the tenderest sentiments, the most sublime love of virtue, all these animate successively his transported bosom. What satisfaction, when he looks within, to find the most turbulent passions tuned to just harmony and concord, and every jarring sound banished from this enchanting music! If the contemplation, even of inanimate beauty, be so delightful; if it ravishes the senses, even when the fair form is foreign to us: what must be the effects of moral beauty? And what insuence must it have, when it embellishes our own mind, and is the result of our own reslection and industry?

But where is the reward of virtue? And what recompence has nature provided for such important sacrifices, as those of life and fortune, which we must often make to it? Oh, sons of earth! Are you ignorant of the value of the celestial mistress? And do you meanly inquire for her portion, when you observe her genuine charms? But know, that nature has been indulgent to human weakness, and has not left this favourite child naked and unendowed. has provided virtue of the richest dowry; but being careful, left the allurements of interest should engage such fuitors, as were infenfible of the native worth of so divine a beauty, she has wisely provided, that this dowry can have no charms but in the eyes of those who are already transported with the love of virtue. GLORY is the portion of virtue, the fweet reward of honourable toils, the triumphant crown, which covers the thoughtful head of the difinterested patriot, or the dusty brow of the victorious warrior. Elevated by so sublime a prize, the man of virtue looks down with contempt on all the allurements of pleafure, and all the menaces of danger. Death itself loses its terrors, when he considers, that its dominion extends only over a part of him, and that, in spite of death and time, the rage of the elements, and the endless vicissitude

of human affairs, he is affured of an immortal fame among all the fons of men.

There furely is a being who presides over the universe; and who, with infinite wifdom and power, has reduced the jarring elements into just order and proportion. fpeculative reasoners dispute, how far this beneficent being extends his care, and whether he prolongs our existence beyond the grave, in order to bestow on virtue its just reward, and render it fully triumphant. man of morals, without deciding any thing on fo dubious a fubject, is fatisfied with that portion which is marked out to him by the supreme disposer of all things. Gratefully he accepts of that farther reward prepared for him; but if disappointed, he thinks not virtue an empty name: but justly esteeming it its own reward, he gratefully acknowleges the bounty of his creator, who, by calling him forth into existence, has thereby afforded him an opportunity of once acquiring so invaluable a possession.

## E S S A Y XVII.

The PLATONIST +.

O fome philosophers it appears matter of surprize, that all mankind, possessing the same nature, and being endowed with the same faculties, should yet differ fo widely in their pursuits and inclinations, and that one should utterly condemn what is fondly fought after by another. To some it appears matter of still more furprize, that a man should differ so widely from himfelf at different times; and, after possession, reject with disdain what, before, was the object of all his vows and wishes. To me this feverish uncertainty and irresolution, in human conduct, seems altogether unavoidable; nor can a rational foul, made for the contemplation of the Supreme Being, and of his works, ever enjoy tranquillity or fatisfaction, while detained in the ignoble pursuits of sensual pleasure or popular ap-The divinity is a boundless ocean of bliss and glory: Human minds are smaller streams, which arising at first from this ocean, seek still, amid all their wanderings, to return to it, and to lose themselves in that immensity of perfection. When checked in this natural course, by vice or folly, they become furious and en-

<sup>+</sup> Or, the man of contemplation, and philosophical devotion.

raged; and, swelling to a torrent, do then spread horror and devastation on the neighbouring plains.

In vain, by pompous praise and passionate expresfion, each recommends his own pursuit, and invites the credulous hearers to an imitation of his life and manners. The heart belies the countenance, and fenfibly feels, even amid the highest success, the unsatisfactory nature of all those pleasures, which detain it from its true object. I examine the voluptuous man before enjoyment; I measure the vehemence of his defire, and the importance of his object; I find that all his happiness proceeds only from that hurry of thought which takes him from himself, and turns his view from his guilt and misery. I consider him a moment after; he has now enjoyed the pleasure, which he fondly sought after. The sense of his guilt and mifery returns upon him with double anguish: His mind tormented with fear and remorfe; his body depressed with disgust and satiety.

But a more august, at least a more haughty personage, presents himself boldly to our censure; and assuming the title of a philosopher and man of morals, offers to submit to the most rigid examination. He challenges with a visible, though concealed impatience, our approbation and applause; and seems offended, that we should hesitate a moment before we break out into admiration of his virtue. Seeing this impatience, I hesitate still more: I begin to examine the motives of his seeming virtue: But behold! ere I can enter upon this inquiry, he shings himself from me; and addressing his discourse to that crowd of heedless auditors, fondly abuses them by his magnificent pretensions.

O philosopher! thy wisdom is vain, and thy virtue unprofitable. Thou seekest the ignorant applauses of

men, not the folid reflections of thy own conscience, or the more solid approbation of that being, who, with one regard of his all-seeing eye, penetrates the universe. Thou surely art conscious of the hollowness of thy pretended probity, whilst calling thyself a citizen, a son, a friend, thou forgettest thy higher sovereign, thy true sather, thy greatest benefactor. Where is the adoration due to such infinite persection, whence every thing good and valuable is derived? Where is the gratitude, owing to thy creator, who called thee forth from nothing, who placed thee in all these relations to thy fellow-creatures, and requiring thee to sulfil the duty of each relation, forbids thee to neglect what thou owest to himself, the most persect being, to whom thou art connected by the closest tye?

But thou art thyself thy own idol: Thou worshippess thy imaginary perfections: Or rather, sensible of thy real imperfections, thou seekest only to deceive the world, and to please thy fancy, by multiplying thy ignorant admirers. Thus, not contented with neglecting what is most excellent in the universe, thou desirest to substitute in his place what is most vile and contemptible.

Confider all the works of mens hands; all the inventions of human wit, in which thou affectest so nice a discernment: Thou wilt find, that the most perfect production still proceeds from the most perfect thought, and that 'tis mind alone, which we admire, while we bestow our applause on the graces of a well proportioned statue, or the symmetry of a noble pile. The statuary, the architect comes still in view, and makes us resect on the beauty of his art and contrivance, which, from a heap of unformed matter, could extract such expressions and proportions. This superior beauty of thought Vol. I.

and intelligence thou thyfelf acknowlegeft, while thou invitest us to contemplate, in thy conduct, the harmony of affections, the dignity of fentiments, and all those graces of a mind, which chiefly merit our attention. But why stoppest thou short? Seest thou nothing farther that is valuable? Amid thy rapturous applauses of beauty and order, art thou still ignorant where is to be found the most consummate beauty? the most perfect order? Compare the works of art with those of nature. one are but imitations of the other. The nearer art approaches to nature the more perfect it is esteemed. But still, how wide are its nearest approaches, and what an immense interval may be observed between them? Art copies only the outlide of nature, leaving the inward and more admirable springs and principles; as exceeding her imitation; as beyond her comprehension. Art copies only the minute productions of nature, defoairing to reach that grandeur and magnificence, which are so astonishing in the masterly works of her original. Can we then be fo blind, as not to discover an intelligence and a defign in the exquisite and most stupendous contrivance of the universe? Can we be so stupid, as not to feel the warmest raptures of worship and adoration, upon the contemplation of that intelligent being, to infinitely good and wife ?

The most perfect happiness, surely, must arise from the contemplation of the most perfect object. But what more perfect than beauty and virtue? And where is beauty to be found equal to that of the universe? Or virtue, which can be compared to the benevolence and justice of the Deity? If aught can diminish the pleasure of this contemplation, it must be either the narrowness of our faculties, which conceals from us the greatest part of these beauties and perfections; or the short-

ness of our lives, which allows not time sufficient to instruct us in them. But 'tis our comfort, that if we employ worthily the faculties here assigned us, they will be enlarged in another state of existence, so as to render us more suitable worshippers of our maker: And that the task, which can never be finished in time, will be the business of an eternity.

## ESSAY XVIII.

## The SCEPTIC.

HAVE long entertained a great suspicion, with reagard to the decisions of philosophers upon all subjects, and found in myself a greater inclination to dispute, than to affent to their conclusions. There is one mistake to which they seem liable, almost without exception; they confine too much their principles, and make no account of that vaft variety, which nature has so much affected in all her operations. When a philofopher has once laid hold of a favourite principle, which perhaps accounts for many natural effects, he will apply the same principle over the whole creation, and reduce to it every phænomenon, tho' by the most violent and abfurd reasoning. Our own mind being narrow and contracted, we cannot extend our conception to the variety and extent of nature; but imagine, that she is as much bounded in her operations, as we are in our speculations.

But if ever this infirmity of philosophers is to be sufpected on any occasion, 'tis in their reasonings concerning human life, and the methods of attaining happiness. In that case, they are led astray, not only by the narrowness of their understandings, but also by that of their passions. Almost every one has a predominant inclination, to which all his other desires and affections sub-

N 3 mit,

mit, and which governs him, tho', perhaps, with some intervals, thro' the whole course of his life. 'Tis difficult for him to apprehend, that any thing, which appears totally indifferent to him, can ever give enjoyment to any person, or can possess charms, which altogether escape his observation. His own pursuits are always, in his account, the most engaging: The objects of his passion, the most valuable: And the road which he pursues, the only one that leads to happiness.

But would these prejudiced reasoners restect a moment, there are many obvious inflances and arguments, fufficient to undeceive them, and make them enlarge their maxims and principles. Do they not fee the vast variety of inclinations and pursuits among our species. where each man feems fully fatisfied with his own courfe of life, and would efteem it the greatest unhappiness to be confined to that of his neighbour? Do they not feel in themselves, that what pleases at one time, displeases at another, by the change of inclination; and that it is not in their power, by their utmost efforts, to recall that tafte or appetite, which formerly bestowed charms on what now appears indifferent or disagreeable? What is the meaning therefore of those general preferences of the town or country life, of a life of action or one of pleafure, of retirement or fociety; when, besides the different inclinations of different men, every one's experience may convince him, that each of these kinds of life is agreeable in its turn, and that their variety or their judicious mixture chiefly contributes to the rendering all of them agreeable.

But shall this business be allowed to go altogether at adventures? And must a man consult only his humour and inclination, in order to determine his course of life, without ever employing his reason to inform him what road is preserable, and leads most surely to happiness?

Is there no difference then between one man's conduct

I answer, There is a great difference. One man. following his inclinations, in chusing his course of life, may employ much furer means for fucceeding than another, who is led by his inclination into the same course of life, and pursues the same object. Are riches the chief object of your desires? Acquire skill in your profession; be diligent in the exercise of it; enlarge the circle of your friends and acquaintance; avoid pleasure and expence; and never be generous, but with a view of gaining more than you could fave by frugality. Would you acquire the public esteem? Guard equally against the extremes of arrogance and fawning. Let it appear that you fet a value upon yourself, but without despising others. If you fall into either of the extremes, you either provoke man's pride by your insolence, or teach them to despite you by your timorous submission, and by the mean opinion which you feem to entertain of yourself.

These, you say, are the maxims of common prudence, and discretion; what every parent inculcates on his child, and what every man of sense pursues in the course of life, which he has chosen.—What is it then you desire more? Do you come to a philosopher, as to a cunning man, to learn something by magic or witchcrast, beyond what can be known by common prudence and discretion?——Yes; we come to a philosopher to be instructed, How we shall chuse our ends, more than the means for attaining these ends: We want to know what desires we shall gratify, what passions we shall comply with, what appetites we shall indulge. As to the rest, we trust to common sense, and the general maxims of the world, for our instruction.

I am forry, then, I have pretended to be a philosopher: For I find your questions very perplexing; and am in danger, if my answer be too rigid and severe, of passing for a pedant and scholastic; if it be too easy and free, of being taken for a preacher of vice and immorality. However, to satisfy you, I shall deliver my opinion upon the matter, and only desire you to esteem it of as little consequence as I do myself. By that means you will, neither think it worthy of your ridicule nor your anger.

If we can depend upon any principle, which we learn from philosophy, this, I think, may be considered as certain and undoubted, That there is nothing in itself, valuable or despicable, desirable or hateful, beautiful or deformed; but that these attributes arise from the particular constitution and fabric of human sentiments and affections. What seems the most delicious food to one animal, appears loathsome to another: What affects the seeling of one with delight, produces uneasiness to another. This is consessed the case with regard to all the bodily senses: But if we examine the matter more accurately, we shall find that the same observation holds even where the mind concurs with the body, and mingles its sentiments with the exterior appetites.

Defire this passionate lover to give you a character of his mistres: He will tell you that he is at a loss for words to describe her charms, and will ask you very seriously if ever you was acquainted with a goddess or an angel? If you answer that you never was: He will then say, That 'tis impossible for you to form a conception of such divine beauties as those which his charmer possesses; so complete a shape; such proportioned features; so engaging an air; such sweetness of disposition; such gaiety of humour. You can infer nothing, however, from all this discourse, but that the poor man is in love; and that the general appetite between the sexes, which nature has insused into all animals, is in him determined

to a particular object by some qualities, which give him pleasure. The same divine creature, not only to a different animal, but also to a different man, appears a mere mortal being, and is beheld with the utmost indifference.

Nature has given all animals a like prejudice in favour of their offspring. As foon as the helpless infant sees the light, tho' in every other eye it appears a despicable and a miserable creature, it is regarded by its fond parent with the utmost affection, and is preferred to every other object, however perfect and accomplished. The passion alone, arising from the original structure and formation of human nature, bestows a value on the most insignificant object.

We may push the same observation further, and may conclude, that even when the mind operates alone, and feeling the fentiments of blame or approbation, pronounces one object deformed and odious, another beautiful and amiable; I say, that even in this case, those qualities are not really in the objects, but belong entirely to the fentiments of that mind which blames or praifes. I grant, that it will be more difficult to make this proposition evident, and as it were, palpable, to negligent thinkers, because nature is more uniform in the fentiments of the mind than in most feelings of the body. and produces a near refemblance in the inward than in the outward part of human kind. There is fomething approaching to principles in mental tafte; and critics can reason and dispute much more plausibly than cooks or perfumers. We may observe, however, That this uniformity among human kind, hinders not, but that there is a confiderable diverfity in the fentiments of beauty and worth, and that education, custom, prejudice, caprice, and humour frequently vary our taste of this kind. You will never convince a man, who is not accustomed to ITALIAN music, and has not an ear to follow its intricacies, that a Scots tune is not preferable. You have not even any fingle argument, beyond your own taffe; which you can employ in your behalf: And to your antagonift, his particular tafte will always appear a much more convincing argument to the contrary. If you be wife, each of you will allow, that the other may be in the right; and having many other inflances of this diversity of taste, you will both confess, that beauty and worth are merely of a relative nature, and consist in an agreeable sentiment, produced by an object on a particular mind, according to the peculiar structure and constitution of that mind.

By this diversity of sentiment, observable in human kind, nature has, perhaps, intended to make us sensible of her authority, and let us see what surprizing changes she could produce on the passions and desires of mankind, merely by the change of their inward fabric, without any alteration on the objects. The vulgar may even be convinced by this argument: But men accustomed to thinking may draw a more convincing, at least a more general argument, from the very nature of the subject.

In the operation of reasoning, the mind does nothing but run over its objects, as they are supposed to stand in reality, without adding any thing to them, or diminishing any thing from them. If I examine the PTOLOMAIC and COPERNICAN systems, I endeavour only, by my enquiries, to know the real situation of the planets; that is, in other words, I endeavour to give them, in my mind or conception, the same relations which they bear towards each other in the heavens. To this operation of the mind, therefore, there seems to be always a real, tho' often an unknown standard, in the nature of things; nor is truth or falshood variable by the various apprehensions of mankind. Tho' all human race should for ever conclude, that the sun moves, and the earth remains at

rest, the sun stirs not an inch from his place for all these reasonings; and such conclusions are eternally salse and erroneous.

But the case is not the same with the qualities of beautiful and deformed, desirable and odious, as with truth and falshood. In the former case, the mind is not contented with merely furveying its objects, as they fland in themfelves: It also feels a sentiment of delight or uneafiness. approbation or blame, confequent to that furvey; and this fentiment determines it to pronounce the object beautiful or deformed, definable or odious. Now, 'tis evident, that this fentiment must depend upon the particular fabric or structure of the mind, which enables such particular objects to operate in fuch a particular manner, and produces a sympathy or conformity between the mind and the objects. Vary the structure of the mind or inward organs, the fentiment no longer follows, tho' the objects remain the same. The sentiment being different from the object, and arifing from its operation upon the organs of the mind, an alteration upon the latter must vary the effect, nor can the same object. presented to a mind totally different, produce the same fentiment.

This conclusion every one is apt to form of himself, without much philosophy, where the sentiment is evidently distinguishable from the object. Who is not sensible, that power, and glory, and vengeance, are not desirable of themselves, but derive all their value from the structure of human passions, which begets a desire towards such particular objects? But with regard to beauty, whether natural or moral, the case is commonly supposed to be different. The agreeable quality is thought to lie in the object, not in the sentiment; and that merely because the sentiment is not so turbulent and violent as

to distinguish itself, in an evident manner, from the per-

But a very little reflection suffices to distinguish them. A man may know exactly all the circles and ellipses of the Copernican system, and all the irregular spirals of the Ptolomaic, without perceiving that the former is more beautiful than the latter. Euclid has very fully explained every quality of the circle, but has not, in any proposition, said a word of its beauty. The reason is evident. Beauty is not a quality of the circle. It lies not in any part of the line whose parts are all equally distant from a common centre. It is only the effect, which that sigure operates upon the mind, whose particular sabric or structure renders it susceptible of such sentiments. In vain would you look for it in the circle, or seek it, either by your senses, or by mathematical reasonings, in all the properties of that sigure.

The mathematician, who took no other pleasure in reading Virgil, but that of examining Eneas's voyage by the map, might understand perfectly the meaning of every Latin word, imployed by that divine author; and consequently, might have a distinct idea of the whole narration. He would even have a more distinct idea of it, than they could have who had not studied so exactly the geography of the poem. He knew, therefore, every thing in the poem: But he was ignorant of its beauty; because the beauty, properly speaking, lies not in the poem, but in the sentiment or taste of the reader. And where a man has no such delicacy of temper, as to make him feel this sentiment, he must be ignorant of the beauty tho' possessed the science and understanding of an angel\*.

The

<sup>\*</sup> Were I not afraid of appearing too philosophical, I should remind my reader of that famous doctrine, supposed to be fully proved in modern times, "That tastes and colours, and all other sensible qualities, lie not

The inference upon the whole is, that it is not from the value or worth of the object, which any person pursues, that we can determine his enjoyment, but merely from the passion with which he pursues it, and the success which he meets with in his pursuit. Objects have absolutely no worth or value in themselves. They derive their worth merely from the passion. If that be strong, and steady, and successful, the person is happy. It cannot reasonably be doubted, but a little miss, drest in a new gown for a dancing-school ball, receives as compleat enjoyment as the greatest orator, who triumphs in the splendor of his eloquence, while he governs the passions and resolutions of a numerous assembly.

All the difference, therefore, between one man and another, with regard to life, consists either in the passion, or in the enjoyment: And these differences are sufficient to produce the wide extremes of happiness and misery.

To be happy, the passion must neither be too violent nor too remiss. In the first case, the mind is in a perpetual hurry and tumult; in the second, it sinks into a disagreeable indolence and lethargy.

To be happy, the passion must be benign and social not rough or fierce. The affections of the latter kind are not near so agreeable to the feeling, as those of the former. Who will compare rancour and animosity, envy

"in the bodies, but merely in the senses." The case is the same with beauty and deformity, virtue and vice. This doctrine, however, takes off no more from the reality of the latter qualities, than from that of the former; nor need it give any umbrage either to critics or moralists. Tho colours were allowed to lie only in the eye, would dyers or painters ever be less regarded or esteemed? There is a sufficient uniformity in the senses and feelings of mankind, to make all these qualities the objects of art and reafoning, and to have the greatest influence on life and manners. And as 'tis certain, that the discovery above-mentioned in natural philosophy, makes no alteration on action and conduct; why should a like discovery in moral philosophy make any alteration?

and revenge, to friendship, benignity, clemency and gra-titude?

To be happy, the passion must be chearful and gay, not gloomy and melancholy. A propensity to hope and joy is real riches: One to fear and sorrow, real poverty.

Some passions or inclinations, in the enjoyment of their object, are not so steady or constant as others, nor convey such durable pleasure and satisfaction. Philosophical devotion, for instance, like the enthusiasm of a poet, is the transitory effect of high spirits, great leisure, a sine genius, and a habit of study and contemplation: But notwithstanding all these circumstances, an abstracted, invisible object, like that which natural religion alone presents to us, cannot long actuate the mind, or be of any moment in life. To render the passion of continuance, we must find some method of affecting the sense and imagination, and must embrace some historical as well as philosophical accounts of the divinity. Popular superstitions and observances are even sound to be of use in this particular.

Tho' the tempers of men be very different, yet we may fafely pronounce in general, that a life of pleafure cannot support itself so long as one of business, but is much more subject to satiety and disgust. The amusements, which are the most durable, have all a mixture of application and attention in them; such as gaming and hunting. And in general, business and action fill up the great vacancies of human life.

But where the temper is the best disposed for any enjoyment, the object is often wanting: And in this respect, the passions, which pursue external objects, contribute not so much to happiness, as those which rest in ourselves; since we are neither so certain of attaining such objects, nor so secure of possessing them. A passion for learning is preserable, with regard to happiness, to one for riches.

Some men are possessed of great strength of mind; and even when they pursue external objects, are not much affected by a disappointment, but renew their application and industry with the greatest chearfulness. Nothing contributes more to happiness than this turn of mind.

According to this fhort and imperfect sketch of human life, the happiest disposition of mind is the virtuous; or, in other words, that which leads to action and employment, renders us sensible to the social passions, steels the heart against the assaults of fortune, reduces the affections to a just moderation, makes our own thoughts an entertainment to us, and inclines us rather to the pleafures of fociety and conversation, than to those of the fenses. This, in the mean time, must be obvious to the most careless reasoner, that all dispositions of mind are not alike favourable to happiness, and that one passion or humour may be extremely defirable, while another is equally disagreeable. And indeed, all the difference between the conditions of life depends upon the mind; nor is there any one situation of affairs, in itself, preferable to another. Good and ill, both natural and moral, are entirely relative to human fentiment and affection. No man would ever be unhappy, could he alter his feelings. PROTEUS-like, he would elude all attacks, by the continual alterations of his shape and form.

But of this resource nature has, in a great measure, deprived us. The sabric and constitution of our mind no more depends on our choice, than that of our body. The generality of men have not even the smallest notion, that any alteration in this respect can ever be desirable. As a stream necessarily sollows the several inclinations of the ground, on which it runs; so are the ignorant and thought-

thoughtless part of mankind actuated by their natural propensities. Such are effectually excluded from all pretensions to philosophy, and the medicine of the mind, so much boasted. But even upon the wise and thoughtful, nature has a prodigious influence; nor is it always in a man's power, by the utmost art and industry, to correct his temper, and attain that virtuous character, to which he aspires. The empire of philosophy extends over a few; and with regard to these too, her authority is very weak and limited. Men may well be sensible of the value of virtue, and may desire to attain it; but 'tis not always certain, that they will be successful in their wishes.

Whoever confiders, without prejudice, the course of human actions, will find, that mankind are almost entirely guided by constitution and temper, and that general maxims have little influence, but so far as they affect our taste or sentiment. If a man have a lively sense of honour and virtue, with moderate passions, his conduct will always be conformable to the rules of morality; or if he depart from them, his return will be easy and expeditious. But, on the other hand, where one is born of fo perverse a frame of mind, of fo callous and insenfible a disposition, as to have no relish for virtue and humanity, no sympathy with his fellow creatures, no defire of esteem and applause; such a one must be allowed entirely incurable, nor is there any remedy in philofophy. He reaps no fatisfaction but from low and fenfual objects, or from the indulgence of malignant passions: He feels no remorfe to controul his vicious inclinations: He has not even that fense or taste, which is requisite to make him defire a better character: For my part, I know not how I should address myself to fuch a one, or by what arguments I should endeavour to reform him. Should I tell him of the inward fatisfaction which refults from laudable and humane actions, the delicate pleasures of difinterested love and friendship, the lafting enjoyments of a good name and an established character, he might still reply, that these were, perhaps, pleasures to such as were susceptible to them; but that, for his part, he finds himself of a quite different turn and disposition. I must repeat it; my philosophy affords no remedy in fuch a case, nor could I do any thing but lament this person's unhappy condition. But then I ask, If any other philosophy can afford a remedy; or if it be possible, by any system, to render all mankind virtuous, however perverse may be their natural frame of mind? Experience will foon convince us of the contrary; and I will venture to affirm, that perhaps, the chief benefit, which refults from philosophy, arises in an indirect manner, and proceeds more from its fecret, infenfible influence, than from its immediate application.

'Tis certain, that a ferious attention to the sciences and liberal arts, softens and humanizes the temper, and cherishes those fine emotions, in which true virtue and honour consists. It rarely, very rarely happens, that a man of taste and learning is not, at least, an honest man, whatever frailties may attend him. The bent of his mind to speculative studies must mortify in him the passions of interest and ambition, and must, at the same time, give him a greater sensibility of all the decencies and duties of life. He seels more fully a moral distinction in characters and manners, nor is his sense of this kind diminished, but, on the contrary, it is much encreased, by his speculations.

Besides such insensible changes upon the temper and disposition, 'tis highly probable, that others may be produced by study and application. The prodigious effects of education may convince us, that the mind is not aitogether stubborn and insexible, but will admit of many Vol. 1.

alterations from its original make and structure. Let a man propose to himself the model of a character, which he approves of: Let him be well acquainted with those particulars, in which his own character deviates from this model: Let him keep a constant watch over himself, and bend his mind, by a continual effort, from the vices, towards the virtues; and I doubt not but, in time, he will find, in his temper, an alteration to the better.

Habit is another powerful means of reforming the mind, and implanting in it good dispositions and inclinations. A man who continues in a course of sobriety and temperance, will hate riot and disorder: If he engage in business or study, indolence will seem a punishment to him: If he constrain himself to practise beneficence and affability, he will soon abhor all instances of pride and violence. Where one is thoroughly convinced that the virtuous course of life is preferable; if he has but resolution enough, for some time, to impose a violence on himself; his reformation needs not be despaired of. The missortune is, that this conviction and this resolution never can have place, unless a man be, before-hand, tolerably virtuous.

Here then is the chief triumph of art and philosophy: It insensibly refines the temper, and it points out to us those dispositions which we should endeavour to attain, by a constant bent of mind, and by repeated habit. Beyond this I cannot acknowledge it to have great influence; and I must entertain doubts concerning all those exhortations and consolations, which are in such vogue among all speculative reasoners.

We have already observed, that no objects are, of themselves, desirable or odious, valuable or despicable; but that objects acquire these qualities from the particular character and constitution of the mind, which surveys them. To diminish therefore, or augment any person's value for an object, to excite or moderate his passions, there are no direct arguments or reasons, which can be employed with any force or influence. The catching siles, like Domitian, if it give more pleasure, is preferable to the hunting wild beasts, like William Ruffus, or conquering kingdoms, like Alexander.

But the the value of every object can be determined only by the fentiments or passions of every individual, we may observe; that the passions, in pronouncing their verdict, consider not the object simply, as it is in itself, but survey it with all the circumstances, which attend it. A man transported with joy, on account of his possessing a diamond, confines not his view to the glistering stone before him: He also considers its rarity, and from thence chiefly arises his pleasure and exultation. Here therefore a philosopher may step in, and suggest particular views and considerations, and circumstances, which otherwise would have escaped us; and, by that means, he may either moderate or excite any particular passion.

It may feem unreasonable absolutely to deny the authority of philosophy in this respect: But it must be confessed, that there lies this strong presumption against it, that if these views be natural and obvious, they would have occurred of themselves, without the assistance of philosophy; if they be not natural, they never can have any influence on the affections. These are of a very delicate nature, and cannot be forced or constrained by the utmost art or industry. A consideration, which we seek for on purpose, which we enter into with difficulty, which we retain with care and attention, can never produce those genuine and durable movements of passion, which are the result of nature, and the constitution of the mind. A man may as well pretend to cure himself

of love, by viewing his mistress through the artificial medium of a microscope, or prospect, and beholding there the coarseness of her skin, and monstrous disproportion of her seatures, as hope to excite or moderate any passion by the artificial arguments of a Seneca or an Epicterus. The remembrance of the natural aspect and situation of the objects will, in both cases, still recur upon him. The reslections of philosophy are too subtile and distant to take place in common life, or eradicate any affection. The air is too sine to breathe in where it is above the winds and clouds of the atmosphere.

Another defect of those refined reflections, which philosophy presents to us, is, that commonly they cannot diminish or extinguish our vicious passions, without diminishing or extinguishing such as are virtuous, and rendering the mind totally indifferent and unactive. They are for the most part, general, and are applicable to all our affections. In vain do we hope to direct their influence only to one side. If by incessant study and meditation we have rendered them very intimate and present to us, they will operate throughout, and spread an universal insensibility over the mind. When we destroy the nerves, we extinguish the sense of pleasure, together with that of pain.

It will be easy, by one glance of the eye, to find one or other of these desects in most of those philosophical reslections, so much celebrated both in ancient and modern times. Let not the injuries or violence of men, say the philosophers \*, ever discompose you by anger or batred. Would you be angry at the ape for its malice, or the tyger for its ferocity? This reslection leads us into a bad opinion of human nature, and must extinguish the social affections. It tends also to remove all remorse for a man's own

<sup>\*</sup> PLUT. de ira cobibenda.

crimes, when he confiders, that vice is as natural to mankind, as the particular inftincts to brute creatures.

All ills arise from the order of the universe, which is absolutely perfect. Would you wish to disturb so divine an order for the sake of your own particular interest? What if the ills I suffer arise from malice or oppression? But the vices and impersections of men are also comprehended in the order of the universe.

If plagues and earthquakes break not heav'n's design, Why then a BORGIA or a CATILINE?

Let this be allowed; and my own vices will also be a part of the same order.

To one who said, that none was happy, who was not above opinion, a SPARTAN replied, then none are happy but knaves and robbers \*.

Man is born to be miserable; and is he surprized at any particular missortune? And can he give way to sorrow and lamentation upon account of any disaster? Yes: He very reasonably laments, that he should be born to be miserable. Your consolation presents a hundred ills for one, that you pretend to ease him of.

You should always have before your eyes death, disease, poverty, blindness, exile, calumny, and infamy, as ills which are incident to human nature. When any one of these ills falls to your lot, you will bear it the better that you have laid your account with it. I answer, If we confine ourselves to a general and distant reslection on the ills of human life, that can have no effect to prepare us for them. If by close and intense meditation we render them present and intimate to us, that is the true secret to poison all our pleasures, and render us perpetually miserable.

<sup>\*</sup> PLUT. Lacen. Apophibeg.

Your forrow is fruitless, and will not change the course of destiny. Very true: And for that very reason I am forry.

Cicero's consolation for deafness is somewhat curious. How many languages are there, says he, which you do not understand? The Punic, Spanish, Gallic, Ægyptian, &c. With regard to all these, you are as if you were deaf, and yet you are indifferent about the matter. Is it then so great a missortune to be deaf to one language more \*?

I like better the repartee of ANTIPATER the CYRE-NAIC, when fome women were condoling with him for his blindness: What! says he, Do you think there are no pleasures in the dark?

Nothing can be more destructive, says Fontenelle, to ambition, and the passion for conquest, than the true system of astronomy. What a poor thing is even the whole globe in comparison of the infinite extent of nature? This consideration is evidently too distant ever to have any effect. And if it had any, would it not destroy patriotism as well as ambition? The same gallant author adds with some reason, that the bright eyes of the ladies are the only objects, which lose nothing of their lustre or value from the most extensive views of astronomy and philosophy, but stand proof against every system. Would philosophers advise us to limit our affection to them?

Exile, says Plutarch to a friend in banishment, is no evil: Mathematicians tell us, that the whole earth is but as a point, compared to the heavens. To change one's country, then, is little more than to remove from one street to another. Man is not a plant, rooted to a certain spot of earth: All soils and all climates are alike suited to him †. These topics are admirable, could they fall only into the hands

<sup>\*</sup> Tusc. Quaft. Lib. V.

<sup>+</sup> De exilio.

of banished persons. But what if they come also to the knowledge of those employed in public affairs, and deferoy all their attachment to their native country? Or will they operate like the quack's medicine, which is equally good for a diabetes and a dropsy?

'Tis certain, were a superior being thrust into a human body, that the whole of life would to him appear fo mean, contemptible and puerile, that he never could be induced to take part in any thing, and would scarcely give attention to what passes around him. To engage him to fuch a condescension as to play even the part of a PHILIP with zeal and alacrity, would be much more difficult than to constrain the same PHILIP, after having been a king and a conqueror during fifty years, to mend old fhoes with proper care and attention; the occupation which LUCIAN affigns him in the infernal regions. Now all the same topics of disdain towards human affairs, which could operate on this supposed being, occur also to a philosopher; but being, in some measure, difproportioned to human capacity, and not being fortified by the experience of any thing better, they make not a full impression on him. He sees, but he seels not sufficiently their truth; and is always a fublime philosopher, when he needs not; that is, as long as nothing diffurbs him, or rouzes his affections. While others play, he wonders at their keenness and ardour; but he no sooner puts in his own stake, than he is commonly transported with the fame passions, which he had so much condemned while he remained a fimple spectator.

There are chiefly two confiderations to be met with in books of philosophy, from which any important effect is to be expected, and that because these considerations are drawn from common life, and occur upon the most superficial view of human affairs. When we restect on the shortness and uncertainty of life, how despicable

feem all our pursuits of happiness? And even, if we would extend our concern beyond our own life, how frivolous appear our most enlarged and most generous projects; when we consider the incessant changes and revolutions of human affairs, by which laws and learning, books and governments are hurried away by time, as by a rapid stream, and are lost in the immense ocean of matter? Such a restection certainly tends to mortify all our passions: But does it not thereby counterwork the artistice of nature, who has happily deceived us into an opinion, that human life is of some importance? And may not such a restection be employed with success by voluptuous reasoners, in order to lead us from the paths of action and virtue, into the flowery fields of indolence and pleasure?

We are informed by Thucyddes, that, during the famous plague of Athens, when death seemed present to every one, a dissolute mirth and gaiety prevailed among the people, who exhorted one another to make the most of life as long as it endured. The same observation is made by Boccace with regard to the plague of Florence. A like principle makes soldiers, during war, to be more addicted to riot and expence, than any other race of men. Present pleasure is always of importance; and whatever diminishes the importance of all other objects must bestow on it an additional influence and value.

The fecond philosophical consideration, which may often have an influence on the affections, is derived from a comparison of our own condition with the condition of others. This comparison we are continually making, even in common life; but the misfortune is, that we are apt rather to compare our situation with that of our superiors, than with that of our inferiors. A philosopher corrects this natural infirmity, by turning his view to the other side, in order to render himself easy in the situation

fituation in which fortune has placed him. There are few people, who are not susceptible of some consolation from this reflection, though to a very good natured man, the view of human miseries should rather produce forrow than comfort, and add to his lamentations for his own misfortunes a deep compassion for those of others. Such is the impersection, even of the best of these philosophical topics of consolation \*.

I shall

- \* The Sceptic, perhaps, carries the matter too far, when he limits all philosophical topics and reflections to these two. There seem to be others, whose truth is undeniable, and whose natural tendency is to tranquillize and soften all the passions. Philosophy greedily seizes these, studies them, weighs them, commits them to the memory, and samiliarizes them to the mind: and their influence on tempers, which are thoughtful, gentle, and moderate, may be considerable. But what is their influence, you will say, if the temper be antecedently disposed after the same manner to which they pretend to form it? They may, at least, fortify that temper, and furnish it with views, by which it may entertain and nourish itself. Here are a few examples of such philosophical reflections.
- 1. Is it not certain, that every condition has concealed ills? Then why envy any body?
- z. Every one has known ills; and there is a compensation throughout. Why not be contented with the present?
- 3. Cuftom deadens the fense both of the good and the ill, and levels every thing.
- 4. Health and humour all. The rest of little consequence, except these be affected.
  - 5. How many other goods have I? Then why be vexed for one ill?
- 6. How many are happy in the condition of which I complain? How many envy me?
- 7. Every good must be paid for: Fortune by labour, favour by slattery. Would I keep the price, yet have the commodity?
  - 8. Expect not too great happiness in life. Human nature admits it not:
- 9. Propose not a happiness too complicated. But does that depend on me? Yes: The first choice does. Life is like a game: One may choose the game: And passion, by degrees, seizes the proper object.
- 10. Anticipate by your hopes and fancy future confolation, which time infallibly brings to every affliction.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, That the virtue be undoubtedly the best choice, when it is attainable; yet such is the disorder and consusion of human affairs, that no perfect economy or regular distribution of happiness and misery is ever, in this life, to be expected. Not only the goods of fortune, and the endowments of the body (both which are of great importance) not only these advantages, I say, are unequally divided between the virtuous and vicious, but even the mind itself partakes, in some degree, of this disorder, and the most worthy character, by the very economy of the passions, enjoys not always the highest selicity.

'Tis observable, that tho' every bodily disease or pain proceeds from some disorder in the parts, yet the pain is

No. I defire to be rich. Why? That I may possess many fine objects; houses, gardens, equipage, &c. How many fine objects does nature offer to every one without expence? If enjoyed, sufficient. If not: See the effect of custom or of temper, which would soon take off the relish of the riches.

12. I defire fame. Let this occur: If I act well, I shall have the eftem of all my acquaintance. And what is all the rest to me?

These reflections are so obvious, that 'tis a wonder they occur not to every man: So convincing, that 'tis a wonder they perfuade not every man. But perhaps they do occur to and perfuade most men; when they consider human life, by a general and calm furvey: But where any real, affecting incident happens; when passion is awakened, fancy agitated, example draws, and counsel urges; the philosopher is lost in the man, and he fearches in vain for that perfuasion, which before seemed so firm and unshaken. What remedy for this inconvenience? Affist yourself by a frequent perusal of the entertaining moralists: Have recourse to the learning of PLUTARCH, the imagination of LUCIAN, the eloquence of CICERO, the wit of SENECA, the gaiety of MONTAIGNE, the fublimity of SHAFT-ESBURY. Moral precepts, so couched, strike deep, and fortify the mind against the illusions of passion. But trust not altogether to external aid: By habit and fludy acquire that philosophic temper, which both gives force to reflection, and by rendering a great part of your happiness independant, takes off the edge from all disorderly passions, and tranquilizes the mind. Despise not these helps; but confide not too much in them neither: unless nature has been favourable in the temper, with which she has endowed 300.

not always proportioned to the diforder; but is greater or lefs, according to the greater or lefs fenfibility of the part, upon which the noxious humours exert their influence. A tooth-ach produces more violent convulsions of pain than a phthisis or a dropsy. In like manner, with regard to the constitution of the mind, we may observe, that all vice is indeed pernicious; but yet the diffurbance or pain is not measured out by nature with exact proportion to the degrees of vice, nor is the man of highest virtue, even abstracting from external accidents, always the most happy. A gloomy and melancholy disposition is certainly, to our fentiments, a vice or imperfection; but as it may be accompanied with great fense of honour and great integrity, it may be found in very worthy characters; though 'tis fufficient alone to imbitter life, and render the person affected with it compleatly miserable. On the other hand, a felfish villain may possess a spring and alacrity of temper, a certain gaiety of heart, which is indeed a good quality, but which is rewarded much beyond its merit, and when attended with good fortune, will compenfate the uneafiness and remorfe arising from all the other vices.

I shall add, as an observation to the same purpose, that if a man be liable to a vice or impersection, it may often happen, that a good quality, which he possesses with it, will render him more miserable than if he were completely vicious. A person of such imbecility of temper, as to be easily broke by affliction, is more unhappy for being endowed with a generous and friendly disposition, which gives him a lively concern for others, and exposes him the more to fortune and accidents. A sense of shame, in an impersect character, is certainly a virtue, but produces great uneasiness and remorse, from which the abandoned villain is entirely free. A very amorous complexion, with a heart incapable of friendship, is hap-

pier than the same excess in love, with a generosity of temper, which transports a man beyond himself, and renders him a total slave to the object of his passion.

In a word, human life is more governed by fortune than by reason; is to be regarded more as a dull pastime than as a ferious occupation; and is more influenced by particular humour than by general principles. engage ourselves in it with passion and anxiety? It is not worthy of so much concern. Shall we be indifferent about what happens? We lose all the pleasure of the game by our phlegm and carelessness. While we are reafoning concerning life, life is gone; and death, though perhaps they receive him differently, yet treats alike the fool and the philosopher. To reduce life to exact rule and method, is commonly a painful, oft a fruitless occupation: And is it not also a proof, that we overvalue the prize for which we contend? Even to reason so carefully concerning it, and to fix with accuracy its just idea, would be over-valuing it, were it not that, to fome, tempers, this occupation is one of the most amusing, in which life could possibly be employed.

## E S S A Y XIX.

Of POLYGAMY and DIVORCES.

A S marriage is an engagement entered into by mutual confent, and has for its end the propagation of the species, 'tis evident, that it must be susceptible of all the variety of conditions, which consent establishes, provided they be not contrary to this end.

A man, in conjoining himself to a woman, is bound, to her according to the terms of his engagement: In begetting children, he is bound, by all the ties of nature and humanity, to provide for their subsistence and education. When he has performed these two parts of duty, no being can reproach him with injustice or injury. And as the terms of his engagement as well as the methods of subsisting his offspring, may be very various, 'tis mere superstition to imagine, that marriage can be entirely uniform, and will admit only of one mode or form. Did not human laws restrain the natural liberty of men, every particular marriage would be as different, as contracts or bargains of any other kind or species.

As circumstances vary, and the laws propose different advantages, we find, that, in different times and places, they impose different conditions on this important contract. In Tonquin 'tis usual for the sailors, when the ships come into the harbour, to marry for the season; and.

and, notwithstanding this precarious engagement, they are assured, 'tis said, of the strictest fidelity to their bed, as well as in the whole management of their affairs from those temporary spouses.

I cannot, at present, recoilect my authorities; but I have somewhere read, That the republic of ATHENS having lost many of its citizens by war and pestilence, allowed every man to marry two wives, in order the sooner to repair the waste which had been made by these calamities. The poet Euripides happened to be coupled to two noisy Vixens, who so plagued him with their jealousies and quarrels, that he became ever after a professed woman-bater; and is the only theatrical writer, perhaps the only poet, who ever entertained an aversion against the whole sex.

In that agreeable romance, called the History of the Sevarambians, where a great many men and a few women are supposed to be shipwrecked on a desert coast; the captain of the troop, in order to obviate those endless quarrels which arose, regulates their marriages after the following manner: he takes a handsome semale to himself alone; assigns one to every couple of inferior officers; and to five of the lowest rank he gives one wise in common. Could the greatest legislator, in such circumstances, have contrived matters with greater wisdom?

The ancient Britons had a very fingular kind of marriage, which is to be met with among no other people. Any number of them, as ten or a dozen, joined in a fociety together, which was perhaps requisite for mutual defence in those barbarous times. In order to link this fociety the closer, they took an equal number of wives in common, and whatever children were born, were reputed to belong to all of them, and were accordingly provided for by the whole community.

Among the inferior creatures, nature herfelf, being the supreme legislator, prescribes all the laws which regulate their marriages, and varies those laws according to the different circumstances of the creature. Where fhe furnishes, with ease, food and defence to the newborn animal, the present embrace terminates the marriage; and the care of the offspring is committed entirely to the female. Where the food is of more difficult purchase, the marriage continues for one season, till the common progeny can provide for itself; and then the union immediately diffolves, and leaves each of the parties free to enter into a new engagement at the enfuing feafon. But nature having endowed man with reafon, has not so exactly regulated every article of his marriage contract, but has left him to adjust them, by his own prudence, according to his particular circumstances and fituation. Municipal laws are a supply to the wisdom of each individual; and at the same time, by restraining the natural liberty of men, make the private interest fubmit to the interest of the public. All regulations, therefore, on this head are equally lawful, and equally conformable to the principles of nature; tho' they are not all equally convenient, or equally useful to society. The laws may allow of polygamy, as among the Eastern nations; or of voluntary divorces, as among the GREEKS and ROMANS; or they may confine one man to one woman, during the whole course of their lives, as among the modern Europeans. It may not be difagreeable to confider the advantages and difadvantages which result from each of these institutions.

The advocates for polygamy may recommend it as the only effectual remedy for the furies and disorders of love, and the only expedient for freeing men from that slavery to the semales, which the natural violence of our paffions has imposed on us. By this means alone can we regain

revain our right of fovereignty; and, fating our appeti e, re-establish the authority of reason in our minds, and, by confequence, our own authority in our families. Man, like a weak fovereign, being unable to support himself against the wiles and intrigues of his subjects, must play one faction against another, and become absolute by the mutual jealousies of the females. vide and to govern is an universal maxim; and by neglecting it, the EUROPEANS undergo a more grievous and a more ignominious flavery than the TURKS or PERSIANS, who are subjected indeed to a sovereign, that lies at a distance from them, but in their domestic affairs rule with an uncontroulable fway. An honest Turk, who should come from his feraglio, where every one trembles before him, would be surprized to see Sylvia in her drawing-room, adored by all the beaus and pretty fellows about town, and he would certainly take her for fome. mighty despotic queen, surrounded by her guard of obfequious flaves and eunuchs.

On the other hand, it may be urged with better reafon, that this fovereignty of the male is a real usurpation, and destroys that nearness of rank, not to say equality, which nature has established between the sexes. We are, by nature, their lovers, their friends, their patrons: Would we willingly change such endearing appellations, for the barbarous titles of master and tyrant?

In what capacity shall we gain by this inhuman proceeding? As lovers, or as husbands? The lover, is totally annihilated; and courtship, the most agreeable scene in human life, can no longer have place, where women have not the free disposal of themselves, but are bought and fold, like the meanest animals. The husband is as little a gainer, having found the admirable secret of extinguishing every part of love, except its jealousy. There is no rose without its thorn; but he must be a sooiss.

foolish wretch indeed, who throws away the rose and preserves only the thorn.

I would not willingly infift upon it as an advantage in our European customs, what was observed by Mehemet Effendi the last Turkish ambassador in France. We Turks, says he, are great simpletons in comparison of the Christians. We are at the expence and trouble of keeping a seraglio, each in his own house: But you ease yourselves of this burden, and have your seraglio in your friends houses. The known virtue of our British ladies frees them sufficiently from this imputation: And the Turk himself, had he travelled among us, must have owned, that our free commerce with the fair sex, more than any other invention, embellishes, enlivens, and polishes society.

But the ASIATIC manners are as destructive to friendship as to love. Jealousy excludes men from all intimacies and samiliarities with each other. No man dares
bring his friend to his house or table, less he bring a
lover to his numerous wives. Hence all over the east,
each samily is as separate from another, as if they were
so many distinct kingdoms. No wonder then, that SoLomon, living like an eastern prince, with his seven
hundred wives, and three hundred concubines, without
one friend, could write so pathetically concerning the
vanity of the world. Had he tried the secret of one
wise or mistress, a few friends, and a great many companions, he might have sound life somewhat more agreeable. Destroy love and friendship; what remains in the
world worth accepting?

The bad education of children, especially children of condition, is another unavoidable consequence of these eastern institutions. Those, who pass all the early part of life among slaves, are only qualified to be, them-

felves, flaves and tyrants; and in every future intercourse, either with their inferiors or superiors, are apt to forget the natural equality of mankind. What attention, too, can it be supposed a parent, whose seraglio affords him fifty sons, will give to the instilling principles of morality or science into a progeny, with whom he himself is scarcely acquainted, and whom he loves with so divided an affection? Barbarism, therefore, appears, from reason as well as experience, to be the inseparable concomitant of polygamy.

To render polygamy more odious, I need not recount the frightful effects of jealousy, and the constraint in which it holds the fair-fex all over the east. In those countries men are not allowed to have any commerce with the females, not even physicians, when sickness may be supposed to have extinguished all wanton passions in the bosoms of the fair, and, at the same time, has rendered them unfit objects of defire. Tournefort tells us. That when he was brought into the grand fignior's feraglio as a physician, he was not a little furprized, in looking along a gallery, to see a great number of naked arms, standing out from the sides of the room. He could not imagine what this could mean; till he was told, that those arms belonged to bodies, which he must cure, without knowing any more about them, than what he could learn from the arms. He was not allowed to ask a question of the patient, or even of her attendants, lest he might find it necessary to enquire concerning circumstances, which the delicacy of the feraglio allows Hence the phylicians in the east not to be revealed. pretend to know all diseases from the pulse; as our quacks in Europe undertake to cure a person merely from seeing his water. I suppose, had Monsieur Tournefort been of this latter kind, he would not, in CONSTAN-TINOPLE, have been allowed by the jealous TURKS to

be furnished with materials requisite for exercising his

In another country, where polygamy is also allowed, they render their wives cripples, and make their feet of no use to them, in order to confine them to their own houses. But it will, perhaps, appear strange, that in an EUROPEAN country, where polygamy is not allowed, jealoufy can yet be carried to fuch a height, that 'tis indecent so much as to suppose, that a woman of rank can have feet or legs. A SPANIARD is jealous of the very thoughts of those who approach his wife; and, if possible, will prevent his being dishonoured, even by the wantonness of imagination. Witness the following story, which we have from very good authority t. When the mother of the late king of SPAIN was on her road towards MADRID, she passed thro' a little town in SPAIN, famous for its manufactory of gloves and stockings. The honest magistrates of the place thought they could not better express their joy for the reception of their new queen, than by presenting her with a sample of those . commodities, for which alone their town was remarkable. The major-domo, who conducted the princess, received the gloves very graciously: But when the stockings were presented, he flung them away with great indignation. and severely reprimanded the magistrates for this egregious piece of indecency. Know, fays he, that a queen of SPAIN has no legs. The poor young queen, who, at that time, understood the language but imperfectly, and had been often frightened with stories of Spanish jealoufy, imagined that they were to cut off her legs. Upon which she fell a crying, and begged them to conduct her back to GERMANY; for that the never could endure that operation: And it was with some difficulty

<sup>†</sup> Memoires de la cour d'Espagna par Madame d' Aunoy.

they could appeale her. PHILIP IV. is faid never in his life to have laughed heartily, but at the recital of this story.

If a Spanish lady must not be supposed to have legs, what must be supposed of a Turkish lady? She must not be supposed to have a being at all. Accordingly, 'tis esteemed a piece of rudeness and indecency at Constantinople, ever to make mention of a man's wives before him †. In Europe, 'tis true, fine bred people make it also a rule never to talk of their wives: But the reason is not sounded on our jealousy. I suppose it is because we should be apt, were it not for this rule, to become troublesome to company, by talking too much of them.

The author of the Persian letters has given a different reason for this polite maxim. Men, says he, never care to mention their wives in company, lest they should talk of them before people, who are better acquainted with them than themselves.

Having rejected polygamy, and matched one man with one woman, let us now confider what duration we shall affign to their union, and whether we shall admit of those voluntary divorces, which were in use among the Greeks and Romans. They who would defend this practice, may employ the following reasons.

How often does disgust and aversion arise after marriage, from the most trivial accidents, or from an incompatibility of humour; where time, instead of curing the wounds proceeding from mutual injuries, festers them every day the more, by new quarrels and reproaches? Let us separate hearts, which are not made for each other. Each of them may, perhaps, find another, for

<sup>†</sup> Memoires de Marquis d'ARGENE,

which it is better fitted. At least, nothing can be more cruel, than to preserve by violence, an union, which, at first, was made by mutual love, and is now, in effect. dissolved by mutual hatred.

But the liberty of divorces is not only a cure to hatred and domestic quarrels: It is also an admirable prefervative against them, and the only secret for keeping alive that love, which first united the married couple. The heart of man delights in liberty: The very image of constraint is grievous to it: When you would confine it by violence, to what would otherwise have been its choice, the inclination immediately changes, and defire is turned into aversion. If the public interest will not allow us to enjoy in polygamy that variety, which is fo agreeable in love; at least, deprive us not of that liberty, which is so essentially requisite. In vain you tell me, that I had my choice of the person, with whom I would conjoin myself. I had my choice, 'tis true, of my prison; but this is but a small comfort, since it must still be a prison.

Such are the arguments, which may be urged in fayour of divorces: But there feem to be these three unanswerable objections against them: First, What must become of the children, upon the separation of the parents? Must they be committed to the care of a stepmother; and instead of the fond attention and concern of a parent, feel all the indifference or hatred of a stranger or an enemy? These inconveniencies are sufficiently felt, where nature has made the divorce by the doom inevitable to all mortals: And shall we seek to multiply these inconveniencies, by multiplying divorces, and putting it in the power of parents, upon every caprice, to render their posterity miserable?

Secondly, If it be true, on the one hand, that the heart of man naturally delights in liberty, and hates every P 3

thing to which it is confined; 'tis also true, on the other hand, that the heart of man naturally submits to necesfity, and foon loses an inclination, when there appears an absolute impossibility of gratifying it. These principles of human nature, you'll fay, are contradictory: But what is man but a heap of contradictions! Tho' 'tis remarkable, that where principles are, after this manner, contrary in their operation, they do not always destroy each other; but the one or the other may predominate on any particular occasion, according as circumstances are more or less favourable to it. For instance, love is a reftless and impatient passion, full of caprices and variations; arising in a moment from a feature, from an air, from nothing, and fuddenly extinguishing after the fame manner. Such a passion requires liberty above all things; and therefore ELOISA had reason, when, in order to preserve this passion, she refused to marry her beloved ABELARD.

How oft, when prest to marriage, have I said, Curse on all laws but those which love has made: Love, free as air, at sight of human ties, Spreads his light wings, and in a moment slies.

But friendship is a calm and sedate affection, conducted by reason and cemented by habit; springing from long acquaintance and mutual obligations; without jealousies or sears, and without those severish sits of heat and cold, which cause such an agreeable torment in the amorous passion. So sober an affection, therefore, as friendship, rather thrives under constraint, and never rises to such a height, as when any strong interest or necessity binds two persons together, and gives them some common object of pursuit. Let us consider then, whether love or friendship should most predominate in marriage; and we shall soon determine whether liberty or constraint be most severable

vourable to it. The happiest marriages, to be sure, are found where love, by long acquaintance, is confolidated into friendship. Whoever dreams of raptures and extafies beyond the honey-month, is a fool. Even romances themselves, with all their liberty of fiction; are obliged to drop their lovers the very day of their marriage, and find it easier to support the passion for a dozen years under coldness, disdain and difficulties, than a week under possession and security. We need not, therefore, be afraid of drawing the marriage-knot the closest posfible. The friendship between the persons, where it is folid and fincere, will rather gain by it: And where it is wavering and uncertain, this is the best expedient for fixing it. How many frivolous quarrels and difgufts are there, which people of common prudence endeavour to forget, when they lie under a necessity of passing their lives together; but which would foon be inflamed into the most deadly hatred, were they pursued to the utmost, under the prospect of an easy separation?

In the third place, we must consider, that nothing is more dangerous than to unite two persons so closely in all their interests and concerns, as man and wise, without rendering the union intire and total. The least possibility of a separate interest must be the source of endless quarrels and jealousies. What Dr. PARNEL calls,

## The little pilf ring temper of a wife,

will be doubly ruinous; and the husband's selfishness, being accompanied with more power, may be still more dangerous.

Should these reasons against voluntary divorces be esteemed insufficient, I hope no body will pretend to refuse the testimony of experience. At the time when divorces were most frequent among the Romans, marriages were most rare; and Augustus was obliged, by

P 4

penal laws, to force the men of fashion into the married state: A circumstance which is scarce to be found in any other age or nation. The more ancient laws of Rome which prohibited divorces, are extremely praised by Dionysius Halycarnass Eus † Wonderful was the harmony, says the historian, which this inseparable union of interests produced between married persons; while each of them considered the inevitable necessity by which they were linked together, and abandoned all prospect of any other choice or establishment.

The exclusion of polygamy and divorces sufficiently recommends our present EUROPEAN practice with regard to marriage.

4 Lib. 25

## ESSAY XX.

Of SIMPLICITY and REFINEMENT in WRITING.

FINE writing, according to Mr. Addison, confishs of sentiments, which are natural, without being obvious. There cannot be a juster, and more concise definition of sine writing.

Sentiments, which are merely natural, affect not the mind with any pleasure, and seem not worthy of our attention. The pleasantries of a waterman, the observations of a peasant, the ribaldry of a porter or hackney coachman; all these are natural, and disagreeable. What an insipid comedy should we make of the chit-chat of the tea-table, copied faithfully and at full length? Nothing can please persons of taste, but nature drawn with all her graces and ornaments, la belle nature; or if we copy low life, the strokes must be strong and remarkable, and must convey a lively image to the mind. The absurd naivety of Sancho Pancho is represented in such inimitable colours by Cervantes, that it entertains as much as the picture of the most magnanimous hero or softest lover.

The case is the same with orators, philosophers, critics, or any author who speaks in his own person, without introducing other speakers or actors. If his language be not elegant, his observations uncommon, his sense strong and masculine, he will in vain boast his nature

and

and fimplicity. He may be correct; but he never will be agreeable. 'Tis the unhappiness of such authors, that they are never blamed nor censured. The good sortune of a book, and that of a man, are not the same. The secret deceiving path of life, which HORACE talks of, fallentis semita vitæ, may be the happiest lot of the one; but is the greatest missortune, which the other can possibly fall into.

On the other hand, productions, which are merely furprifing, without being natural, can never give any lafting entertainment to the mind. To draw chimeras is not, properly speaking, to copy or imitate. The justness of the representation is lost, and the mind is displeased to find a picture, which bears no refemblance to any original. Nor are fuch excessive refinements more agreeable in the epiftolary or philosophic stile, than in the epic or tragic. Too much ornament is a fault in every kind of production. Uncommon expressions, strong flashes of wit, pointed similies, and epigrammatic turns, especially when they recur too frequently, are a disfigurement rather than any embellishment of discourse. As the eye, in surveying a Gothic building, is distracted by the multiplicity of ornaments, and loses the whole by its minute attention to the parts; fo the mind, in perufing a work overstocked with wit, is satigued and disgusted with the constant endeavour to shine and surprize. This is the case where a writer overabounds in wit, even the that wit, in itself, should be just and agreeable. But it commonly happens to fuch writers, that they feek for their favourite ornaments, even where the subject affords them not; and by that means, have twenty infipid conceits for one thought which is really beautiful.

There is no subject in critical learning more copious, than this of the just mixture of simplicity and refinement in writing; and therefore, not to wander in too

.7

large a field, I shall confine myself to a few general obfervations on that head.

First, I observe, That the excesses of both kinds are to be avoided, and tho' a proper medium ought to be studied in all productions; yet this medium lies not in a point, but admits of a very considerable latitude. Consider the wide distance. in this respect, between Mr. Pope and Lucretius. These seem to lie in the two greatest extremes of refinement and simplicity, in which a poet can indulge himfelf, without being guilty of any blameable excess. All this interval may be filled with poets, who may differ from each other, but may be equally admirable, each in his peculiar stile and manner. Cornelle and Congreye. who carry their wit and refinement somewhat farther than Mr. Pope (if poets of fo different a kind can be compared together) and Sophocies and Terence. who are more simple than LUCRETIUS, seem to have gone out of that medium, in which the most perfect productions are found, and to be guilty of some excess in these opposite characters. Of all the great poets, VIR-GIL and RACINE, in my opinion, lie nearest the center, and are the farthest removed from both the extremities.

My fecond observation on this head is, That it is very difficult, if not impossible, to explain by words, where the just medium between the excesses of simplicity and refinement lies, or to give any rule, by which we can know precisely the bounds between the fault and the beauty. A critic may discourse not only very judiciously on this head, without instructing his readers, but even without understanding the matter perfectly himself. There is not a finer piece of criticism than the dissertation on pastorals by Fontenelle; where, by a number of resections and philosophical reafonings, he endeavours to fix the just medium, which is suitable to that species of writing. But let any one read the pastorals of that author, and he will be convinced

210

that this judicious critic, notwithstanding his fine reasonings, had a false taste, and fixed the point of perfection much nearer the extreme of refinement than pastoral poetry will admit of. The fentiments of his shepherds are better fuited to the toilettes of PARIS, than to the forests of ARCADIA. But this it is impossible to discover from his critical reasonings, He blames all excessive painting and ornament as much as VIRGIL could have done, had he wrote a differtation on that species of poetry. However different the tastes of men may be, their general discourses on these subjects are commonly the fame. No criticism can be very instructive, which descends not to particulars, and is not full of examples and illustrations. 'Tis allowed on all hands, that beauty, as well as virtue, lies always in a medium; but where this medium is placed, is the great question, and can never be fufficiently explained by general reasonings.

I shall deliver it as a third observation on this subgect, That we ought to be more on our guard against the excess of refinement than that of simplicity; and that because the former excess is both less beautiful, and more dangerous than the latter.

'Tis a certain rule, that wit and passion are intirely inconsistent. When the affections are moved, there is no place for the imagination. The mind of man being naturally limited, 'tis impossible, that all its faculties can operate at once: And the more any one predominates, the less room is there for the others to exert their vigour. For this reason, a greater degree of simplicity is required in all compositions, where men, and actions, and passions are painted, than in such as consist of reslections and observations. And as the former species of writing is the more engaging and beautiful, one may safely, upon this account, give the preference to the extreme of simplicity above that of resinement.

We may also observe, that those compositions, which we read the oftenest, and which every man of taste has got by heart, have the recommendation of fimplicity, and have nothing furprifing in the thought, when divested of that elegance of expression, and harmony of numbers, with which it is cloathed. If the merit of the composition lies in a point of wit; it may strike at first; but the mind anticipates the thought in the fecond perufal, and is no longer affected by it. When I read an epigram of MARTIAL, the first line recalls the whole: and I have no pleasure in repeating to myself what I know already. But each line, each word in CATULLUS. has its merit; and I am never tired with the perufal of 'Tis fufficient to run over Cowley once: But PARNEL, after the fiftieth reading, is as fresh as at the first. Besides, 'tis with books, as with women, where a certain plainness of manner and of dress is more engaging than that glare of paint and airs and apparel, which may dazzle the eye, but reaches not the affections. TERENCE is a modest and bashful beauty, to whom we grant every thing, because he assumes nothing, and whose purity and nature make a durable, tho' not a violent impression on us.

But refinement, as it is the less beautiful, so is it the more dangerous extreme, and what we are the aptest to fall into. Simplicity passes for dulness, when it is not accompanied with great elegance and propriety. On the contrary, there is something surprizing in a blaze of wit and conceit. Ordinary readers are mightily struck with it, and falsely imagine it to be the most difficult, as well as most excellent way of writing. Seneca abounds with agreeable faults, says Quintilian, abundat dulcibus vitis; and for that reason is the more dangerous, and the more apt to pervert the taste of the young and inconsiderate.

I shall add, that the excess of refinement is now more to be guarded against than ever; because 'tis the extreme which men are the most apt to fall into, after learning has made great progress, and after eminent writers have appeared in every species of composition. The endeavour to please by novelty leads men wide of simplicity and nature, and fills their writings with affectation and conceit. It was thus the ASIATIC eloquence degenerated so much from the ATTIC. It was thus the age of CLAUDIUS and NERO became so much inferior to that of AUGUSTUS in taste and genius: And perhaps there are, at present, some symptoms of a like degeneracy of taste, in France as well as in England.

## ESSAY XXI.

## Of NATIONAL CHARACTERS.

HE vulgar are very apt to carry all national characters to extremes; and having once established it as a principle, that any people are knavish, or cowardly, or ignorant, they will admit of no exception, but comprehend every individual under the same character. Men of fense condemn these undistinguishing judgments: Tho' at the same time, they allow, that each nation has a peculiar fet of manners, and that fome particular qualities are more frequently to be met with among one people than among their neighbours. The common people in Swisserland have furely more probity than those of the same rank in IRELAND; and every prudent man will, from that circumstance alone, make a difference in the trust which he reposes in each. We have reason to expect greater wit and gaiety in a FRENCHMAN than in a SPANIARD; the' CERVANTES was born in An Englishman will naturally be supposed to have more knowledge than a DANE; tho' TYCHO BRAHE was a native of DENMARK.

Different reasons are assigned for these national characters; while some account for them from moral and others from physical causes. By moral causes, I mean all circumstances, which are fitted to work on the mind as motives or reasons, and which render a peculiar set of manners habitual to us. Of this kind are, the nature

of the government, the revolutions of public affairs, the plenty or penury in which the people live, the fituation of the nation with regard to its neighbours, and above all, the course of education, and the example of parents and companions. By physical causes, I mean those qualities of the air and climate, which are supposed to work insensibly on the temper, by altering the tone and habit of the body, and giving a particular complexion, which tho' reflexion and reason may sometimes overcome, yet will it prevail among the generality of mankind, and have an influence on their manners.

That the character of a nation will very much depend on moral causes, must be evident to the most superficial observer; since a nation is nothing but a collection of individuals, and the manners of individuals are frequently determined by these causes. As poverty and hard labour debase the minds of the common people, and render them unsit for any science and ingenious profession; so where any government becomes very oppressive to all its subjects, it must have a proportional effect on their temper and genius, and must banish all the liberal arts from among them. Instances of this nature are very frequent in the world.

The fame principle of moral causes fixes the character of different professions, and alters even that disposition, which the particular members receive from the hand of nature. A foldier and a priest are different characters, in all nations, and all ages; and this difference is founded on circumstances, whose operation is eternal and unalterable.

The uncertainty of their life makes foldiers lavish and generous, as well as brave: Their idleness, together with the large societies, which they form in camps or garritons, inclines them to pleasure and gallantry: By their frequent change of company, they acquire good breeds

ing and an openness of behaviour: Being employed only against a public and an open enemy, they become candid, honest, and undesigning: And as they use more the labour of the body than that of the mind, they are commonly thoughtless and ignorant\*.

'Tis a trite, but not altogether a false maxim, that priests of all religions are the same; and the the character of the profession will not, in every instance, prevail over the personal character, yet it is sure always to predominate with the greater number. For as chymists observe, that spirits, when raised to a certain height, are all the same, from whatever materials they be extracted; so these men, being elevated above humanity, acquire a uniform character, which is intirely their own, and which, in my opinion, is, generally speaking, not the most amiable that is to be met with in human society. It is, in most points, opposite to that of a soldier; as is the way of life, from which it is derived t.

As

Tis a faying of MENANDER, Κομιδός εξαπιώπης, εδό αν εἰ πλάπτει Sεδς Ουθείς γένωτ αν. ΜΕΝ. apud STOB ΕυΜ. 'Tis not in the power even of God to make a polite foldier. The contrary observation with regard to the manners of soldiers takes place in our days. This seems to me a presumption, that the ancients owed all their refinement and civility to books and study; for which, indeed, a soldier's life is not so well calculated. Company and the world is their sphere. And if there be any politeness to be learned from company, they will certainly have a considerable share of it.

Tho' all mankind have a strong propensity to religion at certain times and in certain dispositions; yet are there sew or none, who have it to that degree, and with that constancy, which is requisite to support the character of this profession. It must, therefore, happen, that clergymen, being drawn from the common mass of mankind, as people are to other employments, by the views of profit, the greatest part, tho' no atheists or free-thinkers, will find it necessary, on particular occasions, to seign more devotion than they are, at that time, possessed of, and to maintain the appearance of servor and seriousness, even when jaded with the exercises of their religion, or when they have their minds engaged in the common occupations of life. They must not, like the rest of the world, give scope to their natural move-

Vol. I. Q ments

As to physical causes, I am inclined to doubt altogether of their operation in this particular; nor do I think, that

ments and fentiments: They must set a guard over their looks and words and actions: And in order to support the veneration paid them by the ignorant vulgar, they must not only keep a remarkable reserve, but must promote the spirit of superstition, by a continued grimace and hypocrify. This diffimulation often destroys the candour and ingenuity of their temper, and makes an irreparable breach in their character.

If by chance any of them be possessed a temper more susceptible of devotion than usual, so that he has but little occasion for hypocrify to support the character of his profession; 'tis so natural for him to over-rate this advantage, and to think that it atones for every violation of morality, that frequently he is not more virtuous than the hypocrite. And tho' sew dare openly avow those exploded opinions, that every thing is lawful to the saints, and that they alone have property in their goods; yet we may observe, that these principles lurk in every hosom, and represent a zeal for religious obfervances as so great a merit, that it may compensate for many vices and enormities. This observation is so common, that all prudent men are on their guard, when they meet with any extraordinary appearance of religion; tho' at the same time, they consess, that there are many exceptions to this general rule, and that probity and supersition are not altogether incompatible.

Most men are ambitious; but the ambition of other men may commonly be fatisfied, by excelling in their particular profession, and thereby promoting the interests of society. The ambition of the clergy can often be satisfied only by promoting ignorance and superstition and implicit saith and pious frauds. And having got what ARCHIMEDES only wanted, (viz. another world, on which he could fix his engines) no wonder they move this world at their pleasure.

Most men have an over-weaning conceit of themselves; but these have a peculiar temptation to that vice, who are regarded with such veneration, and are even deemed sacred, by the ignorant multitude.

Most men are apt to bear a particular regard for members of their own profession; but as a lawyer, or physician, or merchant does, each of them, follow out his business apart, the interests of these professions are not so closely united as the interests of clergymen of the same religion; where the whole body gains by the veneration, paid to their common tenets, and by the suppression of antagonists.

Few men can bear contradiction with patience; but the clergy too often proceed even to a degree of fury on this article: Because all their credit that men owe any thing of their temper or genius to the air, food, or climate. I confess, that the contrary opinion may justly, at first sight, seem very probable; since we find, that these circumstances have an influence over every other animal, and that even those creatures, which are fitted to live in all climates, such as dogs,

and livelihood depend upon the belief, which their opinions meet with; and they alone pretend to a divine and supernatural authority, or have any colour for representing their antagonists as impious and prophane. The Odium of Theologicum, or Theological Hatred, is noted even to a proverb, and means that degree of rancour, which is the most furious and implacable.

Revenge is a very natural paffion to mankind; but seems to reign with the greatest force in priests and women: Because being deprived of the immediate exertion of anger, in violence and combat, they are apt to fancy themselves despited on that account; and their pride supports their vindictive disposition.

Thus many of the vices of human nature are, by fixed moral causes, inflamed in that profession; and tho' several individuals escape the contagion, yet all wise governments will be on their guard against the attempts of a society, who will for ever combine into one faction, and while it acts as a society, will for ever be actuated by ambition, pride, revenge, and a persecuting spirit.

The temper of religion is grave and ferious; and this is the character required of priefts, which confines them to strict rules of decency, and commonly prevents irregularity and intemperance amongst them. The gaiety, much less the excesses, of pleasure, is not permitted in that body; and this virtue is, perhaps, the only one, which they owe to their profession. In religions, indeed, sounded on speculative principles, and where public discourses make a part of religious service, it may also be supposed that the clergy will have a considerable share in the learning of the times; tho' 'tis certain that their taste and eloquence will always be better than their skill in reasoning and philosophy. But whoever possessis the other noble virtues of humanity, meekness, and moderation, as very many of them, no doubt, do, is beholden for them to nature or resection, not to the genius of his calling.

Twas no bad expedient in the ROMANS, for preventing the firong effect of the prieftly character, to make it a law that no one should be received into the sacerdotal office, till he was past fifty years of age, DION. Hal. lib.

1. The living a layman till that age, "tis presumed, would be able to fix the character.

horses, &c. do not attain the same persection in all. The courage-of bull-dogs and game-cocks seems peculiar to England. Flanders is remarkable for large and heavy horses: Spain for horses light, and of good mettle. And any breed of these creatures, transported from one country into another, will soon lose the qualities, which they derived from their native climate. It may be asked, why not the same with men \*?

There are few questions more curious than this, or which will occur oftener in our inquiries concerning human affairs; and therefore it may be proper to give it a serious examination.

The human mind is of a very imitative nature; nor is it possible for any set of men to converse often together, without acquiring a similitude of manners, and communicating to each other their vices as well as virtues. The propensity to company and society is strong in all rational creatures; and the same disposition, which gives us this propensity, makes us enter deeply into each other's sentiments, and causes like passions and inclinations to run, as it were by contagion, thro' the whole club or knot of companions. Where a number of men are united into one political body, the occasions of their

<sup>\*</sup> Cæsar (de Bell. Gallico, lib. 1.) fays that the Gallic horses were very good; the German very bad. We find in lib. 7. that he was obliged to remount some German cavalry with Gallic horses. At prefent, no part of Europe has so bad horses of all kinds as France: But Germany abounds with excellent war horses. This may beget a little suspicion, that even animals depend not on the climate; but on the different breeds, and on the skill and care in rearing them. The north of England abounds in the best horses of all kinds which are in the world. In the neighbouring counties, north side the Tweed, no good horses of any kind are to be met with. Strabo, lib. 2. rejects, in a great measure, the instruction of climate upon men. All is custom and education, says he. It is not from nature, that the Athenians are learned, the Lacedemonians ignorant, and the Theeans too, who are still nearer neighbours to the former. Even the difference of animals, he adds, depends not on climate.

intercourse must be so frequent, for defence, commerce.

and government, that together with the same speech or language, they must acquire a resemblance in their manners, and have a common or national character, as well as a personal one, peculiar to each individual. Now tho' nature produces all kinds of temper and understanding in great abundance, it follows not that fhe always produces them in like proportions, and that in every fociety the ingredients of industry and indolence, valour and cowardice, humanity and brutality, wisdom and folly, will be mixed after the fame manner. In the infancy of for ciety, if any of these dispositions be found in greater abundance than the rest, it will naturally prevail in the composition, and give a tincture to the national character. Or should it be afferted, that no species of temper can reasonably be presumed to predominate, even in those contracted focieties, and that the same proportions will always be preserved in the mixture; yet surely the perfons in credit and authority, being still a more contracted body, cannot always be prefumed to be of the fame character; and their influence on the manners of the people, must, at all times, be very considerable. If on the first establishment of a republic, a BRUTUS should be placed in authority, and be transported with such an enthusiasm for liberty and public good, as to overlook all the ties of nature, as well as private interest, such an illustrious example will naturally have an effect on the whole fociety, and kindle the same passion in every bosom. Whatever it be that forms the manners of one generation, the next must imbibe a deeper tincture of the fame dye; men being more susceptible of all impresfions during infancy, and retaining these impressions as long as they remain in the world. I affert, then, that all national characters, where they depend not on fixed moral causes, proceed from such accidents as these, and Q 3 that that physical causes have no discernable operation on the human mind.

If we run over the whole globe, or revolve all the annals of history, we shall discover every where signs of this sympathy or contagion of manners, none of the influence of air or climate.

- 1. We may observe, that where a very extensive government has been established for many centuries, it spreads a national character over the whole empire, and communicates to every part a similitude of manners. Thus the Chinese have the greatest uniformity of character imaginable; tho the air and climate, in different parts of those vast dominions, admit of very considerable variations.
- 2. In small governments, which are contiguous, the people have notwithstanding a different character, and are often as distinguishable in their manners as the most distant nations. Athens and Thebes were but a short day's journey from each other; tho' the Athenians were as remarkable for ingenuity, politeness, and gaiety, as the Thebans for dulness, rusticity, and a phlegmatic temper. Plutarch, discoursing of the effects of air on the minds of men, observes, that the inhabitants of the Piræum possessed very different tempers from those of the higher town of Athens, which was distant about four miles from the former: But I believe no one attributes the difference of manners, in Wapping and St. James's, to a difference of air or climate.
- 3. The fame national character commonly follows the authority of government to a precise boundary; and upon croffing a river or passing a mountain, one finds a new set of manners, with a new government. The LANGUEDOCIANS and GASCONS are the gayest people

of all France; but whenever you pass the Pyrenees, you are among Spaniards. Is it conceivable, that the qualities of the air should change so exactly with the limits of an empire, which depend so much on the accidents of battles, negociations, and marriages?

- 4. Where any set of men, scattered over distant nations, have a close society or communication together, they acquire a similitude of manners, and have but little in common with the nations among whom they live. Thus the Jews in Europe, and the Armenians in the east, have a peculiar character; and the former are as much noted for fraud, as the latter for probity\*. The fesits in all Roman-catholic countries, are also observed to have a character peculiar to themselves.
- 5. Where any accident, as a difference of language or religion, keeps two nations, inhabiting the same country, from mixing with each other, they will preserve, during several centuries, a distinct and even opposite set of manners. The integrity, gravity, and bravery of the Turks, form an exact contrast to the deceit, levity, and cowardice of the modern Greeks.
- 6. The same set of manners will follow a nation, and adhere to them over the whole globe, as well as the same laws and language. The Spanish, English, French and Dutch colonies are all distinguishable even between the tropics.
- \* A fmall sect or fociety amidst a greater are commonly most regular in their morals; because they are more remarked, and the faults of individuals draw dishonour on the whole. The only exception to this rule is, when the superstition and prejudices of the large society are so strong as to throw an infamy on the smaller society, independent of their morals. For in that case, having no character either to save or gain, they become careless of their behaviour, except among themselves.

- 7. The manners of a people change very confiderably from one age to another; either by great alterations in their government, by the mixtures of new people, or by that inconstancy, to which all human affairs are subject. The ingenuity and industry of the ancient GREEKS have. nothing in common with the flupidity and indolence of the present inhabitants of those regions. Candour, bravery, and love of liberty, formed the character of the ancient Romans; as fubtilty, cowardice, and a flavish. disposition do that of the modern. The old SPANIARDS. were restless, turbulent, and so addicted to war, that many of them killed themselves, when deprived of their arms by the Romans\*. One would find an equal difficulty, at present, (at least one would have found it fifty vears ago) to rouse up the modern Spaniards to arms. The BATAVIANS were all foldiers of fortune, and hired themselves into the Roman armies. Their pofterity make use of foreigners for the same purpose that the Ro-MANS did their ancestors. Though some few strokes of the FRENCH character be the fame with that, which CAsar has ascribed to the Gauls; yet what comparison between the civility, humanity, and knowlege of the modern inhabitants of that country, and the ignorance, barbarity, and groffness of the ancient? Not to insist upon the great difference between the present possessor BRITAIN, and those before the ROMAN conquest; we may observe that our ancestors, a few centuries ago, were funk into the most abject superstition, last century they were inflamed with the most furious enthusiasm, and are now fettled into the most cool indifference with regard to religious matters, that is to be found in any nation of the world.
- 8. Where feveral neighbouring nations have a very close communication together, either by policy, commune, or travelling, they acquire a similitude of

<sup>\*</sup> TIT. LIVII, Lib. 34. Cap. 17.

manners, proportioned to the communication. Thus all the FRANKS appear to have a uniform character to the eastern nations. The differences among them are like the peculiar accents of different provinces, which are not distinguishable, except by an east accustomed to them, and which commonly escape a foreigner.

9. We may often remark a wonderful mixture of manners and characters in the fame nation, speaking the fame language, and subject to the same government: And in this particular the ENGLISH are the most remarkable of any people, that perhaps ever were in the world. Nor is this to be ascribed to the mutability and uncertainty of their climate, or to any other physical causes: fince all these causes take place in their neighbouring kingdom of SCOTLAND, without having the same effect. Where the government of a nation is altogether republican, it is apt to beget a particular fet of manners. Where it is altogether monarchical, it is more apt to have the same effect; the imitation of superiors spreading the national manners faster among the people. If the governing part of a state consists altogether of merchants. as in HOLLAND, their uniform way of life will fix their If it confifts chiefly of nobles and landed gentry, like GERMANY, FRANCE, and SPAIN, the same effect follows. The genius of a particular fect or religion is also apt to mould the manners of a people. But the English government is a mixture of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy. The people in authority are composed of gentry and merchants. All sects of religion are to be found among them. And the great liberty and independency, which every man enjoys, allows him to display the manners peculiar to him. Hence the Eng-LISH, of any people in the universe, have the least of a national character; unless this very fingularity may. fland for fuch.

If the characters of men depended on the air and climate, the degrees of heat and cold should naturally be expected to have a mighty influence; fince nothing has a greater effect on all plants and irrational animals. And indeed there is some reason to think, that all the nations. which live beyond the polar circles or between the tropics, are inferior to the rest of the species, and are utterly incapable of all the higher attainments of the human mind. The poverty and mifery of the northern inhabitants of the globe, and the indolence of the fouthern, from their few necessities, may, perhaps, account for this remarkable difference, without our having recourse to phylical causes. This however is certain, that the characters of nations are very promiscuous in the temperate climates, and that almost all the general observations, which have been formed of the more fouthern or more northern nations in these climates, are found to be uncertain and fallacious \*.

Shall we fay, that the neighbourhood of the fun inflames the imagination of men, and gives it a peculiar spirit and vivacity. The French, Greeks, Egyp-

\* I am apt to suspect the negroes, and in general all the other species of men (for there are four or five different kinds) to be naturally inferior to the whites. There never was a civilized nation of any other complexion than white, nor even any individual eminent either in action or speculation. No ingenious manufactures amongst them, no arts, no sciences. On the other hand, the most rude and barbarous of the whites, such as the ancient GERMANS, the present TARTARS, have still something eminent about them, in their valour, form of government, or fome other particular. Such a uniform and conftant difference could not happen, in fo many countries and ages, if nature had not made an original distinction betwixt these breeds of men. Not to mention our colonies, there are NEGROE flives dispersed all over EUROPE, of which none ever discovered any symptoms of ingenuity; tho' low people, without education, will start up amongst us, and distinguish themselves in every profession. In JAMAICA indeed they talk of one negroe as a man of parts and learning; but 'tis likely he is admired for very flender accomplishments, like a parrot, who speaks a few words plainly.

TIANS, and PERSIANS are remarkable for gaiety. The SPANIARDS, TURKS, and CHINESE are noted for gravity and a ferious behaviour, without any fuch difference of climate, as to produce this difference of temper.

The Greeks and Romans, who called all other nations barbarians, confined genius and a fine understanding to the more fouthern climates, and pronounced the northern nations incapable of all knowlege and civility. But Britain has produced as great men, either for action or learning, as Greece or Italy has to boast of.

'Tis pretended, that the fentiments of men become more delicate as the country approaches nearer the fun; and that the taste of beauty and elegance receives proportionable improvements in every latitude; as we may particularly observe of the languages, of which the more fouthern are fmooth and melodious, and the northern harsh and untuneable. But this observation holds not univerfally. The ARABIC is uncouth and disagreeable: The Muscovite foft and mufical. Energy, strength, and harshness form the character of the LATIN tongue: The ITALIAN is the most liquid, smooth, and effeminate language that can possibly be imagined. Every language will depend fomewhat on the manners of the people; but much more on that original stock of words and founds, which they received from their ancestors, and which remain unchangeable, even while their manners admit of the greatest alterations. Who can doubt, but the English are at present a much more polite and knowing people than the GREEKS were for several ages after the fiege of Troy? Yet there is no comparison between the language of MILTON and that of HOMER. Nay, the greater are the alterations and improvements, which happen in the manners of a people, the less can be expected in their language. A few eminent and refined geniuses will will communicate their tafte and knowledge to a whole people, and produce the greatest improvements: But they fix the tongue by their writings, and prevent, in some degree, its farther changes.

Lord BACON has observed, that the inhabitants of the fouth are, in general, more ingenious than those of the north; but that, where the native of a cold climate has genius, he rifes to a higher pitch than can be reached by the fouthern wits. This observation a late writer \* confirms. by comparing the fouthern wits to cucumbers, which are commonly all good of their kind; but at best are an infipid fruit: While the northern geniuses are like melons, of which not one in fifty is good; but when it is fo, it has an exquifite relish. I believe this remark may be allowed just, when confined to the European nations, and to the present age, or rather to the preceding one: But then I think it may be accounted for from moral causes. All the sciences and liberal arts have been imported to us from the fouth; and 'tis eafy to imagine. that, in the first ardor of application, when excited by emulation and by glory, the few, who were addicted to them, would carry them to the greatest height, and firetch every nerve, and every faculty, to reach the pinnacle of perfection. Such illustrious examples spread knowlege every where, and begot an universal efteem for the sciences: After which, 'tis no wonder, that industry relaxes; while men meet not with suitable encouragement, nor arrive at fuch distinction by their attainments. The universal diffusion of learning among a people, and the intire banishment of gross ignorance and rufticity, is, therefore, feldom attended with any remarkable perfection in particular perfons. It feems to be taken for granted in the dialogue de Oratoribus, that knowlege was much more common in VESPASIAN'S

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Berkeley: Minute Philosopher.

age than that of CICERO or AUGUSTUS. QUINCTI-LIAN also complains of the profanation of learning, by its becoming too vulgar. "Formerly," fays JUVENAL, "fcience was confined to GREECE and ITALY. Now the "whole world emulate ATHENS and ROME. Eloquent "GAUL has taught BRITAIN, knowing in the laws. "Even THULE entertains thoughts of hiring rhetoricians "for its instruction \*." This state of learning is remarkable; because JUVENAL is himself the last of the ROMAN writers, who possessed any degree of genius. Those, who succeeded, are valued for nothing but the matters of fact, of which they give us information. I hope the late conversion of Muscovy to the study of the sciences will not prove a like prognostic to the present period of learning.

Cardinal Bentivoglio gives the preference to the northern nations above the fouthern with regard to candour and fincerity; and mentions, on the one hand, the Spaniards and Italians, and on the other, the Flemings and Germans. But I am apt to think, that this has happened by accident. The ancient Romans feem to have been a candid fincere people as are the modern Turks. But if we must needs suppose, that this event has arisen from fixed causes, we may only conclude from it, that all extremes are apt to concur, and are commonly attended with the same consequences. Treachery is the usual concomitant of ignorance and barbarism; and if civilized nations ever embrace subtle and crooked politics, 'tis from an excess of refinement, which makes them disdain the plain direct road to power and glory.

\* "Sed Cantaber unde
Stoicus? antiqui præsertim ætate Meselli.
Nunc totus Graias, nostrasque habet orbis Athenas.

Gallia causidicos docuit facunda Britannos:
De conducendo loquitur jam rhetore Thule."

Satyr. 75.

Most

Most conquests have gone from north to south; and hence it has been inferred, that the northern nations possess a superior degree of courage and serocity. But it would have been juster to have said, that most conquests are made by poverty and want upon plenty and riches. The Saracens, leaving the deserts of Arabia, carried their conquests northwards upon all the fertile provinces of the Roman empire; and met the Turks half way, who were coming southwards from the deserts of Taraby.

An eminent writer\* has remarked, that all couragious animals are also carnivorous, and that greater courage is to be expected in a people, such as the English, whose food is strong and hearty, than in the half-starved commonalty of other countries. But the Swedes, notwith-standing their disadvantages in this particular, are not inferior, in martial courage, to any nation that ever was in the world.

In general, we may observe, that courage, of all national qualities, is the most precarious; because it is exerted only at intervals, and by a few in every nation; whereas industry, knowlege, civility, may be of constant and universal use, and for several ages, may become habitual to the whole people. If courage be preserved, it must be by discipline, example and opinion. The tenth legion of Cæsar, and the regiment of Picardy in France were formed promiscuously from among the citizens; but having once entertained a notion, that they were the best troops in the service, this very opinion really made them such.

As a proof how much courage depends on opinion, we may observe, that of the two chief tribes of the GREEKS, the DORIANS, and IONIANS, the former were

<sup>·</sup> Sir WILLIAM TEMPLE's account of the Netherlands.

always esteemed, and always appeared more brave and manly than the latter; though the colonies of both the tribes were interspersed and intermingled through all the extent of Greece, the lesser Asia, Sicily, Italy, and the islands of the Ægean sea. The Athenians were the only Ionians that ever had any reputation for valour or military atchievements; though even these were esteemed inserior to the Lacedemonians, the bravest of the Dorians.

The only observation, with regard to the differences of men in different climates, on which we can rest any weight, is the vulgar one, that people in the northern regions have a greater inclination to strong liquors, and those in the southern to love and women. One can affign a very probable physical cause for this difference. Wine and distilled spirits warm the frozen blood in the colder climates, and fortify men against the injuries of the weather: As the genial heat of the sun, in the countries exposed to his beams, inflames the blood, and exalts the passion between the sexes.

Perhaps too, the matter may be accounted for by moral causes. All strong liquors are rarer in the north, and consequently are more coveted. Diddorus Siculus\* tells us, that the Gauls in his time were great drunkards, and much addicted to wine; chiefly, I suppose, from its rarity and novelty. On the other hand, the heat in the southern climates, obliging men and women to go half-naked, thereby renders their frequent commerce more dangerous, and inflames their mutual passion. This makes parents and husbands more jealous and referved; which still farther inflames the passion. Not to

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 5. The same auchor ascribes taciturnity to that people; a new proof that national characters may alter very much. Taciturnity, as a national character, implies unsociableness. Aristotle in his Politics, book 2. chap. 9. says that the Gauls are the only warlike nation, who are negligent of women.

mention, that as women ripen sooner in the southern regions, 'tis necessary to observe greater jealousy and care in their education; it being evident, that a girl of twelve cannot possess equal discretion to govern this passion, with one, who seeds not its violence till she be seventeen or eighteen.

Perhaps too, the fact is false, that nature has, either from moral or physical causes, distributed these different inclinations to the different climates. The ancient GREEKS, though born in a warm climate, feem to have been much addicted to the bottle; nor were their parties of pleasure any thing but matches of drinking among the men, who passed their time altogether apart from the fair fex. Yet when ALEXANDER led the GREEKS into PERSIA, a still more fouthern climate, they multiplied their debauches of this kind, in imitation of the PER-SIAN manners \*. So honourable was the character of a drunkard among the Persians, that Cyrus the younger. foliciting the fober LACEDEMONIANS for fuccour against his brother ARTAXERXES, claims it chiefly on account of his fuperior endowments, as more valorous, more bountiful, and a better drinker +. DARIUS HYSTASPES made it be inscribed on his tomb-stone, among his other virtues and princely qualities, that no one could bear a greater quantity of liquor. You may obtain any thing of the NEGROES by offering them ftrong drink; and may eafily prevail with them to fell, not only their parents, but their wives and mistresses, for a cask of brandy. In FRANCE and ITALY few drink pure wine, except in the greatest heats of summer; and indeed, it is then almost as necessary, in order to recruit the spirits,

<sup>\*</sup> Babylonii maxime in vinum, & quæ ebrictatem sequuntur, effusi sunt. Quint. Cur. Lib. 5. Cap. 1.

<sup>†</sup> PLUT. SYMP. Lib. I. Quæft. 4.

evaporated by heat, as it is in SWEDEN, during the winter, in order to warm the bodies congealed by the rigour of the season.

If jealous be regarded as a proof of an amorous dispofition, no people were more jealous than the Muscovites, before their communication with Europe had somewhat altered their manners in this particular.

But supposing the sact true, that nature, by physical principles, has regularly distributed these two passions, the one to the northern, the other to the southern regions; we can only infer, that the climate may affect the grosser and more bodily organs of our frame; not that it can work upon those finer organs, on which the operations of the mind and understanding depend. And this is agreeable to the analogy of nature. The races of animals never degenerate when carefully tended; and horses, in particular, always show their blood in their shape, spirit, and swiftness: But a coxcomb may beget a philosopher; as a man of virtue may leave a worthless progeny.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that tho' the passion for liquor be much more brutal and debasing than love, which, when properly managed, is the source of all politeness and refinement; yet this gives not so great an advantage to the southern climates, as we may be apt, at first sight, to imagine. When love goes beyond a certain pitch, it renders men jealous, and cuts off the free intercourse between the sexes, on which the politeness of a nation will commonly much depend. And if we would subtilize and refine upon this point, we might observe, that nations, in very temperate climates, stand the fairest chance for all sorts of improvement; their blood not being so instance as to render them jealous, and yet being warm enough to make them set a due value on the charms and endowments of the sair sex.

Vol. I. R ESSAY

## E S S A Y XXII.

### Of TRAGEDY.

T seems an unaccountable pleasure, which the spectators of a well wrote tragedy receive from forrow, terror, anxiety, and other paffions, which are in themfelves disagreeable and uneasy. The more they are touched and affected, the more are they delighted with the spectacle; and as foon as the uneasy passions cease to operate, the piece is at an end. One scene of full joy and contentment and fecurity is the utmost, that any composition of this kind can bear; and it is fure always to be the concluding one. If in the texture of the piece, there be interwoven any scenes of satisfaction, they afford only faint gleams of pleafure, which are thrown in by way of variety, and in order to plunge the actors into deeper distress, by means of that contrast and disappointment. The whole art of the poet is employed, in rouzing and supporting the compassion and indignation, the anxiety and refentment of his audience. They are pleased in proportion as they are afflicted, and never are so happy as when they employ tears, fobs, and cries to give vent to their forrow, and relieve their heart, fwoln with the tenderest sympathy and compassion.

The few critics, who have had fome tincture of philosophy, have remarked this fingular phænomenon, and have endeavoured to account for it.

L'abbe-

L'abbe Dubos, in his reflections on poetry and painting, afferts, that nothing is in general so disagreeable to the mind as the languid, listless state of indolence, into which it falls upon the removal of every passion and occupation. To get rid of this painful situation, it seeks every amusement and pursuit; business, gaming, shews, executions; whatever will rouze the passions, and take its attention from itself. No matter what the passion is: Let it be disagreeable, assisting, melancholy, disordered; it is still better than that insipid languor, which arises from persect tranquillity and repose.

It is impossible not to admit this account, as being, at least, in part satisfactory. You may observe, when there are several tables of gaming, that all the company run to those, where the deepest play is, even tho' they find not there the best players. The view, or at least, imagination of high passions, arising from great loss or gain, assects the spectators by sympathy, gives them some touches of the same passions, and serves them for a momentary entertainment. It makes the time pass the easier with them, and is some relief to that oppression, under which men commonly labour, when lest intirely to their own thoughts and meditations.

We find, that common lyars always magnify, in their narrations, all kinds of danger, pain, diffress, fickness, deaths, murders, and cruelties; as well as joy, beauty, mirth, and magnificence. It is an abfurd secret, which they have for pleasing their company, fixing their attention, and attaching them to such marvellous relations, by the passions and emotions, which they excite.

There is, however, a difficulty of applying to the present subject, in its sull extent, this solution, however ingenious and satisfactory it may appear. It is certain, that the same object of distress, which pleases in a tragedy, were it really set before us, would give the most unseigned

unfeigned uneasines; tho' it be then the most effectual cure of languor and indolence. Monsieur FONTENELLE seems to have been sensible of this difficulty; and accordingly attempts another solution of the phænomenon; at least makes some addition to the theory above mentioned\*.

"Pleasure and pain," says he, "which are two se fentiments so different in themselves, differ not so " much in their cause. From the instance of tickling, it appears, that the movement of pleasure pushed a " little too far, becomes pain; and that the movement of pain, a little moderated, becomes pleasure. Hence it proceeds, that there is fuch a thing as a forrow, foft 46 and agreeable: It is a pain weakened and diminished. The heart likes naturally to be moved and affected. " Melancholy objects suit it, and even disastrous and " forrowful, provided they are foftened by fome circum-"fance. It is certain, that on the theatre, the reprefentation has almost the effect of reality; but yet it 46 has not altogether that effect. However we may be "hurried away by the spectacle; whatever dominion the fenses and imagination may usurp over the reason. "there still lurks at the bottom a certain idea of false-66 hood in the whole of what we fee. This idea, tho' weak and difguifed, fuffices to diminish the pain which we fuffer from the misfortunes of those whom es we love, and to reduce that affliction to such a pitch se as converts it into a pleasure. We weep for the mis-" fortune of a hero, to whom we are attached. In the " fame instant we comfort ourselves, by reslecting, that "it is nothing but a fiction: And it is precifely that 66 mixture of fentiments, which composes an agreeable of forrow, and tears that delight us. But as that afflicco tion, which is caused by exterior and sensible objects,

<sup>\*</sup> Reflexions fur la poetique, §. 36.

"is fironger than the confolation which arises from an internal reflection, they are the effects and symptoms of forrow, which ought to prevail in the composition."

This folution feems just and convincing; but perhaps it wants still some addition, to make it answer fully the phænomenon, which we here examine. All the passions, excited by eloquence, are agreeable in the highest degree, as well as those which are moved by painting and the theatre. The epilogues of CICERO are, on this account chiefly, the delight of every reader of tafte; and it is difficult to read some of them without the deepest fympathy and forrow. His merit as an orator, no doubt, depends much on his fuccess in this particular. When he had raifed tears in his judges and all his audience, they were then the most highly delighted, and expressed the greatest satisfaction with the pleader. The pathetic defcription of the butchery made by VERRES of the Sici-LIAN captains is a master-piece of this kind: But I believe none will affirm, that the being present at a melancholy scene of that nature would afford any entertainment. Neither is the forrow here foftened by fiction: For the audience were convinced of the reality of every circumstance. What is it then, which in this case raises a pleasure from the bosom of uneasiness, so to speak; and a pleasure, which still retains all the features and outward fymptoms of diffress and forrow?

I answer: This extraordinary effect proceeds from that very eloquence, with which the melancholy scene is represented. The genius required to paint objects in a lively manner, the art employed in collecting all the pathetic circumstances, the judgment displayed in disposing them; the exercise, I say, of these noble talents, together with the force of expression, and beauty of oratorial numbers, disfuse the highest satisfaction on the audience,

and excite the most delightful movements. By this means, the uneafiness of the melancholy passions is not only overpowered and effaced by something stronger of an opposite kind; but the whole movement of those passions is converted into pleasure, and swells the delight which the eloquence raises in us. The same force of oratory, employed on an uninteresting subject, would not please half fo much, or rather would appear altogether ridiculous; and the mind, being left in absolute calmness and indifference, would relish none of those beauties offimagination or expression, which, if joined to passion, give it such exquisite entertainment./ The impulse or vehemence, ariling from forrow, compassion, indignation, receives a new direction from the fentiments of beauty. The latter, being the predominant emotions, feize the whole mind, and convert the former into themselves, or at least, tincture them so strongly as totally to alter their nature: And the foul, being, at the fame time, rouzed by passion, and charmed by eloquence, feels on the whole a strong movement, which is altogether delightful.

The same principle takes place in tragedy; with this addition, that tragedy is an imitation, and imitation is always of itself agreeable. This circumstance serves still farther to smooth the motions of passion, and convert the whole feeling into one uniform and strong enjoyment. Objects of the greatest terror and distress please in painting, and please more than the most beautiful objects, that appear calm and indifferent \*. The affection rouzing the

R 4

<sup>\*</sup> Painters make no scruple of representing distress and forrow as well as any other passion: But they seem not to dwell so much on these melancholy affections as the poets, who, tho' they copy every emotion of the human breast, yet pass very quickly over the agreeable sentiments. A painter represents only one instant; and if that be passionate enough, it is sure to affect and delight the spectator: But nothing can surnish to the poet a variety of scenes and incidents and sentiments, except distress, terror, or anxiety. Compleat joy and satisfaction is attended with security, and leaves no farther room for action.

mind, excites a large flock of spirit and vehemence; which is all transformed into pleasure by the force of the prevailing movement. It is thus the siction of tragedy softens the passion, by an insusion of a new feeling, not merely by weakening or diminishing the sorrow. You may by degrees weaken a real forrow, till it totally disappears; yet in none of its gradations will it ever give pleasure; except, perhaps, by accident, to a man sunk under lethargic indolence, whom it rouzes from that languid state.

To confirm this theory, it will be sufficient to produce other instances, where the subordinate movement is converted into the predominant, and gives force to it, tho of a different, and even sometimes tho of a contrary nature.

Novelty naturally rouses the mind, and attracts our attention; and the movements, which it causes, are always converted into any passion, belonging to the object, and join their force to it. Whether an event excites joy or forrow, pride or shame, anger or good-will, it is sure to produce a stronger affection, when new or unusual. And the novelty of itself be agreeable, it enforces the painful, as well as agreeable passions.

Had you any intention to move a person extremely by the narration of any event, the best method of increasing its effect would be artfully to delay informing him of it, and first excite his curiosity and impatience before you let him into the secret. This is the artistice practised by IAGO in the samous scene of Shakespeare; and every spectator is sensible, that Othello's jealousy acquires additional force from his preceding impatience, and that the subordinate passion is here readily transformed into the predominant.

Difficulties increase passions of every kind; and by rousing our attention, and exciting our active powers, they

they produce an emotion, which nourishes the prevailing affection. - hend - Sendences

Parents commonly love that child most, whose sickly infirm frame of body has occasioned them the greatest pains, trouble, and anxiety, in rearing him. The agreeable sentiment of affection here acquires force from sentiments of uneasiness.

Nothing endears so much a friend as forrow for his death. The pleasure of his company has not so powerful an influence.

Jealoufy is a painful passion; yet, without some share of it, the agreeable affection of love has difficulty to subsist in its sull force and violence. Absence is also a great source of complaint amongst lovers, and gives them the greatest uneasiness: Yet nothing is more savourable to their mutual passion than short intervals of that kind. And if long intervals be pernicious, it is only because, thro' time, men are accustomed to them, and they cease to give uneasiness. Jealousy and absence in love compose the dolce piccante of the ITALIANS, which they suppose so essential to all pleasure.

There is a fine observation of the elder Pliny, which illustrates the principle here insisted on. It is very remarkable, says he, that the last works of celebrated artists, which they left imperfect, are always the most prized, such as the Iris of Aristides, the Tyndarides of Nicomachus, the Medea of Timomachus, and the Venus of Apelles. These are valued even above their sinished productions: The broken lineaments of the piece, and the half formed idea of the painter are carefully studied; and our very grief for that curious hand, which had been stopped by death, is an additional increase to our pleasure \*.

Thefe

<sup>\*</sup> Illud vero perquam rarum ac memoria dignum, etiam suprema opera artificum, impersectasque tabulas, sicut, IRIN ARISTIDIS, TYNDARIDAS NICOMACHI.

These instances (and many more might be collected) are fufficient to afford us fome infight into the analogs of nature, and to show us, that the pleasure, which poets, orators, and mulicians give us, by exciting grief, forrow, indignation, compassion, is not so extraordinary nor paradoxical, as it may at first fight appear. The force of imagination, the energy of expression, the power of numbers, the charms of imitation; all these are naturally of themselves, delightful to the mind: And when the object presented lays also hold of some affection, the pleasure still rises upon us, by the conversion of this subordinate movement into that which is predominant. The paffion, though, perhaps, naturally, and when excited by the fimple appearance of a real object, it may be painful; yet is fo smoothed, and softened, and mollified, when raised by the finer arts, that it affords the highest entertainment.

To confirm this reasoning, we may observe, that if the movements of the imagination be not predominant above those of the passion, a contrary effect follows; and the former, being now subordinate, is converted into the latter, and still farther increases the pain and affliction of the sufferer.

Who could ever think of it as a good expedient for comforting an afflicted parent, to exaggerate, with all the force of oratory, the irreparable loss, which he has met with by the death of a favourite child? The more power of imagination and expression you here employ, the more you increase his despair and affliction.

NICOMACHI, MEDEAM TIMOMACHI, & quam diximus VENEREM APELLIS, in majori admiratione esse quam persecta. Quippe in iis lineamenta reliqua, ipsæque cogitationes artificum spectantur, atque in lenocinio commendationis dolor est manus, cum id ageret, extinctæ. Lib. xxxv. cap. 116

The shame, confusion, and terror of VERRES, no doubt, rose in proportion to the noble eloquence and vehemence of CICERO: So also did his pain and uneasiness. These former passions were too strong for the pleasure arising from the beauties of elocution; and operated, tho' from the same principle, yet in a contrary manner, to the sympathy, compassion, and indignation of the audience.

Lord CLARENDON, when he approaches the cataltrophe of the royal party, supposes, that his narration must then become infinitely disagreeable; and he hurries over the king's death, without giving us one circumstance of it. He considers it as too horrid a scene so be contemplated with any satisfaction, or even without the utmost pain and aversion. He himself, as well as the readers of that age, were too deeply concerned in the events, and sele a pain from subjects, which an historian and a reader of another age would regard as the most pathetic and most interesting, and, by consequence, the most agreeable.

An action, represented in tragedy, may be too bloody and atrocious. It may excite such movements of horror as will not soften into pleasure; and the greatest energy of expression, bestowed on descriptions of that nature, serves only to augment our uneasiness. Such is that action, represented in the Ambitious Step-mother, where a venerable old man, raised to the height of sury and despair, rushes against a pillar, and striking his head upon it, besmears it all over with mingled brains and gore. The English theatre abounds too much with such images.

Even the common sentiments of compassion require to be softened by some agreeable affection, in order to give a thorough satisfaction to the audience. The mere suffering of plaintive virtue, under the triumphant tyranny and oppression of vice, forms a disagreeable spectacle, and is carefully avoided by all masters of the drama. In order to dismiss the audience with intire satisfaction and contentment, the virtue must either convert itself into a noble courageous despair, or the vice receive its proper punishment.

Most painters appear in this light to have been very unhappy in their subjects. As they wrought much for churches and convents, they have chiefly represented such horrible subjects as crucifixions and martyrdoms, where nothing appears but tortures, wounds, executions, and passive suffering, without any action or affection. When they turned their pencil from this ghastly mythology, they had recourse commonly to Ovid, whose sictions, tho' passionate and agreeable, are scarce natural or probable enough for painting.

The same inversion of that principle, which is here infished on, displays itself in common life, as in the effects of oratory and poetry. Raise so the subordinate passion that it becomes the predominant, it swallows up that affection, which it before nourished and increased. Too much jealousy extinguishes love: Too much difficulty renders us indifferent: Too much sickness and infirmity disgusts a selfish and unkind parent.

What so disagreeable as the dismal, gloomy, disastrous stories, with which melancholy people entertain their companions? The uneasy passion, being there raised alone, unaccompanied with any spirit, genius, or eloquence, conveys a pure uneasiness, and is attended with nothing that can soften it into pleasure or satisfaction.

# E S S A Y XXIII.

#### Of the STANDARD of TASTE.

HE great variety of Tastes, as well as of opinions. which prevail in the world, is too obvious not to have fallen under every one's observation. Men of the most confined knowlege are able to remark a difference of taste in the narrow circle of their acquaintance, even where the persons have been educated under the same government, and have early imbibed the fame prejudices. But those who can enlarge their view to contemplate diflant nations and remote ages, are still more surprized at the great inconfishence and contrariety. We are apt to call barbarous whatever departs widely from our own tafte and apprehension: But soon find the epithet of reproach retorted on us. And the highest arrogance and self-conceit is at last startled, on observing an equal assurance on all fides, and fcruples, amidst such a contest of fentiments, to pronounce positively in its own favour.

As this variety of taste is obvious to the most careless enquirer; so will it be found, on examination, to be still greater in reality than in appearance. The sentiments of men often differ with regard to beauty and deformity of all kinds, even while their general discourse is the same. There are certain terms in every language, which import blame, and others praise; and all men, who use the same tongue, must agree in their application of them.

Every

### ESSAY XXIII.

Every vo'ce is united in applauding elegance, propriety, fimplicity, spirit in writing; and in blaming sustian, affectation, coldness, and a false brilliancy: But when critics come to particulars, this seeming unanimity vanishes; and it is found, that they had affixed a very different meaning to their expressions. In all matters of opinion and science, the case is opposite: The difference among men is there oftner found to lie in generals than in particulars; and to be less in reality than in appearance. An explication of the terms commonly ends the controversy; and the disputants are surprized to find, that they had been quarrelling, while at bottom they agreed in their judgment.

Those who found morality on sentiment, more than on reason, are inclined to comprehend ethics under the former observation, and to suppose, that in all questions, which regard conduct and manners, the difference among men is really greater than at first fight it appears. indeed obvious, that writers of all nations and all ages concur in applauding justice, humanity, magnanimity. prudence, veracity; and in blaming the opposite qualities. Even poets and other authors, whose compositions are chiefly calculated to please the imagination, are vet found, from Homer down to Fenelon, to inculcate the same moral precepts, and to bestow their applause and blame on the same virtues and vices. This great unanimity is usually ascribed to the influence of plain reason; which, in all these cases, maintains similar fentiments in all men, and prevents those controversies, to which the abstract sciences are so much exposed. So far as the unanimity is real, the account may be admitted as fatisfactory: But it must also be allowed that some part of the seeming harmony in morals may be ccounted for from the very nature of language. The ord. Virtue, with its equivalent in every tongue, implies

plies praise; as that of vice does blame: And no one. without the most obvious and grossest impropriety, could affix reproach to a term, which in general use is underflood in a good sense; or bestow applause, where the idiom requires disapprobation. Homen's general precepts, where he delivers any fuch, will never be controverted; but it is very obvious, that when he draws particular pictures of manners, and represents heroitm in ACHILLES and prudence in ULYSSES, he intermixes a much greater degree of ferocity in the former, and of cunning and fraud in the latter, than FENELON would admit of. The fage ULYSSES in the GREEK poet feems to delight in lies and fictions, and often employs them without any necessity or even advantage: But his more scrupulous fon in the FRENCH epic writer exposes himfelf to the most imminent perils, rather than depart from the exactest line of truth and veracity.

The admirers and followers of the ALCORAN infift very much on the excellent moral precepts, which are interspersed throughout that wild performance. But it is to be supposed, that the ARABIC words, which correspond to the ENGLISH, equity, justice, temperance, meekness, charity, were such as, from the constant use of that tongue, must always be taken in a good sense; and it would have argued the greatest ignorance, not of morals, but of language, to have mentioned them with any epithets, besides those of applause and approbation. But would we know, whether the pretended prophet had really attained a just sentiment of morals? Let us attend to his narration; and we shall soon find, that he bestows praise on such instances of treachery, inhumanity, cruelty, revenge, bigotry, as are utterly incompatible with civilized fociety. No steady rule of right seems there to be ( attended to; and every action is blamed or praised, so far only as it is beneficial or hurtful to the true believers.

The merit of delivering true general precepts in ethics is indeed very small. Whoever recommends any moral virtues, really does no more than is implied in the terms themselves. The people, who invented the word charity, and used it in a good sense, inculcated more clearly and much more efficaciously, the precept, be charitable, than any pretended legislator or prophet, who should insert such a maxim in his writings. Of all expressions, those, which, together with their other meaning, imply a degree either of blame or approbation, are the least liable to be perverted or mistaken.

It is natural for us to feek a Standard of Taste; a rule, by which the various sentiments of men may be reconciled; or at least, a decision afforded, confirming one fentiment, and condemning another.

There is a species of philosophy, which cuts off all hopes of fuccess in such an attempt, and represents the impossibility of ever attaining any standard of taste. The difference, it is faid, is very wide between judgment and fentiment. All fentiment is right; because sentiment has a reference to nothing beyond itself, and is always real, wherever a man is conscious of it. But all determinations of the understanding are not right; because they have a reference to fomething beyond themselves, to wit, real matter of fact; and are not always conformable to that flandard. Among a thousand different opinions which different men may entertain of the same subject, there is one, and but one, that is just and true; and the only difficulty is to fix and afcertain it. On the contrary, a thousand different sentiments, excited by the same object, are all right: Because no sentiment represents what is really in the object. It only marks a certain conformity or relation between the object and the organs or faculties of the mind; and if that conformity did not really exist, the sentiment could never possibly have a being. Beauty Beauty is no quality in things themselves: It exists merely in the mind which contemplates them; and each mind perceives a different beauty. One person may even perceive deformity, where another is sensible of beauty; and every individual ought to acquiesce in his own sentiment, without pretending to regulate those of others." To seek the real beauty, or real deformity is as fruitless an enquiry, as to pretend to ascertain the real sweet or real bitter. According to the disposition of the organs, the fame object may be both fweet and bitter; and the proverb has justly determined it to be fruitless to dispute concerning taftes. It is very natural, and even quite neceffary, to extend this axiom to mental, as well as bodily taste; and thus common sense, which is so often at variance with philosophy, especially with the sceptical kind, is found, in one inftance at least, to agree in pronouncing the same decision.

But though this axiom, by passing into a proverb, seems to have attained the fanction of common fense; there is certainly a species of common sense which opposes it. or at least serves to modify and restrain it. Whoever would affert an equality of genius and elegance between OGILBY and MILTON, OF BUNYAN and ADDISON. would be thought to defend no less an extravagance, than if he had maintained a molehill to be as high as TENE-RIFFE, or a pond as extensive as the ocean. Tho' there may be found persons, who give the preference to the former authors; no one pays attention to fuch a tafte.; and we pronounce without scruple the sentiment of these pretended critics to be abfurd and ridiculous. eiple of the natural equality of taftes is then totally forgot: and while we admit of it on fome occasions, where the objects feem near an equality, it appears an extravagant paradox, or rather a palpable abfurdity, where objects fo disproportioned are compared together.

It is evident, that none of the rules of composition are fixed by reasonings a priori, or can be deemed abstract conclusions of the understanding, from comparing those habitudes and relations of ideas, which are eternal and immutable. Their foundation is the same with that of all the practical sciences, experience; nor are they any thing but general observations, concerning what has been universally found to please in all countries and in all ages. Many of the beauties of poetry and even of eloquence are founded on falshood and fiction, on hyperboles, metaphors, and an abuse or perversion of expressions from their natural meaning. To check the fallies of the imagination, and to reduce every expression to geometrical truth and exactness, would be the most contrary to the laws of criticism; because it would produce a work, which, by univerfal experience, has been found the most infipid and difagreeable. But the' poetry can never submit to exact truth eit must be confined by rules of art, discovered to the author either by genius or observation. If fome negligent or irregular writers have pleafed, they have not pleafed by their transgressions of rule or order, but in spite of these transgressions: They have possessed other beauties, which were conformable to just criticism; and the force of these beauties has been able to overpower censure, and give the mind a satisfaction superior to the difgust arising from the blemishes. ARTOSTO pleases; but not by his monstrous and improbable fictions, by his bizarre mixture of the ferious and comic styles, by the want of coherence in his stories, or by the continual interruptions of his narration. He charms by the force and clearness of his expression, by the readiness and variety of his inventions, and by his natural pictures of the passions, especially those of the gay and amorous kind: And however his faults may diminish our satisfaction, they are not able entirely to destroy it. Did our pleasure really arise from those parts of his noem, which we denominate

nominate faults, this would be no objection to criticism in general: It would only be an objection to those particular rules of criticism, which would establish such circumstances to be faults, and would represent them as universally blameable. If they are found to please, they cannot be faults; let the pleasure, which they produce, be ever so unexpected and unaccountable.

But though all the general rules of art are founded only on experience and on the observation of the common fentiments of human nature, we must not imagine, that, on every occasion, the feelings of men will be conformable to these rules. Those finer emotions of the mind are of a very tender and delicate nature, and require the concurrence of many favourable circumstances to make them play with facility and exactness, according to their general and established principles. The least exterior hindrance to fuch fmall springs, or the least internal disorder, disturbs their motion, and confounds the operation of the whole machine. When we would make an experiment of this nature, and would try the force of any beauty or deformity, we must choose with care a proper time and place, and bring the fancy to a fuitable fituation and disposition. A perfect serenity of mind, a recollection of thought, a due attention to the object; of any of these circumstances be wanting, our experiment will be fallacious, and we shall be unable to judge of the catholic and universal beauty. The relation, which nature has placed between the form and the fentiment, will at least be more obscure; and it will require greater accuracy to trace and discern it. We shall be able to ascertain its influence not so much from the operation of each particular beauty, as from the durable admiration, which attends those works, that have survived all the caprices of mode and fashion, all the mistakes of ignorance and envy.

The fame Homer, who pleased at Athens and Rome two thousand years ago, is still admired at PARIS and at LONDON. All the changes of climate, government, religion, and language, have not been able to ob-Authority or prejudice may give a fcure his glory. temporary vogue to a bad poet or orator; but his reputation will never be durable or general. When his compositions are examined by posterity or by foreigners, the enchantment is diffipated, and his faults appear in their true colours. On the contrary, a real genius, the longer his works endure, and the more wide they are spread, the more fincere is the admiration which he meets with. Envy and jealoufy have too much place in a narrow circle; and even familiar acquaintance with his person may diminish the applause due to his performances: But when these obstructions are removed, the beauties, which are naturally fitted to excite agreeable fentiments, immediately display their energy; and while the world endures, they maintain their authority over the minds of men.

It appears then, that amidst all the variety and caprice of tafte, there are certain general principles of approbation or blame, whose influence a careful eye may trace in all operations of the mind. Some particular forms or qualities, from the original structure of the internal fabric, are calculated to please, and others to displease; and if they fail of their effect in any particular instance, it is from some apparent defect or imperfection in the organ. A man in a fever would not infift on his palate as able to decide concerning flavours; nor would one, affected with the jaundice, pretend to give a verdict with regard to colours. In each creature, there is a found and a defective state; and the former alone can be supposed to afford us a true standard of taste and sentiment. in the found state of the organs, there be an entire or a confiderable uniformity of fentiment among men, we may may thence derive an idea of the perfect beauty; in like manner as the appearance of objects in day-light to the eye of a man in health is denominated their true and real colour, even while colour is allowed to be merely a phantaim of the fenses.

Many and frequent are the defects in the internal organs, which prevent or weaken the influence of those general principles, on which depends our sentiment of beauty or deformity. The some objects, by the structure of the mind, be naturally calculated to give pleasure, it is not to be expected, that in every individual the pleasure will be equally felt. Particular incidents and situations occur, which either throw a false light on the objects, or hinder the true from conveying to the imagination the proper sentiment and perception.

One obvious cause, why many feel not the proper sentiment of beauty, is the want of that delicacy of imagination, which is requisite to convey a sensibility of those since emotions. This delicacy every one pretends to: Every one talks of it; and would reduce every kind of taste or sentiment to its standard. But as our intention in this differtation is to mingle some light of the understanding with the seelings of sentiment, it will be proper to give a more accurate definition of delicacy, than has hitherto been attempted. And not to draw our philosophy from too prosound a source, we shall have recourse to a noted story in Don Quixote.

'Tis with good reason, says Sancho to the squire with the great nose, that I pretend to have a judgment in wine: This is a quality hereditary in our family. Two of my kinsmen were once called to give their opinion of a hogshead, which was supposed to be excellent, being old and of a good vintage. One of them tastes it; considers it, and after mature restection pronounces the wine

to be good, were it not for a small taste of leather, which he perceived in it. The other, after using the same precautions, gives also his verdict in favour of the wine; but with the reserve of a taste of iron, which he could easily distinguish. You cannot imagine how much they were both ridiculed for their judgment. But who laughed in the end? On emptying the hogshead, there was found at the bottom, an old key with a leathern thong tied to it.

The great refemblance between mental and bodily taste will easily teach us to apply this story. Tho' it be certain, that beauty and deformity, no more than fweet and bitter, are not qualities in objects, but belong entirely to the fentiment, internal or external; it must be allowed, that there are certain qualities in objects, which are fitted by nature to produce those particular Now as these qualities may be found in a small feelings. degree or may be mixed and confounded with each other, it often happens, that the taste is not affected with such minute qualities, or is not able to distinguish all the particular flavours, amidst the disorder, in which they are presented. Where the organs are so fine, as to allow nothing to escape them; and at the same time so exact as to perceive every ingredient in the composition: This we call delicacy of taste, whether we employ these terms in the natural or metaphorical fense. Here then the general rules of beauty are of use; being drawn from established models, and from the observation of what pleases or displeases, when presented singly and in a high degree: And if the same qualities, in a continued com-position and in a smaller degree, affect not the organs with a fenfible delight or uneafiness, we exclude the , person from all pretensions to this delicacy. To produce these general rules or avowed patterns of composition is like finding the key with the leathern thong; which iustified

justified the verdict of Sancho's kinsmen, and confounded those pretended judges who had condemned them. Tho' the hogshead had never been emptied, the taste of the one was still equally delicate, and that of the other equally dull and languid: But it would have been more difficult to have proved the superiority of the former, to the conviction of every by-stander. In like manner. tho' the beauties of writing had never been methodized. or reduced to general principles; tho' no excellent models had ever been acknowledged; the different degrees of taste would still have subsisted, and the judgment of one man been preferable to that of another; but it would not have been so easy to silence the bad critic, who might always infift upon his particular fentiment, and refuse to submit to his antagonist. But when we show him an avowed principle of art; when we illustrate this principle by examples, whose operation, from his own particular taffe, he acknowledges to be conformable to the principle; when we prove, that the same principle may be applied to the present case, where he did not perceive nor feel its influence: He must conclude, upon the whole, that the fault lies in himfelf, and that he wants the delicacy, which is requisite to make him fensible of every beauty and every blemish, in any composition or discourse.

'Tis acknowledged to be the perfection of every sense or faculty, to perceive with exactness its most minute objects, and allow nothing to escape its notice and observation. The smaller the objects are, which become sensible to the eye, the finer is that organ, and the more elaborate its make and composition. A good palate is not tried by strong slavours; but by a mixture of small ingredients, where we are still sensible of each part, notwithstanding its minuteness and its consustion with the rest. In like manner, a quick and acute perception of beauty

beauty and deformity must be the perfection of our mental tafte, nor can a man be fatisfied with himself while he fuspects, that any excellence or blemish in a discourse has passed him unobserved. In this case, the perfection of the man, and the perfection of the fense or feeling, are found to be united. A very delicate palate, on many occasions, may be a great inconvenience both to a man himself and to his friends: But a delicate taste of wit or beauty must always be a desirable quality; because it is the fource of all the finest and most innocent enjoyments, of which human nature is susceptible. In this decision the fentiments of all mankind are agreed. Wherever you can fix or ascertain a delicacy of taste, it is sure to be approved of; and the best way of fixing it is to appeal to those models and principles, which have been established by the uniform approbation and experience of nations and ages. //

But tho' there be naturally a very wide difference in point of delicacy between one person and another, nothing tends further to encrease and improve this talent, than practice in a particular art, and the frequent furvey or contemplation of a particular species of beauty. When objects of any kind are first presented to the eye or imagination, the fentiment, which attends them, is obscure and confused; and the mind is, in a great meafure, incapable of pronouncing concerning their merits or defects. The tafte cannot perceive the several excellencies of the performance; much less diffinguish the particular character of each excellency, and afcertain its quality and degree. If it pronounce the whole in general to be beautiful or deformed, 'tis the utmost which can be expected; and even this judgment a person, so unpractifed, will be apt to deliver with great hefitation and referve. But allow him to acquire experience in those objects, his feeling becomes more exact and nice:

He not only perceives the beauties and defects of each part, but marks the distinguishing species of each quality, and assigns it suitable praise or blame. A clear and distinct sentiment attends him through the whole survey of the objects; and he discerns that very degree and kind of approbation or displeasure, which each part is naturally sitted to produce. The mist dissipates, which seemed formerly to hang over the object: The organ acquires greater persection in its operations; and can pronounce, without danger of mistake, concerning the merits of each performance. In a word, the same address and dexterity, which practice gives to the execution of any work, is also acquired, by the same means, in the judging of it.

So advantageous is practice to the discernment of beauty, that before we can pronounce judgment on any work of importance, it will even be requisite, that that yery individual performance be more than once perufed by us, and be surveyed in different lights with attention and deliberation. There is a flutter or hurry of thought, which attends the first perusal of any piece, and which confounds the genuine fentiment of beauty. The reference of the parts is not discerned: The true characters of style are little distinguished: The several perfections and defects feem wrapped up in a species of confusion. and prefent themselves indistinctly to the imagination. Not to mention, that there is a species of beauty, which, as it is florid and superficial, pleases at first; but being found incompatible with a just expression either of reason or passion, soon palls upon the taste, and is then rejected with disdain, at least rated at a much lower value.

It is impossible to continue in the practice of contemplating any order of beauty, without being frequently obliged to form *comparisons* between the several species and degrees of excellency, and estimating their proportion

tion to each other, A man, who has had no opportunity of comparing the different kinds of beauty, is indeed totally unqualified to pronounce an opinion with regard to any object prefented to him. By comparison alone we fix the epithets of praise or blame, and learn how to affign the due degree of each. The coarfest dawbing of a fign-post contains a certain lustre of colours and exactness of imitation, which are so far beauties, and would affect the mind of a peafant or Indian with the highest admiration. The most vulgar ballads are not entirely destitute of harmony or nature; and none but a person, familiarized to superior beauties, would pronounce their numbers harsh, or narration uninteresting. A great inferiority of beauty gives pain to a person conversant in the highest excellency of the kind, and is for that reason pronounced a deformity: As the most finished object, with which we are acquainted, is naturally supposed to have reached the pinnacle of perfection, and to be entitled to the highest applause. A man, who has had opportunities of feeing, and examining and weighing the feveral performances, admired in different ages and nations, can alone rate the merits of a work exhibited to his view, and affign its proper rank among the productions of genius.

But to enable him the more fully to execute this undertaking, he must preserve his mind free from all prejudice, and allow nothing to enteri nto his consideration,
but the very object, which is submitted to his examination. We may observe, that every work of art, in
order to produce its due effect on the mind, must be surveyed in a certain point of view, and cannot be fully
relished by persons, whose situation, real or imaginary,
is not conformable to that required by the performance.
An orator addresses himself to a particular audience, and
must have a regard to their particular genius, interests,
oplnions,

opinions, passions, and prejudices; otherwise he hopes in vain to govern their resolutions, and instame their affections. Should they even have entertained some prepossessions against him, however unreasonable, he must not overlook this disadvantage; but before he enters upon the subject, must endeavour to conciliate their affection. and acquire their good graces. A critic of a different age or nation, who should peruse this discourse, must have all these circumstances in his eye, and must place himself in the same situation as the audience, in order to form a true judgment of the oration. In like manner, when any work is addressed to the public, tho' I should have a friendship or enmity with the author, I must depart from this particular fituation; and confidering myfelf as a man in general, forget, if possible, my individual being and my peculiar circumstances. A person, influenced by prejudice, complies not with this condition: but obstinately maintains his natural position, without entering into that required by the performance. If the work be addressed to persons of a different age or nation. he makes no allowance for their peculiar views and prejudices; but full of the manners of his own times, rashly condemns what feemed admirable in the eyes of those for whom alone the discourse was calculated. If the work be executed for the public, he never fufficiently enlarges his comprehension, or forgets his interest as a friend or enemy, as a rival or commentator. By this means, his fentiments are perverted; nor have the same beauties and blemishes the same influence upon him, as if he had imposed a proper violence on his imagination, and had forgot himself for a moment. So far his taste evidently departs from the true standard; and of consequence loses all credit and authority.

It is well known, that, in all questions, submitted to the understanding, prejudice is most destructive of sound

judgment, and perverts all operations of the intellectual faculties: It is no less contrary to good taste; nor has it less influence to corrupt our sentiments of beauty. It belongs to good fense to check its influence in both cases; and in this respect, as well as in many others, reason, if not an essential part of taste, is at least requisite to the operations of this latter faculty. In all the nobler productions of genius, there is a mutual relation and correspondence of parts; nor can either the beauties or blemishes be perceived by him, whose thought is not capacious enough to comprehend all those parts, and compare them with each other, in order to perceive the confiftence and uniformity of the whole. Every work of art' has also a certain end or purpose, for which it is calculated; and is to be deemed more or less perfect, as it is more or less fitted to attain this end. The object of eloquence is to persuade, of history to instruct, of poetry to please by means of the passions and the imagination. ends we must carry constantly in our view, when we per ruse any performance; and we must be able to judge how far the means employed are adapted to their respective Besides, every kind of composition, even the purpofes. most poetical, is nothing but a chain of propositions and reasonings; not always indeed the justest and most exact, but still plausible and specious, however disguised by the colouring of the imagination. The persons, introduced in tragedy and epic poetry, must be represented as reasoning and thinking, and concluding and acting, fuitable to their characters and circumstances; and without judgment, as well as taste and invention, a poet can never hope to succeed in so delicate an undertaking. Not to mention, that the same excellence of faculties which contributes to the improvement of reason, the same clearness of conception, the same exactness of distinction, the fame vivacity of apprehension, are effential to the ope-

But

rations of true taste, and are its infallible concomitants. It seldom, or never happens, that a man of sense, who has experience in any art, cannot judge of its beauty; and it is no less rare to meet with a man, who has a just taste, without a sound understanding.

Thus, tho' the principles of tafte be universal, and nearly, if not entirely the fame in all men; yet few are qualified to give judgment on any work of art, or establish their own sentiment as the standard of beauty. The organs of internal fensation are seldom so perfect as to allow the general principles their full play, and produce a feeling correspondent to those principles. They either labour under some defect, or are vitiated by some disorder; and by that means, excite a fentiment, which may be pronounced erroneous. When the critic has no delicacy, he judges without any diffinction, and is only affected by the groffer and more palpable qualities of the object: The finer touches pass unnoticed and difregarded. " Where he is not aided by practice, his verdict is attended with confusion and hefitation. Where no comparison has been employed, the most frivolous beauties, such as rather merit the name of defects, are the objects of his admiration. Where he lies under the influence of prejudice, all his natural fentiments are perverted. Where good fense is wanting, he is not qualified to discern the beauties of defign and reasoning, which are the highest and most excellent. | Under some or other of these imperfections, the generality of men labour; and hence a true judge in the finer arts is observed, even during the most polished ages, to be so rare a character: Strong sense united to delicate sentiment, improved by practice, perfected by comparison, and cleared of all prejudice. can alone entitle critics to this valuable character; and the joint verdict of fuch, wherever they are to be found. is the true standard of taste and beauty.

But where are such critics to be found? By what marks are they to be known? How distinguish them from pretenders? These questions are embarrasting; and seem to throw us back into the same uncertainty, from which, during the course of this essay, we have endeavoured to extricate ourselves.

But if we confider the matter aright, these are questions of fact, not of fentiment. Whether any particular perfon be endowed with good fense and a delicate imagination, free from prejudice, may often be the subject of dispute, and be liable to great discussion and enquiry: But that such a character is valuable and estimable will be agreed by all mankind. Where these doubts occur. men can do no more than in other disputable questions, which are submitted to the understanding: They must produce the best arguments, which their invention suggests to them: they must acknowlege a true and decifive standard to exist somewhere, to wit, real existence and matter of fact; and they must have indulgence to such as differ from them in their appeals to this standard. is fufficient for our present purpose, if we have proved, that the taste of all individuals is not upon an equal footing, and that some men in general, however difficult to be particularly pitched upon, will be acknowledged by universal sentiment to have a preference above others.

But in reality the difficulty of finding, even in particulars, the standard of taste, is not so great as it is represented. The in speculation, we may readily avow a certain criterion in science and deny it in sentiment, the matter is sound in practice to be much more hard to ascertain in the former case than in the latter. Theories of abstract philosophy, systems of prosound theology have prevailed during one age: In a successive period, these have been universally exploded: Their absurdity has been detected: Other theories and systems have supplied

plied their place, which again gave way to their successors: And nothing has been experienced more liable to the revolutions of chance and fashion than these pretended decisions of science. The case is not the same with the beauties of eloquence and poetry. Just expressions of passion and nature are sure, after a little time, to gain public vogue, which they maintain for ever. Aristotle and Plato, Epicurus and Descartes, may successively yield to each other: But Terence and Virgille maintain an universal, undisputed empire over the minds of men. The abstract philosophy of Cicero has lost its credit: The vehemence of his oratory is still the object of our admiration.

Tho' men of delicate taste are rare, they are easily to be distinguished in society by the soundness of their understanding and the superiority of their faculties above the rest of mankind. The ascendant, which they acquire, gives a prevalence to that lively approbation, with which they receive any production of genius, and renders it generally predominant. Many men, when left to themfelves, have but a faint and dubious perception of beauty. who yet are capable of relishing any fine stroke, which is pointed out to them. Every convert to the admiration of the real poet or orator is the cause of some new conversion. And the prejudices may prevail for a time. they never unite in celebrating any rival to the true genius, but yield at last to the force of nature and just fentiment. And thus tho' a civilized nation may eafily be mistaken in the choice of their admired philosopher. they never have been found long to err, in their affection for a favourite epic or tragic author.

But notwithstanding all our endeavours to fix a standard of taste, and reconcile the discordant apprehensions of men, there still remain, two fources of variation, which are not sufficient indeed to consound all the boun-

daries of beauty and deformity, but will often ferve to produce a difference in the degrees of our approbation or blame. The one is the different humours of particular men: the other, the particular manners and opinions of our age, and country. The general principles of tafte are uniform in human nature: Where men vary in their judgments, some defect or perversion in the faculties may commonly be remarked; proceeding either from prejudice, from want of practice, or want of delicacy; and there is just reason for approving one taste, and condemning another. But where there is such a diversity in the internal frame or external fituation as is entirely blameless on both fides, and leaves no room to give one the preference above the other; in that case a certain diverfity of judgment is unavoidable, and we feek in vain for a flandard, by which we can reconcile the contrary fentiments.

A young man, whose passions are warm, will be more sensibly touched with amorous and tender images, than a man more advanced in years, who takes pleasure in wise and philosophical reflections concerning the conduct of life and moderation of the passions. At twenty, Ovid may be the favourite author; Horace at forty; and perhaps Tacitus at sifty. Vainly would we, in such cases, endeavour to enter into the sentiments of others, and divest ourselves of those propensities, which are natural to us. We chuse our favourite author as we do our friend, from a conformity of humours and dispositions. Mirth or passion, sentiment or restection; which ever of these most predominates in our temper, it gives us a peculiar sympathy with the writer who resembles us.

One person is more pleased with the sublime; another with the tender; a third with raillery. One has a strong sensibility to blemishes, and is extremely studious of correctness: Another has a more lively seeling of beauties,

and pardons twenty abfurdities and defects for one elevated or pathetic stroke. The ear of this man is entirely turned towards conciseness and energy; that man is delighted with a copious, rich, and harmonious expression. Simplicity is affected by one; ornament by another. Comedy, tragedy, satire, odes have each their partizans, who preser that particular species of writing to all others. It is plainly an error in a critic, to confine his approbation to one species or style of writing, and condemn all the rest. But it is almost impossible not to seel a predilection for that which suits our particular turn and disposition. Such preserences are innocent and unavoidable, and can never reasonably be the object of dispute, because there is no standard, by which they can be decided.

For a like reason, we are more pleased with pictures of characters, which refemble fuch as are found in our own age or country, than with those which describe a different set of customs. 'Tis not without some effort. that we reconcile ourselves to the simplicity of antient manners, and behold princesses drawing water from a foring, and kings and heroes dreffing their own victuals. We may allow in general, that the representation of fuch manners is no fault in the author, nor deformity in the piece; but we are not so sensibly touched with them. For this reason, comedy is not transferred easily from one age or nation to another. A FRENCHMAN or En-GLISHMAN is not pleased with the Andria of Te-RENCE, or CLITIA of MACHIAVEL, where the fine lady, upon whom all the play turns, never once appears to the spectators, but is always kept behind the scenes, fuitable to the referved humour of the antient GREEKS and modern ITALIANS. A man of learning and reflection can make allowance for these peculiarities of manners: but a common audience can never divest themselves fo far of their usual ideas and sentiments as to relish pictures which nowife refemble them.

And here there occurs a reflection, which may, perhaps, be useful in examining the celebrated controverfy concerning antient and modern learning; where we often find the one fide excusing any seeming absurdity in the antients from the manners of the age, and the other refusing to admit this excuse, or at least, admitting it only as an apology for the author, not for the performance. In my opinion, the proper bounds in this fubiect have feldom been fixed between the contending par-Where any innocent peculiarities of manners are represented, such as those abovementioned, they ought certainly to be admitted; and a man who is shocked with them, gives an evident proof of false delicacy and refinement. The poets manument more durable than brafs, must fall to the ground like common brick or clay, were men to make no allowance for the continual revolutions of manners and customs, and would admit nothing but what was fuitable to the prevailing fashion. Must we throw afide the pictures of our ancestors, because of their ruffs and fardingales | But where the ideas of morality and decency alter from one age to another, and where vicious manners are described, without being marked with the proper characters of blame and difapprobation; this must be allowed to disfigure the poem, and to be a real deformity. I cannot, nor is it proper I should, enter into such sentiments; and however I may excuse the poet, on account of the manners of his age, I never can relish the composition. The want of humanity and of decency, so conspicuous in the characters drawn by feveral of the antient poets, even fometimes by Homer and the Greek tragedians, diminishes confiderably the merit of their noble performances, and gives modern authors a great advantage over them. We are not interested in the fortunes and sentiments of such rough heroes: We are displeased to find the limits of

vice and virtue so confounded: And whatever indulgence we may give the writer on account of his prejudices, we cannot prevail on ourselves to enter into his sentiments, or bear an affection to characters, which we plainly discover to be blameable.

The case is not the same with moral principles, as with fpeculative opinions of any kind. These are in continual flux and revolution. The fon embraces a different lystem from the father. Nay, there scarce is any man, who can boast of great constancy and uniformity in this particular. Whatever speculative errors may be found in the polite writing's of any age or country, they detract but little from the value of those compositions. There needs but a certain turn of thought or imagination to make us enter into all the opinions, which then prevailed, and relish the fentiments or conclusions derived But a very violent effort is requisite to from them. change our judgment of manners, and excite fentiments of approbation or blame, love or hatred, different from those to which the mind from long custom has been familiarized. And where a man is confident of the rectitude of that moral standard, by which he judges, he is justly jealous of it, and will not pervert the fentiments of his heart for a moment, in complaifance to any writer whatever:

Of all speculative errors, those which regard religion, are the most excusable in compositions of genius; nor is it ever permitted to judge of the civility or wisdom of any people, or even of single persons, by the grossness or refinement of their theological principles. The same good sense, that directs men in the ordinary occurrences of life, is not hearkened to in religious matters, which are supposed to be placed entirely above the cognizance of human reason. Upon this account, all the absurdaties of the pagan system of theology must be overlooked

by every critic, who would pretend to form a just notion of antient poetry; and our posterity, in their turn, must have the same indulgence to their foresathers. No religious principles can ever be imputed as a fault to any poet, while they remain merely principles, and take not such strong possession of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation. Where that happens, they consound the sentiments of morality, and alter the natural boundaries of vice and virtue. They are therefore eternal blemishes, according to the principle abovementioned; nor are the prejudices and false opinions of the age sufficient to justify them.

'Tis effential to the ROMAN catholic religion to inspire a violent hatred to every other worship, and reprefent all pagans, mahometans, and heretics as the objects of divine wrath and vengeance. Such fentiments, tho' they are in reality extremely blameable, are confidered as virtues by the zealots of that communion, and are represented in their tragedies and epic poems as a kind of divine heroism. This bigotry has disfigured two very fine tragedies of the FRENCH theatre, POLIEUCTE and ATHALIA; where an intemperate zeal for particular modes of worship is set off with all the pomp imaginable, and forms the predominant character of the he-What is this," fays the heroic JOAD to JOSA-BET, finding her in discourse with MATHAN, the priest of BAAL, "Does the daughter of DAVID speak to this traitor? Are you not afraid, lest the earth should open 46 and pour forth flames to devour you both? Or left these holy walls should fall and crush you together? What is his purpose? Why comes that enemy of God 46 hither to poison the air, which we breathe, with his " horrid presence?" Such sentiments are received with great applause on the theatre of PARIS; but at LONDON the spectators would be full as much pleased to hear A- CHILLES tell AGAMEMNON, that he was a dog in his forehead, and a deer in his heart, or JUPITER threaten Juno with a found drubbing, if she will not be quiet.

Religious principles are also a blemish in any polite composition, when they rise up to superstition, and intrude themselves into every sentiment, however remote from any connection with religion. 'Tis no excuse for the poet, that the customs of his country had burthened life with so many religious ceremonies and observances, that no part of it was exempt from that yoak. It must be for ever ridiculous in Petrarch to compare his mistress, Laura, to Jesus Christ. Nor is it less ridiculous in that agreeable libertine, Boccace, very seriously to give thanks to God Almighty, and the ladies, for their assistance in defending him against his enemies.

# ESSAYS,

MORAL, POLITICAL,

AND

# LITERARY.

PART II.\*

\* Published in 1752.

### ESSAY I.

#### Of COMMERCE.

The greatest part of mankind may be divided into two classes; that of shallow thinkers, who fall short of the truth; and that of abstruse thinkers, who go beyond it. The latter class are by far the most uncommon; and I may add, by far the most useful and valuable. They suggest hints, at least, and start difficulties, which they want, perhaps, skill to pursue; but which may produce very fine discoveries, when handled by men who have a more just way of thinking. At worst, what they say is uncommon; and if it should cost some pains to comprehend it, one has, however, the pleasure of hearing something that is new. An author is little to be valued, who tells us nothing but what we can learn from every coffee-house conversation.

All people of *shallow* thought are apt to decry even those of *solid* understanding, as *abstruse* thinkers, and metaphysicians, and refiners; and never will allow any thing to be just which is beyond their own weak conceptions. There are some cases, I own, where an extraordinary refinement affords a strong presumption of salsehood, and where no reasoning is to be trusted but what is natural and easy. When a man deliberates concerning his conduct in any particular affair, and forms schemes in politics, trade, oeconomy, or any business in life, he never ought to draw his arguments too sine, or connect too

long a chain of consequences together. Something is fure to happen, that will disconcert his reasoning, and produce an event different from what he expected. But when we reason upon general subjects, one may justly affirm, that our speculations can scarce ever be too fine, provided they be just; and that the difference between a common man and a man of genius is chiefly feen in the shallowness or depth of the principles upon which they proceed. General reasonings seem intricate, merely because they are general; nor is it easy for the bulk of mankind to distinguish, in a great number of particulars, that common circumstance in which they all agree, or to extract it, pure and unmixed, from the other fuperfluous circumftances. Every judgment or conclusion, with them, is particular. They cannot enlarge their view to those universal propositions, which comprehend under them an infinite number of individuals, and include a whole science in a single theorem. Their eye is confounded with such an extensive prospect; and the conclufions derived from it, even tho' clearly expressed, seem intricate and obscure. But however intricate they may feem, 'tis certain, that general principles, if just and found, must always prevail in the general course of things, tho' they may fail in particular cases; and 'tis the chief business of philosophers to regard the general course of things. I may add, that 'tis also the chief business of politicians; especially in the domestic government of the flate, where the public good, which is, or ought to be their object, depends on the concurrence of a multitude of cases; not, as in foreign politics, on accidents and chances, and the caprices of a few persons. This there? fore makes the difference between particular deliberations and general reasonings, and renders subtilty and refinement much more suitable to the latter than to the former.

I thought this introduction necessary before the following discourses on commerce, money, interest, balance of trade.

trade, &c. where, perhaps, there will occur some principles which are uncommon, and which may seem too refined and subtile for such vulgar subjects. If salse, let them be rejected: But no one ought to entertain a prejudice against them, merely because they are out of the common road.

The greatness of a state, and the happiness of its subjects, however independent they may be supposed in some respects, are commonly allowed to be inseparable with regard to commerce; and as private men receive greater fecurity in the possession of their trade and riches, from the power of the public, fo the public becomes powerful in proportion to the riches and extensive commerce of private men. This maxim is true in general; tho' I cannot forbear thinking, that it may poffibly admit of some exceptions, and that we often establish it with too little referve and limitation. There may be Iome circumstances, where the commerce, and riches, and luxury of individuals, instead of adding strength to the public, will ferve only to thin its armies, and diminish its authority among the neighbouring nations. Man is a very variable being, and fusceptible of many different opinions, principles, and rules of conduct. What may be true while he adheres to one way of think-Ing, will be found false when he has embraced an oppofite fet of manners and opinions.

The bulk of every state may be divided into husbandmen and manufacturers. The former are employed in the culture of the land: The latter work up the materials furnished by the former, into all the commodities which are necessary and ornamental to human life. As soon as men quit their savage state, where they live chiefly by hunting and sissing, they must fall into these two classes; tho' the arts of agriculture employ at first the most numerous merous part of the society\*. Time and experience improve so much these arts, that the land may easily maintain a much greater number of men, than those who are immediately employed in its cultivation, or who furnish the more necessary manufactures to such as are so employed.

If these superfluous hands apply themselves to the finer arts, which are commonly denominated the arts of luxury, they add to the happiness of the state; since they afford to many the opportunity of receiving enjoyments, with which they would otherwise have been unacquainted. But may not another scheme be proposed for the employment of these superfluous hands? May not the fovereign lay claim to them, and employ them in fleets and armies, to increase the dominions of the state abroad, and spread its fame over distant nations? 'Tis certain, that the fewer defires and wants are found in the proprietors and labourers of land, the fewer hands do they employ; and consequently the superfluities of the land, instead of maintaining tradesmen and manufacturers, may support fleets and armies to a much greater extent, than where a great many arts are required to minister to the luxury of particular persons. Here therefore feems to be a kind of opposition between the greatness of the state and the happiness of the subjects. A flate is never greater than when all its fuperfluous hands are employed in the fervice of the public. ease and convenience of private persons require, that these hands should be employed in their service.

<sup>\*</sup> Monf. Meion in his political effay on commerce afferts, that even at present, if you divide France into 20 parts, 16 are labourers or peasants; 2 only artisans; one belonging to the law, church, and military; and one merchants, financiers, and bourgeois. This calculation is certainly very erroneous. In France, England, and indeed most parts of Europe, half of the inhabitants live in cities; and even of those who live in the country, a very great number are artisans, perhaps above a third.

one can never be fatisfied, but at the expence of the other. As the ambition of the fovereign must entrench on the luxury of individuals; so the luxury of individuals must diminish the force, and check the ambition of the sovereign.

Nor is this reasoning merely chimerical; but is founded on history and experience. The republic of SPARTA was certainly more powerful than any state now in the world, confisting of an equal number of people; and this was owing entirely to the want of commerce and luxury. The HELOTES were the labourers: The SPARTANS were the foldiers or gentlemen. 'Tis evident, that the labour of the HELOTES could not have maintained fo great a number of Spartans, had thefe latter lived in ease and delicacy, and given employment to a great variety of trades and manufactures. The like policy may be remarked in ROME. And indeed, thro' all antient history, 'tis observable, that the smallest republics raifed and maintained greater armies, than states confisting of triple the number of inhabitants, are able to support at present. 'Tis computed, that, in all EUROPEAN nations, the proportion between foldiers and people does not exceed one to a hundred. But we read, that the city of Rome alone, with its small territory, raised and maintained, in early times, ten legions against the LA-TINS. ATHENS, whose whole dominions were not larger than YORKSHIRE, fent to the expedition against SICILY near forty thousand men\*. DIONYSIUS the elder, 'tis faid, maintained a standing army of a hundred thousand foot and ten thousand horse, beside a large fleet of four hundred fail +; tho' his territories extended no

<sup>\*</sup> THUCYDIDES, lib. 7.

<sup>†</sup> DIOD. SIC. lib. z. This account, I own, is somewhat suspicious, not to say worse; chiefly because this army was not composed of citizens, but of mercenary forces.

farther

farther than the city of SYRACUSE, about a third part of the island of Sicily, and some sea-port towns or garrifons on the coast of ITALY and ILLYRICUM. 'Tis true, the ancient armies, in time of war, sublisted much upon plunder: But did not the enemy plunder in their turn? which was a more ruinous way of levying tax, than any other that could be devised. In short, no probable reason can be affigned for the great power of the more antient states above the modern, but their want of commerce and luxury. Few artifans were maintained by the labour of the farmers, and therefore more foldiers might live upon it. TITUS LIVIUS fays, that ROME, in his time, would find it difficult to raise as large an army as that which, in her early days, she fent out against the GAULS and LATINS\*. Instead of those foldiers who fought for liberty and empire in CAMILLUS's time, there were in Augustus's days, musicians, painters, cooks, players, and tailors; and if the land was equally cultivated at both periods, 'tis evident It could maintain equal numbers in the one profession as in the other. They added nothing to the mere necessaries of life, in the latter period more than in the former.

'Tis natural on this occasion to ask, whether sovereigns may not return to the maxims of antient policy; and consult their own interest in this respect, more than the happiness of their subjects? I answer, that it appears to me almost impossible; and that because antient policy was violent, and contrary to the more natural and usual course of things.' 'Tis well known with what peculiar laws Sparta was governed, and what a prodigy that republic is justly esteemed by every one, who has considered human nature, as it has displayed itself in other nations, and other ages. Were the testimony of history less posi-

<sup>\*</sup> Titi Livii, lib. 7. cap. 24. " Adeo in quæ laboramus," fays he, " fola crevimus, divitias iuxariemque."

tive and circumstantial, such a government would appear a mere philosophical whim or fiction, and impossible ever to be reduced to practice. And the' the ROMAN and other antient republics were supported on principles fomewhat more natural, yet was there a very extraordinary concurrence of circumstances to make them submit to fuch grievous butthens. They were free states; they were small ones; and the age being martial, all the neighbouring states were continually in arms. Freedom naturally begets public spirit, especially in small states; and this public spirit, this amor patrie, must increase, when the public is almost in continual alarm, and men are obliged, every moment, to expose themselves to the greatest dangers for its defence. A continual succession of wars makes every citizen a foldier: He takes the field in his turn; and during his fervice is chiefly maintained by himfelf. And notwithstanding that his service is equivalent to a very severe tax, 'tis less' felt by a people addicted to arms, who fight for honour and revenge more than pay, and are unacquainted with gain and industry as well as pleafure \*. Not to mention the great equality of fortunes amongst the inhabitants of the antient republics, where every field belonging to a different proprietor,

<sup>\*</sup> The more antient ROMANS lived in perpetual war with all their neighbours: and in old LATIN, the term, boffis, expressed both a stranger and an enemy. This is remarked by CICERO; but by him is afcribed to the humanity of his ancestors, who softened, as much as possible, the denomination of an enemy, by calling him by the same appellation which signified a stranger. Be Off. lib. z. 'Tis however much more probable, from the manners of the times. that the ferocity of those people was so great as to make them regard all strangers as enemies, and call them by the same name. It is not, befides, confishent with the most common maxims of policy or of nature, that any flate should regard its public enemies with a friendly eye, or preserve any Such fentiments for them as the ROMAN orator would afcribe to his anceftors. Not to mention, that the early Romans really exercised piracy, as we learn from their first treaties with CARTHAGE, preserved by POLYBIUS. lib. 3. and confequently, like the SALLEE and ALGERINE rovers, were actually at war with most nations, and a stranger and an enemy were with them almost synonimous.

was able to maintain a family, and rendered the numbers of citizens very confiderable, even without trade and manufactures.

But the' the want of trade and manufactures, amongst a free and very martial people, may fometimes have no other effect than to render the public more powerful, 'tis certain, that in the common course of human affairs, it will have a quite contrary tendency. Sovereigns must take mankind as they find them, and cannot pretend to introduce any violent change in their principles and ways of thinking. A long course of time, with a variety of accidents and circumstances, are requisite to produce those great revolutions, which so much diversify the face of human affairs. And the less natural any set of principles are, which support a particular society, the more difficulty will a legislator meet with in raising and cultivating them. 'Tis his best policy to comply with the common bent of mankind, and give it all the improvements of which it is susceptible. Now, according to the most natural course of things, industry, and arts, and trade increase the power of the fovereign as well as the happiness of the subjects; and that policy is violent, which aggrandizes the public by the poverty of individuals. This will eafily appear from a few confiderations, which will prefent to us the confequences of floth and barbarity.

Where manufactures and mechanic arts are not cultivated, the bulk of the people must apply themselves to agriculture; and if their skill and industry increase, there must arise a great superfluity from their labour beyond what suffices to maintain them. They have no temptation, therefore, to encrease their skill and industry; since they cannot exchange that superfluity for any commodities, which may serve either to their pleasure or vanity. A habit of indolence naturally prevails. The greater

part of the land lies uncultivated. What is cultivated, yields not its utmost, for want of skill or assiduity in the farmers. If at any time the public exigencies require, that great numbers should be employed in the public service, the labour of the people furnishes now no superfluities, by which these numbers can be maintained. The labourers cannot increase their skill and industry on a studden. Lands uncultivated cannot be brought into tillage for some years. The armies, meanwhile, must either make studden and violent conquests, or disband for want of subsistence. A regular attack or defence therefore, is not to be expected from such a people, and their soldiers must be as ignorant and unskilful as their farmers and manufacturers.

Every thing in the world is purchased by labour; and our passions are the only causes of labour. When a nation abounds in manufactures and mechanic arts, the proprietors of land, as well as the farmers, study agriculture as a science, and redouble their industry and attention. The superfluity which arises from their labour, is not lost; but is exchanged with the manufacturers for those commodities which mens luxury now makes them covet. this means, land furnishes a great deal more of the neceffaries of life, than what fuffices for those who cultivate it. In times of peace and tranquillity, this fuperfluity goes to the maintenance of manfacturers, and the improvers of liberal arts. But 'tis easy for the public to convert many of these manufacturers into soldiers, and maintain them by that superfluity, which arises from the labour of the farmers. Accordingly we find, that this is the case in all civilized governments. When the sovereign raifes an army, what is the consequence? He imposes a tax. This tax obliges all the people to retrench what is least necessary to their subsistence. Those who labour in fuch commodities, must either enlist in the troops, or turn themselves to agriculture, and thereby oblige some U labourers Vol. I.

labourers to enlift for want of business. And to consider the matter abstractly, manufactures increase the power of the state only as they store up so much labour, and that of a kind to which the publick may lay claim, without depriving any one of the necessaries of life. The more labour, therefore, is employed beyond mere necessaries, the more powerful is any state; since the persons engaged in that labour may easily be converted to the public service. In a state without manufactures there may be the same number of hands; but there is not the same quantity of labour, nor of the same kind. All the labour is there bestowed upon necessaries, which can admit of little or no abatement.

Thus the greatness of the sovereign and the happiness of the state are, in a great measure, united with regard to trade and manufactures. 'Tis a violent method, and in most cases impracticable, to oblige the labourer to toil, in order to raife from the land more than what fubfifts him-Furnish him with manufactures and felf and family. commodities, and he will do it of himself. Afterwards you will find it easy to seize some part of his superfluous labour, and employ it in the public fervice, without giving him his wonted return. Being accustomed to industry, he will think this less grievous, than if, at once, you obliged him to an augmentation of labour without any reward. The case is the same with regard to the other members of the state. The greater is the stock of labour of all kinds, the greater quantity may be taken from the heap, without making any fenfible alteration upon it.

A public granary of corn, a storehouse of cloth, a magazine of arms; all these must be allowed real riches and strength in any state. Trade and industry are really nothing but a stock of labour, which, in time of peace and tranquillity, is employed for the ease and satisfaction of individuals; but in the exigencies of state, may, in part, be turned

furned to public advantage. Could we convert a city into a kind of fortified camp, and infuse into each breast so martial a genius, and fuch a paffion for public good, as to make every one willing to undergo the greatest hardships for the fake of the public; these affections might now, as in antient times, prove alone a sufficient spur to industry, and support the community. It would then be advantageous, as in camps, to banish all arts and luxury; and, by restrictions on equipage and tables, make the provisions and forage last longer than if the army were loaded with a number of superfluous retainers. But as these principles are too difinterested and too difficult to support, 'tis requifite to govern men by other passions, and animate them with a spirit of avarice and industry, art and luxury. The camp is, in this case, loaded with a superfluous retinue; but the provisions flow in proportionably larger. The harmony of the whole is still supported; and the natural bent of the mind being more complied with, individuals, as well as the public, find their account in the observance of those maxims.

The same method of reasoning will let us see the advantage of foreign commerce, in augmenting the power of the state, as well as the riches and happiness of the subjects. It increases the stock of labour in the nation. and the fovereign may convert what share of it he finds necessary to the service of the public. Foreign trade, by its imports, furnishes materials for new manufactures; and by its exports, it produces labour in particular commodities, which could not be confumed at home. In hort, a kingdom, that has a large import and export, nust abound more with industry, and that employed mon delicacies and luxuries, than a kingdom which rests contented with its native commodities. It is, therefore. nore powerful, as well as richer and happier. The inlividuals reap the benefit of these commodities, so far as hey gratify the fenses and appetites. And the public is also

a gainer, while a greater flock of labour is, by this means, stored up against any public exigency; that is, a greater number of laborious men are maintained, who may be diverted to the public service, without robbing any one of the necessaries, or even the chief conveniencies of life.

If we confult history, we shall find, that in most nations foreign trade has preceded any refinement in home manufactures, and given birth to domestic luxury. The temptation is stronger to make use of foreign commodities, which are ready for use, and which are entirely new to us, than to make improvements on any domestic commodity, which always advance by flow degrees, and never affect us by their novelty. The profit is also very great in exporting what is fuperfluous at home, and what bears no price, to foreign nations, whose foil or climate is not favourable to that commodity. Thus men become acquainted with the pleasures of luxury and the profits of commerce; and their delicacy and industry, being once awakened, carry them to farther improvements, in every branch of domestic as well as foreign trade. And this perhaps is the chief advantage which arises from a commerce with strangers. It rouses men from their indolence; and prefenting the gayer and more opulent part of the nation with objects of luxury, which they never before dreamed of, raises in them a desire of a more splendid way of life than what their ancestors enjoyed, and at the fame time, the few merchants, who possess the secret of this importation and exportation, make exorbitant profits; and becoming rivals in wealth to the antient nobility, tempt other adventurers to become their rivals in Imitation foon diffuses all those arts; while commerce. domestic manufacturers emulate the foreign in their improvements, and work up every home commodity to the utmost perfection of which it is susceptible. Their own fteel and iron, in fuch laborious hands, become equal to the gold and rubies of the INDIES.

When the affairs of the society are once brought to this fituation, a nation may lose most of its foreign trade, and yet continue a great and powerful people. If strangers will not take any particular commodity of ours, we must cease to labour in it. The same hands will turn themselves towards some refinement in other commodities, which may be wanted at home. And there must always be materials for them to work upon; till every person in the state, who possesses riches, enjoys as great plenty of home commodities, and those in as great persection, as he desires; which can never possibly happen. China is represented as one of the most source beyond its own territories.

It will not, I hope, be confidered as a superfluous digression, if I here observe, that as the multitude of mechanical arts is advantageous, so is the great number of persons to whose share the productions of these arts fall. A too great disproportion among the citizens weakens any state. Every person, if possible, ought to enjoy the fruits of his labour, in a full possession of all the necessaries, and many of the conveniencies of life. No one can doubt, but fuch an equality is most fuitable to human nature, and diminishes much less from the happiness of the rich than it adds to that of the poor. It also augments the power of the flate, and makes any extraordinary taxes or impositions be paid with much more chearfulness. Where the riches are engrossed by a few, these must contribute very largely to the supplying the public necessities. But when the riches are dispersed among multitudes, the burthen feels light on every shoulder, and the taxes make not a very fensible difference on any one's way of living.

Add to this, that where the riches are in few hands, these must enjoy all the power, and will readily conspire

to lay the whole burthen on the poor, and oppress them still farther, to the discouragement of all industry.

In this circumstance consists the great advantage of ENGLAND above any nation at present in the world, or that appears in the records of story. \*Tis true, the En-GLISH feel fome disadvantages in foreign trade by the high price of labour, which is in part the effect of the riches of their artifans, as well as of the plenty of money: But as foreign trade is not the most material circumstance, tis not to be put in competition with the happiness of so many millions. And if there were no more to endear to them that free government under which they live, this alone were sufficient. The poverty of the common people is a natural, if not an infallible effect of absolute monarchy; tho' I doubt, whether it be always true, on the other hand, that their riches are an infallible refult of liberty. Liberty must be attended with particular accidents, and a certain turn of thinking, in order to produce that effect. Lord BACON, accounting for the great advantages obtained by the ENGLISH in their wars with FRANCE, ascribes them chiefly to the superior eafe and plenty of the common people amongst the former; yet the government of the two kingdoms were, at that time, pretty much alike. Where the labourers and artizans are accustomed to work for low wages, and to retain but a small part of the fruits of their labour, 'tis difficult for them, even in a free government, to better their condition, or conspire among themselves to h ighten their wages. But even where they are accustomed to a more plentiful way of life, 'tis easy for the rich, in a despotic government, to conspire against them, and throw the whole burthen of the taxes on their shoulders.

It may feem an odd position, that the poverty of the common people in FRANCE, ITALY, and SPAIN, is, in fome

some measure, owing to the superior riches of the soil and happiness of the climate; and yet there want not many reasons to justify this paradox. In such a fine mold or foil as that of those more fouthern regions, agriculture is an easy art; and one man, with a couple of forry horses, will be able, in a feafon, to cultivate as much land as will pay a pretty confiderable rent to the proprietor. All the art, which the farmer knows, is to leave his ground fallow for a year, fo foon as it is exhaufted; and the warmth of the fun alone and temperature of the climate enrich it, and restore its fertility. Such poor peasants. therefore, require only a simple maintenance for their labour. They have no stock nor riches, which claim more; and at the same time, they are for ever dependant on their landlord, who gives no leases, nor fears that his land will be spoiled by the ill methods of cultivation. ENGLAND, the land is rich, but coarse; must be cultivated at a great expence; and produces flender crops. when not carefully managed, and by a method which gives not the full profit but in a course of several years. A farmer, therefore, in ENGLAND must have a considerable flock and a long lease; which beget proportional profits. The fine vineyards of CHAMPAGNE and BUR-GUNDY, that oft yield to the landlord above five pounds per acre, are cultivated by peafants, who have scarce bread: And the reason is, that such peasants need no flock but their own limbs, with inffruments of husbandry, which they can buy for twenty shillings. The farmers are commonly in fome better circumstances in those But the grafiers are most at their ease of all those who cultivate the land. The reason is still the same. Men must have profits proportionable to their expence and hazard. Where so considerable a number of labouring poor as the peasants and farmers are in very low circumstances. all the rest must partake of their poverty whether the government of that nation be monarchical or republican.

We may form a fimilar remark with regard to the goneral history of mankind. What is the reason, why no people living between the tropics could ever yet attain to any art or civility, or reach even any police in their government, and any military discipline; while few nations in the temperate climates have been altogether deprived of these advantages? 'Tis probable, that one cause of this phænomenon is the warmth and equality of weather in the torrid zone, which render cloaths and houses less requifite for the inhabitants, and thereby remove, in part, that necessity, which is the great spur to industry and Curis acuens mortalia corda. Not to mention, that the fewer goods or possessions of this kind any people enjoy, the fewer quarrels are likely to arise amongst them, and the less necessity will there be for a settled police or regular authority to protect and defend them from foreign enemies, or from each other.

## ESSAY II,

Of REFINEMENT in the ARTS,

UXURY is a word of a very uncertain fignification, and may be taken in a good as well as in a bad fense. In general, it means great refinement in the gratification of the senses; and any degree of it may be innocent or blameable, according to the age, or country, or condition of the person. The bounds between the virtue and the vice cannot here be fixed exactly, more than in other moral fubjects. To imagine, that the gratifying any of the fenfes, or the indulging any delicacy in meats, drinks, or apparel, is in itself a vice, can never enter into a head, that is not disordered by the frenzies of enthusiasm. have, indeed, heard of a monk abroad, who, because the windows of his cell opened upon a very noble prospect, made a covenant with his eyes never to turn that way, or receive so sensual a gratification. And such is the crime of drinking CHAMPAGNE or BURGUNDY, preferably to fmall beer or porter. These indulgences are only vices, when they are pursued at the expence of some virtue, as liberality or charity; in like manner as they are follies, when for them a man ruins his fortune, and reduces himfelf to want and beggary. Where they entrench upon no virtue, but leave ample subject whence to provide for friends, family, and every proper object of generofity or compassion, they are intirely innocent, and have in every age been acknowledged fuch by almost all moralists.

the intirely occupied with the luxury of the table, for instance, without any relish for the pleasures of ambition, study, or conversation, is a mark of gross stupidity, and is incompatible with any vigour of temper or genius. To confine one's expence intirely to such a gratification, without regard to friends or family, is an indication of a heart intirely devoid of humanity or benevolence. But if a man reserve time sufficient for all laudable pursuits, and money sufficient for all generous purposes, he is free from every shadow of blame or reproach.

Since luxury may be considered either as innocent or blameable, one may be surprized at those preposterous opinions which have been entertained concerning it; while men of libertine principles bestow praises even on vicious luxury, and represent it as highly advantageous to society; and on the other hand, men of severe morals blame even the most innocent luxury, and regard it as the source of all the corruptions, disorders, and factions, incident to civil government. We shall here endeavour to correct both these extremes, by proving, first, that the ages of refinement are both the happiest and most virtuous; secondly, that where-ever luxury ceases to be innocent, it also ceases to be beneficial; and when carried a degree too far, is a quality pernicious, though perhaps not the most pernicious, to political society.

To prove the first point, we need but consider the effects of refinement both on private and on public life. Human happiness, according to the most received notions, seems to consist in three ingredients; action, pleasure, and indolence: And tho' these ingredients ought to be mixed in different proportions, according to the particular dispositions of the person; yet no one ingredient can be entirely wanting, without destroying, in some measure, the relish of the whole composition. Indolence or repose, indeed, seems not of itself to contribute much to our enjoyment;

joyment; but, like sleep, is requisite as an indulgence to the weakness of human nature, which cannot support an uninterrupted course of business or pleasure. That quick march of the spirits, which takes a man from himself. and chiefly gives fatisfaction, does in the end exhauft the mind, and requires fome intervals of repose, which, tho' agreeable for a moment, yet, if prolonged, beget a lanquor and lethargy, that destroy all enjoyment. Education, custom, and example, have a mighty influence in turning the mind to any of these pursuits; and it must be owned, that where they promote a relish for action and pleasure. they are so far favourable to human happiness. In times when industry and arts flourish, men are kept in perpetual occupation, and enjoy, as their reward, the occupation itself, as well as those pleasures which are the fruits of their labour. The mind acquires new vigour; enlarges its powers and faculties; and by an affiduity in honest industry, both satisfies its natural appetites, and prevents the growth of unnatural ones, which commonly fpring up, when nourished with ease and idleness. nish those arts from society, you deprive men both of action and of pleasure; and leaving nothing but indolence in their place, you even destroy the relish of indolence, which never is agreeable, but when it fucceeds to labour, and recruits the spirits, exhausted by too much application and fatigue.

Another advantage of industry and of refinements in the mechanical arts, is, that they commonly produce some refinements in the liberal; nor can the one be carried to perfection, without being accompanied, in some degree, with the other. The same age which produces great philosophers and politicians, renowned generals and poets, usually abounds with skilful weavers and ship-carpenters. We cannot reasonably expect, that a piece of woollen cloth will be wrought to perfection in a nation which is ignorant of astronomy, or where ethics are neglected. The

fpirit of the age affects all the arts; and the minds of men, being once roused from their lethargy, and put into a fermentation, turn themselves on all sides, and carry improvements into every art and science. Prosound ignorance is totally banished, and men enjoy the privilege of rational creatures, to think as well as to act, to cultivate the pleasures of the mind as well as those of the body.

The more these refined arts advance, the more sociable do men become; nor is it possible, that, when inriched with science, and possessed of a fund of conversation, they should be contented to remain in solitude, or live with their fellow-citizens in that distant manner, which is peculiar to ignorant and barbarous nations. They flock into cities: love to receive and communicate knowledge; to show their wit or their breeding; their taste in conversation or living, in cloaths or furniture. Curiofity allures the wife; vanity the foolish; and pleasure both. Particular clubs and focieties are every where formed: Both fexes meet in an eafy and fociable manner; and the tempers of men, as well as their behaviour, refine apace. So that, befide the improvements which they receive from knowledge and the liberal arts, 'tis impossible but they must feel an increase of humanity, from the very habit of converfing together, and contributing to each other's pleafure and entertainment. Thus industry, knowlege, and humanity, are linked together by an indiffoluble chain, and are found, from experience as well as reason, to be peculiar to the more polished, and, what are commonly denominated, the more luxurious ages.

Nor are these advantages attended with disadvantages which bear any proportion to them. The more men refine upon pleasure, the less will they indulge in excesses of any kind; because nothing is more destructive to true pleasure than such excesses. One may safely affirm, that the Tartars are oftener guilty of beastly gluttony, when they

they feaft on their dead horses, than EUROPEAN courtiers with all their refinements of cookery. And if libertine love, or even infidelity to the marriage-bed, be more frequent in polite ages, when it is often regarded only as a piece of gallantry; drunkenness, on the other hand, is much less common: A vice more odious, and more pernicious both to mind and body. And in this matter I would appeal, not only to an Ovid or a Petronius, but to a SENECA or a CATO. We know, that CESAR, during CATILINE's conspiracy, being necessitated to put into CATo's hands a billet-doux, which discovered an intrigue with SERVILIA, CATO's own fifter, that stern philosopher threw it back to him with indignation; and, in the bitterness of his wrath, gave him the appellation of drunkard, as a term more opprobrious than that with which he could more justly have reproached him.

But industry, knowlege, and humanity, are not advantageous in private life alone: They diffuse their beneficial influence on the public, and render the government as great and flourishing as they make individuals happy and prosperous. The increase and consumption of all the commodities which serve to the ornament and pleasure of life, are advantageous to society; because at the same time that they multiply those innocent gratifications to individuals, they are a kind of storehouse of labour, which, in the exigencies of state, may be turned to the public service. In a nation, where there is no demand for such superfluities, men sink into indolence, lose all the enjoyment of life, and are useless to the public, which cannot maintain nor support its sleets and armies, from the industry of such slothful members.

The bounds of all the European kingdoms are, at present, pretty near the same they were two hundred years ago: But what a difference is there in the power and grandeur of those kingdoms? Which can be ascribed to nothing

nothing but the increase of art and industry. When Charles VIII. of France invaded Italy, he carried with him about 20,000 men: And yet this armament so exhausted the nation, as we learn from Guicciardin, that for some years it was not able to make so great an effort. The late king of France, in time of war, kept in pay above 400,000 men; though from Mazarine's death to his own, he was engaged in a course of wars that lasted near thirty years.

This industry is much promoted by the knowlege infeparable from the ages of art and refinement; as, on the other hand, this knowlege enables the public to make the best advantage of the industry of its subjects. Laws, order, police, discipline; these can never be carried to any degree of perfection, before human reason has refined itself by exercise, and by an application to the more vulgar arts, at least, of commerce and manusactures. Can we expect, that a government will be well modelled by a people, who know not how to make a spinning-wheel, or to employ a loom to advantage? Not to mention, that all ignorant ages are insested with superstition, which throws the government off its bias, and disturbs men in the pursuit of their interest and happiness.

Knowlege in the arts of government naturally begets mildness and moderation, by instructing men in the advantages of humane maxims above rigour and severity, which drive subjects into rebellion, and render the return to submission impracticable, by cutting off all hopes of pardon. When the tempers of men are softened as well as their knowlege improved, this humanity appears still more conspicuous, and is the chief characteristic which distinguishes a civilized age from times of barbarity and ignorance. Factions are then less inveterate, revolutions less tragical, authority less severe, and seditions less frequent.

The inscription on the PLACE-DE-VENDOME fays 440,000.

Even foreign wars abate of their cruelty; and after the field of battle, where honour and interest steel men against compassion as well as fear, the combatants divest them-felves of the brute, and resume the man.

Not need we fear, that men, by losing their ferocity, will lose their martial spirit, or become less undaunted and vigorous in defence of their country or their liberty. The arts have no fuch effect in enervating either the mind or body. On the contrary, industry, their inseparable attendant. adds new force to both. And if anger, which is faid to be the whetstone of courage, loses somewhat of its asperity, by politeness and refinement; a sense of honour, which is a stronger, more constant, and more governable principle, acquires fresh vigour by that elevation of genius. which arises from knowlege and a good education. Add to this, that courage can neither have any duration, nor be of any use, when not accompanied with discipline and martial skill, which are seldom found among a barbarous people. The antients remarked, that DATAMES was the only barbarian that ever knew the art of war. And PYR-RHUS feeing the ROMANS marshal their army with some art and skill, said with surprize, These barbarians have nothing barbarous in their discipline! 'Tis observable, that as the old ROMANS, by applying themselves solely to war, were the only uncivilized people that ever possessed military discipline; so the modern ITALIANS are the only civilized people, among EUROPEANS, that ever wanted courage and a martial spirit. Those who would ascribe this effeminacy of the ITALIANS to their luxury or politeness, or application to the arts, need but consider the FRENCH and English, whose bravery is as uncontestable, as their love for luxury, and their affiduity in commerce. The ITALIAN historians give us a more satisfactory reason for this degeneracy of their countrymen. They Thew us how the fword was dropt at once by all the ITA-LIAN fovereigns: while the VENETIAN aristocracy was jealous

jealous of its subjects, the FIORENTINE democracy api plied itself intirely to commerce; Rome was governed by priests, and Naples by women. War then became the business of soldiers of fortune; who spared one another, and, to the assonishment of the world, could engage a whole day in what they called a battle, and return at night to their camp, without the least bloodshed.

What has chiefly induced fevere moralists to declaim against refinement in the arts, is the example of antient ROME, which, joining to its poverty and rusticity, virtue and public spirit, rose to such a surprizing height of grandeur and liberty; but having learned from its conquered provinces the ASTATIC luxury, fell into every kind of corruption; whence arose sedition and civil wars, attended at last with the total loss of liberty. All the LATIN clasfics, whom we peruse in our infancy, are full of these fentiments, and universally ascribe the ruin of their state to the arts and riches imported from the East: Infomuch that SALLUST represents a taste for painting as a vice no less than lewdness and drinking. And so popular were these sentiments, during the latter ages of the republic, that this author abounds in praifes of the old rigid ROMAN virtue, tho' himself the most egregious instance of modern luxury and corruption; speaks contemptuously of the GRECIAN eloquence, tho' the most elegant writer in the world; nay, employs preposterous digressions and declamations to this purpole, though a model of tafte and correctness.

But it would be easy to prove, that these writers mislook the cause of the disorders in the ROMAN state, and ascribed to luxury and the arts, what really proceeded from an ill-modelled government, and the unlimited extent of conquests. Refinement on the pleasures and conveniencies of life has no natural tendency to beget venality and corruption. The value which all men put upon any particular pleasure,

pleasure, depends on comparison and experience; nor is a porter less greedy of money, which he spends on bacon and brandy, than a courtier, who purchases champagne and ortolans. Riches are valuable at all times, and to all men, because they always purchase pleasures, such as men are accustomed to, and desire: Nor can any thing restrain or regulate the love of money, but a sense of honour and virtue; which, if it be not nearly equal at all times, will naturally abound most in ages of knowledge and refinement.

Of all European kingdoms, Poland feems the most defective in the arts of war, as well as peace, mechanical as well as liberal; and yet 'tis there that venality and corruption do most prevail. The nobles feem to have preserved their crown elective for no other purpose, but regularly to sell it to the highest bidder. This is almost the only species of commerce with which that people are acquainted.

The liberties of England, so far from decaying since the improvements in the arts, have never sourished so much as during that period. And tho' corruption may seem to increase of late years; this is chiefly to be ascribed to our established liberty, when our princes have found the impossibility of governing without parliaments, or of terrifying parliaments by the phantom of prerogative. Not to mention, that this corruption or venality prevails infinitely more among the electors than the elected; and therefore cannot justly be ascribed to any refinements in luxury.

If we consider the matter in a proper light, we shall find, that improvements in the arts are rather favourable to liberty, and have a natural tendency to preserve, if not produce a free government. In rude unpolished nations, where the arts are neglected, all the labour is bestowed on the cultivation of the ground; and the whole society is divided into two classes, proprietors of land, and their Vol. I.

vassals or tenants. The latter are necessarily dependent. and fitted for flavery and fubjection; especially where they possess no riches, and are not valued for their knowledge in agriculture; as must always be the case where the arts are neglected. The former naturally erect themselves into petty tyrants; and must either submit to an absolute master for the sake of peace and order; or if they will preferve their independency, like the ancient barons, they must fall into feuds and contests among themselves, and throw the whole fociety into fuch confusion, as is perhaps worse than the most despotic government. But where luxury nourishes commerce and industry, the peasants, by a proper cultivation of the land, become rich and independent; while the tradefmen and merchants acquire a thare of the property, and draw authority and confideration to that middling rank of men, who are the best and firmest basis of public liberty. These submit not to slavery, like the poor peafants, from poverty and meanness of spirit; and having no hopes of tyrannizing over others, like the barons, they are not tempted, for the fake of that gratification, to submit to the tyranny of their sovereign. They covet equal laws, which may secure their property, and preferve them from monarchical, as well as aristocratical tyranny.

The house of commons is the support of our popular government; and all the world acknowlege, that it owed its chief influence and confideration to the increase of commerce, which threw fuch a balance of property into the hands of the commons. How inconsistent then is it to blame fo violently a refinement in the arts, and to represent it as the bane of liberty and public spirit!

To declaim against present times, and magnify the virtue of remote ancestors, is a propensity almost inherent in human nature: and as the fentiments and opinions of civilized ages alone are transmitted to posterity, hence it is that that we meet with fo many severe judgments pronounced against luxury, and even science; and hence it is that at present we give so ready an assent to them. But the fallacy is easily perceived from comparing different nations that are contemporaries; where we both judge more impartially and can better fet in opposition those manners with which we are sufficiently acquainted. Treachery and cruelty, the most pernicious and most odious of all vices, feem peculiar to uncivilized ages; and by the refined GREEKS and ROMANS were ascribed to all the barbarous nations, which furrounded them. They might justly, therefore, have prefumed, that their own ancestors, so highly celebrated, possessed no greater virtue, and were as much inferior to their posterity in honour and humanity. as in tafte and science. An antient FRANK or SAXON may be highly extolled: But I believe every man would think his life or fortune much less secure in the hands of a Moor or Tartar, than in those of a French or Eng-LISH gentleman, the rank of men the most civilized in the most civilized nations.

We come now to the fecond position which we proposed to illustrate, viz. that as innocent luxury, or a refinement in the arts and conveniencies of life, is advantageous to the public; so where ever luxury ceases to be innocent, it also ceases to be beneficial; and when carried a degree farther, begins to be a quality pernicious, tho', perhaps, not the most pernicious, to political society.

Let us consider what we call vicious luxury. No gratification, however sensual, can of itself be esteemed vicious. A gratification is only vicious, when it engrosses all a man's expence, and leaves no ability for such acts of duty and generosity as are required by his situation and fortune. Suppose, that he correct the vice, and employ part of his expence in the education of his children, in the support of his friends, and in relieving the poor; would

any prejudice refult to fociety? On the contrary, the fame confumption would arise; and that labour, which, at present, is employed only in producing a slender gratification to one man, would relieve the necessitous, and bestow satisfaction on hundreds. The same care and toil which raise a dish of peas at Christmas, would give bread to a whole samily during six months. To say, that, without a vicious luxury, the labour would not have been employed at all, is only to say, that there is some other desect in human nature, such as indolence, selfishness, inattention to others, for which luxury in some measure provides a remedy; as one poison may be an antidote to another. But virtue, like wholsome food, is better than poisons, however corrected.

Suppose the same number of men that are at present in BRITAIN, with the same soil and climate; I ask, is it not possible for them to be happier, by the most perfect way of life which can be imagined, and by the greatest reformation which Omnipotence itself could work in their temper and disposition? To affert that they cannot, appears evidently ridiculous. As the land is able to maintain more than all its inhabitants, they could never, in fuch an UTOPIAN state, feel any other ills than those which arise from bodily fickness; and these are not the half of human miseries. All other ills spring from some vice, either in ourfelves or others; and even many of our difeases proceed from the same origin. Remove the vices, and the ills follow. You must only take care to remove all the vices. If you remove part, you may render the matter By banishing vicious luxury, without curing sloth and an indifference to others, you only diminish industry in the state, and add nothing to mens charity or their generofity. Let us, therefore, rest contented with afferting, that two opposite vices in a state may be more advantageous than either of them alone; but let us never pronounce vice in itself advantageous. Is it not very inconfiftent fistent for an author to affert in one page, that moral diftinctions are inventions of politicians for public interest; and in the next page maintain, that vice is advantageous to the public +? And indeed it seems, upon any system of morality, little less than a contradiction in terms, to talk of a vice which is in general beneficial to society.

Prodigality is not to be confounded with a refinement in the arts. It even appears, that that vice is much less frequent in the cultivated ages. Industry and gain beget this frugality, among the lower and middle ranks of men; and in all the busy professions. Men of high rank, indeed, it may be pretended, are more allured by the pleasures, which become more frequent. But idleness is the great source of prodigality at all times; and there are pleasures and vanities in every age, which allure men equally when they are unacquainted with better enjoyments. Not to mention, that the high interest, payed in rude times, quickly consumes the fortunes of the landed gentry, and multiplies their necessities.

I thought this reasoning necessary, in order to give some light to a philosophical question, which has been much disputed in BRITAIN. I call it a philosophical question, not a political one. For whatever may be the consequence of such a miraculous transformation of mankind, as would endow them with every species of virtue, and free them from every species of vice; this concerns not the magistrate, who aims only at possibilities. He cannot cure every vice, by substituting a virtue in its place. Very often he can only cure one vice by another; and in that case, he ought to prefer what is least pernicious to society, Luxury, when excessive, is the source of many ills; but is in general preferable to sloth and idleness, which would com-

<sup>†</sup> Fable of the Bees.

monly fucceed in its place, and are more permicious both to private persons and to the public. When sloth reigns, a mean uncultivated way of life prevails amongst individuals, without society, without enjoyment. And if the sovereign, in such a situation, demands the service of his subjects, the labour of the state suffices only to surnish the necessaries of life to the labourers, and can afford nothing to those who are employed in the public services.

### ESSAY III.

Of Money.

ONEY is not, properly speaking, one of the fubjects of commerce; but only the instrument which men have agreed upon to facilitate the exchange of one commodity for another. 'Tis none of the wheels' of trade: 'Tis the oil which renders the motion of the wheels more fmooth and eafy. If we confider any one kingdom by itself, 'tis evident, that the greater or less plenty of money is of no confequence; fince the prices of commodities are always proportioned to the plenty of money, and a crown in HENRY VII.'s time ferved the fame purpose as a pound does at present. 'Tis only the public which draws any advantage from the greater plenty of money; and that only in its wars and negociations with foreign states. And this is the reason, why all rich and trading countries, from CARTHAGE to BRITAIN and HOLLAND, have employed mercenary troops, which they hired from their poorer neighbours. Were they to make use of their native subjects, they would find less advantage from their superior riches, and from their great plenty of gold and filver; fince the pay of all their fervants must rife in proportion to the public opulence. Our small army in BRITAIN of 20,000 men are maintained at as great expence as a FRENCH army thrice as numerous. The English fleet, during the late war, X 4 required

required as much money to support it as all the ROMAN legions, which kept the whole world in subjection, during the time of the emperors\*.

The greater number of people and their greater industry are serviceable in all cases; at home and abroad, in private, and in public. But the greater plenty of money, is very limited in its use, and may even sometimes be a loss to a nation in its commerce with soreigners.

There seems to be a happy concurrence of causes in human affairs, which checks the growth of trade and riches, and hinders them from being confined intirely to one people; as might naturally at first be dreaded from the advantages of an established commerce. Where one nation has got the start of another in trade, 'tis very difficult for the latter to regain the ground it has lost; because of the superior industry and skill of the former, and the greater stocks, of which its merchants are possessed, and which enable them to trade for so much smaller prosits. But these advantages are compensated, in some

\* A private foldier in the ROMAN infantry had a denarius a-day, somewhat less than eight-pence. The ROMAN emperors had commonly 25 legions in pay, which, allowing 5000 men to a legion, makes 125,000. TACIT. Ann. lib. 4. 'Tis true, there were also auxiliaries to the legions; but their numbers are uncertain, as well as their pay. To confider only the legionaries, the pay of the private men could not exceed 1,600,000 pounds. Now, the parliament in the last war commonly allowed for the fleet 2,500,000. We have therefore 900,000 over for the officers and other expences of the Roman legions. There feem to have been but few officers in the Roman armies, in comparison of what are employed in all our modern troops, except fome Swiss corps. And these officers had very imall pay; A centurion, for inftance, only double a common foldier. And as the foldiers from their pay (TACIT. Ann. lib. 1.) bought their own cloaths, arms, tents, and baggage; this must also diminish considerably the other charges of the army. So little expensive was that mighty government, and so easy was its yoke over the world. And, indeed, this is the more natural conclusion from the feregoing calculations. For money, after the conquest of ÆGYPT, seems to have been nearly in as great plenty at BOME, as it is at present in the richest of the EUROPEAN kingdoms.

mealure,

measure, by the low price of labour in every nation which has not an extensive commerce, and does not very much abound in gold and silver. Manufactures, therefore, gradually shift their places, leaving those countries and provinces which they have already inriched, and slying to others, whither they are allured by the cheapness of provisions and labour; till they have inriched these also, and are again banished by the same causes. And, in general, we may observe, that the dearness of every thing, from plenty of money, is a disadvantage, which attends an established commerce, and sets bounds to it in every country, by enabling the poorer states to undersel the richer in all foreign markets.

This has made me entertain a great doubt concerning the benefit of banks and paper-credit, which are so generally-esteemed advantageous to every nation. That provisions and labour should become dear by the increase of trade and money, is, in many respects, an inconvenience; but an inconvenience that is unavoidable, and the effect of that public wealth and prosperity which are the end of all our wifhes. 'Tis compensated by the advantages which we reap, from the possession of these precious metals, and the weight which they give the nation in all foreign wars and negotiations. But there appears no reason for increasing that inconvenience by a counterfeit money, which foreigners will not accept in any payment, and which any great disorder in the state will reduce to nothing. There are, 'tis true, many people in every rich flate, who, having large fums of money, would prefer paper with good fecurity; as being of more easy transport and more fafe custody. If the public provide not a bank, private bankers will take advantage of this circumstance; as the goldsmiths formerly did in LONDON, or as the bankers do at present in DUBLIN: And therefore tis better, it may be thought, that a public company **f**hould

should enjoy the benefit of that paper-credit, which always will have place in every opulent kingdom. But to endeavour artificially to increase such a credit, can never be the interest of any trading nation; but must lay them under disadvantages, by increasing money beyond its natural proportion to labour and commodities, and thereby heightening their price to the merchant and manufacturer. And in this view, it must be allowed, that no bank could be more advantageous, than fuch a one as locked up all the money it received \*, and never augmented the circulating coin, as is usual, by returning part of its treasure into commerce. A public bank, by this expedient might cut off much of the dealings of private bankers and money-jobbers; and tho' the state bore the charge of falaries to the directors and tellers of this bank, (for, according to the preceding supposition, it would have no profit from its dealings), the national advantage, resulting from the low price of labour and the destruction of paper-credit, would be a sufficient compensation. Not to mention, that so large a sum, lying ready at command, would be a great convenience in times of public danger and diffress; and what part of it was used might be replaced at leifure, when peace and tranquillity were restored to the nation.

But of this subject of paper-credit we shall treat more largely hereafter. And I shall finish this essay on money, by proposing and explaining two observations, which may, perhaps, serve to employ the thoughts of our speculative politicians. For to these only I all along address myself. 'Tis enough that I submit to the ridicule sometimes, in this age, attached to the character of a philosopher, without adding to it that which belongs to a projector.

<sup>\*</sup> This is the case with the bank of AMSTERDAM.

It was a shrewd observation of ANACHARSIS + the SCYTHIAN, who had never feen money in his own country, that gold and filver feemed to him of no use to the GREEKS, but to affift them in numeration and arithmetic. 'Tis indeed evident, that money is nothing but the representation of labour and commodities, and serves only as a method of rating or estimating them. Where coin is in greater plenty; as a greater quantity of it is required to represent the same quantity of goods; it can have no effect, either good or bad, taking a nation within itself; no more than it would make any alteration on a merchant's books, if, inftead of the ARABIAN method of notation, which requires few characters, he should make use of the ROMAN, which requires a great many. Nay, the greater quantity of money, like the ROMAN characters, is rather inconvenient, and requires greater trouble both to keep and transport it. But notwithstanding this conclusion, which must be allowed just, 'tis certain, that fince the discovery of the mines in AMERICA, industry has increased in all the nations of Europe, except in the possessors of those mines; and this may justly be ascribed, amongst other reasons, to the increase of gold and filver. Accordingly we find, that in every kingdom into which money begins to flow in greater abundance than formerly, every thing takes a new face; labour and industry gain life; the merchant becomes more enterprifing, the manufacturer more diligent and skilful, and even the farmer follows his plough with greater alacrity and attention. This is not eafily to be accounted for, if we confider only the influence which a greater abundance of coin has in the kingdom itself, by heightening the price of commodities, and obliging every one to pay a greater number of these little yellow or white pieces for every thing he purchases. And as to so-

<sup>+</sup> PLUT. Quomodo quis suos profectus in virtute sentire possit.

reign trade, it appears, that great plenty of money is rather disadvantageous, by raising the price of every kind of labour.

To account, then, for this phænomenon, we must confider, that tho' the high price of commodities be a necessary consequence of the increase of gold and filver, vet it follows not immediately upon that increase; but fome time is required before the money circulate thro' the whole state, and make its effects be felt on all ranks of people. At first, no alteration is perceived; by degrees, the price rises, first of one commodity, then of another; till the whole at least reaches a just proportion with the new quantity of specie which is in the king-In my opinion, 'tis only in this interval or intermediate fituation, between the acquisition of money and rife of prices, that the increasing quantity of gold and filver is favourable to industry. When any quantity of money is imported into a nation, it is not at first difperfed into many hands; but is confined to the coffers of a few persons, who immediately seek to employ it to the best advantage. Here are a set of manufacturers or merchants, we shall suppose, who have received returns of gold and filver for goods which they fent to CADIZ. They are thereby enabled to employ more workmen than formerly, who never dream of demanding higher wages, but are glad of employment from such good paymasters. If workmen become scarce, the manufacturer gives higher wages, but at first requires an increase of labour; and this is willingly submitted to by the artisan, who can now eat and drink better, to compensate his additional toil and fatigue. He carries his money to market, where he finds every thing at the fame price as formerly, but returns with greater quantity and of better kinds, for the use of his family. The farmer and gardener, finding, that all their commodities are taken off, apply themselves with alacrity

alacrity to the raising more; and at the same time can afford to take better and more cloths from their tradefmen, whose price is the same as formerly, and their industry only whetted by so much new gain. 'Tis easy to trace the money in its progress thro' the whole commonwealth; where we shall find, that it must first quicken the diligence of every individual, before it increase the price of labour.

And that the specie may increase to a considerable pitch, before it have this latter effect, appears, amongst other instances, from the frequent operations of the French king on the money; where it was always found, that the augmenting the numerary value did not produce a proportional rise of the prices, at least for some time. In the last year of Louis XIV, money was raised three sevenths, but prices augmented only one. Corn in France is now sold at the same price, or for the same number of livres it was in 1683; tho silver was then at 30 livres the mark, and is now at 50 \*. Not to mention the great addition

\* These facts I give upon the authority of Mons. du Tor in his Re-flaxions politiques, an author of reputation. The I must confess, that the facts which he advances on other occasions, are often so suspicious, as to make his authority less in this matter. However, the general observation, that the augmenting the money in France does not at first proportionably augment the prices, is certainly just.

By the by, this feems to be one of the best reasons which can be given, for a gradual and universal augmentation of the money, tho' it has been intirely overlooked in all those volumes which have been wrote on that question by Melon, du Tot, and Paris de Verney. Were all our money, for instance, recoined, and a penny's worth of silver taken from every shilling, the new shilling would probably purchase every thing that could have been bought by the old; the prices of every thing would thereby be insensibly diminished; foreign trade enlivened; and domestic industry, by the circulation of a greater number of pounds and shillings, would receive some increase and encouragement. In executing such a project, 'twould be better to make the new shilling pass for 24 half-pence, in order to preserve the illusion, and make it be taken for the same. And as a resoinage of our

addition of gold and filver, which may have come into that kingdom fince the former period.

From the whole of this reasoning we may conclude, that 'tis of no manner of consequence, with regard to the domestic happiness of a state, whether money be in a greater or less quantity. The good policy of the magistrate confifts only in keeping it, if possible, still increasing; because, by that means, he keeps alive a spirit of industry in the nation, and increases the stock of labour, in which confifts all real power and riches. A nation whose money decreases, is actually, at that time, much weaker and more miserable than another nation, which possesses no more money, but is on the increasing hand. This will be eafily accounted for, if we confider, that the alterations in the quantity of money, either on the one fide or the other, are not immediately attended with proportionable alterations in the prices of commodities. There is always an interval before matters be adjusted to their new fituation; and this interval is as pernicious to industry when gold and filver are diminishing, as it is advantageous when these metals are increasing. The workman has not the fame employment from the manufacturer and merchant; tho' he pays the fame price for every thing in the market. The farmer cannot dispose of his corn and cattle; tho' he must pay the same rent to his landlord. The poverty, and beggary, and floth, which must ensue, are easily foreseen.

II. The fecond observation which I proposed to make with regard to money, may be explained after the sollowing manner. There are some kingdoms, and many provinces in Europe, (and all of them were once in the same condition) where money is so scarce, that the land-

filver begins to be requisite, by the continual wearing of our shillings and fixpences, it may be doubtful, whether we ought to imitate the example in King WILLIAM's reign, when the clipt money was raised to the old standard.

lord can get none at all from his tenants; but is obliged to take his rent in kind, and either to consume it himfelf, or transport it to places where he may find a market. In those countries, the prince can levy few or no taxes, but in the fame manner: And as he will receive very fmall begefit from impositions so paid, 'tis evident that fuch a kingdom has very little force even at home: and cannot maintain fleets and armies to the fame extent. as if every part of it abounded in gold and filver. There is furely a greater difproportion betwixt the force of GERMANY at present and what it was three centuries ago +, than there is in its industry, people, and manufactures. The Austrian dominions in the empire are in general well peopled and well cultivated, and are of great extent; but have not a proportionable weight in the balance of EUROPE; proceeding, as is commonly supposed, from the scarcity of money. How do all these facts agree with that principle of reason, that the quantity of gold and filver is in itself altogether indifferent? According to that principle, where ever a fovereign has numbers of subjects, and these have plenty of commodities, he should of course be great and powerful, and they rich and happy, independent of the greater or leffer abundance of the precious metals. These admit of divisions and subdivisions to a great extent; and where they would become so small as to be in danger of being loft, 'tis easy to mix them with a baser metal, as is practised in some countries of Europe; and by that means raife them to a bulk more fensible and convenient. They still serve the same purposes of exchange, whatever their number may be, or whatever colour they may be fupposed to have.

<sup>†</sup> The ITALIANS gave to the Emperor MAXIMILIAN, the nick-name of POCHI-DANARI. None of the enterprises of that prince ever succeeded, for want of money.

To these difficulties I answer, that the effect here supposed to flow from scarcity of money, really arises from the manners and customs of the inhabitants; and that we mistake, as is too usual, a collateral effect for a cause. The contradiction is only apparent; but it requires some thought and resection to discover the principles by which we can reconcile reason to experience.

It feems a maxim almost felf-evident, that the prices of every thing depend on the proportion between commodities and money, and that any considerable alteration on either of these has the same effect, either of heightening or lowering the prices. Increase the commodities, they become cheaper; increase the money, they rise in their value. As, on the other hand, a diminution of the former, and that of the latter, have contrary tendencies.

'Tis also evident that the prices do not so much depend on the absolute quantity of commodities and that of money, which are in a nation, as in that of the commodities, which come or may come to market, and of the money which circulates. If the coin be locked up in chefts, 'tis the same thing with regard to prices, as if it were annihilated: If the commodities be hoarded in granaries, a like effect follows. As the money and commodities, in these cases, never meet, they cannot affect each other. Were we, at any time, to form conjectures concerning the price of provisions, the corn which the farmer must reserve for the maintenance of himself and family, ought never to enter into the estimation. 'Tis only the overplus, compared to the demand, that determines the value.

To apply these principles, we must consider, that in the first and more uncultivated ages of any state, ere fancy has confounded her wants with those of nature, men, contented with the productions of their own fields, or with those rude preparations which they themselves can work upon them, have little occasion for exchange, or at least for money, which, by agreement, is the common measure of exchange. The wool of the farmer's own flock, spun in his own family, and wrought by a neighbouring weaver, who receives his payment in corn or wool, suffices for furniture and cloathing. The carpenter, the smith, the mason, the tailor, are retained by wages of a like nature; and the landlord himself, dwelling in the neighbourhood, is contented to receive his rent in the commodities raised by the farmer. The greatest part of these he consumes at home, in rustic hospitality: The rest, perhaps, he disposes of for money to the neighbouring town, whence he draws the sew materials of his expence and luxury.

But after men begin to refine on all these enjoyments. and live not always at home, nor are contented with what can be raifed in their neighbourhood, there is more exchange and commerce of all kinds, and more money enters into that exchange. The tradesmen will not be paid in corn; because they want something more than barely to eat. The farmer goes beyond his own parish for the commodities he purchases, and cannot always carry his commodities to the merchant who supplies him. The landlord lives in the capital, or in a foreign country; and demands his rent in gold and filver, which can eafily be transported to him. Great undertakers, and manufacturers, and merchants, arise in every commodity; and these can conveniently deal in nothing but in specie. And confequently, in this fituation of fociety, the coin enters into many more contracts, and by that means is much more employed than in the former.

The necessary effect is, that, provided the money does not increase in the nation, every thing must become much cheaper in times of industry and refinement, than in rude, uncultivated ages. 'Tis the proportion be-tween the circulating money, and the commodities in the market, which determines the prices. Goods that are confumed at home, or exchanged with other goods in the neighbourhood, never come to market; they affect not in the least the current specie; with regard to it they are as if totally annihilated; and consequently this method of using them finks the proportion on the fide of the commodities, and increases the prices. But after money enters into all contracts and fales, and is every where the measure of exchange, the same national cash has a much greater task to perform; all commodities are then in the market; the sphere of circulation is enlarged; 'tis the same case as if that individual sum were to ferve a larger kingdom; and therefore, the proportion being here lessened on the side of the money, every thing must become cheaper, and the prices gradually fall.

By the most exact computations that have been formed all over Europe, after making allowance for the alteration in the numerary value or the denomination, 'tis found, that the prices of all things have only rifen three, or at most, four times, fince the discovery of the WEST INDIES. But will any one affert, that there is not much more than four times the coin in EUROPE, that was in the fifteenth century, and the centuries preceding it? The SPANIARDS and PORTUGUESE from their mines, the English, French, and Dutch, by their African trade, and by their interlopers in the WEST INDIES. bring home about fix millions a-year, of which not above a third part goes to the EAST INDIES. This fum alone in ten years would probably double the ancient stock of money in EUROPE. And no other fatisfactory reason can be given, why all prices have not risen to a much more exorbitant height, except that derived from a change of customs and manners. Besides that more commodities are produced by additional industry, the same commodities come more to market, after men depart from their ancient simplicity of manners. And though this increase has not been equal to that of money, it has, however, been considerable, and has preserved the proportion between coin and commodities nearer the ancient standard.

Were the question proposed, Which of these methods of living in the people, the simple or refined, is the most advantageous to the state or public? I should, without much scruple, preser the latter, in a view to politics at least; and should produce this as an additional reason for the encouragement of trade and manufactures.

When men live in the ancient simple manner, and supply all their necessaries from domestic industry or from the neighbourhood, the fovereign can levy no taxes in money from a confiderable part of his subjects; and if he will impose on them any burdens, he must take his payment in commodities, with which alone they abound; a method attended with fuch great and obvious inconveniencies, that they need not here be infifted on. the money he can pretend to raise, must be from his principal cities, where alone it circulates; and thefe, 'tis evident, cannot afford him fo much as the whole state could, did gold and filver circulate through the whole. But besides this obvious diminution of the revenue, there is also another cause of the poverty of the public in such a fituation. Not only the fovereign receives less money, but the same money goes not so far as in times of industry and general commerce. Every thing is dearer, where the gold and filver are supposed equal; and that because fewer commodities come to market, and the whole coin bears a higher proportion to what is to be purchased Y 2

purchased by it; whence alone the prices of every thing are fixed and determined.

Here then we may learn the fallacy of the remark, often to be met with in historians, and even in common convertation, that any particular state is weak, tho' fertile, populous, and well cultivated, merely because it wants money. It appears, that the want of money can never injure any state within itself: For men and commodities are the real strength of any community. the fimple manner of living which here hurts the public, by confining the gold and filver to few hands, and preventing its universal diffusion and circulation. On the contrary, industry and refinements of all kinds incorporate it with the whole state, however small its quantity may be: They digest it into every vein, so to speak; and make it enter into every transaction and contract. No hand is entirely empty of it. And as the prices of every thing fall by that means, the fovereign has a double advantage: He may draw money by his taxes from every part of the state; and what he receives, goes farther in every purchase and payment.

We may infer, from a comparison of prices, that money is not more plentiful in China, than it was in Eurrope three centuries ago: But what immense power is that empire possessed of, if we may judge by the civil and military list maintained by it? Polybius \* tells us, that provisions were so cheap in Italy during his time, that in some places the stated club at the inns was a semis ahead, little more than a farthing! Yet the Roman power had even then subdued the whole known world. About a century before that period, the Carthaginian ambassador said, by way of raillery, that no people lived more sociably amongst themselves than the Romans; for that in every entertainment, which, as foreign mi-

nisters, they received, they still observed the same plate at every table\*. The absolute quantity of the precious metals is a matter of great indifference. There are only two circumstances of any importance, viz. their gradual increase, and their thorough concostion and circulation thro' the state; and the influence of both these circumstances has been here explained.

In the following Effay we shall see an instance of a like fallacy as that above mentioned; where a collateral effect is taken for a cause, and where a consequence is ascribed to the plenty of money; tho' it be really owing to a change in the manners and customs of the people.

PLIN. lib. 33. cap. 11.

## ESSAY IV.

## Of INTEREST.

POTHING is essemed a more certain sign of the shourishing condition of any nation than the low-ness of interest: And with reason; tho' I believe the cause is somewhat different from what is commonly apprehended. The lowness of interest is generally ascribed to the plenty of money. But money, however plentiful, has no other effect, if fixed, than to raise the price of labour. Silver is more common than gold; and therefore you receive a greater quantity of it for the same commodities. But do you pay less interest for it? Interest in BATAVIA and JAMAICA is at 10 per cent. in PORTUGAL at 6; tho' these places, as we may learn from the prices of every thing, abound much more in gold and silver than either London or Amsterdam.

Were all the gold in England annihilated at once, and one and twenty shillings substituted in the place of every guinea, would money be more plentiful or interest lower? No surely: We should only use silver instead of gold. Were gold rendered as common as silver, and silver as common as copper; would money be more plentiful or interest lower? We may assuredly give the same answer. Our shillings would then be yellow, and our halfpence white; and we should have no guineas. No

other difference would ever be observed; no alteration on commerce, manufactures, navigation, or interest; unless we imagine, that the colour of the metal is of any consequence.

Now, what is so visible in these greater variations of scarcity or abundance of the precious metals, must hold in all inferior changes. If the multiplying gold and silver sisteen times makes no difference, much less can the doubling or tripling them. All augmentation has no other effect than to heighten the price of labour and commodities; and even this variation is little more than that of a name. In the progress towards these changes, the augmentation may have some influence, by exciting industry; but after the prices are settled, suitable to the new abundance of gold and silver, it has no manner of influence.

An effect always holds proportion with its cause. Prices have risen about four times since the discovery of the INDIES; and 'tis probable gold and silver have multiplied much more: But interest has not fallen much above a half. The rate of interest, therefore, is not derived from the quantity of the precious metals.

Money having merely a fictitious value, arifing from the agreement and convention of men, the greater or less plenty of it is of no consequence, if we consider a nation within itself; and the quantity of specie, when once fixed, tho' never so large, has no other effect, than to oblige every one to tell out a greater number of those shining bits of metal, for cloaths, surniture, or equipage, without increasing any one convenience of life. If a man borrows money to build a house, he then carries home a greater load; because the stone, timber, lead, glass, &c. with the labour of the masons and carpenters, are represented by a greater quantity of gold and silver.

But as these metals are considered merely as representations, there can no alteration arise, from their bulk or quantity, their weight or colour, either upon their real value or their interest. The same interest, in all cases, bears the same proportion to the sum. And if you lent me so much labour and so many commodities; by receiving five per cent. you receive always proportional labour and commodities, however represented, whether by yellow or white coin, whether by a pound or an ounce. This in vain, therefore, to look for the cause of the fall or rise of interest in the greater or less quantity of gold and silver which is fixed in any nation.

High interest arises from three circumstances: A great demand for borrowing; little riches to supply that demand; and great profits arising from commerce. And these circumstances are a clear proof of the small advance of commerce and industry, not of the scarcity of gold and silver. Low interest, on the other hand, proceeds from the three opposite circumstances: A small demand for borrowing; great riches to supply that demand; and small profits arising from commerce. And these circumstances are all connected together, and proceed from the increase of industry and commerce, not of gold and silver. We shall endeavour to prove these points as sfully and distinctly as possible; and shall begin with the causes and the effects of a great or small demand for borrowing.

When the people have emerged ever so little from a favage state, and their numbers have increased beyond the original multitude, there must immediately arise an inequality of property; and while some possess large tracts of land, others are confined within narrow limits, and some are entirely without any landed property. Those who possess more land than they can labour, employ those who possess none, and agree to receive a determi-

nate part of the product. Thus the landed interest is immediately established; nor is there any settled government, however rude, in which affairs are not on this footing. Of these proprietors of land, some must prefently discover themselves to be of different tempers from others; and while one would willingly store up the product of his land for futurity, another defires to confume at present what should suffice for many years. But as the spending a settled revenue is a way of life entirely without occupation; men have so much need of somewhat to fix and engage them, that pleasures, such as they are, will be the pursuit of the greatest part of the landholders, and the prodigals amongst them will always be more numerous than the misers. In a state, therefore, where there is nothing but a landed interest, as there is little frugality, the borrowers must be very numerous, and the rate of interest must hold proportion to it. The difference depends not on the quantity of money, but on the habits and manners which prevail. By this alone the demand for borrowing is increased or diminished. Were money fo plentiful as to make an egg be fold for fixpence; so long as there are only landed gentry and peafants in the state, the borrowers must be numerous, and interest high. The rent for the same farm would be heavier and more bulky: But the same idleness of the landlord, with the higher prices of commodities, would diffipate it in the fame time, and produce the fame necessity and demand for borrowing,

Nor is the case different with regard to the second circumstance which we proposed to consider, viz. the great or little riches to supply this demand. This effect also depends on the habits and ways of living of the people, not on the quantity of gold and silver. In order to have in any state, a great number of lenders, 'tis not sufficient nor requisite that there be great abundance of the precious metals. 'Tis only requisite that the property or command

command of that quantity, which is in the state, whether great or small, should be collected in particular hands, so as to form considerable sums, or compose a great monied interest. This begets a number of lenders, and sinks the rate of usury; and this, I shall venture to affirm, depends not on the quantity of specie, but on particular manners and customs, which make the specie gather into separate sums or masses of considerable value.

For suppose, that, by miracle, every man in BRITAIN should have five pounds slipt into his pocket in one night; this would much more than double the whole money that is at present in the kingdom; and yet there would not next day, nor for fome time, be any more lenders, nor any variation on the interest. And were there nothing but landlords and peafants in the state, this money, however abundant, could never gather into fums; and would only ferve to increase the prices of every thing, without any farther consequence. The prodigal landlord disfipates it as fast as he receives it; and the beggarly peafant has no means, nor view, nor ambition of obtaining above a bare livelihood. The overplus of borrowers above that of lenders continuing still the same, there will follow no reduction of interest. That depends upon an-. other principle; and must proceed from an increase of induftry and frugality, of arts and commerce.

Every thing useful to the life of man arises from the ground; but few things arise in that condition which is requisite to render them useful. There must, therefore, beside the peasants, and the proprietors of land, be another rank of men, who, receiving from the former the rude materials, work them into their proper form, and retain part for their own use and subsistence. In the infancy of society, these contracts betwixt the artisans and the peasants, and betwixt one species of artisans and another, are commonly entered into immediately by the persons

persons themselves, who, being neighbours, are easily acquainted with each other's necessities, and can lend their mutual affistance to supply them. But when men's industry increases, and their views enlarge, 'tis found, that the most remote parts of the state can assist each other as well as the more contiguous, and that this intercourse of good offices may be carried on to the greatest extent and intricacy. Hence the origin of merchants, the most useful race of men in the whole society, who serve as agents between those parts of the state, that are wholly unacquainted, and are ignorant of each other's necessi-Here are in a city fifty workmen in filk and linen, and a thousand customers; and these two ranks of men, fo necessary to each other, can never rightly meet, till one man erects a shop, to which all the workmen and all the customers repair. In this province, grass rises in abundance: The inhabitants abound in cheefe, and butter, and cattle; but want bread and corn, which, in a neighbouring province, are in too great abundance for the use of the inhabitants. One man discovers this: He brings corn from the one province, and returns with cattle; and supplying the wants of both, he is, so far, a common benefactor. As the people increase in numbers and industry, the difficulty of their intercourse increases: The business of the agency or merchandize becomes more intricate; and divides, fubdivides, compounds, and mixes to a greater variety. In all these transactions, 'tis necessary, and reasonable, that a considerable part of the commodities and labour should belong to the merchant, to whom, in a great measure, they are owing. And these commodities he will sometimes preserve in kind, or more commonly convert into money, which is their common representation. and filver have increased in the state together with the industry, it will require a great quantity of these metals to represent a great quantity of commodities and labour. If industry alone has increased, the prices of every thing must fink, and a very small quantity of specie will serve as a representation.

There is no craving or demand of the human mind more conflant, and infatiable than that for exercise and employment; and this defire feems the foundation of most of our passions and pursuits. Deprive a man of all business and serious occupation, he runs restless from one amusement to another; and the weight and oppresfion which he feels from idleness, is so great, that he forgets the ruin which must follow from his immoderate expences. Give him a more harmless way of employing his mind or body, he is fatisfied, and feels no longer that infatiable thirst after pleasure. But if the employment you give him be profitable, especially if the profit be attached to every particular exertion of industry, he has gain fo often in his eye, that he acquires, by degrees, a passion for it, and knows no such pleasure as that of feeing the daily increase of his fortune: And this is the reason why trade increases frugality, and why, among merchants, there is the fame overplus of mifers above prodigals, as, among the possessors of land, there is the contrary.

Commerce increases industry, by conveying it readily from one member of the state to another, and allowing none of it to perish or become useless. It increases frugality, by giving occupation to men, and employing them in the arts of gain, which soon engage their affection, and remove all relish for pleasure and expence. 'Tis an infallible consequence of all industrious professions, to beget frugality, and make the love of gain prevail over the love of pleasure. Among lawyers and physicians who have any practice, there are many more who live within their income, than who exceed it, or even live up to it. But lawyers and physicians beget no industry;

and 'tis even at the expence of others they acquire their riches; fo that they are fure to diminish the possessions of some of their fellow-citizens as fast as they incréase their own. Merchants, on the contrary, beget industry, by ferving as canals to convey it through every corner of the state; and at the same time by their frugality, they acquire great power over that industry, and collect a large property in the labour and commodities, which they are the chief instruments in producing. There is no other profession, therefore, except merchandize, which can make the monied interest considerable, or, in other words, can increase industry, and, by also increasing frugality, give a great command of that industry to particular members of the fociety. Without commerce, the state must consist chiefly of landed gentry, whose prodigality and expence make a continual demand for borrowing; and of peafants, who have no fums to fupply that demand. The money never gathers into large stocks or fums, which can be lent at interest. It is dispersed into numberless hands, who either squander it in idle show and magnificence, or employ it in the purchase of the common necessaries of life. Commerce alone affembles it into confiderable fums; and this effect it has merely from the industry which it begets, and the frugality which it inspires, independent of that particular quantity of precious metal which may circulate in the state.

Thus an increase of commerce, by a necessary consequence, raises a great number of lenders, and by that means produce a lowness of interest. We must now consider how far this increase of commerce diminishes the profits arising from that profession, and gives rise to the third circumstance requisite to produce a lowness of interest.

It may be proper to observe on this head, that low interest and low profits of merchandize are two events, that mutually forward each other, and are both originally derived from that extensive commerce, which produces opulent merchants, and renders the monied interest confiderable. Where merchants possess great stocks, whether represented by few or many pieces of metal, it must frequently happen, that when they either become tired of business, or have heirs unwilling or unfit to engage in commerce, a great deal of these riches will seek an annual and fecure revenue. The plenty diminishes the price, and makes the lenders accept of a low interest. This confideration obliges many to keep their stocks in trade, and rather be content with low profits than difpose of their money at an under value. On the other hand, when commerce has become very extensive, and employs very large flocks, there must arise rivalships among the merchants, which diminish the profits of trade, at the fame time that they increase the trade itfelf. The low profits of merchandize induce the merchants to accept more willingly of a low interest, when they leave off business, and begin to indulge themselves in ease and indolence. It is needless, therefore, to enquire which of these circumstances, viz. low interest or low profits, is the cause, and which the effect? They both arise from an extensive commerce, and mutually forward each other. No man will accept of low profits, where he can have high interest; and no man will accept of low interest, where he can have high profits. An extensive commerce, by producing large stocks, diminishes both interest and profits; and is always affisted in its diminution of the one, by the proportional finking of the I may add, that as low profits arise from the increase of commerce and industry, they serve in their turn to the farther increase of commerce, by rendering the commodities cheaper, encouraging the confumption, and heightening the industry. And thus, if we consider

the whole connection of causes and effects, interest is the true barometer of the state, and its lowness is a sign almost infallible of the slourishing of a people. It proves the increase of industry, and its prompt circulation thro' the whole state, little inserior to a demonstration. And tho', perhaps, it may not be impossible but a sudden and a great check to commerce may have a momentary effect of the same kind, by throwing so many stocks out of trade; it must be attended with such misery and want of employment in the poor, that, besides its short duration, it will not be possible to mistake the one case for the other.

Those who have afferted, that the plenty of money was the cause of low interest, seem to have taken a collateral effect for a cause; since the same industry which finks the interest, does commonly acquire great abundance of the precious metals. A variety of fine manufactures, with vigilant enterprising merchants, will soon draw money to a state, if it be any where to be found in the world. The same cause, by multiplying the conveniencies of life, and increasing industry, collects great riches into the hands of persons, who are not proprietors of land, and produces by that means a lowness of interest. But the' both these effects, plenty of money and low interest, naturally arise from commerce and industry, they are altogether independent of each other. For suppose a nation removed into the Pacific ocean, without any foreign commerce, or any knowledge of navigation: Suppose, that this nation possesses always the fame stock of coin, but is continually increasing in its numbers and industry: 'Tis evident, that the price of every commodity must gradually diminish in that kingdom; fince 'tis the proportion between money and any species of goods, which fixes their mutual value; and, upon the present supposition, the conveniencies of life become

become every day more abundant, without any alteration on the current specie. A less quantity of money, therefore, amongst this people, will make a rich man, during the times of industry, than would ferve to that purpose, in ignorant and slothful ages. Less money will build a house, portion a daughter, buy an estate, support a manufactory, or maintain a family and equipage. These are the uses for which men borrow money; and therefore, the greater or less quantity of it in a state has no influence on the interest. But 'tis evident, that the greater or less stock of labour and commodities must have a great influence; since we really and in effect borrow these, when we take money upon interest. 'Tis true. when commerce is extended all over the globe, the most industrious nations always abound most with the precious metals: So that low interest and plenty of money are in fact almost inseparable. But still 'tis of consequence to know the principle whence any phænomenon arises, and to distinguish between a cause and a concomitant effect. Besides that the speculation is curious, it may frequently be of use in the conduct of public affairs. At least, it must be owned, that nothing can be of more use than to improve, by practice, the method of reasoning on these subjects, which of all others are the most important; tho' they are commonly treated in the loofest and most careless manner.

Another reason of this popular mistake with regard to the cause of low interest, seems to be the instance of some nations; where, after a sudden acquisition of money, or of the precious metals, by means of foreign conquest, the interest has fallen, not only among them, but in all the neighbouring states, as soon as that money was dispersed, and had infinuated itself into every corner. Thus, interest in Spain sell near a half immediately after the discovery of the West Indies, as we are informed by Vol. I.

GARCILASSO DE LA VEGA: And it has been ever fince gradually finking in every kingdom of EUROPE. Interest in ROME, after the conquest of EGYPT, sell from 6 to 4 per cent. as we learn from DION\*.

The causes of the finking of interest upon such an event, seem different in the conquering country and in the neighbouring states; but in neither of them can we justly ascribe that effect merely to the increase of gold and silver.

In the conquering country, 'tis natural to imagine, that this new acquisition of money will fall into a few hands, and be gathered into large fums, which feek a fecure revenue, either by the purchase of land or by interest; and consequently the same effect follows, for a little time, as if there had been a great accession of industry and commerce. The increase of lenders above the borrowers finks the interest; and so much the faster, if those who have acquired those large sums, find no industry or commerce in the state, and no method of employing their money but by lending it at interest. But after this new mass of gold and silver has been digested, and has circulated thro' the whole state, affairs will soon return to their former fituation; while the landlords and new money-holders living idly, fquander above their income; and the former daily contract debt, and the latter incroach on their flock till its final extinction. whole money may still be in the state, and make itself be felt by the increase of prices: But not being now collected into any large masses or stocks, the disproportion between the borrowers and lenders is the same as formerly, and confequently the high interest returns.

Accordingly we find, in ROME, that so early as TI-BERIUS's time, interest had again mounted to 6 per pire of moneys. In Trajan's time, money lent on mortgages in Ital. ore 6 per cent. †; on common fecurities in Bittly staylet. And it interest in Spain has not risen to its old pach, this can be afgribed to nothing but the continuance of the same cause that sunk it, viz. the large fortunes continually made in the sunk it, viz. the large fortunes continually made in the sunk and supply the demand of the borrowers. By this accidental and extraneous cause, more money is to be lent in Spain, that is, more money is collected into large sums, than would otherwise be found in a state, where there are so little commerce and industry.

As to the reduction of interest, which has followed in ENGLAND, FRANCE, and other kingdoms of EUROPE, that have no mines, it has been gradual; and has not proceeded from the increase of money, considered merely in itself; but from the increase of industry, which is the natural effect of the former increase, in that interval. before it raises the price of labour and provisions. For to return to the foregoing supposition; if the industry of ENGLAND had rifen as much from other causes, (and that rife might eafily have happened, the' the stock of money had remained the fame) must not all the same confequences have followed, which we observe at prefent? The same people would, in that case, be found in the kingdom, the same commodities, the same induftry, manufactures, and commerce; and confequently the fame merchants, with the fame stocks, that is, with the fame command over labour and commodities, only represented by a smaller number of white or yellow

<sup>\*</sup> COLUMELLA, lib. 3. cap. 3.

<sup>†</sup> PLINII epift. lib. 7. ep. 18.

<sup>1</sup> Id. lib. 10, ep. 62.

pieces; which being a circumstance of no moment, would only affect 'the waggoner, porter, and trunk-maker. Luxury, therefore, manufactures, arts, industry, frugality, slourishing equally as at present, 'tis evident that interest must also have been as low; since that is the necessary result of all these circumstances; so far as they determine the profits of commerce, and the proportion between the borrowers and lenders in any state.

## ESSAY V.

Of the BALANCE of TRADE.

IS very usual, in nations ignorant of the nature of commerce, to prohibit the exportation of commodities, and to preserve among themselves whatever they think valuable and useful. They consider not, that, in this prohibition, they act directly contrary to their intention; and that the more is exported of any commodity, the more will be raised at home, of which they themselves will always have the first offer.

'Tis well known to the learned, that the antient laws of Athens rendered the exportation of figs criminal; that being supposed a species of fruit so excellent in Attica, that the Athenians esteemed it too delicious for the palate of any foreigner. And in this ridiculous prohibition they were so much in earnest, that informers were thence called sycophants among them, from two Greek words, which signify sigs and discoverer to There are proofs in many old acts of parliament of the same ignorance in the nature of commerce, particularly in the reign of Edward III. And to this day, in France, the exportation of corn is almost always prohibited; in order, as they say, to prevent samines; tho' 'tis evident,

that nothing contributes more to the frequent famines which fo much diffress that fertile country.

The same jealous fear, with regard to money, has alfo prevailed among several nations; and it required both reason and experience to convince any people, that these prohibitions serve to no other purpose than to raise the exchange against them, and produce a still greater exportation.

These errors, one may say, are gross and palpable; but there still prevails, even in nations well acquainted with commerce, a strong jealousy with regard to the balance of trade, and a sear, that all their gold and silver may be leaving them. This seems to me, almost in every case, a very groundless apprehension; and I should as soon dread, that all our springs and rivers should be exhausted, as that money should abandon a kingdom where there are people and industry. Let us carefully preserve these latter advantages; and we need never be apprehensive of losing the former.

'Tis easy to observe, that all calculations concerning the balance of trade are founded on very uncertain facts and suppositions. The customhouse-books are allowed to be an insufficient ground of reasoning; nor is the rate of exchange much better; unless we consider it with all nations, and know also the proportion of the several sums remitted; which one may safely pronounce impossible. Every man who has ever reasoned on this subject, has always proved his theory, whatever it was, by facts and calculations, and by an enumeration of all the commodities sent to all foreign kingdoms.

The writings of Mr. GEE flruck the nation with an universal panic, when they saw it plainly demonstrated, by a detail of particulars, that the balance was against them for so considerable a sum as must leave them with-

out a fingle shilling in five or fix years. But luckily, twenty years have since elapsed, with an expensive foreign war; and yet it is commonly supposed, that money is still more plentiful among us than in any former period.

Nothing can be more entertaining on this head than Dr. SWIFT; an author fo quick in difcerning the miftakes and absurdities of others. He fays, in his short view of the state of IRELAND, that the whole cash of that kingdom amounted but to 500,000 l.; that out of this they remitted every year a neat million to Eng-LAND, and had fcarce any other fource from which. they could compensate themselves, and little other foreign trade but the importation of FRENCH wines, for which they paid ready money. The confequence of this fituation, which must be owned to be disadvantageous, was, that in a course of three years, the current money of IRELAND, from 500,000 l. was reduced to less than And at prefent, I suppose, in a course of 30 years, it is absolutely nothing. Yet I know not how, that opinion of the advance of riches in IRELAND, which gave the Doctor so much indignation, seems still to continue, and gain ground with every body.

In short, this apprehension of the wrong balance of trade, appears of such a nature, that it discovers itself, where-ever one is out of humour with the ministry, or is in low spirits; and as it can never be refuted by a particular detail of all the exports, which counterbalance the imports, it may here be proper to form a general argument, which may prove the impossibility of that event, as long as we preserve our people and our industry.

Suppose four fifths of all the money in BRITAIN to be annihilated in one night, and the nation reduced to the same condition, with regard to specie, as in the reigns

the HARRYS and EDWARDS, what would be the confequence? Must not the price of all labour and commodities fink in proportion, and every thing be fold as cheap as they were in those ages? What nation could then dispute with us in any foreign market, or pretend to navigate or to sell manusactures at the same price, which to us would afford sufficient profit? In how little time, therefore, must this bring back the money which we had lost, and raise us to the level of all the neighbouring nations? Where, after we have arrived, we immediately lose the advantage of the cheapness of labour and commodities; and the farther flowing in of money is stopped by our fulness and repletion.

Again, suppose, that all the money of BRITAIN were multiplied fivefold in a night, must not the contrary effect follow? Must not all labour and commodities rise to such an exorbitant height, that no neighbouring nations could afford to buy from us; while their commodities, on the other hand, became so cheap in comparison, that, in spite of all the laws which could be formed, they would be run in upon us, and our money flow out; till we fall to a level with foreigners, and lose that great superiority of riches, which had laid us under such disadvantages?

Now, 'tis evident, that the same causes which would correct these exorbitant inequalities, were they to happen miraculously, must prevent their happening in the common course of nature, and must for ever, in all the neighbouring nations, preserve money nearly proportionable to the art and industry of each nation. All water, where-ever it communicates, remains always at a level. Ask naturalists the reason; they tell you, that were it to be raised in any one place, the superior gravity of that part not being balanced, must depress it, till it meets a counterposse; and that the same cause which redresses

the inequality when it happens, must for ever prevent it, without some violent external operation \*.

Can one imagine, that it had ever been possible, by any laws, or even by any art or industry, to have kept all the money in Spain, which the galleons have brought from the Indies? or that all commodities could be sold in France for a tenth of the price which they would yield on the other side of the Pyreness, without sinding their way thither, and draining from that immense treafure? What other reason, indeed, is there, why all nations, at present, gain in their trade with Spain and Portugal; but because it is impossible to heap up money, more than any sluid, beyond its proper level? The sovereigns of these countries have shown, that they wanted not inclination to keep their gold and silver to themselves, had it been in any degree practicable.

But as any body of water may be raifed above the level of the furrounding element, if the former has no communication with the latter; fo in money, if the communication be cut off, by any material or physical impediment, (for all laws alone are ineffectual) there may, in such a case, be a very great inequality of money. Thus the immense distance of China, together with the monopolies of our India companies, obstructing the communication, preserve in Europe the gold and silver, especially the latter, in much greater plenty than they are found in that kingdom. But, notwithstanding this great obstruction, the force of the causes abovementioned is still evident. The skill and ingenuity of Europe in general surpasses perhaps that of China,

There is another cause, though more limited in its operation, which checks the wrong balance of trade, to every particular nation to which the kingdom trades. When we import more goods than we export, the exchange turns against us, and this becomes a new encouragement to export; as much as the charge of carriage and insurance of the money which becomes due would amount to. For the exchange can never rise higher than that som.

with regard to manual arts and manufactures; yet are we never able to trade thither without great disadvantage. And were it not for the continual recruits which we receive from AMERICA, money would very soon sink in Europe, and rise in China, till it came nearly to a level in both places. Nor can any reasonable man doubt, but that industrious nation, were they as near us as Poland or Barbary, would drain us of the overplus of our specie, and draw to themselves a larger share of the West-Indian treasures. We need have no recourse to a physical attraction, to explain the necessity of this operation. There is a moral attraction, arising from the interests and passions of men, which is full as potent and infallible.

How is the balance kept in the provinces of every kingdom among themselves, but by the force of this principle, which makes it impossible for money to lose its level, and either to rise or fink beyond the proportion of the labour and commodities which is in each province? Did not long experience make people easy on this head, what a fund of gloomy reflections might calculations afford a melancholy YORKSHIREMAN, while he computed and magnified the fums drawn to London by taxes, abfentees, commodities, and found on comparison the opposite articles so much inferior? And no doubt, had the Heptarchy subsisted in ENGLAND, the legislature of each state had been continually alarmed by the fear of a wrong balance; and as 'tis probable that the mutual hatred of these states would have been extremely violent on account of their close neighbourhood, they would have loaded and oppressed all commerce, by a jealous and superfluous caution. Since the union has removed the barriers between SCOTLAND and ENGLAND, which of these nations gains from the other by this free commerce? Or if the former kingdom has received any increase of riches, can it be reasonably accounted for by any thing but the increase of its art and industry? It was a common apprehension in England, before the union, as we learn from L'Abbe du Bos\*, that Scotland would soon drain them of their treasure, were an open trade allowed; and on the other side the Tweed a contrary apprehension prevailed: With what justice in both, time has shown.

What happens in small portions of mankind, must take place in greater. The provinces of the ROMAN empire, no doubt, kept their balance with each other, and with ITALY, independent of the legislature; as much as the feveral counties of BRITAIN, or the feveral parishes of each county. And any man who travels over EUROPE at this day, may fee by the prices of commodities, that money, in spite of the absurd jealousy of princes and states, has brought itself nearly to a level; and that the difference between one kingdom and another is not greater in this respect, than it is often between different provinces of the fame kingdom. Men naturally flock to capital cities, fea-ports, and navigable rivers. There we find more men, more industry, more commodities, and confequently more money; but still the latter difference holds proportion with the former, and the level is preserved +.

Our

<sup>\*</sup> Les interets d'ANGLETERRE mal-entendus.

<sup>†</sup> It must carefully be remarked, that throughout this discourse, whereever I speak of the level of money, I mean always its proportional level to
the commodities, labour, industry, and skill, which is in the several states.
And I affert, that where these advantages are double, trible, quadruple, to
what they are in the neighbouring states, the money infallibly will also be
double, trible, quadruple. The only circumstance that can obstruct the exactness of these proportions, is the expence of transporting the commodities
from one place to another; and this expence is sometimes unequal. Thus
the corn, cattle, cheese, butter, of Derryshire, cannot draw the money
of London, so much as the manufactures of London draw the money of

Our jealousy and our hatred of FRANCE, are without bounds; and the former fentiment, at least, must be acknowleged very reasonable and well-grounded. passions have occasioned innumerable barriers and obstructions upon commerce, where we are accused of being commonly the aggressors. But what have we gained by the bargain? We lost the FRENCH market for our woollen manufactures, and transferred the commerce of wine to Spain and Portugal, where we buy much worse liquor at a higher price. There are few Englishmen who would not think their country absolutely ruined, were FRENCH wines fold in ENGLAND to cheap and in fuch abundance as to supplant, in some measure, all ale, and home-brewed liquors: But would we lay afide prejudice, it would not be difficult to prove, that nothing could be more innocent, perhaps advantageous. Each new acre of vineyard planted in FRANCE, in order to fupply ENGLAND with wine, would make it requisite for the FRENCH to take the produce of an English acre, fown in wheat or barley, in order to subfift themselves: and 'tis evident, that we have thereby got command of the better commodity.

There are many edicts of the FRENCH King, prohibiting the planting of new vineyards, and ordering all those already planted to be grubbed up: So sensible are they in that country, of the superior value of corn, above every other product.

Mareschal VAUBAN complains often, and with reason, of the absurd duties which load the entry of those wines of LANGUEDOC, GUIENNE, and other southern provinces, that are imported into BRITANY and NORMANDY. He entertained no doubt but these latter provinces

Derbyshire. But this objection is only a feeming one: For so far as the transport of commodities is expensive, so far is the communication between the place obstructed and impersect.

could preferve their balance, notwithstanding the open commerce which he recommends. And 'tis evident, that a few leagues more navigation to ENGLAND would make no difference; or if it did, that it must operate alike on the commodities of both kingdoms.

There is indeed one expedient by which it is possible to fink, and another by which we may raise, money beyond its natural level in any kingdom; but these cases, when examined, will be found to resolve into our general theory, and to bring additional authority to it.

I scarce know any method of finking money below its level, but those institutions of banks, funds, and paper-credit, which are so much practised in this kingdom. These render paper equivalent to money, circulate it thro' the whole state, make it supply the place of gold and filver, raife proportionably the price of labour and commodities, and by that means either banish a great part of those precious metals, or prevent their farther increase. What can be more short-sighted than our reafonings on this head? We fancy, because an individual would be much richer, were his stock of money doubled, that the fame good effect would follow were the money of every one increased; not considering, that this would raise as much the price of every commodity, and reduce every man, in time, to the fame condition as before. 'Tis only in our public negotiations and transactions with foreigners, that a greater stock of money is advantageous; and as our paper is there absolutely infignificant, we feel, by its means, all the ill effects arifing from a great abundance of money, without reaping any of the advantages \*.

Suppose

We observed in Essay III. that money, when increasing, gives encouragement to industry, during the interval between the increase of money and rise of the prices. A good effect of this nature may follow too from paper credit;

Suppose that there are 12 millions of paper, which circulate in the kingdom as money, (for we are not to imagine, that all our enormous funds are employed in that shape) and suppose the real cash of the kingdom to be 18 millions: Here is a ftate which is found by experience able to hold a flock of 30 millions. I fay, if it be able to hold it, it must of necessity have acquired it in gold and filver, had we not obstructed the entrance of these metals by this new invention of paper. Whence would it have acquired that fum? From all the kingdoms of the world. But why? Because, if you remove these 12 millions, money in this state is below its level, compared with our neighbours; and we must immediately draw from all of them, till we be full and faturate, fo to speak, and can hold no more. By our present politics, we are as careful to stuff the nation with this fine commodity of bank-bills and chequer notes, as if we were afraid of being over-burthened with the precious metals.

'Tis not to be doubted, but the great plenty of bullion in France is, in a great measure, owing to the want of paper-credit. The French have no banks: Merchants bills do not there circulate as with us: Usury or lending on interest is not directly permitted; so that many have large sums in their coffers: Great quantities of plate are used in private houses; and all the churches are full of it. By this means, provision and labour still remain much cheaper among them, than in nations that are not half so rich in gold and silver. The advantages of this situation in point of trade, as well as in great public emergencies, are too evident to be disputed.

The same fashion a few years ago prevailed in GE-NOA, which still has place in ENGLAND and HOLLAND,

credit; but 'tis dangerous to precipitate matters, at the risk of losing all by the failing of that credit, as must happen upon any violent shock in public affairs.

of using services of China ware instead of plate; but the senate, wisely foreseeing the consequence, prohibited the use of that brittle commodity beyond a certain extent; while the use of silver plate was left unlimited. And I suppose, in their late distresses, they selt the good effect of this ordinance. Our tax on plate is, perhaps, in this view, somewhat impolitic.

Before the introduction of paper-money into our colonies, they had gold and filver fufficient for their circulation. Since the introduction of that commodity, the leaft inconveniency that has followed is the total banishment of the precious metals. And after the abolition of paper, can it be doubted but money will return, while these colonies possess manufactures and commodities, the only thing valuable in commerce, and for whose sake alone all men desire money?

What pity LYCURGUS did not think of paper-credit, when he wanted to banish gold and silver from SPARTA! It would have served his purpose better than the lumps of iron he made use of as money; and would also have prevented more effectually all commerce with strangers, as being of so much less real and intrinsic value.

It must, however, be consessed, that, as all these questions of trade and money are extremely complicated, there are certain lights, in which this subject may be placed, so as to represent the advantages of paper-credit and banks to be superior to their disadvantages. That they banish specie and bullion from a state is undoubtedly true; and whoever looks no farther than this circumstance does well to condemn them; but specie and bullion are not of so great consequence as not to admit of a compensation, and even an overbalance from the increase of industry and of credit, which may be promoted by the right use of paper-money. It is well known of what advantage it is to a merchant to be able to discount his bills

upon occasion; and every thing that facilitates this species of traffic is favourable to the general commerce of a state. But private bankers are enabled to give such credit by the credit they receive from the depositing of money in their shops; and the bank of ENGLAND in the fame manner, from the liberty they have to issue their notes in all payments. There was an invention of this kind, which was fallen upon some years ago by the banks of EDINBURGH; and which, as it is one of the most ingenious ideas that has been executed in commerce, has also been found very advantageous to Scotland. It is there called a BANK-CREDIT; and is of this nature. A man goes to the bank and finds furety to the amount, we shall suppose, of five thousand pounds. This money, or any part of it, he has the liberty of drawing out whenever he pleases, and he pays only the ordinary interest for it, while it is in his hands. He may, when he pleases, repay any sum so small as twenty pounds, and the interest is discounted from the very day of the repay-The advantages, resulting from this contrivance, are manifold. As a man may find furety nearly to the amount of his substance, and his bank-credit is equivalent to ready money, a merchant does hereby in a manner coin his houses, his household furniture, the goods in his warehouse, the foreign debts due to him, his ships at sea; and can, upon occasion, employ them in all payments, as if they were the current money of the country. If a man borrows five thousand pounds from a private hand, besides that it is not always to be found when required, he pays interest for it, whether he be using it or not: His bank-credit costs him nothing except during the very moment in which it is of fervice to him: And this circumstance is of equal advantage as if he had borrowed money at much lower interest. Merchants, likewife, from this invention, acquire a great facility in supporting each other's credit, which is a confiderable

derable fecurity against bankruptcies. A man, when his own bank-credit is exhausted, goes to any of his neighbours who is not in the same condition; and he gets the money, which he replaces at his convenience.

After this practice had taken place during some years at EDINBURGH, several companies of merchants at GLASGOW carried the matter farther. They affociated themselves into different banks, and issued notes so low as ten shillings, which they used in all payments for goods, manufactures, tradefmen, labour of all kinds; and these notes, from the established credit of the companies, passed as money in all payments throughout the country. By this means, a stock of five thousand pounds was able to perform the same operations as if it were ten: and merchants were thereby enabled to trade to a greater extent, and to require less profit in all their transactions. In NEWCASTLE and BRISTOL, as well as other trading places, the merchants have fince inflituted banks of a like nature, in imitation of those in GLASGOW. whatever other advantages result from these inventions, it must still be allowed that they banish the precious metals; and nothing can be a more evident proof of it, than a comparison of the past and present condition of Scot-LAND in that particular. It was found, upon the recoinage made after the union, that there was near a million of specie in that country: But notwithstanding the great increase of riches, commerce and manufactures of all kinds, it is thought, that, even where there is no extraordinary drain made by ENGLAND, the current fpecie will not now amount to a fifth of that fum.

But as our projects of paper-credit are almost the only expedient by which we can fink money below its level; so, in my opinion, the only expedient by which we can raise money above its level, is a practice which we should all exclaim against as destructive, viz. the gathering large

Vol. I. A a fums

fums into a public treasure, locking them up, and absolutely preventing their circulation. The fluid not communicating with the neighbouring element, may, by fuch an artifice, be raifed to what height we pleafe. To prove this, we need only return to our first supposition, of the annihilating the half or any part of our cash; where we found, that the immediate consequence of such an event would be the attraction of an equal fum from all the neighbouring kingdoms. Nor does there feem to be any necessary bounds fet, by the nature of things, to this practice of hoarding. A small city like GENEVA, continuing this policy for ages, might ingrofs nine tenths of the money of EUROPE. There feems, indeed, in the nature of man, an invincible obstacle to that immense growth of riches. A weak state, with an enormous treafure, will soon become a prey to some of its poorer, but more powerful neighbours. A great state would dissipate its wealth in dangerous and ill-concerted projects: and probably destroy, with it, what is much more valuable, the industry, morals and numbers of its people. The fluid in this case, raised to too great a height, bursts and destroys the vessel that contains it; and mixing itself with the furrounding element, soon falls to its proper level.

So little are we commonly acquainted with this principle, that though all historians agree in relating uniformly so recent an event, as the immense treasure amassed by HARRY VII. (which they make amount to 1,700,000 pounds,) we rather reject their concurring testimony, than admit of a fact which agrees so ill with our inveterate prejudices. 'Tis indeed probable, that that sum might be three sourths of all the money in England. But where is the difficulty that such a sum might be amassed in twenty years, by a cunning, rapacious, frugal, and almost absolute monarch? Nor is it probable,

probable, that the diminution of circulating money was ever fenfibly felt by the people, or ever did them any prejudice. The finking of the prices of all commodities would immediately replace it, by giving England the advantage in its commerce with all the neighbouring kingdoms.

Have we not an instance in the small republic of Athens with its allies, who in about fifty years between the Median and Peloponnesian wars, amassed a sum greater than that of Harry VII. \*? For all the Greek historians † and orators ‡ agree, that the Athenians collected in the citadel more than 10,000 talents, which they afterwards dissipated to their own ruin, in rash and imprudent interprizes. But when this money was set a-running, and began to communicate with the surrounding sluid; what was the consequence? Did it remain in the state? No. For we find by the memorable census mentioned by Demosthenes || and Polybius ‡, that, in about sifty years afterwards, the whole value of the republic, comprehending lands, houses, commodities, slaves, and money, was less than 6000 talents.

What an ambitious high-spirited people was this, to collect and keep in their treasury, with a view to conquests, a sum, which it was every day in the power of the citizens, by a single vote, to distribute among themselves, and which would go near to triple the riches of every individual; For we must observe, that the numbers and private riches of the ATHENIANS are said by ancient writers to have been no greater at the beginning

<sup>\*</sup> There were about eight ounces of filver in a pound Sterling in HARRY VII.'s time.

<sup>†</sup> THUCYDIDES, lib. 2. and Drop. Sic. lib. 12.

<sup>1</sup> Vid. ÆSCHINIS et DEMOSTHENIS Epift.

<sup>¶</sup> Πεςι Συμμοξιας. ↓ Lib. 2. cap. 62.

of the Peloponnesian war, than at the beginning of the Macedonian.

Money was little more plentiful in Greece during the age of Philip and Perseus, than in England during that of Harry VII.: Yet these two monarchs in thirty years \* collected from the small kingdom of Macedon, a much larger treasure than that of the English monarch. Paulus Æmilius brought to Rome about 1,700,000 pounds Sterling +. Pliny says, 2,400,000 ‡. And that was but a part of the Macedonian treasure. The rest was dissipated by the resistance and slight of Perseus ||.

We may learn from STANYAN, that the canton of BERNE had 300,000 pounds lent at interest, and had above six times as much in their treasury. Here then is a sum hoarded of 1,800,000 pounds Sterling, which is at least quadruple of what should naturally circulate in such a petty state; and yet no one who travels into the PAIS DE VAUX, or any part of that canton, observes any want of money more than could be supposed in a country of that extent, soil, and situation. On the contrary, there are scarce any inland provinces in the continent of FRANCE or GERMANY, where the inhabitants are at this time so opulent, though that canton has vastly increased its treasure since 1714, the time when STANYAN wrote his judicious account of SWITZERLAND 1.

The account given by APPIAN § of the treasure of the PTOLOMIES, is so prodigious, that one cannot admit of it;

<sup>\*</sup> TITI LIVII, lib. 45. cap. 40. † VEL. PATERC. lib. 1. cap. 9.

<sup>‡</sup> Lib. 33. cap. 3. | TITI LIVIT, ibid.

<sup>4.</sup> The poverty which STANYAN speaks of is only to be seen in the most mountainous cantons, where there is no commodity to bring money: And even there the people are not poorer than in the diocess of SALTSBURG on the one hand, or SAVOY on the other.

<sup>§</sup> Proem.

and so much the less, because the historian says, the other successors of Alexander were all so frugal, and had many of them treasures not much inserior. For this saving humour of the neighbouring princes must necessarily have checked the frugality of the Egyptian monarchs, according to the foregoing theory. The sum he mentions is 740,000 talents, or 191,166,666 pounds 13 shillings and 4 pence, according to Dr. Arbuthnot's computation. And yet Appian says, that he extracted his account from the public records; and he was himself a native of Alexandria.

From these principles we may learn what judgment we ought to form of those numberless bars, obstructions, and imposts, which all nations of Europe, and none more than England, have put upon trade; from an exorbitant desire of amassing money, which never will heap up beyond its level, while it circulates; or from an ill grounded apprehension of losing their specie, which never will sink below it. Could any thing scatter our riches, it would be such impolitic contrivances. But this general ill effect, however, results from them, that they deprive neighbouring nations of that free communication and exchange, which the Author of the world has intended, by giving them soils, climates, and geniuses, so different from each other.

Our modern politics embrace the only method of banishing money, the using paper-credit; they reject the only method of amassing it, the practice of hoarding; and they adopt a hundred contrivances, which serve to no purpose but to check industry, and rob ourselves and our neighbours of the common benefits of art and nature.

All taxes, however, upon foreign commodities, are not to be regard as prejudicial or useless, but those only

which are founded on the jealoufy above-mentioned. A tax on GERMAN linen encourages home manufactures, and thereby multiplies our people and industry. A tax on brandy increases the sale of rum, and supports our fouthern colonies. And as 'tis necessary imposts should be levied, for the support of government, it may be thought more convenient to lay them on foreign commodities, which can easily be intercepted at the port, and subjected to the impost. We ought, however, always to remember the maxim of Dr. Swift, That, in the arithmetic of the customs, two and two make not four, but often make only one. It can scarcely be doubted, but if the duties on wine were lowered to a third, they would yield much more to the government than at present: Our people might thereby afford to drink commonly a better and more wholesome liquor; and no prejudice would ensue to the balance of trade, of which we are so jealous. The manufacture of ale beyond the agriculture, is but inconfiderable, and gives employment to few hands. The transport of wine and corn would not be much inferior.

But are there not frequent instances, you will say, of states and kingdoms, which were formerly rich and opulent, and are now poor and beggarly? Has not the money lest them, with which they formerly abounded? I answer, If they lose their trade, industry and people, they cannot expect to keep their gold and filver: For these precious metals will hold proportion to the former advantages. When Lisbon and Amsterdam got the East-India trade from Venice and Genoa, they also got the profits and money which arose from it. Where the seat of government is transferred, where expensive armies are maintained at a distance, where great funds are possessed by foreigners; there naturally sollows from these causes a diminution of the specie. But these, we

may

may observe, are violent and forcible methods of carrying away money, and are in time commonly attended with the transport of people and industry. But where these remain, and the drain is not continued, the money always finds its way back again, by a hundred canals, of which we have no notion or fuspicion. What immense treasures have been spent, by so many nations, in FLAN-DERS fince the revolution, in the course of three long wars? More money perhaps than the half of what is at present in all EUROPE. But what has now become of it? Is it in the narrow compass of the Austrian provinces? No, furely: It has most of it returned to the several countries whence it came, and has followed that art and industry by which at first it was acquired. For above a thousand years, the money of EUROPE has been flowing to Rome, by an open and fenfible current; but it has been emptied by many fecret and infenfible canals: And the want of industry and commerce renders at prefent the papal dominions the poorest territories in all ITALY.

In short, a government has great reason to preserve with care its people and its manusactures. Its money, it may safely trust to the course of human affairs, without fear or jealously. Or if it ever give attention to this latter circumstance, it ought only to be so far as it affects the former.

# E S S A Y VI.

Of the JEALOUSY of TRADE.

Having endeavoured to remove one species of ill-founded jealously, which is so prevalent among commercial nations, it may not be amiss to mention another, which seems equally groundless. Nothing is more usual, among states which have made some advances in commerce than to look on the progress of their neighbours with a suspicious eye, to consider all trading states as their rivals, and to suppose that it is impossible for any of them to flourish, but at their expence. In opposition to this narrow and malignant opinion, I will venture to affert, that the increase of riches and commerce in any one nation, instead of hurting, commonly promotes the riches and commerce of all its neighbours; and that a state can scarcely carry its trade and industry very far, where all the surrounding states are buried in ignorance, sloth, and barbarism.

It is obvious, that the domestic industry of a people cannot be hurt by the greatest prosperity of their neighbours; and as this branch of commerce is undoubtedly the most important in any extensive kingdom, we are so far removed from all reason of jealousy. But I go farther, and observe, that where an open communication is preserved among nations, it is impossible but the domestic industry of every one must receive an increase from the improve-

improvements of the others. Compare the fituation of GREAT BRITAIN at present, with what it was two centuries ago. All the arts both of agriculture and manufactures were then extremely rude and imperfect. Every improvement which we have fince made, has arisen from our imitation of foreigners; and we ought fo far to esteem it happy, that they had previously made advances in arts and ingenuity. But this intercourse is still upheld to our great advantage: Notwithstanding the advanced state of our manufactures, we daily adopt in every art, the inventions and improvements of our neighbours. The commodity is first imported from abroad, to our great discontent, while we imagine that it drains us of our money: Afterwards, the art itself is gradually imported, to our visible advantage: Yet we continue still to repine, that our neighbours should possess any art, industry, and invention; forgetting that had they not first instructed us, we should have been at present barbarians; and did they not still continue their instructions, the arts must fall into a state of languor, and lose that emulation and novelty which contribute fo much to their advancement.

The increase of domestic industry lays the soundation of foreign commerce. Where a great number of commodities are raised and persected for the home-market, there will always be sound some which can be exported with advantage. But if our neighbours have no art nor cultivation, they cannot take them; because they will have nothing to give in exchange. In this respect, states are in the same condition as individuals. A single man can scarce be industrious, where all his fellow-citizens are idle. The riches of the several members of a community contribute to increase my riches, whatever profession I may follow. They consume the produce of my industry, and afford me the produce of theirs in return.

Nor need any state entertain apprehensions, that their neighbours will improve to fuch a degree in every art and manufacture, as to have no demand from them. Nature, by giving a divertity of geniuses, climates, and foils to different nations, has fecured their mutual intercourse and commerce, as long as they all remain industrious and civilized. Nay, the more the arts increase in any state, the more will be its demands from its industrious neighbours. The inhabitants, having become opulent and skilful, defire to have every commodity in the utmost perfection; and as they have plenty of commodities to give in exchange, they make large importations from every foreign country. The industry of the nations from whom they import, receives encouragement: Their own is also increased, by the sale of the commodities which they give in exchange.

But what if a nation has any staple commodity, such as the woollen manufacture is to ENGLAND? Must not the interfering of their neighbours in that manufacture be a loss to them? I answer, that when any commodity is denominated the staple of a kingdom, it is supposed that that kingdom has fome peculiar and natural advantages for raising the commodity; and if, notwithstanding these advantages, they lose such a manufactory, they ought to blame their own idleness, or bad government, not the industry of their neighbours. It ought also to be confidered, that by the increase of industry among the neighbouring nations, the confumption of every particular species of commodity is also increased; and though foreign manufactures interfere with us in the market, the demand for our product may still continue, or even increase. And even should it diminish, ought the consequence to be esteemed so fatal? If the spirit of industry be preserved, it may easily be diverted from one branch to another; and the manufactures of wool, for instance,

be employed in linen, filk, iron, or any other commodities, for which there appears to be a demand. We need not apprehend, that all the objects of industry will be exhausted, or that our manufacturers, while they remain on an equal footing with those of our neighbours, will be in danger of wanting employment. The emulation among rival nations ferves rather to keep industry alive in all of them: And any people is happier who posses a variety of manufactures, than if they enjoyed one single great manufacture, in which they are all employed. Their situation is less precarious, and they will feel less sensibly those revolutions and uncertainties to which every particular branch of commerce will always be exposed.

The only commercial state which ought to dread the improvements and industry of their neighbours, is such a one as Holland, which enjoying no extent of land, nor poffesting any native commodity, slourishes only by being the brokers, and factors, and carriers of others. Such a people may naturally apprehend, that as foon as the neighbouring states come to know and pursue their interest, they will take into their own hands the management of their affairs, and deprive their brokers of that profit, which they formerly reaped from it. But though this confequence may naturally be dreaded, it is very long before it takes place; and by art and industry it may be warded off for many generations, if not wholly eluded. advantage of superior stocks and correspondence is so great, that it is not eafily overcome; and as all the transactions increase by the increase of industry in the neighbouring states, even a people whose commerce stands on this precarious basis, may at first reap a considerable profit from the flourishing condition of their neighbours. DUTCH, having mortgaged all their revenues, make not fuch a figure in political transactions as formerly; but their

their commerce is furely equal to what it was in the middle of the last century, when they were reckoned among the great powers of EUROPE.

Were our parrow and malignant politics to meet with fuccess, we should reduce all our neighbouring nations to the same state of sloth and ignorance that prevails in Morocco and the coast of BARBARY. But what would be the consequence? They could fend us no commodities: They could take none from us: Our domestic commerce itself would languish for want of emulation. example, and inftruction: And we ourselves should soon fall into the same abject condition to which we had reduced them. I shall therefore venture to acknowledge. that not only as a man, but as a BRITISH subject, I pray for the flourishing commerce of GERMANY, SPAIN, ITALY, and even FRANCE itself. I am at least certain, that GREAT BRITAIN, and all these nations, would flourish more, did their sovereigns and ministers adopt fuch enlarged and benevolent fentiments towards each other.

# ESSAY VII.

Of the BALANCE of Power.

T is a question, whether the idea of the balance of power be owing intirely to modern policy, or whether the phrase only has been invented in these latter ages? Tis certain, that XENOPHON; in his institution of CYRUS, represents the combination of the ASIATIC powers to have arisen from a jealousy of the increasing force of the MEDES and PERSIANS; and tho' that elegant composition should be supposed altogether a romance, this sentiment, ascribed by the author to the eastern princes, is at least a proof of the prevailing notions of antient times.

In all the politics of Greece, the anxiety with regard to the balance of power, is most apparent, and is expressly pointed out to us, even by the antient historians. Thucydides ‡ represents the league which was formed against Athens, and which produced the Peloponnesian war, as intirely owing to this principle. And after the decline of Athens, when the Thebans and Lacedemonians disputed for sovereignty, we find, that the Athenians (as well as many other republics) threw themselves always into the lighter scale, and endeavoured to preserve the balance. They supported Thebes against Sparta, till the great victory gained by Epaminondas

at LEUCTRA; after which they immediately went over to the conquered, from generofity, as they pretended, but, in reality, from their jealousy of the conquerors +.

Whoever will read Demosthenes's oration for the Megalopolitans, may fee the utmost resistements on this principle, which ever entered into the head of a Venetian or English speculatist. And upon the first rise of the Macedonian power, this orator immediately discovered the danger, sounded the alarm thro' all Greece, and at last assembled that consederacy under the banners of Athens, which sought the great and decisive battle of Chaeronea.

'Tis true, the GRECIAN wars are regarded by historians as wars of emulation rather than of politics; and each flate feems to have had more in view the honour of leading the rest, than any well-grounded hopes of authority and dominion. If we consider, indeed, the small number of inhabitants in any one republic, compared to the whole, the great difficulty of forming fieges in those times, and the extraordinary bravery and discipline of every freeman among that noble people; we shall conclude, that the balance of power was of itself, fufficiently fecured in GREECE, and needed not to be guarded with that caution which may be requisite in other ages. But whether we ascribe the shifting sides in all the GRECIAN republics to jealous emulation or cautious politics, the effects were alike, and every prevailing power was fure to meet with a confederacy against it, and that often composed of its former friends and allies.

The same principle, call it envy or prudence, which produced the Ofracism of Athens, and Petalism of Syracuse, and expelled every citizen whose same or power overtopped the rest; the same principle, I say,

<sup>†</sup> XENOPH, Hift. GRAEC. lib. 6. & 7.

naturally discovered itself in foreign politics, and soon raised enemies to the leading state, however moderate in the exercise of its authority.

The Persian monarch was really, in his force, a petty prince compared to the Grecian republics; and therefore it behoved him, from views of fafety more than from emulation, to interest himself in their quarrels, and to support the weaker side in every contest. This was the advice given by Alcibiades to Tissaphernes\*, and it prolonged near a century the date of the Persian empire; till the neglect of it for a moment, after the first appearance of the aspiring genius of Philip, brought that losty and frail edifice to the ground, with a rapidity of which there are few instances in the history of mankind.

The fucceffors of ALEXANDER showed an infinite jealoufy of the balance of power; a jealoufy founded on true politics and prudence, and which preferved diffinct for feveral ages the partitions made after the death of that famous conqueror. The fortune and ambition of An-TIGONUS † threatened them anew with an universal monarchy; but their combination, and their victory at IPSUS faved them. And in after times, we find, that as the Eastern princes considered the GREEKS and MACE-DONIANS as the only real military force with whom they had any intercourse, they kept always a watchful eye over that part of the world. The PTOLEMIES, in particular, supported first ARATUS and the ACHAEANS, and then CLEOMENES king of SPARTA, from no other view than as a counterbalance to the MACEDONIAN monarchs. For this is the account which Polybius gives of the EGYPTIAN politics 1.

The reason why 'tis supposed, that the ancients were entirely ignorant of the balance of power, seems to be

<sup>\*</sup> Thucyp, lib. 3. † Drop. Szc. lib. 20. ‡ Lib. 2. cap. 51.

Vol. I. Bb drawn

drawn from the ROMAN history more than the GRK-CIAN; and as the transactions of the former are generally the most familiar to us, we have thence formed all our conclusions. It must be owned, that the ROMANS never met with any fuch general combination or confederacy against them, as might naturally be expected from their rapid conquests and declared ambition; but were allowed peaceably to fubdue their neighbours, one after another, till they extended their dominion over the whole known world. Not to mention the fabulous hiftory of their ITALIC wars; there was, upon HANNI-BAL's invasion of the ROMAN state, a very remarkable crifis, which ought to have called up the attention of all civilized nations. It appeared afterwards (nor was it difficult to be observed at the time) † that this was a contest for universal empire; and yet no prince or state seems to have been in the least alarmed about the event or issue of the quarrel. PHILIP of MACEDON remained neuter, till he faw the victories of HANNIBAL; and then most imprudently formed an alliance with the conqueror, upon terms still more imprudent. He stipulated, that he was to affift the CARTHAGINIAN state in their conquest of ITALY; after which they engaged to fend over forces into GREECE, to affift him in fubduing the GRECIAN commonwealths 1.

The Rhodian and Achaean republics are much celebrated by antient historians for their wisdom and found policy; yet both of them affished the Romans in their wars against Philip and Antiochus. And what may be esteemed still a stronger proof that this maxim was not familiarly known in those ages; no ancient au-

<sup>†</sup> It was observed by some, as appears by the speech of AGELAUS of NAUPACTUM, in the general congress of GREECE. See POLYE. lib. 5. cap. 104.

<sup>‡</sup> TITI LIVII lib, 23. cap. 33.

thor has ever remarked the imprudence of these measures, nor has even blamed that absurd treaty above mentioned, made by Philip with the Carthaginians. Princes and statesmen may in all ages be blinded in their reasonings with regard to events, beforehand: But 'tis somewhat extraordinary, that historians, afterwards, should not form a sounder judgment of them.

Massinissa, Attalus, Prusias, in fatisfying their private passions, were, all of them, the instruments of the Roman greatness; and never seem to have suspected, that they were forging their own chains, while they advanced the conquests of their ally. A simple treaty and agreement between Massinissa and the Carthaginians, so much required by mutual interest, barred the Romans from all entrance into Africa, and preserved liberty to mankind.

The only prince we meet with in the ROMAN history, who feems to have understood the balance of power, is HIERO king of SYRACUSE. Tho' the ally of ROME, he fent affiftance to the CARTHAGINIANS, during the war of the auxiliaries: " Esteeming it requisite," says Polybius +, 46 both in order to retain his dominions " in SICILY, and to preserve the ROMAN friendship, " that CARTHAGE should be fafe; lest by its fall the " remaining power should be able, without contrast or opposition, to execute every purpose and undertaking. And here he acted with great wisdom and prudence. For that is never, on any account, to be overlooked; " nor ought fuch a force ever to be thrown into one 46 hand, as to incapacitate the neighbouring states from " defending their rights against it." Here is the aim of modern politics pointed out in express terms.

In short, the maxim of preserving the balance of power is founded so much on common sense and obvious

reasoning, that 'tis impossible it could altogether have escaped antiquity, where we find, in other particulars, so many marks of deep penetration and discernment. If it was not so generally known and acknowledged as at present, it had, at least, an influence on all the wifer and more experienced princes and politicians. And indeed, even at present, however generally known and acknowledged among speculative reasoners, it has not, in practice, an authority much more extensive among those who govern the world.

After the fall of the ROMAN empire, the form of government established by the northern conquerors, incapacitated them in a great measure, from farther conquests, and long maintained each state in its proper boundaries. But when vaffalage and the feudal militia were abolished, mankind were anew alarmed by the danger of universal monarchy, from the union of so many kingdoms and principalities in the person of the emperor CHARLES. But the power of the house of Austria, founded on extensive but divided dominions, and their riches, derived chiefly from mines of gold and filver, were more likely to decay, of themselves, from internal defects, than to overthrow all the bulwarks raifed against them. In less than a century, the force of that violent and haughty race was shattered, their opulence dissipated, their splendour eclipsed. A new power succeeded, more formidable to the liberties of EUROPE, possessing all the advantages of the former, and labouring under none of its defects; except a share of that spirit of bigotry and persecution, with which the house of Austria were so long, and still are so much infatuated.

EUROPE has now, for above a century, remained on the defensive against the greatest force that ever, perhaps, was formed by the civil or political combination of mankind. And such is the influence of the maxim here

treated

treated of, that though that ambitious nation, in the five last general wars, have been victorious in four †, and unfuccessful only in one ‡, they have not much enlarged their dominions, nor acquired a total ascendant over Europe. There remains rather room to hope, that, by maintaining the resistance some time, the natural revolutions of human affairs, together with unforeseen events and accidents, may guard us against universal monarchy, and preserve the world from so great an evil.

In the three last of these general wars, BRITAIN has stood foremost in the glorious struggle; and she still maintains her station, as guardian of the general liberties of EUROPE, and patron of mankind. Beside her advantages of riches and situation, her people are animated with such a national spirit, and are so fully sensible of the inestimable blessings of their government, that we may hope their vigour never will languish in so necessary and so just a cause. On the contrary, if we may judge by the past, their passionate ardour seems rather to require some moderation; and they have oftener erred from a laudable excess than from a blameable desiciency.

In the first place, we seem to have been more possessed with the antient Greek spirit of jealous emulation, than actuated with the prudent views of modern politics. Our wars with France have been begun with justice, and even, perhaps, from necessity; but have always been too far pushed from obstinacy and passion. The same peace which was afterwards made at Ryswick in 1697, was offered so early as the ninety-two; that concluded at Utrecht in 1712 might have been sinished on as good conditions at Gertruytenberg in the eight; and we might have given at Franceort, in 1743, the

<sup>†</sup> Those concluded by the Peace of the Pyreners, Nimeguen, Ryswick, and Aix-La-Chapelle.

I That concluded by the peace of UTRECHT?

fame terms, which we were glad to accept of at AIX-LA-CHAPELLE in the forty-eight. Here then we fee, that above half of our wars with FRANCE, and all our public debts, are owing more to our own imprudent vehemence, than to the ambition of our neighbours.

In the fecond place, we are so declared in our opposition to FRENCH power, and so alert in defence of our allies, that they always reckon upon our force as upon their own; and expecting to carry on war at our expence, refuse all reasonable terms of accommodation. Habent subjectios, tanquam suos; viles, ut alienos. All the world knows, that the factious vote of the House of Commons, in the beginning of the last parliament, with the professed humour of the nation, made the queen of HUNGARY inflexible in her terms, and prevented that agreement with PRUSSIA, which would immediately have restored the general tranquillity of EUROPE.

In the third place, we are fuch true combatants, that, when once engaged, we lofe all concern for ourfelves and our posterity, and consider only how we may best annoy the enemy. To mortgage our revenues at fo deep a rate, in wars, where we are only accessories, was furely the most fatal delusion, that a nation, who had any pretention to politics and prudence, has ever yet been guilty of. That remedy of funding, if it be a remedy, and not rather a poison, ought, in all reason, to be referved to the last extremity; and no evil, but the greatest and most urgent, should ever induce us to embrace fo dangerous an expedient.

These excesses, to which we have been carried, are prejudicial; and may, perhaps, in time, become still more prejudicial another way, by begetting, as is usual, the opposite extreme, and rendering us totally careless and supine with regard to the fate of EUROPE. The ATHENIANS, from the most bustling, intriguing, warlike

people

people of GREECE, finding their error in thrusting themfelves into every quarrel, abandoned all attention to foreign affairs; and in no contest ever took party on either side, except by their flatteries and complaisance to the victor.

Enormous monarchies are, probably, destructive to human nature; in their progress, in their continuance +. and even in their downfal, which never can be very diftant from their establishment. The military genius which aggrandized the monarchy, foon leaves the court, the capital, and the center of fuch a government; while the wars are carried on at a great distance, and interest so fmall a part of the state. The antient nobility, whose affections attach them to their fovereign, live all at court: and never will accept of military employments, which would carry them to remote and barbarous frontiers, where they are distant both from their pleasures and their The arms of the state must, therefore, be fortune. trusted to mercenary strangers, without zeal, without attachment, without honour; ready on every occasion to turn them against the prince, and join each desperate malecontent, who offers pay and plunder. This is the necessary progress of human affairs: Thus human nature checks itself in its airy elevations: Thus ambition blindly labours for the destruction of the conqueror, of his family, and of every thing near and dear to him. The Bourbons, trusting to the support of their brave, faithful, and affectionate nobility, would push their advantage, without referve or limitation. These, while fired with glory and emulation, can bear the fatigues and dangers of war; but never would fubmit to languish in the garrisons of Hungary or Lithuania, forgot at

<sup>†</sup> If the Roman empire was of advantage, it could only proceed from this, that mankind were generally in a very diforderly, uncivilized condition, before its establishment.

court, and facrificed to the intrigues of every minion or mistress, who approaches the prince. The troops are filled with CRAVATES and TARTARS, HUSSARS and Cossacs; intermingled, perhaps, with a few foldiers of fortune from the better provinces: And the melancholy fate of the Roman emperors, from the same cause, is renewed over and over again, till the final dissolution of the monarchy.

ESSAY

## E S S A Y VIII.

#### Of TAXES.

THERE is a maxim, that prevails among those whom in this country we call ways and means men, and who are denominated Financiers and Maltotiers in FRANCE, That every new tax creates a new ability in the subject to bear it, and that each increase of public burdens increases proportionably the industry of the people. This maxim is of such a nature as is most likely to be extremely abused; and is so much the more dangerous, as its truth cannot be altogether denied; but it must be owned, when kept within certain bounds, to have some foundation in reason and experience.

When a tax is laid upon commodities, which are confumed by the common people, the necessary consequence may feem to be, that either the poor must retrench something from their way of living, or raise their wages, so as to make the burden of the tax fall intirely upon the But there is a third consequence, which very often follows upon taxes, viz. that the poor increase their industry, perform more work, and live as well as before, without demanding more for their labour. Where taxes are moderate, are laid on gradually, and affect not the necessaries of life, this consequence naturally follows; and 'tis certain, that fuch difficulties often ferve to excite the industry of a people, and render them more opulent and laborious, than others, who enjoy the greatest advantages. For we may observe, as a parallel instance, that the most commercial nations have not always posfeffed

fessed the greatest extent of fertile land; but, on the contrary, that they have laboured under many natural difadvantages. Tyre, Athens, Carthage, Rhodes, GENOA, VENICE, HOLLAND, are strong examples to this purpose. And in all history, we find only three instances of large and fertile countries, which have poffessed much trade; the NETHERLANDS, ENGLAND, and FRANCE. The two former feem to have been allured by the advantages of their maritime fituation and the necesfity they lay under of frequenting foreign ports, in order to procure what their own climate refused them. as to FRANCE, trade has come very late into that kingdom, and seems to have been the effect of reflection and observation in an ingenious and enterprising people, who remarked the immense riches acquired by such of the neighbouring nations as cultivated navigation and commerce.

The places mentioned by CICERO\*, as possessed of the greatest commerce in his time, are ALEXANDRIA, COLCHOS, TYRE, SIDON, ANDROS, CYPRUS, PAMPHYLIA, LYCIA, RHODES, CHIOS, BYZANTIUM, LESBOS, SMYRNA, MILETUM, Coos. All these, except ALEXANDRIA, were either small islands, or narrow territories. And that city owed its trade intirely to the happiness of its situation.

Since therefore fome natural necessities or disadvantages may be thought favourable to industry, why may not artificial burdens have the same effect? Sir William Temple †, we may observe, ascribes the industry of the Dutch intirely to necessity, proceeding from their natural disadvantages; and illustrates his doctrine by a very striking comparison with Ireland; "where," says he, by the largeness and plenty of the soil, and scarcity of

<sup>\*</sup> Еріїй. ad Атт. lib. 9. ер. 11.

<sup>†</sup> Account of the NETHERLANDS, Chap. 6.

people, all things necessary to life are so cheap, that ce an industrious man, by two days labour, may gain enough to feed him the rest of the week. Which I take to be a very plain ground of the laziness attri-66 buted to the people. For men naturally prefer ease 66 before labour, and will not take pains if they can live idle; tho' when, by necessity, they have been inured 66 to it, they cannot leave it, being grown a custom neceffary to their health, and to their very entertainment. Nor perhaps is the change harder, from confrant ease to labour, than from constant labour to ease." After which the author proceeds to confirm his doctrine, by enumerating, as above, the places where trade has most flourished, in antient and modern times; and which are commonly observed to be such narrow confined territories, as beget a necessity for industry.

'Tis always observed, in years of scarcity, if it be not extreme, that the poor labour more, and really live better, than in years of great plenty, when they indulge themselves in idleness and riot. I have been told, by a considerable manufacturer, that in the year 1740, when bread and provisions of all kinds were very dear, his workmen not only made a shift to live, but paid debts, which they had contracted in former years, that were much more favourable and abundant \*.

This doctrine, therefore, with regard to taxes, may be admitted in some degree: But beware of the abuse. Exorbitant taxes, like extreme necessity, destroy industry, by producing despair; and even before they reach this pitch, they raise the wages of the labourer and manusacturer, and heighten the price of all commodities. An attentive disinterested legislature, will observe the point when the emolument ceases, and the prejudice begins: But as the contrary character is much more common,

<sup>#</sup> To this purpose see also Essay I. at the end.

'tis to be feared that taxes, all over EUROPE, are multiplying to such a degree, as will intirely crush all art and industry; tho', perhaps, their first increase, together with other circumstances, might have contributed to the growth of these advantages.

The best taxes are such as are levied upon consumptions, especially those of luxury; because such taxes are less felt by the people. They seem, in some measure, voluntary; since a man may chuse how far he will use the commodity which is taxed: They are paid gradually and insensibly: And being consounded with the natural price of the commodity, they are scarcely perceived by the consumers. Their only disadvantage is, that they are expensive in the levying.

Taxes upon possessions are levied without expence; but have every other disadvantage. Most states, however, are obliged to have recourse to them, in order to supply the desiciencies of the other.

But the most pernicious of all taxes are those which are arbitrary. They are commonly converted, by their management, into punishments on industry; and also, by their unavoidable inequality, are more grievous than by the real burden which they impose. 'Tis surprising, therefore, to see them have place among any civilized people.

In general, all poll-taxes, even when not arbitrary, which they commonly are, may be efteemed dangerous: Because it is so easy for the sovereign to add a little more, and a little more, to the sum demanded, that these taxes are apt to become altogether oppressive and intolerable. On the other hand, a duty upon commodities checks itself; and a prince will soon find, that an increase of the impost is no increase of his revenue. It is not easy, therefore, for a people to be altogether ruined by such taxes.

Historians inform us, that one of the chief causes of the destruction of the ROMAN state, was the alteration which CONSTANTINE introduced into the finances, by fubstituting an universal poll-tax, in lieu of almost all the tithes, customs, and excises, which formerly composed the revenue of the empire. The people, in all the provinces, were fo grinded and oppressed by the publicans. that they were glad to take refuge under the conquering arms of the barbarians; whose dominion, as they had fewer necessities, and less art, was found preferable to the refined tyranny of the ROMANS.

There is a prevailing opinion, that all taxes, however levied, fall upon the land at last. Such an opinion may be useful in BRITAI'., by checking the landed gentlemen, in whose hands our legislature is chiefly lodged, and making them preserve great regard for trade and industry. But I must confess, that this principle, tho' first advanced by a celebrated writer, has fo little appearance of reason, that, were it not for his authority, it had never been received by any body. Every man, to be fure, is defirous of pushing off from himself the burden of any tax, which is imposed, and laying it upon others: But as every man has the fame inclination, and is upon the defensive; no set of men can be supposed to prevail altogether in this contest. And why the landed gentleman should be the victim of the whole, and should not be able to defend himself, as well as others are, I cannot readily imagine. All tradefmen, indeed, would willingly prey upon him, and divide him among them, if they could: But this inclination they always have, tho' no taxes were levied; and the same methods, by which he guards against the imposition of tradesmen before taxes, will serve him afterwards, and make them share the burden with him. No labour in any commodities, that are exported, can be very confiderably raifed in the price, without losing

the foreign market; and as some part of almost every manufactory is exported, this circumstance keeps the price of most species of labour nearly the same after the imposition of taxes. I may add, that it has this effect upon the whole: For were any kind of labour paid beyond its proportion, all hands would flock to it, and would soon sink it to a level with the rest.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that we have, with regard to taxes, an inftance of what frequently happens in political inflitutions, that the confequences of things are diametrically opposite to what we should 'expect on the first appearance. 'Tis regarded as a fundamental maxim of the Turkish government; That the Grand Signior, tho' absolute master of the lives and fortunes of each individual, has no authority to impose a new tax; and every Ottoman prince, who has made fuch an attempt, either has been obliged to retract, or has found the fatal effects of his perfeverance. One would imagine, that this prejudice or established opinion were the firmest barrier in the world against oppression; yet 'tis certain, that its effect is quite contrary. The emperor, having no regular method of increasing his revenue, must allow all the bashaws and governors to oppress and abuse the subjects: and these he squeezes after their return from their government. Whereas, if he could impose a new tax, like our European princes, his interest would so far be united with that of his people, that he would immediately feel the bad effects of these disorderly levies of money, and would find, that a pound, raifed by general imposition, would have less pernicious effects, than a shilling taken in so unequal and arbitrary a manner.

## E S S A Y IX.

### Of PUBLIC CREDITA

T appears to have been the common practice of antiquity, to make provision, in times of peace, for the necessities of war, and to hoard up treasures beforehand, as the instruments either of conquest or defence; without trufting to extraordinary imposts, much less to borrowing, in times of disorder and confusion. Besides the immense sums above mentioned \*, which were amasfed by ATHENS, and by the PTOLEMIES, and other fuccessors of ALEXANDER; we learn from PLATO +, that the frugal LACEDEMONIANS had also collected a great treasure; and ARRIAN ‡ and PLUTARCH # specify the riches which ALEXANDER got poffession of on the conquest of Susa and ECBATANA, and which were referved, fome of them, from the time of Cyrus. remember right, the scripture also mentions the treasure of HEZEKIAH and the JEWISH princes; as profane hiftory does that of PHILIP and PERSEUS, kings of MA-CEDON. The ancient republics of GAUL had commonly large fums in referve &. Every one knows the treafure feized in Rome by Julius Cæsar, during the civil

\* Estay V. † Alcir. 1. ‡ Lib. 3.

PLUT. in vita ALEX. He makes these treasures amount to 80,000 talents, or about 15 millions sterl. QUINTUS CURTIUS (Lib. 5. Cap. 2.) says, that ALEXANDER found in Susa above 50,000 talents.

<sup>&</sup>amp; STRABO, Lib, 4.

wars; and we find afterwards, that the wifer emperors, Augustus, Tiberius, Vespasian, Severus, &c. always discovered the prudent forefight, of saving great sums against any public exigency.

On the contrary, our modern expedient, which has become very general, is to mortgage the public revenues, and to trust that posterity, during peace, will pay off the incumbrances contracted during the preceding war: And they, having before their eyes, fo good an example of their wife fathers, have the same prudent reliance on their posterity; who, at last, from necessity more than choice, are obliged to place the same confidence in a new posterity. But not to waste time in declaiming against a practice which appears ruinous, beyond the evidence of an hundred demonstrations; it seems pretty apparent, that the antient maxims are, in this respect, much more prudent than the modern; even tho' the latter had been confined within some reasonable bounds, and had ever, in any one instance, been attended with such frugality, in time of peace, as to discharge the debts incurred by an expensive war. For why should the case be so very different between the public and an individual, as to make us establish such different maxims of conduct for each? If the funds of the former be greater, its necessary expences are proportionably larger; if its refources be more numerous, they are not infinite; and as its frame should be calculated for a much longer duration, than the date of a fingle life, or even of a family, it should embrace maxims, large, durable, and generous, agreeable to the supposed extent of its existence. To trust to chances and temporary expedients, is, indeed, what the necessity of human affairs frequently reduces us to; but whoever voluntarily depend on fuch refources, have not necessity, but their own folly, to accuse for their missortunes, when any fuch befal them.

If the abuses of treasures be dangerous, either by engaging the state in rash enterprizes, or making it neglect military discipline, in confidence of its riches; the abuses of mortgaging are more certain and inevitable; poverty, impotence, and subjection to foreign powers.

According to modern policy, war is attended with every destructive circumstance; loss of men, increase of taxes, decay of commerce, dissipation of money, devastation by sea and land. According to ancient maxims, the opening of the public treasure, as it produced an uncommon affluence of gold and silver, served as a temporary encouragement to industry, and attoned, in some degree, for the inevitable calamities of war.

What then shall we say to the new paradox, That public incumbrances are, of themselves, advantageous, independent of the necessity of contracting them; and that any state, even though it were not pressed by a foreign enemy, could not possibly have embraced a wifer expedient for promoting commerce and riches, than to create funds, and debts, and taxes, without limitation? Difcourses, such as these, might naturally have passed for trials of wit among rhetoricians, like the panegyrics on folly and a fever, on Businis and Nero, had we not feen fuch abfurd maxims patronized by great ministers, and by a whole party among us. And these puzzling arguments, (for they deserve not the name of specious) though they could not be the foundation of Lord OR-FORD's conduct, for he had more sense; served at least to keep his partizans in countenance, and perplex the understanding of the nation.

Let us examine the consequences of public debts, both in our domestic management, by their influence on com-Vot. I. C. c. merce merce and industry; and in our foreign transactions, by their effect on wars and negotiations.

There is a word, which is here in the mouth of every body, and which, I find, has also got abroad, and is much employed by foreign writers +, in imitation of the ENGLISH; and this is, CIRCULATION. ferves as an account of every thing; and though I confess, that I have sought for its meaning in the present fubject, ever fince I was a school-boy, I have never yet been able to discover it. What possible advantage is there which the nation can reap by the easy transference of flock from hand to hand? Or is there any parallel to be drawn from the circulation of other commodities, to that of chequer-notes and INDIA bonds? Where a manufacturer has a quick fale of his goods to the merchant, the merchant to the shopkeeper, the shopkeeper to his customers; this enlivens industry, and gives new encouragement to the first dealer or the manufacturer and all his tradefmen, and makes them produce more and better commodities of the fame species. A stagnation is here pernicious, wherever it happens; because it operates backwards, and ftops or benumbs the industrious hand in its production of what is useful to human life. what production we owe to CHANGE-ALLEY, or even what confumption, except that of coffee, and pen, ink, and paper, I have not yet learned; nor can one foresee the loss or decay of any one beneficial commerce or commodity, though that place and all its inhabitants were for ever buried in the ocean.

But though this term has never been explained by those who insist so much on the advantages that result

from

<sup>†</sup> MELON, Du Tor, LAW, in the pamphlets published in FRANCE.

from a circulation, there feems, however, to be some benefit of a similar kind, arising from our incumbrances: As indeed, what human evil is there, which is not attended with some advantage? This we shall endeavour to explain, that we may estimate the weight which we ought to allow it.

Public fecurities are with us become a kind of money, and pass as readily at the current price as gold or filver. Wherever any profitable undertaking offers itself, however expensive, there are never wanting hands enough to embrace it; nor need a trader, who has fums in the public stocks, fear to launch out into the most extensive trade; fince he is possessed of funds, which will answer the most sudden demand that can be made upon him. No merchant thinks it necessary to keep by him any confiderable cash. Bank-stock, or India-bonds, especially the latter, ferve all the same purposes; because he can dispose of them, or pledge them to a banker, in a quarter of an hour; and at the same time they are not idle, even when in his fcritoire, but bring him in a constant revenue. In short, our national debts furnish merchants with a species of money, that is continually multiplying in their hands, and produces fure gain, besides the profits of their commerce. This must enable them to trade upon less profit. The small profit of the merchant renders the commodity cheaper, causes a greater confumption, quickens the labour of the common people, and helps to fpread arts and industry through the whole fociety.

There are also, we may observe, in ENGLAND, and in all states, which have both commerce and public debts, a set of men, who are half merchants, half stock-holders, and may be supposed willing to trade for small profits; because commerce is not their principal or sole support, and their revenues in the sunds are a sure resource for

themselves and their families. Were there no funds, great merchants would have no expedient for realizing or securing any part of their profit, but by making purchases of land; and land has many disadvantages in comparison of funds. Requiring more care and inspection, it divides the time and attention of the merchant; upon any tempting offer or extraordinary accident in trade, it is not so easily converted into money; and as it attracts too much, both by the many natural pleasures it affords, and the authority it gives, it foon converts the citizen into the country gentleman. More men, therefore, with large flocks and incomes, may naturally be supposed to continue in trade, where there are public debts: and this, it must be owned, is of some advantage to commerce, by diminishing its profits, promoting circulation, and encouraging industry.

But, in opposition to these two favourable circumflances, perhaps of no very great importance, weigh the many disadvantages which attend our public debts, in the whole *interior* economy of the state: You will find no comparison between the ill and the good which result from them.

First, 'Tis certain, that national debts cause a mighty confluence of people and riches to the capital, by the great sums which are levied in the provinces to pay the interest of those debts; and perhaps, too, by the advantages in trade above mentioned, which they give the merchants in the capital above the rest of the kingdom. The question is, Whether, in our case, it be for the public interest, that so many privileges should be conferred on London, which has already arrived at such an enormous size, and seems still encreasing? Some men are apprehensive of the consequences. For my part, I cannot forbear thinking, that tho' the head is undoubt-

edly

edly too big for the body, yet that great city is so happily situated, that its excessive bulk causes less inconvenience than even a smaller capital to a greater kingdom. There is more difference between the prices of all provisions in Paris and Languedoc, than between those in London and Yorkshire.

Secondly, Public stocks, being a kind of paper-credit, have all the disadvantages attending that species of money. They banish gold and silver from the most considerable commerce of the state, reduce them to common circulation, and by that means render all provisions and labour dearer than otherwise they would be.

Thirdly, The taxes which are levied to pay the interests of these debts, are apt to be a check upon industry, to heighten the price of labour, and to be an oppression on the poorer sort.

Fourthly, As foreigners possess a share of our national funds, they render the public, in a manner, tributary to them, and may in time occasion the transport of our people and our industry.

Fifthly, The greatest part of public stock being always in the hands of idle people, who live on their revenue, our funds give great encouragement to an useless and inactive life.

But the 'the injury which arises to commerce and industry from our public funds, will appear, upon balancing the whole, very considerable, it is trivial, in comparison of the prejudice which results to the state considered as a body politic, which must support itself in the society of nations, and have various transactions with other states, in wars and negotiations. The ill, there, is pure and unmixed, without any favourable circumstance to atone for it; and 'tis an ill too of a nature the highest and most important,

We have, indeed, been told, that the public is no weaker upon account of its debts; fince they are mostly due among ourselves, and bring as much property to one as they take from another. 'Tis like transferring money from the right hand to the left; which leaves the personneither richer nor poorer than before. Such loose reafonings and specious comparisons will always pass, where we judge not upon principles. I ask, Is it possible, in the nature of things, to overburthen a nation with taxes, even where the fovereign refides among them? The very doubt feems extravagant; fince 'tis requifite in every commonwealth, that there be a certain proportion observed between the laborious and the idle part of it. But if all our present taxes be mortgaged, must we not invent new ones? And may not this matter be carried to a length that is ruinous and destructive?

In every nation, there are always some methods of levying money more easy than others, agreeable to the way of living of the people, and the commodities they make use of. In Britain, the excises upon malt and beer afford a very large revenue; because the operations of malting and brewing are very tedious, and are impossible to be concealed; and at the same time, these commodities are not so absolutely necessary to life, as that the raising their price would very much affect the poorer fort. These taxes being all mortgaged, what difficulty to find new ones! what vexation and ruin of the poor!

Duties upon confumptions are more equal and eafy than those upon possessions. What a loss to the public, that the former are all exhausted, and that we must have recourse to the more grievous method of levying taxes!

Were all the proprietors of land only stewards to the public, must not necessity force them to practise all the arts of oppression used by stewards, where the absence or

negligence of the proprietor render them fecure against enquiry?

It will scarce be afferted, that no bounds ought ever to be set to national debts; and that the public would be no weaker, were twelve or fifteen shillings in the pound, land-tax, mortgaged, with all the present customs and excises. There is something therefore in the case, beside the mere transferring of property from one hand to another. In 500 years, the posterity of those now in the coaches, and of those upon the boxes, will probably have changed places, without affecting the public by these revolutions.

Suppose the public once fairly brought to that condition, to which it is haftening with fuch amazing rapidity; suppose the land to be taxed eighteen or nineteen shillings in the pound; for it can never bear the whole twenty: suppose all the excises and customs to be screwed up to the outmost which the nation can bear, without entirely losing its commerce and industry; and suppose that all those funds are mortgaged to perpetuity, and that the invention and wit of all our projectors can find no new imposition which may ferve as the foundation of a new loan; and let us confider the necessary confequences of this fituation. Tho' the imperfect state of our political knowlege, and the narrow capacities of men make it difficult to foretel the effects which will refult from any untried measure, the seeds of ruin are here scattered with such profusion as not to escape the eye of the most careless observer.

In this unnatural state of society, the only persons who possess any revenue, beyond the immediate effects of their industry, are the stock-holders, who draw almost all the rent of the land and houses, besides the produce of all the customs and excises. These are men, who have no connexions in the state, who can enjoy their revenue in any

part of the world in which they choose to reside, who will naturally bury themselves in the capital, or in great cities, and who will fink into the lethargy of a flupid and pampered luxury, without spirit, ambition, or Adieu to all ideas of nobility, gentry, and enjoyment. family. The stocks can be transferred in an instant, and being in such a fluctuating state, will feldom be transmitted during three generations from father to fon. were they to remain ever fo long in one family, they convey no hereditary authority or credit to the possessors: and by this means, the feveral ranks of men, which form a kind of independant magistracy in a state, instituted by the hand of nature, are entirely loft; and every man in authority derives his influence from the commission alone No expedient remains for preventing of the fovereign. or suppressing insurrections, but mercenary armies: No expedient at all remains for relifting tyranny: Elections , are fway'd by bribery and corruption alone: And the middle power between king and people being totally removed, a horrible despotism must infallibly prevail. The land-holders, despised for their poverty, and hated for their oppressions, will be utterly unable to make any opposition to it.

Tho' a resolution should be formed by the legislature never to impose any tax which hurts commerce and discourages industry, it will be impossible for men, in subjects of such extreme delicacy, to reason so justly as never to be mistaken, or amidst difficulties so urgent, never to be seduced from their resolution. The continual fluctuations in commerce require continual alterations in the nature of the taxes; which exposes the legislature every moment to the danger both of wilful and involuntary error. And any great blow given to trade, whether by injudicious taxes or by other accidents, throws the whole system of the government into confusion.

But what expedient is the public now to fall upon, even supposing trade to continue in the most flourishing condition, to support its foreign wars and enterprizes, and to defend its own honour and interests or those of its allies? I do not ask how the public is to exert such a prodigious power as it has maintained during our late wars: where we have fo much exceeded, not only our own natural strength, but even that of the greatest empires. This extravagance is the abuse complained of, as the source of all the dangers to which we are at present exposed. But fince we must still suppose great commerce and opulence to remain, even after every fund is mortgaged; those riches must be defended by proportionable power, and whence is the public to derive the revenue which fupports it? It must plainly be from a continual taxation of the annuitants, or which is the same thing, from mortgaging anew, on every exigency, a certain part of their annuity; and thus making them contribute to their own defence, and to that of the nation. But the difficulties, attending this fystem of policy, will easily appear, whether we suppose the king to have become absolute master, or to be still controuled by national councils, in which the annuitants themselves must necessarily bear the principal fway.

If the prince has become absolute, as may naturally be expected from this situation of affairs, it is so easy for him to encrease his exactions upon the annuitants, which amount only to the retaining money in his own hands, that this species of property will soon lose all its credit, and the whole income of every individual in the state must lie entirely at the mercy of the sovereign: A degree of despotism which no oriental monarchy has ever yet attained. If, on the contrary, the consent of the annuitants be requisite for every taxation, they will never be persuaded to contribute sufficiently even to the support of government; as the diminution of their re-

venue must in that case be very sensible, would not be disguised under the appearance of a branch of excise or customs, and would not be shared by any other order of the state, who are already supposed to be taxed to the utmost. There are instances, in some republics, of a hundredth penny, and sometimes of the sistieth, being given to the support of the state; but this is always an extraordinary exertion of power, and can never become the soundation of a constant national defence. We have always sound, where a government has mortgaged all its revenues, that it necessarily sinks into a state of languor, inactivity and impotence.

Such are the inconveniencies, which may reasonably be foreseen, of this situation, to which GREAT BRITAIN is visibly tending. Not to mention, the numberless inconveniences, which cannot be foreseen, and which must result from so monstrous a situation as that of making the public the sole proprietor of land, besides investing it with every branch of customs and excise, which the fertile imagination of ministers and projectors have been able to invent.

I must confess, that there is a strange supineness, from long custom, crept into all ranks of men, with regard to public debts, not unlike what divines so vehemently complain of with regard to their religious doctrines. We all own, that the most fanguine imagination cannot hope, either that this or any future ministry will be possessed of such rigid and steady frugality, as to make any considerable progress in the payment of our debts; or that the situation of foreign affairs will, for any long time, allow them leisure and tranquillity for such an undertaking †. What then is to become of us? Were we ever

<sup>†</sup> In times of peace and fecurity, when alone it is possible to pay debt, the monied interest are averse to receive partial payments, which they know not how to dispose of to advantage; and the landed interest are averse to con-

fo good Christians, and ever so resigned to Providence; this, methinks, were a curious question, even considered as a speculative one, and what it might not be altogether impossible to form some conjectural solution of. The events here will depend little upon the contingencies of battles, negotiations, intrigues and factions. There feems to be a natural progress of things, which may guide our reasoning. As it would have required but a moderate share of prudence, when we first began this practice of mortgaging, to have foretold, from the nature of men and of ministers, that things would necessarily be carried to the length we fee; so now, that they have at last happily reached it, it may not be difficult to guess at the confequences. It must, indeed, be one of these two events; either the nation must destroy public credit, or public credit will destroy the nation. 'Tis impossible they can both subsist, after the manner they have been hitherto managed, in this, as well as in some other nations.

There was, indeed, a scheme for the payment of our debts, which was proposed by an excellent citizen, Mr. HUTCHINSON, above thirty years ago, and which was much approved of by some men of sense, but never was likely to take effect. He afferted, that there was a fallacy in imagining that the public owed this debt; for that really every individual owed a proportional share of it, and paid, in his taxes, a proportional share of the interest, beside the expences of levying these taxes. Had we not better, then, says he, make a proportional distribution of the debt among us, and each of us contribute a sum suitable to his property, and by that means

tinue the taxes requifite for that purpose. Why therefore should a minister persevere in a measure so disagreeable to all parties? For the sake, I suppose, of a posterity, which he will never see, or of a few reasonable restecting people, whose united interest, perhaps, will not be able to secure him the smallest burrough in England. 'Tis not likely we shall ever find any minister so bad a politician. With regard to these narrow destructive maxims of politics, all ministers are expert enough.

discharge at once all our funds and public mortgages? He feems not to have confidered, that the laborious poor pay a confiderable part of the taxes by their annual confumptions, tho' they could not advance, at once, a proportional part of the fum required. Not to mention, that property in money and stock in trade might easily be concealed or disguised; and that visible property in lands and houses would really at last answer for the whole: An inequality and oppression which never would be submitted to. But tho' this project is never likely to take place; 'tis not altogether improbable, that when the nation become heartily fick of their debts, and are cruelly oppressed by them, some daring projector may arise with visionary schemes for their discharge. And as public credit will begin, by that time, to be a little frail, the least touch will destroy it, as happened in FRANCE; and in this manner it will die of the doctor \*.

But 'tis more probable, that the breach of national faith will be the necessary effect of wars, defeats, misfortunes, and public calamities, or even perhaps of victories and conquests. I must confess, when I see princes and states fighting and quarrelling, amidst their debts, funds, and public mortgages, it always brings to my mind a match of cudgel-playing fought in a China shop. How

Some neighbouring states practise an easy expedient, by which they lighten their public debts. The FRENCH have a custom (as the Romans formerly had) of augmenting their money; and this the nation has been so much samiliarized to, that it hurts not public credit, tho' it be really cutting off at once, by an edict, so much of their debts. The Dutch diminish the interest, without the consent of their creditors; or, which is the same thing, they arbitrarily tax the sunds as well as other property. Could we practise either of these methods, we need never be oppressed by the national debt; and 'tis not impossible but one of these, or some other method, may, at all adventures, be tried, on the augmentation of our incumbrances and difficulties. But people in this country are so good reasoners upon whatever regards their interest, that such a practice will deceive no body; and public credit will probably tumble at once by so dangerous a trial,

can it be expected, that fovereigns will spare a species of property, which is pernicious to themselves and to the public, when they have so little compassion on lives and properties, which are useful to both? Let the time come (and furely it will come) when the new funds, created for the exigencies of the year, are not subscribed to, and raife not the money projected. Suppose, either that the cash of the nation is exhausted; or that our faith, which has been hitherto fo ample, begins to fail us. that, in this diffress, the nation is threatened with an invasion; a rebellion is suspected or broke out at home; a squadron cannot be equipped for want of pay, victuals, or repairs; or even a foreign subsidy cannot be advanced. What must a prince or minister do in such an emergence? The right of felf-preservation is unalienable in every individual, much more in every community. And the folly of our statesmen must then be greater than the folly of those who first contracted debt, or, what is more. than that of those who trusted, or continue to trust this fecurity, if these statesmen have the means of safety in their hands, and do not employ them. The funds, created and mortgaged, will, by that time, bring in a large yearly revenue, fufficient for the defence and fecurity of the nation: Money is perhaps lying in the exchequer, ready for the discharge of the quarterly interest: Necessity calls, fear urges, reason exhorts, compassion alone exclaims: The money will immediately be feized for the current service, under the most solemn protestations, perhaps, of being immediately replaced. But no more is requifite. The whole fabric, already tottering, falls to the ground, and buries thousands in its ruins. And this, I think, may be called the natural death of public credit: For to this period it tends as naturally as an animal body to its diffolution and destruction \*.

Thefe

<sup>\*</sup> So great dupes are the generality of mankind, that, notwithstanding fuch a violent shock to public credit, as a voluntary bankruptcy in Eng-

These two events, supposed above, are calamitous, but not the most calamitous. Thousands are hereby sacrificed to the safety of millions. But we are not without danger, that the contrary event may take place, and that millions may be sacrificed for ever to the temporary safety of thousands †. Our popular government, perhaps, will render it difficult or dangerous for a minister

LAND would occasion, it would not probably be long, ere credit would again revive in as flourishing a condition as before. The present king of FRANCE, during the late war, borrowed money at lower interest than ever his grandfather did; and as low as the BRITISH parliament, comparing the natural rate of interest in both kingdoms. And the' men are commonly more governed by what they have feen, than by what they forefee, with whatever certainty; yet promifes, protestations, fair appearances, with the allurements of prefent interest, have such powerful influence as few are able to re-Mankind are, in all ages, caught by the same baits: The same tricks, played over and over again, fill trepan them. The heights of popularity and patriotism are still the beaten road to power and tyranny; flattery to treathery; standing armies to arbitrary government; and the glory of God to the temporal interest of the clergy. The fear of an everlasting destruction of credit, allowing it to be an evil, is a needless bugbear. A prudent man, in reality, would rather lend to the public immediately after they had taken a fpunge to their debts, than at present; as much as an opulent knave, even tho' one could not force him to pay, is a preferable debtor to an honest bankrupt : For the former, in order to carry on bufinefs, may find it his interest to discharge his debts, where they are not exorbitant: The latter has it not in his power. The reasoning of TACITUS, Hift. lib. 3. as it is eternally true, is very applicable to our present case. Sed vulgus ad magnitudinem beneficiorum aderat : Stultissimus quisque pecuniis mercabatur : Apud sapientes cassa kabebantur, quæ neque dari neque accipi, salva republica, poterant. The public is a debtor, whom no man can oblige to pay. The only check which the creditors have on it, is the interest of preserving credit; an interest, which may easily be overbalanced by a very great debt, and by a difficult and extraordinary emergence, even supposing that credit irrecoverable. Not to mention, that a present necessity often forces states into measures which are, firifly speaking, against their interest.

† I have heard it has been computed, that all the creditors of the public, natives and foreigners, amount only to 17,000. These make a figure at present on their income; but, in case of a public bankruptcy, would, in an instant, become the lowest, as well as the most wretched of the people. The dignity and authority of the landed gentry and nobility is much better rooted §

to venture on so desperate an expedient, as that of a voluntary bankruptcy. And tho' the house of Lords be altogether composed of the proprietors of lands, and the house of Commons chiefly; and consequently neither of them can be supposed to have great property in the funds: Yet the connections of the members may be fo great with the proprietors, as to render them more tenacious of public faith, than prudence, policy, or even justice, firictly speaking, requires. And perhaps, too, our foreign enemies, or rather enemy (for we have but one to dread) may be so politic as to discover, that our safety lies in despair, and may not, therefore, show the danger, open and barefaced, till it be inevitable. The balance of power in EUROPE, our grandfathers, our fathers, and we, have all justly esteemed too unequal to be preserved without our attention and assistance. But our children, weary with the struggle, and fettered with incumbrances, may fit down fecure, and fee their neighbours oppressed and conquered; till, at last, they themfelves and their creditors lie both at the mercy of the conqueror. And this may properly enough be denominated the violent death of our public credit.

These seem to be the events which are not very remote, and which reason foresees as clearly almost as she can do any thing that lies in the womb of time. And tho' the antients maintained that, in order to reach the gift of prophecy, a certain divine sury or madness was

rooted; and would render the contention very unequal, if ever we come to that extremity. One would incline to affign to this event a very near period, such as half a century, had not our fathers' prophecies of this kind been already found fallacious, by the duration of our public credit, so much beyond all reasonable expectation. When the astrologers in France were every year foretelling the death of Henry IV. These fellows, says he, must be right at last. We shall, therefore, be more cautious than to assign any precise date; and shall content ourselves with pointing out the event in general.

requisite, one may safely affirm, that, in order to deliver such prophecies as these, no more is necessary, than merely to be in one's senses, free from the influence of popular madness and delusion.

# ESSAYX.

#### OF SOME REMARKABLE CUSTOMS.

I Shall observe three remarkable customs in three celebrated governments; and shall conclude from the whole, that all general maxims in politics ought to be established with great reserve; and that irregular and extraordinary appearances are frequently discovered in the moral, as well as in the physical world. The former, perhaps, we can better account for, after they happen, from springs and principles, of which every one has, within himself, or from obvious observation, the strongest assurance and conviction: But it is often fully as impossible for human prudence, beforehand, to foresee, and foretel them.

I. One would think it effential to every supreme council or affembly, which debates, that intire liberty of speech should be granted to every member, and that all motions or reasonings should be received, which can any way tend to illustrate the point under deliberation. One would conclude, with still greater assurance, that, after a motion was made, which was voted and approved by that affembly in which the legislative power is lodged, the member who made the motion must for ever be exempted from farther trial or inquiry. But no political maxim can, at first fight, appear more undisputable, than that he must, at least, be secured from all inferior jurisdiction; and that nothing less than the same supreme legislative affembly, Vol. I. Dd

affembly, in their fubsequent meetings, could render him accountable for those motions and harangues which they had before approved of. But these axioms, however irrefragable they may appear, have all failed in the Athenian government, from causes and principles too, which appear almost inevitable.

By the γραφη σαρανομων, or indictment of illegality, (tho' it has not been remarked by antiquaries or commentators) any man was tried and punished by any common court of judicature, for any law which had passed upon his motion, in the affembly of the people, if that law appeared to the court unjust, or prejudicial to the pu-Thus DEMOSTHENES, finding that ship-money was levied irregularly, and that the poor bore the same burden as the rich in equipping the gallies, corrected this inequality by a very useful law, which proportioned the expence to the revenue and income of each individual. He moved for this law in the affembly; he proved its advantages\*; he convinced the people, the only legislature in ATHENS; the law passed, and was carried into execution: And yet he was tried in a criminal court for that law, upon the complaint of the rich, who refented the alteration he had introduced into the finances t. He was indeed acquitted, upon proving anew the ufefulness of his law.

CTESIPHON moved in the affembly of the people, that particular honours should be conferred on Demosthenes, as on a citizen affectionate and useful to the commonwealth: The people, convinced of this truth, voted those honours: Yet was CTESIPHON tried by the γραφη παρανομών. It was afferted, among other topics, that Demosthenes was not a good citizen, nor affectionate to the commonwealth: And the orator was called upon

<sup>\*</sup> His harangue for it is fill extant; wege Summogeas,

<sup>§</sup> Pro CTESEPHONTE.

to defend his friend, and consequently himself; which he executed by that sublime piece of eloquence, that has ever fince been the admiration of mankind.

After the battle of CHÆRONEA, a law was passed upon the motion of HYPERIDES, giving liberty to slaves, and inrolling them in the troops\*. On account of this law, the orator was afterwards tried by the indictment above mentioned, and defended himself, among other topics, by that stroke celebrated by Plutarch and Longinus. It was not I, said he, that moved for this law: It was the necessities of war; It was the battle of CHÆRONEA. The orations of DEMOSTHENES abound with many instances of trials of this nature, and prove clearly, that nothing was more commonly practifed.

The ATHENIAN Democracy was fuch a tumultuary government, as we can scarce form a notion of in the present age of the world. The whole collective body of the people voted in every law, without any limitation of property, without any distinction of rank, without controul from any magistracy or senate; and consequently without regard to order, justice, or prudence. The ATHENIANS soon became sensible of the mischiess attending this constitution: But being averse to the checking themselves by any rule or restriction, they resolved, at least, to check their demagogues or counsellors, by the sear of suture punishment and inquiry. They accordingly instituted this remarkable law; a law esteemed so essential to their government, that Æschi-

403

<sup>\*</sup> PLUTARCHUS in vita decemoratorum. DEMOSTHENES gives a different account of this law. Contra Aristog Pton. orat. II. He fays, That its purport was, to render the aristo entrino, or to reftore the privilege of bearing offices to those who had been declared incapable. Perhaps these were both clauses of the same law.

<sup>†</sup> The senate of the Bean was only a less numerous mob, chosen by lot from among the people; and their authority was not great.

NES infifts on it as a known truth, that were it abolished or neglected, it were impossible for the Democracy to subsist \*.

The people feared not any ill confequence to liberty from the authority of the criminal courts; because these were nothing but very numerous juries, chosen by lot from among the people. And they considered themselves justly as in a state of perpetual pupillage; where they had an authority, after they came to the use of reason, not only to retract and controul whatever had been determined, but to punish any guardian for measures which they had embraced by his persuasion. The same law had place in Thebes +; and for the same reason.

It appears to have been an usual practice in ATHENS, on the establishment of any law esteemed very useful or popular, to prohibit for ever its abrogation and repeal. Thus the demagogue, who diverted all the public revenues to the support of shows and spectacles, made it criminal fo much as to move for a repeal of this law t. Thus LEPTINES moved for a law, not only to recal all the immunities formerly granted, but to deprive the people for the future of the power of granting any more ||. Thus all bills of attainder + were forbid, or laws that affected one ATHENIAN, without extending to the whole These absurd clauses, by which the commonwealth. legislature vainly attempted to bind itself for ever, proceeded from an universal sense of the levity and inconstancy of the people.

<sup>\*</sup> In Ctesiphontem, 'Tis remarkable, that the first step after the dissolution of the Democracy by Critias and the Thirty, was to annul the γεμφη σπαςανομών, as we learn from Demosthenes κατα Τίμοκ. The orator in this oration gives us the words of the law, establishing the γεμφη σπαςανομών, pag. 297. ex edit. Aldi. And he accounts for it, from the same principles we here reason upon.

<sup>+</sup> PLUT. in vita PELOP.

<sup>1</sup> DEMOST. Olyntb. 1. 2.

H DEMOST. contra LEPT.

<sup>1</sup> DEMOST. CORITA ARISTOCRATEM.

II. A wheel within a wheel, fuch as we observe in the GERMAN empire, is confidered by Lord SHAFTESBURY \* as an abfurdity in politics: But what must we say to two equal wheels, which govern the fame political machine, without any mutual check, controul, or fubordination; and yet preserve the greatest harmony and concord? To establish two distinct legislatures, each of which possesses full and absolute authority within itself, and stands in no need of the other's affistance, in order to give validity to its acts; this may appear, beforehand, altogether impracticable, as long as men are actuated by the passions of ambition, emulation, and avarice, which have been hitherto their chief governing principles. And should I affert, that the state I have in my eye was divided into two distinct factions, each of which predominated in a diffinct legislature, and yet produced no clashing in these independent powers; the supposition may appear almost incredible. And if, to augment the paradox, I should affirm, that this disjointed, irregular government, was the most active, triumphant, and illustrious commonwealth, that ever yet appeared on the stage of the world; I should certainly be told, that such a political chimera was as absurd as any vision of the poets. But there is no need for fearching long, in order to prove the reality of the foregoing suppositions: For this was actually the case with the ROMAN republic.

The legislative power was there lodged in the comitia centuriata and comitia tributa. In the former, 'tis well known, the people voted according to their census; fo that when the first class was unanimous, tho' it contained not, perhaps, the hundredth part of the commonwealth, it determined the whole; and, with the authority of the fenate, established a law. In the latter, every vote was alike; and as the authority of the senate was not there

<sup>\*</sup> Essay on the freedom of wit and humour, part. 3. § 2.

requifite, the lower people entirely prevailed, and gave law to the whole state. In all party-divisions, at first between the PATRICIANS and PLEBEIANS, afterwards between the nobles and the people, the interest of the Aristocracy was predominant in the first legislature; that of the Democracy in the fecond: The one could always destroy what the other had established: Nay, the one, by a sudden and unforeseen motion, might take the start of the other, and totally annihilate its rival, by a vote, which, from the nature of the conftitution, had the full authority of a law. But no fuch contest or struggle is observed in the history of ROME: No instance of a quarrel between these two legislatures; though many between the parties that governed in each. Whence arose this concord, which may feem fo extraordinary?

The legislature established at Rome, by the authority of SERVIUS TULLIUS, was the comitia centuriata, which, after the expulsion of the kings, rendered the government, for some time, altogether aristocratical. But the people, having numbers and force on their fide, and being elated with frequent conquests and victories in their foreign wars, always prevailed when pushed to extremities, and first extorted from the senate the magistracy of the tribunes, and then the legislative power of the comitia tributa. It then behoved the nobles to be more careful than ever not to provoke the people. For befide the force which the latter were always possessed of, they had now got possession of legal authority, and could inflantly break in pieces any order or institution which directly opposed them. By intrigue, by influence, by money, by combination, and by the respect paid their character, the nobles might often prevail, and direct the whole machine of government: But had they openly fet their comitia centuriata in opposition to the tributa, they had foon loft the advantage of that institution, together with their confuls, prætors, ediles, and all the magistrates elected elected by it. But the comitia tributa, not having the fame reason for respecting the centuriata, frequently repealed laws savourable to the Aristocracy: they limited the authority of the nobles, protected the people from oppression, and controlled the actions of the senate and magistracy. The centuriata sound it convenient always to submit; and tho' equal in authority, yet being inferior in power, durst never directly give any shock to the other legislature, either by repealing its laws, or establishing laws, which, it foresaw, would soon be repealed by it.

No inftance is found of any opposition or ftruggle between these comitia; except one slight attempt of this kind, mentioned by APPIAN in the third book of his civil wars. MARK ANTHONY, refolving to deprive DE-CIMUS BRUTUS of the government of CISALPINE GAUL, railed in the Forum, and called one of the comitia, in order to prevent the meeting of the other, which had been ordered by the senate. But affairs were then fallen into fuch confusion, and the ROMAN constitution was fo near its final diffolution, that no inference can be drawn from fuch an expedient. This contest, besides, was founded more on form than party. It was the fenate who ordered the comitia tributa, that they might obftruct the meeting of the centuriata, which, by the conflitution, or at least forms of the government, could alone dispose of provinces.

CICERO was recalled by the comitia centuriata, though banished by the tributa, that is, by a plebiscitum. But his banishment, we may observe, never was considered as a legal deed, arising from the free choice and inclination of the people. It was always ascribed to the violence alone of CLODIUS, and to the disorders introduced by him into the government.

III. The third custom which we proposed to observe, regards ENGLAND; and though it be not so important as those which we have pointed out in ATHENS and ROME, it is no less fingular and remarkable. 'Tis a maxim in politics, which we readily admit as undiffuted and universal, That a power, however great, when granted by law to an eminent magistrate, is not so dangerous to liberty, as an authority, however inconfiderable, which he acquires from violence and usurpation. For, besides that the law always limits every power which it bestows, the very receiving it as a concession establishes the authority whence it is derived, and preserves the harmony of the conflitution. By the fame right that one prerogative is affumed without law, another may also be claimed, and another, with still greater facility; while the first usurpations both serve as precedents to the following, and give force to maintain them. Hence the heroism of HAMPDEN, who sustained the whole violence of royal profecution, rather than pay a tax of twenty shillings not imposed by parliament; hence the care of all ENGLISH patriots to guard against the first encroachments of the crown; and hence alone the existence, at this day, of ENGLISH liberty.

There is, however, one occasion, where the parliament has departed from this maxin; and that is, in the pressing of seamen. The exercise of an illegal power is here tacitly permitted in the crown; and tho' it has frequently been under deliberation, how that power might be rendered legal, and granted under proper restrictions to the sovereign, no safe expedient could ever be proposed for that purpose, and the danger to liberty always appeared greater from law than from usurpation. While this power is exercised to no other end than to man the navy, men willingly submit to it, from a sense of its use and necessity; and the sailors, who are alone

affected by it, find no body to support them, in claiming the rights and privileges which the law grants, without distinction, to all English subjects. But were this power, on any occasion, made an instrument of faction or ministerial tyranny, the opposite faction, and indeed all lovers of their country, would immediately take the alarm, and support the injured party; the liberty of ENGLISHMEN would be afferted; juries would be implacable; and the tools of tyranny, acting both against law and equity, would meet with the feverest vengeance. On the other hand, were the parliament to grant fuch an authority, they would probably fall into one of these two inconveniencies: They would either bestow it under fo many reftrictions as would make it lose its effects, by cramping the authority of the crown; or they would render it so large and comprehensive, as might give occasion to great abuses, for which we could, in that case, have no remedy. The very illegality of the power, at present, prevents its abuses, by affording so easy a remedy against them.

I pretend not, by this reasoning, to exclude all possibility of contriving a register for seamen, which might man the navy, without being dangerous to liberty. I only observe, that no satisfactory scheme of that nature has yet been proposed. Rather than adopt any project hitherto invented, we continue a practice seemingly the most absurd and unaccountable. Authority, in times of full internal peace and concord, is armed against law. A continued and open usurpation of the crown is permitted, amidst the greatest jealousy and watchfulness in the people; nay proceeding from those very principles: Liberty, in a country of the highest liberty, is left intirely to its own desence, without any countenance or protection: The wild state of nature is renewed, in one of the most civilized societies of mankind: And great violences and diforders among the people, the most humane and the best natured, are committed with impunity; while the one party pleads obedience to the supreme magistrate, the other the sanction of fundamental laws.

## E S S A Y XI.

Of the Populousness of Antient Nations\*.

HERE is very little ground, either from reason or experience, to conclude the universe eternal or incorruptible. The continual and rapid motion of matter, the violent revolutions with which every part is agitated, the changes remarked in the heavens, the plain traces as well as tradition of an universal deluge; all these prove strongly the mortality of this sabric of the world, and its passage, by corruption or dissolution, from one state or order to another. It must, therefore, as well as each individual form which it contains, have its infancy, youth, manhood, and old

<sup>\*</sup> An ingenious writer has honoured this discourse with an answer, full of politeness, erudition, and good sense. So learned a refutation would have made the author suspect, that his reasonings were entirely overthrown, had he not used the precaution, from the beginning, to keep himself on the sceptical side; and having taken this advantage of the ground, he was enabled, tho' with much inferior forces, to preserve himself from a total defeat. That Reverend gentleman will always find, where his antagonist is so entrenched, that it will be difficult to force him. Varro, in such a situation, could defend himself against Hannibal, Pharnaces against Cesar. The author, however, very willingly acknowledges, that his antagonist has detected many mistakes both in his authorities and reasonings; and it was owing entirely to that gentleman's indulgence, that many more errors were not remarked. In this edition, advantage has been taken of his learned animadversions, and the Essay has been rendered less impersect than formerly.

age; and 'tis probable, that in all these variations, man. equally with every animal and vegetable, will partake, In the flourishing age of the world, it may be expected, that the human species should possess greater vigour both of mind and body, more prosperous health, higher spirits, longer life, and a stronger inclination and power of generation. But if the general system of things, and human fociety of courfe, have any fuch gradual revolutions. they are too flow to be discernible in that short period which is comprehended by history and tradition. Stature and force of body, length of life, even courage and extent of genius, feem hitherto to have been, naturally in all ages, pretty much the same. The arts and sciences. indeed, have flourished in one period, and have decayed in another: But we may observe, that at the time when they rose to greatest perfection among one people, they were perhaps totally unknown to all the neighbouring nations; and tho' they univerfally decayed in one age, yet in a succeeding generation they again revived, and diffused themselves over the world. As far, therefore, as observation reaches, there is no universal difference discernible in the human species; and though it were allowed, that the universe, like an animal body, had a natural progress from infancy to old age; yet as it must still be uncertain whether, at present, it be advancing to its point of perfection, or declining from it, we cannot thence presuppose any decay in human nature\*. To prove, therefore, or account for the greater populousness of antiquity, by the imaginary youth or vigour of the

<sup>\*</sup> COLUMBLIA fays, lib. 3. cap. 8. that in ÆGYPT and AFRICA the bearing of twins was frequent, and even customary; gemini partus familiares, ac pane folennes funt. If this was true, there is a physical difference both in countries and ages. For travellers make no fuch remarks of these countries at present. On the contrary, we are apt to suppose the northern nations more sertile. As those two countries were provinces of the ROMAN empire, 'tis difficult, though not altogether absurd, to suppose that such a man as Columblia might be mistaken with regard to them.

### Populousness of Antient Nations. 413

world, will scarce be admitted by any just reasoner. These general physical causes ought entirely to be excluded from that question.

There are indeed some more particular physical causes of great importance. Diseases are mentioned in antiquity, which are almost unknown to modern medicine; and new diseases have arisen and propagated themselves, of which there are no traces in antient history. And in this particular we may observe, upon comparison, that the difadvantage is very much on the fide of the moderns. Not to mention some others of less importance; the fmall-pox commits fuch ravages, as would almost alone account for the great superiority ascribed to antient times. The tenth or the twelfth part of mankind, destroyed every generation, should make a vast difference, it may be thought, in the numbers of the people; and when joined to venereal distempers, a new plague diffused every where, this disease is perhaps equivalent, by its constant operation, to the three great scourges of mankind, war, pestilence, and famine. Were it certain, therefore, that antient times, were more populous than the present, and could no moral causes be affigned for so great a change: these physical causes alone, in the opinion of many, would be sufficient to give us satisfaction on that head.

But is it certain, that antiquity was so much more populous as is pretended? The extravagancies of Vossius, with regard to this subject, are well known. But an author of much greater genius and discernment has ventured to affirm, that, according to the best computations which these subjects will admit of, there are not now, on the face of the earth, the fiftieth part of mankind, which existed in the time of Julius Cæsar\*. It may easily be observed, that the comparisons, in this case,

<sup>\*</sup> Lettres Persanes. See also L'Esprit de Loix, liv. 23 cap. 17, 18, 19.

must be very impersect, even though we confine ourselves to the scene of antient history; EUROPE, and the nations about the MEDITERRANEAN. We know not exactly the numbers of any EUROPEAN kingdom, or even city, at present: How can we pretend to calculate those of antient cities and flates, where historians have left us such imperfect traces? For my part, the matter appears to me so uncertain, that, as I intend to throw together some reflections on that head, I shall intermingle the inquiry concerning causes with that concerning facts; which ought never to be admitted, where the facts can be afcertained with any tolerable affurance. We shall, first. confider whether it be probable, from what we know of the fituation of fociety in both periods, that antiquity must have been more populous; secondly, whether in reality it was fo. If I can make it appear, that the conclusion is not so certain as is pretended, in favour of antiquity, 'tis all I afpire to.

In general, we may observe, that the question with regard to the comparative populoufness of ages or kingdoms implies very important confequences, and commonly determines concerning the preference of their whole police, their manners, and the constitution of their government. For as there is in all men, both male and female, a defire and power of generation, more active than is ever universally exerted, the restraints which they lie under, must proceed from some difficulties in their situation, which it belongs to a wife legislature carefully to observe and remove. Almost every man who thinks he can maintain a family will have one; and the human fpecies, at this rate of propagation, would more than double every generation. How fast do mankind multiply in every colony or new fettlement; where it is an easy matter to provide for a family; and where men are nowife straitened or confined, as in long established governments h vernments? History tells us frequently of plagues, which have fwept away the third or fourth part of a people: Yet in a generation or two, the destruction was not perceived; and the fociety had again acquired their former number. The lands which were cultivated, the houses built, the commodities raifed, the riches acquired, enabled the people who escaped, immediately to marry, and to rear families, which supplied the place of those who had perished\*. And for a like reason, every wife, just, and mild government, by rendering the condition of its fubjects easy and secure, will always abound most in people, as well as in commodities and riches. A country, indeed, whose climate and soil are fitted for vines, will naturally be more populous than one which produces only corn, and that more populous than one which is only fitted for pasturage. But if every thing else be equalit feems natural to expect, that where-ever there are most happiness and virtue, and the wifest institutions, there will also be most people.

The question, therefore, concerning the populousness of antient and modern times, being allowed of great importance, it will be requisite, if we would bring it to some determination, to compare both the domestic and political situation of these two periods, in order to judge of the sacts by their moral causes; which is the first view in which we proposed to consider them.

The chief difference between the domestic occonomy of the ancients and that of the moderns consists in the practice of slavery, which prevailed among the former,

\* This too is a good reason why the small-pox does not depopulate countries so much as may at first fight be imagined. Where there is room for more people, they will always arise, even without the affistance of naturalization bills. 'Tis remarked by Don Geronimo de Usiariz, that the provinces of Spain which send most people to the Indias, are most populous; which proceeds from their superior riches.

and which has been abolished for some centuries throughout the greatest part of EUROPE. Some passionate admirers of the ancients, and zealous partizans of civil liberty, (for these sentiments, as they are both of them, in the main, extremely just, are found to be almost infeparable) cannot forbear regretting the loss of this inflitution; and whilst they brand all submission to the government of a fingle person with the harsh denomination of flavery, they would gladly reduce the greatest part of mankind to real flavery and subjection. But to one who confiders coolly on the subject, it will appear, that human nature, in general, really enjoys more liberty at present, in the most arbitrary governments of EUROPE, than it ever did during the most flourishing period of antient times. As much as submission to a petty prince, whose dominions extend not beyond a fingle city, is more grievous than obedience to a great monarch; fo much is domestic flavery more cruel and oppressive than any civil fubiection whatsoever. The more the master is removed from us in place and rank, the greater liberty we enjoy; the less are our actions inspected and controlled; and the fainter that cruel comparison becomes between our own fubjection, and the freedom, and even dominion of another. The remains that are found of flavery, in the AMERICAN colonies, and among fome EUROPEAN nations, would never furely create a defire of rendering it more univerfal. The little humanity commonly obferved in persons accustomed, from their infancy, to exercife fo great authority over their fellow-creatures, and to trample upon human nature, were sufficient alone to difgust us with that authority. Nor can a more probable reason be given for the severe, 1 might say, barbarous, manners of antient times, than the practice of domestic flavery; by which every man of rank was rendered a petty tyrant, and educated amidst the flattery, submission, and low debasement of his flaves.

According to the antient practice, all checks were on the inferior, to restrain him to the duty of submission; none on the superior, to engage him to the reciprocal duties of gentleness and humanity. In modern times, a bad servant finds not easily a good master, nor a bad master a good servant; and the checks are mutual, suitable to the inviolable and eternal laws of reason and equity.

The custom of exposing old, useless, or sick slaves in an island of the Tyber, there to starve, seems to have been pretty common in Rome; and whoever recovered, after having been so exposed, had his liberty given him, by an edict of the emperor Claudius; where it was likewise forbid to kill any slave merely for old age or sickness\*. But supposing that this edict was strictly obeyed, would it better the domestic treatment of slaves, or render their lives much more comfortable? We may imagine what others would practise, when it was the professed maxim of the elder Cato, to sell his superannuated slaves for any price, rather than maintain what he essential an useless burthen +.

The ergastula, or dungeons, where slaves in chains were forced to work, were very common all over ITALY. COLUMELLA ‡ advises, that they be always built under ground; and recommends || it as the duty of a careful overseer, to call over every day the names of these slaves, like the mustering of a regiment or ship's company, in order to know presently when any of them had deserted. A proof of the frequency of these ergastula, and of the great number of slaves usually confined in them.

A chained flave for a porter was usual in Rome, as appears from Ovid 4, and other authors §. Had not these

<sup>\*</sup> SUETONIUS in vita CLAUDII. † PLUT. in vita CATONIS;

<sup>†</sup> Lib. 1. cap. 6. | Id. lib. 11. cap. 1. | Amor. lib. 1. eleg. 6. | Sueton. de claris rbetor. So also the antient poet. Janitoris sintin-hire impedimenta audio.

people shaken off all sense of compassion towards that unhappy part of their species, would they have presented all their friends, at the first entrance, with such an image of the severity of the master, and misery of the slave?

Nothing so common in all trials, even of civil causes, as to call for the evidence of slaves; which was always extorted by the most exquisite torments. Demostheres says \*, that where it was possible to produce, for the same fact, either freemen or slaves as witnesses, the judges always preferred the torturing of slaves, as a more certain and infallible evidence +.

Seneca draws a picture of that disorderly luxury, which changes day into night, and night into day, and inverts every stated hour of every office in life. Among other circumstances, such as displacing the meals and times of bathing, he mentions, that regularly about the third hour of the night, the neighbours of one who indulges this false refinement, hear the noise of whips and lashes; and, upon enquiry, find that he is then taking an account of the conduct of his servants, and giving them due correction and discipline. This is not remarked as an instance of cruelty, but only of disorder, which, even in actions the most usual and methodical, changes the fixed hours that an established custom had affigned them ‡.

But

<sup>\*</sup> In Oniteram orat. I.

<sup>†</sup> The same practice was common in Rome; but Creero seems not to think this evidence so certain as the testimony of free-citizens. Pro Calio.

<sup>†</sup> Epif. 122. The inhuman sports exhibited at Rome, may justly be considered too as an effect of the people's contempt for slaves, and was also a great cause of the general inhumanity of their princes and rulers. Who can read the accounts of the amphitheatrical entertainments without hortor? Or who is surprized, that the emperors should treat that people in the same way the people treated their inseriors? One's humanity, on that oc-

### Populousness of Antient Nations. 419

But our present business is only to consider the influence of flavery on the populousness of a state. 'Tis pretended. that, in this particular, the antient practice had infinitely the advantage, and was the chief cause of that extreme populousness which is supposed in those times. At present, all masters discourage the marrying of their male fervants, and admit not by any means the marriage of the female, who are then supposed altogether ineapacitated for their fervice. But where the property of the servants is lodged in the master, their marriage and fertility form his riches, and bring him a fuccession of flaves, that supply the place of those whom age and infirmity have disabled. He encourages, therefore, their propagation as much as that of his cattle; rears the young with the fame care; and educates them to fome art or calling, which may render them more useful or valuable to him. The opulent are, by this policy, interested in the being at least, though not the well-being of the poor; and enrich themselves, by increasing the number and industry of those who are subjected to them. Each man, being a fovereign in his own family, has the fame interest with regard to it, as the prince with regard to the state; and has not, like the prince, any opposite motive of ambition or vain-glory, which may lead him to depopulate his little fovereignty. All of it is, at all times, under his eye; and he has leifure to inspect the

casion, is apt to renew the barbarous wish of Caligula, that the people had but one neck. A man could almost be pleased, by a single blow, oput an end to such a race of monsters. You may thank God, says the author above cited, (epist. 7.) addressing himself to the Roman people, that you have a master, (wiz. the mild and merciful Nero) who is incipable of learning cruelty from your example. This was spoke in the beginning of his reign: But he sitted them very well afterwards; and no doubt was considerably improved by the sight of the barbarous objects, to which he had, from his instancy, been accustomed.

mof

most minute detail of the marriage and education of his

Subjects +.

Such are the consequences of domestic slavery, according to the first aspect and appearance of things: But if we enter more deeply into the subject, we shall perhaps find reason to retract our hasty determinations. The comparison is shocking between the management of human creatures and that of cattle; but being extremely just, when applied to the present subject, it may be proper to trace the consequences of it. At the capital, near all great cities, in all populous, rich, industrious provinces, few cattle are bred. Provisions, lodging, attendance, labour, are there dear; and men find better their account in buying the cattle, after they come to a certain age, from the remoter and cheaper countries. These are confequently the only breeding countries for cattle; and by a parity of reason, for men too, when the latter are put on the same footing with the former. To rear a child in London till he could be serviceable, would cost much dearer, than to buy one of the same age from SCOTLAND or IRELAND; where he had been raised in a cottage, covered with rags, and fed on oatmeal or potatoes. Those who had slaves, therefore, in all the richer and more populous countries, would discourage the pregnancy of the females, and either prevent or destroy the birth. The human species would perish in those places where it ought to increase the fastest; and a perpetual recruit be needed from all the poorer and more defart provinces. Such a continued drain would tend

<sup>†</sup> We may here observe, that if domestic slavery really increased populousness, it would be an exception to the general rule, that the happiness of any society and its populousness are necessary attendants. A master, from humour or interest, may make his slaves very unhappy, and yet be careful from interest, to increase their number. Their marriage is not a matter of schoice with them, no more than any other action of their life.

#### Populousness of Antient Nations. 421

mightily to depopulate the state, and render great cities ten times more destructive than with us; where every man is master of himself, and provides for his children from the powerful instinct of nature, not the calculations of fordid interest. If London, at present, without increasing, needs a yearly recruit from the country, of 5000 people, as is commonly computed; what must it require, if the greatest part of the tradesmen and common people were slaves, and were hindered from breeding by their avaricious masters?

All antient authors tell us, that there was a perpetual flux of flaves to ITALY from the remoter provinces, particularly Syria, Cilicia\*, Cappadocia, and the Lesser Asia, Thrace, and Ægypt: Yet the number of people did not increase in ITALY; and writers complain of the continual decay of industry and agriculture †. Where then is that extreme fertility of the Roman slaves, which is commonly supposed? So far from multiplying, they could not, it seems, so much as keep up the stock, without immense recruits. And tho' great numbers were continually manumitted, and converted into Roman citizens, the numbers even of these did not increase ‡, till the freedom of the city was communicated to foreign provinces.

The term for a flave born and bred in the family, was verna ||; and these slaves seem to have been intitled by custom

<sup>\*</sup> Ten thousand slaves in a day have been often fold for the use of the ROMANS, at DELUS in CILECIA. STRABO, lib. 14.

<sup>†</sup> COLUMELLA, lib. 1. procem, et cap. 2. et 7. VARRO, lib. 3. cap. 1. HORAT. lib. 2. od. 15. TACIT. annal. lib. 3. cap. 54. SULTON. in vita Aug. cap. 42. PLIN. lib. 18. cap. 13.

<sup>†</sup> Minore indies plebe ingenua, says TACITUS, ann. lib. 24. cap. 7.

As ferous was the name of the genus, and verna of the species, without any correlative, this forms a strong presumption, that the latter were

custom to privileges and indulgences beyond others; a fufficient reason why the masters would not be fond of rearing many of that kind \*. Whoever is acquainted with the maxims of our planters, will acknowledge the justness of this observation †.

ATTICUS is much praised by his historian for the care which he took in recruiting his family from the slaves

by far the least numerous. 'Tis an universal observation which we may form upon language, that where two related parts of a whole bear any proportion to each other, in numbers, rank or confideration, there are always correlative terms invented, which answer to both the parts, and express their mutual relation. If they bear no proportion to each other, the term is only invented for the less, and marks its distinction from the whole. Thus man and woman, mafter and servant, father and son, prince and subject, firanger and citizen, are correlative terms. But the words, feaman, carpenter, fmith; eailor. &c. have no correspondent terms, which express those who are no Seaman, no carpenter, &c. Languages differ very much with regard to the particular words where this distinction obtains; and may thence afford very strong inferences, concerning the manners and customs of different nations. The military government of the ROMAN emperors had exalted the foldiery so high, that they balanced all the other orders of the state: Hence miles and paganus became relative terms; a thing, till then, unknown to ancient, and still so to modern languages. Modern superstition has exalted the clergy so high, that they overbalance the whole state: Hence clergy and Leity are terms-opposed in all modern languages; and in these alone. And from the same principles I infer, that if the number of slaves bought by the Ro-MANS from foreign countries, had not extremely exceeded those bred at home, verna would have had a correlative, which would have expressed the former species of slaves. But these, it would seem, composed the main body of the antient flaves, and the latter were but a few exceptions.

- \* Verna is used by the Roman writers as a word equivalent to scurra, on account of the petulance and impudence of those slaves. Mart. lib. 1. ep. 42. Horace also mentions the vernæ procaces; and Petronius, eap. 24. vernula urbanitas. Seneca, de provid. cap. 1. vernularum licentia.
- † 'Tis computed in the West Indies, that a flock of flaves grow worse five per cent. every year, unless new flaves be bought to recruit them. They are not able to keep up their number, even in those warm countries, where cloaths and provisions are so easily got. How much more must this happen in European countries, and in or near great cities?

born in it \*; May we not thence infer, that that practice was not then very common?

The names of flaves in the GREEK comedies, SYRUS, MYSUS, GETA, THRAK, DAVUS, LYDUS, PHRYX, &c. afford a prefumption, that at ATHENS, at least, most of the flaves were imported from foreign nations. The ATHENIANS, says STRABO; gave to their flaves, either the names of the nations whence they were bought, as LYDUS, SYRUS; or the names that were most common among those nations, as MANES or MIDAS to a PHRYGIAN, TIEIAS to a PAPHLAGONIAN.

Demosthenes, after having mentioned a law which forbid any man to strike the slave of another, praises the humanity of this law; and adds that if the barbarians from whom slaves were bought had information that their countrymen met with such gentle treatment, they would entertain a great esteem for the Athenians 1. Isocrates 1 too insinuates, that the slaves of the Greeks were generally or very commonly barbarians. Aristotle in his Politics plainly supposes, that a slave is always a foreigner. The antient comic writers represented the slaves as speaking a barbarous language §. This was an imitation of nature.

'Tis well known that Demosthenes, in his nonage, had been defrauded of a large fortune by his tutors, and that afterwards he recovered, by a profecution at law, the value of his patrimony. His orations, on that occasion, still remain, and contain a very exact detail of

Ee4

<sup>●</sup> CORN. NEPOS in vita ATTICI. We may remark, that ATTICUS's estate lay chiefly in EPIRUS, which-being a remote, desolate place, would render it profitable for him to rear slaves there.

<sup>†</sup> Lib. 7. ‡ In MIDIAM, p. 221. ex edit. ALDI. ‡ Panegyre

<sup>§</sup> Aristoph. Equites, 1. 17. The antient scholiast remarks on this passage βας Cagi ζει ως δαλώ.

the whole substance left by his father \*, in money, merchandise, houses, and slaves, together with the value of each particular. Among the rest were 52 slaves, handicraftsmen, viz. 32 sword-cutlers, and 20 cabinet makers +; all males; not a word of any wives, children, or family, which they certainly would have had, had it been a common custom at ATHENS to breed from the slaves: And the value of the whole must have depended very much on that circumstance. No female slaves are even so much as mentioned, except some house-maids, who belonged to his mother. This argument has great force, if it be not altogether decisive.

Consider this passage of PLUTARCH 1, speaking of the Elder CATO. "He had a great number of slaves, whom 44 he took care to buy at the fales of prisoners of war; 46 and he chose them young, that they might easily be accustomed to any diet or manner of life, and be in-" structed in any business or labour, as men teach any " thing to young dogs or horses. And esteeming " love the chief fource of all diforders, he allowed the " male flaves to have a commerce with the female in his " family, upon paying a certain fum for this privilege: But he strictly forbad all intrigues out of his family." Are there any symptoms in this narration of that care which is supposed in the antients, of the marriage and propagation of their flaves? If that was a common practice, founded on general interest, it would furely have been embraced by CATO, who was a great œconomist, and lived in times when the antient frugality and fimplicity of manners were still in credit and reputation.

It is expressly remarked by the writers of the ROMAN

<sup>\*</sup> In Amphobum orat. 1.

<sup>\$</sup> πλειοποιοί, makers of those heds which the antients lay upon at meals.

In vita CATONIS.

law, that scarce any ever purchase slaves with a view of breeding from them \*.

Our lackeys and house-maids, I own, do not serve much to multiply their species: But the antients, besides those who attended on their person, had all their labour performed by slaves, who lived, many of them, in their samily; and some great men possessed to the number of 10,000. If there be any suspicion, therefore, that this institution was unsavourable to propagation, (and the same reason, at least in part, holds with regard to antient slaves as well as modern servants) how destructive must slavery have proved?

History mentions a Roman nobleman, who had 400 slaves under the same roof with him: And having been assassinated at home by the surious revenge of one of them, the law was executed with rigour, and all without exception were put to death †. Many other Roman noblemen had families equally, or more numerous;

\* " Non temere ancillæ ejus rei causa comparantur ut pariant." Digest. lib. 5. tit. 3. de bæred. petit. lex 27. The following texts are to the same purpole. " Spadonem morbosum non esse, neque vitiosum, verius mihi videtur : " fed fanum effe, sicuti illum qui unum testiculum habet, qui etiam generare " potest." Digeft. lib. 2. tit. 1. de ædilitio edicto, lex 6. §. 2. " Sin autem quis ita spado sit, ut tam necessaria pars corporis penitus absit, morbosus eft." Id. lex 7. His impotence, it feems, was only regarded fo far as his health or life might be affected by it. In other respects, he was full as valuable. The same reasoning is employed with regard to semale slaves. " Quæritur de ea muliere quæ femper mortuos parit, an morbofa fit ? et " ait Sabinus, fi vulvæ vitio hoc contingit, morbosam esse." Id. lex 14. k has even been doubted, whether a woman pregnant was morbid or vitiated i and it is determined, that she is found, not on account of the value of her offspring, but because it is the natural part or office of women to bear children. "Si mulier prægnans venerit, inter omnes convenit sanam eam esse, " Maximum enim ac præcipuum munus fæminarum accipere ac tueri conee ceptum. Puerperam quoque sanam esse; si modo nihil extrinsecus acce-" dit, quod corpus ejus in aliquam valetudinem immitteret. De fterili " Colius distinguere Trebatium dicit, ut fi natura sterilis fit, sana sit; fi vi-" tio corporis, contra." Id.

<sup>‡</sup> TACIT. ann. lib. 14. cap. 43.

and I believe every one will allow, that this would scarce be practicable, were we to suppose all the slaves married, and the semales to be breeders \*.

So early as the poet HESIOD †, married flaves, whether male or female, were esteemed very inconvenient. How much more, where families had increased to such an enormous size, as in Rome, and where simplicity of manners was banished from all ranks of people?

XENOPHON in his Oeconomics, where he gives directions for the management of a farm, recommends a strict care and attention of laying the male and the semale slaves at a distance from each other. He seems not to suppose that they are ever married. The only slaves among the Greeks that appear to have continued their own breed, were the Helotes, who had house apart, and were more the slaves of the public than of individuals ‡.

The same author § tells us, that NICIAS's overseer, by agreement with his master, was obliged to pay him an obolus a day for each slave; besides maintaining them, and keeping up the number. Had the antient slaves been all breeders, this last circumstance of the contract had been superstuous.

The antients talk so frequently of a fixed, stated portion of provisions assigned to each slave ||, that we are naturally led to conclude, that slaves lived almost all single, and received that portion as a kind of board-wages.

The flaves in the great houses, had little rooms affigned them, called cellæ. Whence the name of cell was transferred to the monks room in a convent. See farther on this head, Just. Lipsius, Saturn. 1. cap. 14. These form strong presumptions against the marriage and propagation of the family slaves.

† Opera et Dies, lib. 2. l. 24. alfo 1. 220.

1 STRABO, lib. 8. § De ratione redituum.

|| See Cato de re ruftica, cap. 56. Donatus in Phormion, I. v. 9. Senecar epift. 80.

The

The practice, indeed, of marrying the flaves feems not to have been very common, even among the country-labourers, where it is more naturally to be expected. Cato\*, enumerating the flaves requisite to labour a vineyard of a hundred acres, make them to amount to 15; the overfeer and his wife, villicus and villica, and 13 male flaves; for an olive plantation of 240 acres, the overfeer and his wife, and 11 male flaves; and fo in proportion to a greater or less plantation or vineyard.

VARRO †, citing this passage of CATO, allows his computation to be just in every respect, except the last. For as it is requisite, says he, to have an overseer and his wife, whether the vineyard or plantation be great or small, this must alter the exactness of the proportion. Had CATO'S computation been erroneous in any other respect, it had certainly been corrected by VARRO, who seems fond of discovering so trivial an inaccuracy.

The fame author ‡, as well as COLUMELLA §, recommends it as requisite to give a wife to the overseer, in order to attach him the more strongly to his master's service. This was therefore a peculiar indulgence granted to a slave, in whom so great a considence was reposed.

In the same place VARRO mentions it as an useful precaution, not to buy too many slaves from the same nations, less they beget factions and seditions in the samily: A presumption that in ITALY the greatest part, even of the country labouring slaves, (for he speaks of no other) were bought from the remoter provinces. All the world knows, that the samily-slaves in ROME, who were instruments of shew and luxury, were commonly imported from the east. Hoc prosecere, says PLINY, speaking of the jealous care of masters, mancipiorum legiones, et in domo turba externa ac servorum quoque causa nomenclator adhibendus.

<sup>\$</sup> Lib. 1. cap. 18.

Lib. 33, cap. 1. So likewise TACITUS, annal. lib. 14. cap: 44.

It is indeed recommended by VARRO\*, to propagate young shepherds in the family from the old ones. For as grasing farms were commonly in remote and cheap places, and each shepherd lived in a cottage apart, his marriage and increase were not liable to the same inconveniencies as in dearer places, and where many servants lived in a family; which was universally the case in such of the Roman same as produced wine or corn. If we consider this exception with regard to the shepherds, and weigh the reasons of it, it will serve for a strong confirmation of all our foregoing suspicions †.

Columella t, I own, advises the master to give a reward, and even liberty to a semale slave, that had reared him above three children: A proof, that sometimes the antients propagated from their slaves; which, indeed, cannot be denied. Where it otherwise, the practice of slavery, being so common in antiquity, must have been destructive to a degree which no expedient could repair. All I pretend to infer from these reasonings, is, that slavery is in general disadvantageous both to the happiness and populousness of mankind, and that its place is much better supplied by the practice of hired servants.

The laws, or, as some writers call them, the seditions of the Grachi, were occasioned by their observing the increase of slaves all over Italy, and the diminution of free citizens. Appian § ascribes this increase to the propagation of the slaves; Plutarch || to the purchasing of barbarians, who were chained and imprisoned, βαρδαρικα δεσμωτηρια ‡. 'Tis to be presumed that both causes concurred.

SICILY,

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 2. cap. 10.

<sup>†</sup> Pastoris duri est hic filius, ille bubulci. Juven. sat. II. 151.

I Lib. r. cap. 8. & De bel, civ. lib. r.

In vita TIB. &. C. GRACCHI.

<sup>4.</sup> To the same purpose is that passage of the elder Seneca, ex controwersia 5. lib. 5. "Arata quondam populis rura, singulorum ergastulorum "Sunt:

SICILY, fays FLORUS\*, was full of ergastula, and was cultivated by labourers in chains. Eunus and Athenio excited the servile war, by breaking up these monstrous prisons, and giving liberty to 60,000 slaves. The younger Pompey augmented his army in Spain by the same expedient †. If the country-labourers, throughout the Roman empire, were so generally in this situation, and if it was difficult or impossible to find separate lodgings for the samilies of the city-servants, how unfavourable to propagation, as well as to humanity, must the institution of domestic slavery be esteemed?

Constantinople, at present, requires the same recruits of slaves from all the provinces, which Rome did of old; and these provinces are of consequence far from being populous.

EGYPT, according to Monf. MAILLET, fends continual colonies of black flaves to the other parts of the Turkish empire; and receives annually an equal return of white: The one brought from the inland parts of Africa, the other from Mingrella, Circassia, and Tartary.

Our modern convents are, no doubt, very bad institutions: But there is reason to suspect, that antiently every great family in ITALY, and probably in other parts of the world, was a species of convent. And tho' we have reason to detest all those popish institutions, as nurseries

```
"funt: latiusque nunc villici, quam olim rages, imperant. At nunc eadem," says PLINY, "vincti pedes, damnatae manus, inscripti vultus exercent." lib. 18. cap. 3. So also Martial.
```

"Et sonet innumera compede Thuscus ager." lib. 9. ep. 23.
And Lucan. "Tum longos jungere fines

Agrorum, et quondam duro sulcata Camilli,

Vomere et antiqua Curiorum passa ligones, Longa sub ignotis extendere rura colonis. lib. 1.

Vincto fossore coluntur

Hesperiae segetes. lib. 7.
Lib. 3. cap. 19. lib. 4. cap. 8,

of the most abject superstition, burthensome to the public, and oppressive to the poor prisoners, male as well as semale; yet may it be questioned whether they be so destructive to the populousness of a state as is commonly imagined. Were the land which belongs to a convent, bestowed on a nobleman, he would spend its revenue on dogs, horses, grooms, footmen, cooks, and house-maids; and his family would not furnish many more citizens than the convent.

The common reason why parents thrust their daughters into nunneries, is, that they may not be over-burthened with too numerous a family; but the antients had a method almost as innocent, and more effectual to that purpose, viz. the exposing their children in the earliest infancy. This practice was very common; and is not mentioned by any author of those times with the horror it deserves, or scarce \* even with disapprobation. PLU-TARCH, the humane, good-natured PLUTARCH, + recommends it as a virtue in ATTALUS, king of PERGA-Mus, that he murdered, or, if you will, exposed all his own children, in order to leave his crown to the fon of his brother, EUMENES; fignalizing in this manner his gratitude and affection to EUMENES, who had left him his heir preferable to that fon. It was Solon, the most celebrated of the fages of GREECE, who gave parents permission by law to kill their children ‡.

Shall we then allow these two circumstances to compensate each other, viz. monastic vows and the exposing of children, and to be unfavourable, in equal degrees, to the propagation of mankind? I doubt the advantage is here on the side of antiquity. Perhaps, by an odd con-

<sup>\*</sup> TACITUS blames it. De morib. Germ.

<sup>†</sup> De fraterno amore. Seneca also approves of the exposing of fickly, infirm children. De ira, lib. 1. cap. 15.

<sup>1</sup> SEXT. EMP. lib. 3. cap. 24.

mection of causes, the barbarous practice of the antients might rather render those times more populous. By removing the terrors of too numerous a family it would engage many people in marriage; and such is the force of natural affection, that very few, in comparison would have resolution enough to carry into execution their former intentions.

CHINA, the only country where this cruel practice of exposing children prevails at present, is the most populous country we know; and every man is married before he is twenty. Such early marriages could scarce be general, had not men the prospect of so easy a method of getting rid of their children. I own, that PLUTARCH + speaks of it as a very universal maxim of the poor to expose their children; and as the rich were then averse to marriage, on account of the courtship they met with from those who expected legacies from them, the public must have been in a bad situation between them ‡.

Of all sciences there is none, where first appearances are more deceitful than in politics. Hospitals for foundlings seem favourable to the increase of numbers; and, perhaps, may be so, when kept under proper restrictions. But when they open the door to every one, without distinction, they have probably a contrary effect, and are pernicious to the state. 'Tis computed, that every ninth

<sup>†</sup> De amore prolis.

The practice of leaving great sums of money to friends, tho one had near relations, was common in GREECE as well as Rome; as we may gather from LUCIAN. This practice prevails much less in modern times; and BEN. JOHNSON'S VOLPONE is therefore almost entirely extracted from antient authors, and suits better the manners of those times.

It may justly be thought, that the liberty of divorces in Rome was another discouragement to marriage. Such a practice prevents not quarrels from bumour, but rather increases them; and occasions also those from interest, which are much more dangerous and destructive. See farther on this head, Essays moral, political, and literary, Part. I. essay XIX. Perhaps too the unnatural lusts of the antients ought to be taken into consideration, as of some moment.

child born at Paris, is fent to the hospital; tho' it seems certain, according to the common course of human affairs, that 'tis not a hundredth part whose parents are altogether incapacitated to rear and educate them. The infinite difference, for health, industry, and morals, between an education in an hospital and that in a private family, should induce us not to make the entrance into an hospital too easy and engaging. To kill one's own child is shocking to nature, and must therefore be pretty unusual; but to turn over the care of him upon others is very tempting to the natural indolence of mankind.

Having confidered the domestic life and manners of the antients, compared to those of the moderns; where in the main, we seem rather superior, so far as the present question is concerned; we shall now examine the political customs and institutions of both ages, and weigh their influence in retarding or forwarding the propagation of mankind.

Before the increase of the ROMAN power, or rather till its full establishment, almost all the nations which are the scene of antient history, were divided into small territories or petty commonwealths, where of course a great equality of fortune prevailed, and the centre of the government was always very near its frontiers.

This was the fituation of affairs not only in GREECE and ITALY, but also in SPAIN, GAUL, GERMANY, AFRIC, and a great part of the Lesser Asia. And it must be owned, that no institution could be more favourable to the propagation of mankind. For tho' a man of an overgrown fortune, not being able to consume more than another, must share it with those who serve and attend him; yet their possession being precarious, they have not the same encouragement to marriage, as if each had a small fortune, secure and independent. Enormous cities are, besides, destructive to society, beget vice and

disorder of all kinds, starve the remoter provinces, and even starve themselves, by the prices to which they raise all provisions. Where each man had his little house and field to himself, and each county had its capital, free and independent; what a happy fituation of mankind! How favourable to industry and agriculture; to marriage and propagation! The prolific virtue of men, were it to act in its full extent, without that restraint which poverty and necessity imposes on it, would double the number every generation: And nothing furely can give it more liberty, than fuch small commonwealths, and such an equality of fortune among the citizens. All small states naturally produce equality of fortune, because they afford no opportunities of great increase; but small commonwealths much more, by that division of power and authority which is effential to them.

When XENOPHON\* returned after the famous expedition with Cyrus, he hired himself and 6000 of the Greeks into the service of Seuthes, a prince of Thrace; and the articles of his agreement were, that each soldier should receive a daric a month, each captain two darics, and he himself, as general, sour: A regulation of pay which would not a little surprise our modern officers.

Demosthenes and Æschines, with eight more, were fent ambassadors to Philip of Macedon, and their appointments for above four months were a thousand drachmas, which is less than a drachma a day for each ambassador †. But a drachma a day, nay sometimes two ‡, was the pay of a common soot-soldier.

A centurion among the Romans had only double pay to a private man, in Polybius's time §, and we accord-

<sup>\*</sup> De exp. CYR. lib. 7.

<sup>†</sup> DEMOST. de falfa leg. He calls it a confiderable fum.

<sup>†</sup> Thue ID. lib. 3. § Lib. 6, cap. 37.

ingly find the gratuities after a triumph regulated by that proportion \*. But MARK ANTHONY and the triumvirate gave the centurions five times the reward of the other †. So much had the increase of the commonwealth increased the inequality among the citizens ‡.

It must be owned, that the situation of affairs in modern times, with regard to civil liberty, as well as equality of fortune, is not near fo favourable, either to the propagation or happiness of mankind. EUROPE is shared out mostly into great monarchies; and such parts of it as are divided into fmall territories, are commonly governed by absolute princes, who ruin their people by a mimickry of the greater monarchs, in the fplendor of their court and number of their forces. Swisser-LAND alone and HOLLAND resemble the antient republics; and tho' the former is far from possessing any advantage either of foil, climate, or commerce, yet the numbers of people, with which it abounds, notwithflanding their inlifting themselves into every service in EUROPE, prove sufficiently the advantages of their political institutions.

The antient republics derived their chief or only security from the numbers of their citizens. The Trachinians having lost great numbers of their people, the remainder, instead of inriching themselves by the inheritance of their fellow-citizens, applied to Sparta, their metropolis, for a new stock of inhabitants. The Spartans immediately collected ten thousand men; among whom the old citizens divided the lands of which the former proprietors had perished §.

<sup>\*</sup> TIT. LIV. lib. 41. cap. 7. 13. & alibi passim.

<sup>+</sup> APPIAN. De bell. civ. lib. 4.

<sup>†</sup> CESAR gave the centurions ten times the gratuity of the common foldiers, De bell. Gallico, lib. 8. In the Rhodian cartel, mentioned afterwards, no distinction in the ransom was made on account of ranks in the army.

<sup>§</sup> Dion Sic. lib. 12. Thucyp, lib. 3.

After Timoleon had banished Dionysius from Sy-RACUSE, and had fettled the affairs of SICILY, finding the cities of SYRACUSE and SELLINUNTIUM extremely depopulated by tyranny, war, and faction, he invited over from GREECE fome new inhabitants to repeople them \*. Immediately forty thousand men (PLUTARCH + fays fixty thousand) offered themselves; and he distributed fo many lots of land among them, to the great fatisfaction of the antient inhabitants: A proof at once of the maxims of antient policy, which affected populousness more than riches; and of the good effects of these maxims. in the extreme populousness of that small country, GREECE, which could at once supply so large a colony. The case was not much different with the Romans in early times. He is a pernicious citizen, faid M. Curius, who cannot be contented with seven acres ‡. Such ideas of equality could not fail of producing great numbers of people.

We must now consider what disadvantages the antients lay under with regard to populousness, and what checks they received from their political maxims and institutions. There are commonly compensations in every human condition; and tho' these compensations be not always perfectly equal, yet they serve, at least, to restrain the prevailing principle. To compare them and estimate their influence, is indeed very difficult, even where they take place in the same age, and in neighbouring countries: But where several ages have intervened, and only scat-

<sup>\*</sup> DIOD. SIC. lib. 16. † In vita TIMOL.

<sup>†</sup> PLIN. lib. 18. cap. 3. The same author, in cap. 6. says, Verunque fatentibus latifundia perdidere ITALIAM; jam vero et provincias. Sex domi semissem AFRICÆ possidebant, cum interfecit eos NERO princeps. In this view, the barbarous butchery committed by the first Roman emperors, was not, perhaps, so destructive to the public as we may imagine. These never ceased till they had extinguished all the illustrious families, which had enjoyed the plunder of the world, during the latter ages of the republic. The new nobles who rose in their place, were less splendid, as we learn from TACIT.

tered lights are afforded us by antient authors; what can we do but amuse ourselves by talking, pro and con, on an interesting subject, and thereby correcting all hasty and violent determinations?

First, We may observe, that the antient republics were almost in perpetual war; a natural effect of their martial spirit, their love of liberty, their mutual emulation, and that hatred which generally prevails among nations that live in a close neighbourhood. Now, war in a small state is much more destructive than in a great one; both because all the inhabitants, in the former case, must serve in the armies; and because the state is all frontier, and all exposed to the inroads of the enemy.

The maxims of antient war were much more destructive than those of modern; chiesly by the distribution of plunder, in which the soldiers were indulged. The private men in our armies are such a low set of people, that we find any abundance beyond their simple pay, breeds consustion and disorder, and a total dissolution of discipline. The very wretchedness and meanness of those who fill the modern armies, render them less destructive to the countries which they invade: One instance, among many, of the deceitsulness of first appearances in all political reasonings \*.

Antient battles were much more bloody by the very nature of the weapons employed in them. The antients drew up their men 16 or 20, fometimes 50 men deep, which made a narrow front; and it was not difficult to find a field, in which both armies might be marshalled, and might engage with each other. Even where any

<sup>\*</sup> The antient foldiers, being free citizens, above the lowest rank, were all married. Our modern soldiers are either forced to live unmarried, or their marriages turn to small account towards the increase of mankind. A circumstance which ought, perhaps, to be taken into consideration, as of some consequence in favour of the antients.

body of the troops was kept off by hedges, hillocks, woods, or hollow ways, the battle was not fo foon decided between the contending parties, but that the others had time to overcome the difficulties which opposed them, and take part in the engagement. And as the whole armies were thus engaged, and each man closely buckled to his antagonist, the battles were commonly very bloody, and great flaughter was made on both fides, especially on the vanquished. The long thin lines required by fire-arms. and the quick decision of the fray, render our modern engagements but partial rencounters, and enable the general, who is foiled in the beginning of the day, to draw off the greatest part of his army, found and intire. Could FOLARD's project of the column take place (which feems impracticable \*) it would render modern battles as de-Aructive as the antient.

The battles of antiquity, both by their duration, and their resemblance of single combats, were wrought up to a degree of sury quite unknown to latter ages. Nothing could then engage the combatants to give quarter, but the hopes of profit, by making slaves of their prisoners. In civil wars, as we learn from TACITUS †, the battles were the most bloody, because the prisoners were not slaves.

What a stout resistance must be made, where the vanquished expected so hard a fate! How inveterate the rage, where the maxims of war were, in every respect, so bloody and severe!

Instances are very frequent, in antient history, of cities besieged, whose inhabitants, rather than open their gates, murdered their wives and children, and rushed themselves

<sup>\*</sup> What is the advantage of the column after it has broke the enemy's line? only, that it then takes them in flank, and dissipates whatever stands near it by a fire from all sides. But till it has broke them, does it not present a flank to the enemy, and that exposed to their musquetry, and, what is much worse, to their cannon?

<sup>+</sup> Hift. lib. 2. cap. 44.

on a voluntary death, sweetened perhaps with a little prospect of revenge upon the enemy. GREEKS\*, as well as BARBARIANS, have been often wrought up to this degree of fury. And the same determined spirit and cruelty must, in many other instances, less remarkable, have been extremely destructive to human society, in those petty commonwealths, which lived in a close neighbourhood, and were engaged in perpetual wars and contentions.

Sometimes the wars in GREECE, fays PLUTARCH +, were carried on intirely by inroads, and robberies, and piracies. Such a method of war must be more destructive, in small states, than the bloodiest battles and sieges.

By the laws of the twelve tables, possession for two years formed a prescription for land; one year for moveables ‡: An indication, that there was not in ITALY, during that period, much more order, tranquillity, and settled police, than there is at present among the TARTARS.

The only cartel I remember in antient history, is, that between Demetrius Poliorcetes and the Rhodians; when it was agreed, that a free citizen should be restored for 1000 drachmas, a slave bearing arms for 500 ‡.

But, fecondly, it appears that antient manners were more unfavourable than the modern, not only in times of war, but also in those of peace; and that too in every respect, except the love of civil liberty and equality, which is, I own, of considerable importance. To exclude saction from a free government, is very difficult, if not altogether

<sup>\*</sup> As Abydus, mentioned by Livy, lib. 31. cap. 17. 18. and Poly #. lib. 16. As also the Xanthians, Applan, de bell civil. lib. 4.

<sup>+</sup> In vita ARATI.

<sup>†</sup> INST. lib. 2. cap. 6. 'Tis true, the same law seems to have been continued till the time of JUSTINIAN. But abuses introduced by barbarism, are not always corrected by civility.

<sup>|</sup> Diod. Sicul. lib. 20.

impracticable; but fuch inveterate rage between the factions, and fuch bloody maxims, are found, in modern times, amongst religious parties alone, where bigotted priests are the accusers, judges, and executioners. In antient history, we may always observe, where one party prevailed, whether the nobles or people (for I can obferve no difference in this respect \*) that they immediately butchered all of the opposite party who fell into their hands, and banished such as had been so fortunate as to escape their fury. No form of process, no law, no trial no pardon. A fourth, a third, perhaps near a half of the city, were flaughtered, or expelled, every revolution; and the exiles always joined foreign enemies, and did all the mischief possible to their fellow citizens; till fortune put it in their power to take full revenge by a new revo-And as these were very frequent in such violent governments, the disorder, diffidence, jealousy, enmity, which must prevail, are not easy for us to imagine in this age of the world.

There are only two revolutions I can recollect in antient history, which passed without great severity, and great effusion of blood in massacres and assassinations, viz. the restoration of the Athenian Democracy by Thrasybulus, and the subduing the Roman republic by Celsar. We learn from antient history, that Thrasybulus passed a general amnesty for all past offences; and first introduced that word, as well as practice into Greece †. It appears, however, from many orations of Lysias ‡, that the chief, and even some of the subaltern offenders, in the preceding tyranny, were tried, and capitally pu-

<sup>\*</sup> LYSIAS, who was himself of the popular faction, and very narrowly escaped from the thirty tyrants, says, that the Democracy was as violent a government as the Oligarchy. Orat. 24. de statu. popul.

<sup>+</sup> CICERO, PHILIP. 1.

<sup>‡</sup> As oras. 11. contra Eratost. oras. 12. contra Agorat. oras. 15. pro Mantith.

nished. This is a difficulty not cleared up, and even not observed by antiquarians and historians. And as to CE-SAR's clemency, tho' much celebrated, it would not gain great applause in the present age. He butchered, for instance, all CATO's senate, when he became master of UTICA\*; and these, we may readily believe, were not the most worthless of the party. All those who had borne arms against that usurper, were forseited; and, by HIRTIUS's law, declared incapable of all public offices.

These people were extremely fond of liberty; but seem not to have understood it very well. When the thirty tyrants first established their dominion at ATHENS, they began with seizing all the sycophants and informers, who had been so troublesome during the Democracy, and putting them to death by an arbitrary sentence and execution. Every man, says SALLUST † and Lysias ‡ rejoiced at these punishments; not considering, that liberty was from that moment annihilated.

The utmost energy of the nervous style of Thucydides, and the copiousness and expression of the Greek language, seem to sink under that historian, when he attempts to describe the disorders which arose from faction thro'out all the Greek commonwealths. You would imagine, that he still labours with a thought greater than he can find words to communicate. And he concludes his pathetic description with an observation, which is at once very refined and very solid. "In these contests," says he, "those who were dullest and most stupid, and "had the least foresight, commonly prevailed. For being conscious of this weakness, and dreading to be over-reached by those of greater penetration, they went

<sup>\*</sup> APPIAN. de bell. civ. lib. 2.

<sup>+</sup> See CESAR's speech, de bell. Catil.

<sup>†</sup> Orat. 24. And in orat. 29. he mentions the factious fpirit of the popular affemblies as the only cause why these illegal punishments should displeate.

to work hastily, without premeditation, by the sword
and poniard, and thereby prevented their antagonists,
who were forming fine schemes and projects for their
destruction \*."

Not to mention DIONYSIUS + the elder, who is computed to have butchered in cold blood above 10,000 of his fellow-citizens; nor AGATHOCLES 1, NABIS 6, and others, still more bloody than he; the transactions, even in free governments, were extremely violent and destructive. At ATHENS, the thirty tyrants and the nobles, in a twelvemonth, murdered, without trial, about 1200 of the people, and banished above the half of the citizens that remained ||. In Argos, near the fame time, the people killed 1200 of the nobles; and afterwards their own demagogues, because they had refused to carry their profecutions farther \*\*. The people also in CORCYRA killed 1500 of the nobles, and banished a thousand 4. These numbers will appear the more surprising, if we confider the extreme smallness of these states. But all antient history is full of fuch instances || ||.

When

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 3, The country in Europe in which I have observed the factions to be most violent, and party-hatred the strongest, is Ireland. This goes so far as to cut off even the most common intercourse of civilities between the Protestants and Catholics. Their cruel insurrections, and the severe revenges which they have taken of each other, are the causes of this mutual ill will, which is the chief source of the disorder, poverty, and depopulation of that country. The Greek sactions I imagine to have been instanced still to a higher degree of rage; the revolutions being commonly more frequent, and the maxims of assamble much more avowed and acknowledged.

<sup>†</sup> PLUT. de virt. & fort. ALEX. † DIOD. SIC. lib. 18, 19.

<sup>§</sup> TIT. LIV. lib, 31, 33, 34.

DIOD. Sic. lib. 14. ISOCRATES says there were only 5000 banished. He-makes the number of those killed amount to 1500. AREOP. ÆSCHINES contra CTESIPH. assigns precisely the same number. Seneca (de trang. snim. cap. 5.) says 1300.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Drop Sic. lib. 15. 4 Drop. Sic. lib. 13.

<sup>|</sup> We shall mention from Diodorus Siculus alone a few, which passed in the course of sixty years during the most shining age of GREECE. There

When ALEXANDER ordered all the exiles to be reftored thro' all the cities; it was found, that the whole amounted to 20,000 men; the remains probably of still greater slaughters and massacres. What an assonishing multitude in so narrow a country as ancient GREECE! And what domestic confusion, jealously, partiality, revenge, heart-burnings, must tear those cities, where factions were wrought up to such a degree of sury and despair!

It would be easier, says Isocrates to Philip, to raise an army in Greece at present from the vagabonds than from the cities.

were banished from SYBARIS 500 of the nobles and their partisans; lib. 12. p. 77. ex edit. RHODOMANNI. Of CHIANS, 600 citizens banished; lib. 14. p. 189. At EPHESUS, 340 killed, 1000 banished; lib. 13. p. 223. Of CYRENIANS, 500 nobles killed, all the rest banished; lib. 14. p. 263. The CORINTHIANS killed 120, banished 500; lib. 14. p. 304. PHEBIDAS the SPARTAN banished 300 BEOTIANS; lib. 15, p. 342. Upon the fall of the LACEDEMONIANS, Democracies were restored in many cities, and Severe vengeance taken of the nobles, after the GREEK manner. But matters did not end there. For the banished nobles, returning in many places, butchered their adversaries at PHIALE, in CORINTH, in MEGARA, in PHLIASIA. In this last place they killed 300 of the people; but these again revolting, killed above 600 of the nobles and banished the reft; lib. 15. p. 357. In ARCADIA 1400 banished, besides many killed. The banished retired to Sparta and to Pallantium: The latter delivered up to their countrymen, and all killed, lib. 15. p. 373. Of the banished from ARGOS and THEBES, there were 500 in the SPARTAN army; id. p. 374. Here is a detail of the most remarkable of AGATHOCLES's cruelties from the same author. The people before his usurpation had banished 600 nobles; lib. 19. p. 655. Afterwards that tyrant, in concurrence with the people, killed 4000 nobles, and banished 6000; id. p. 647. He killed 4000 people at GELA; id. p. 741. By Agathocles's brother 8000 banished from Syracuse; lib. 20. p. 757. The inhabitants of ÆGESTA, to the number of 40,000, were killed, man, woman, and child; and with tortures, for the fake of their money; id. p. 802. All the relations, viz. father, brother, children, grandfather, of his LIBYAN army, killed; id. p. 103. He killed 7000 exiles after capitulation; id. p. 816. 'Tis to be remarked, that AGATHO-GLES was a man of great sense and courage: His violent tyranny, therefore, is a stronger proof of the manners of the age.

† DIOD. SIC. lib. 18.

Even where affairs came not to fuch extremities which they failed not to do almost in every city twice or thrice every century) property was rendered very precarious by the maxims of antient government. PHON, in the banquet of Socrates, gives us a very natural unaffected description of the tyranny of the ATHENIAN people. "In my poverty," fays CHARMI-DES. "I am much more happy than ever I was while cc possest of riches; as much as it is happier to be in 66 fecurity than in terrors, free than a flave, to receive than to pay court, to be trusted than suspected. Formerly I was obliged to carefs every informer; fome 66 imposition was continually laid upon me; and it was never allowed me to travel, or be absent from the city. 46 At present, when I am poor, I look big, and threa-44 ten others. The rich are afraid of me, and show me every kind of civility and respect; and I am become a 66 kind of tyrant in the city \*."

In one of the pleadings of Lysias ‡, the orator very coolly speaks of it, by the by, as a maxim of the Athenian people, that whenever they wanted money, they put to death some of the rich citizens as well as strangers, for the sake of the foreseiture. In mentioning this, he seems to have no intention of blaming them; still less of provoking them who were his audience and judges.

Whether a man was a citizen or a stranger among that people, it seems indeed requisite, either that he should impoverish himself, or the people would impoverish him, and perhaps kill him into the bargain. The orator last mentioned gives a pleasant account of an estate laid out in the public service; that is, above the third of it in rareeshows and sigured dances.

I need

Pag. 885. ex edit. LEUNCLAV. † Orat. 29. in NECOM.

<sup>†</sup> In order to recommend his client to the favour of the people, he enumerates all the sums he had expended. When χωςηγος, 30 minas: Upon a chorus

I need not infift on the GREEK tyrannies, which were altogether horrible. Even the mixed monarchies, by which most of the antient states of GREECE were governed, before the introduction of republics, were very unsettled. Scarce any city, but ATHENS, says ISOCRATES, could show a succession of kings for sour or sive generations.

Besides many other obvious reasons for the instability of ancient monarchies, the equal division of property among the brothers in private families, must, by a necessary consequence, contribute to unsettle and disturb the state. The universal preference given to the elder by modern laws, tho' it increases the inequality of fortunes, has, however, this good effect, that it accustoms men to the same idea of public succession, and cuts off all claim and pretension of the younger.

The new fettled colony of HERACLEA, falling immediately into factions, applied to SPARTA, who fent

chorus of men 20 minas; εισπυρειχισαις 8 minas; ανδεασι χορηγων 50 minas; κυκλικώ χώζω 3 minas: Seven times trierarch, where he spent 6 talents: Taxes, once 30 minas, another time 40; yuuvaaraqxwy, 12 minas; χορηγώ- σαιδικώ χωρώ, 15 minas; κομοδοίς χορηγών, 18 minas; συρείχιςαις αγενειοις, 7 minas; τειπεει αμιλλομεν⊕, 15 minas; αεχηθεωεος, 30 minas; In the whole ten talents 38 minas. An immense sum for an ATHENIAN fortune, and what alone would be esteemed great riches, Orat. 20. 'Tis true, he fays, the law did not oblige him absolutely to be at so much expence, not above a fourth. But without the favour of the people no body was so much as safe; and this was the only way to gain it. See farther, orat. 24. de pop. flatu. In another place, he introduces a speaker, who fays that he had spent his whole fortune, and an immense one, eighty talents, for the people. Orat. 25. de prob. EVANDRI. The METOLICI, Or frangers, find, says he, if they do not contribute largely enough to the peaple's fancy, that they have reason to repent. Orat. 30. contra PHIL. You may fee with what care DEMOSTHENES displays his expences of this nature, when he pleads for himself de corona; and how he exaggerates MIDIAS'S stinginess in this particular, in his accusation of that criminale All this, by the by, is the mark a very iniquitous judicature: And yet the ATHE-NIANS valued themselves on having the most legal and regular administration of any people in GREECE. # Panath.

HERIPIDAS

HERIPIDAS with full authority to quiet their dissensions. This man, not provoked by any opposition, not inflamed by party rage, knew no better expedient than immediately putting to death about 500 of the citizens †. A strong proof how deeply rooted these violent maxims of government were throughout all Greece.

If fuch was the disposition of mens minds among that refined people, what may be expected in the commonwealths of ITALY, AFRIC, SPAIN, and GAUL, which were denominated barbarous? Why otherwise did the GREEKS fo much value themselves on their humanity. gentleness and moderation, above all other nations? This reasoning seems very natural. But unluckily the history of the Roman commonwealth, in its earlier times, if we give credit to the received accounts, stands against us. No blood was ever shed in any fedition at ROME, till the murder of the GRACCHI. DIONYSIUS HALICARNASSÆUS T, observing the singular humanity of the Roman people in this particular, makes use of it as an argument that they were originally of GRECIAN extraction: Whence we may conclude, that the factions and revolutions in the barbarous republics were usually more violent than even those of GREECE above-mentioned.

If the Romans were so late in coming to blows, they made ample compensation after they had once entered upon the bloody scene; and Appian's history of their civil wars contains the most frightful picture of massacres, proscriptions, and forseitures, that ever was presented to the world. What pleases most, in that historian, is, that he seems to seel a proper resentment of these barbarous proceedings; and talks not with that provoking coolness and indifference, which custom had produced in many of the Greek historians \*.

† Dion. Sic. lib. 14. ‡ Lib. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> The authorities cited above, are all historians, orators, and philosophers, whose testimony is unquestioned. 'Tis dangerous to rely upon writers

The maxims of antient politics contain, in general, for little humanity and moderation, that it seems superfluous to give any particular reason for the violences committed at any particular period. Yet I cannot forbear observing, that the laws, in the latter ages of the ROMAN commonwealth, were so absurdly contrived, that they obliged the heads of parties to have recourse to these extremities. capital punishments were abolished: However criminal, or, what is more, however dangerous any citizen might be, he could not regularly be punished otherwise than by banishment: And it became necessary, in the revolutions of party, to draw the fword of private vengeance; nor was it easy, when laws were once violated, to set bounds to these sanguinary proceedings. Had BRUTUS himself prevailed over the triumvirate, could he, in common prudence, have allowed OCTAVIUS and ANTHONY to live, and have contented himself with banishing them to RHODES or MARSEILLES, where they might still have plotted new commotions and rebellions? His executing C. Antonius, brother to the triumvir, shows evidently his sense of the matter. Did not CICERO, with the ap-

who deal in ridicule and fatyr. What will posterity, for instance, infer from this passage of Dr. Swift? "I told him, that in the kingdom of TRIB-" NIA (BRITAIN) by the natives called LANGBON (LONDON) where I 44 had fojourned some time in my travels, the bulk of the people confist, in a " manner, wholly of discoverers, witnesses, informers, accusers, prosecu-66 tors, evidences, fwearers, together with their feveral fubfervient and fub-" altern inftruments, all under the colours, the conduct, and pay of minifters of state and their deputies. The plots in that kingdom are usually " the workmanship of those persons," &c. Gulliver's travels. Such a representation might fuit the government of ATHENS; but not that of ENGLAND, which is a prodigy even in modern times, for humanity, justice, and liberty. Yet the Doctor's fatyr, tho' carried to extremes, as is usual with him, even beyond other fatyrical writers, did not altogether want an object. The Bishop of ROCHESTER, who was his friend, and of the same party, had been banished a little before by a bill of attainder, with great justice, but without fuch a proof as was legal, or according to the strict forms of common law.

probation of all the wife and virtuous of Rome, arbitrarily put to death CATILINE's affociates, contrary to law, and without any trial or form of process? And if he moderated his executions, did it not proceed, either from the clemency of his temper, or the conjunctures of the times? A wretched fecurity in a government which pretends to laws and liberty!

Thus, one extreme produces another. In the fame manner as excessive severity in the laws is apt to beget great relaxation in their execution; so their excessive lenity naturally produces cruelty and barbarity. 'Tis dangerous to force us, in any case, to pass their sacred boundaries.

One general cause of the disorders so frequent in all antient governments, feems to have confifted in the great difficulty of establishing any Aristocracy in those ages. and the perpetual discontents and seditions of the people. whenever even the meanest and most beggarly were excluded from the legislature and from public offices. very quality of freeman gave fuch a rank, being opposed to that of flave, that it feemed to intitle the poffessor to every power and privilege of the commonwealth. Lon's + laws excluded no freeman from votes or elections. but confined some magistracies to a particular census; yet were the people never fatisfied till those laws were repealed. By the treaty with ANTIPATER 1, no ATHE-NIAN had a vote whose census was less than 2000 drachmas (about 60 l. Sterling). And tho' fuch a government would to us appear sufficiently democratical, it was so disagreeable to that people, that above two thirds of them immediately left their country ||. Cassander reduced that census to the half §; yet still the government was

& Id. ibid.

<sup>+</sup> PLUTARCHUS in vita SOLON.

<sup>1</sup> Dion. Sic. lib. 18.

I Id. ibid.

confidered as an oligarchical tyranny, and the effect of foreign violence.

SERVIUS TULLIUS'S + laws seem very equal and reafonable, by fixing the power in proportion to the property: Yet the ROMAN people could never be brought quietly to submit to them.

In those days there was no medium between a severe, jealous Aristocracy, ruling over discontented subjects; and a turbulent, factious, tyrannical Democracy.

But, thirdly, there are many other circumstances, in which antient nations feem inferior to the modern, both for the happiness and increase of mankind. Trade, manufactures, industry, were no where, in former ages, so flourishing as they are at present in Europe. The only garb of the antients, both for males and females, feems to have been a kind of flannel, which they wore commonly white or gray, and which they scoured as often as it grew dirty. Tyre, which carried on, after CAR-THAGE, the greatest commerce of any city in the ME-DITERRANEAN, before it was destroyed by ALEXANDER, was no mighty city, if we credit ARRIAN's account of its inhabitants 1. ATHENS is commonly supposed to have been a trading city: But it was as populous before the MEDIAN war as at any time after it, according to HERODOTUS | ; and yet its commerce, at that time, was so inconsiderable, that, as the same historian obferves &, even the neighbouring coasts of Asia were as little frequented by the GREEKS as the pillars of HER-CULES: For beyond these he conceived nothing.

<sup>+</sup> TIT. LIV. lib. 1. cap. 43.

Lib. 2. There were 8000 killed during the fiege; and the whole captives amounted to 30,000. DIODORUS SICULUS, lib. 17. fays only 13,000? But he accounts for this small number, by saying that the TYRIANS had sent away beforehand part of their wives and children to CARTHAGE.

Lib. 5. he makes the number of the citizens amount to 30,000.

<sup>§ 1</sup>b. 5.

Great interest of money, and great profits of trade. are an infallible indication, that industry and commerce are but in their infancy. We read in Lysias \* of 100 per cent. profit made of a cargo of two talents, fent to no greater distance than from ATHENS to the ADRIA-TIC: Nor is this mentioned as an instance of exorbitant profit. Antidorus, fays Demosthenes t, paid three talents and a half for a house, which he let at a talent a-year: And the orator blames his own tutors for not employing his money to like advantage. My fortune, favs he, in eleven years minority, ought to have been tripled. The value of 20 of the flaves left by his father. he computes at 40 minas, and the yearly profit of their labour at 12 1. The most moderate interest at ATHENS. (for there was higher | often paid) was 12 per cent. &. and that paid monthly. Not to infift upon the exorbitant interest of 34 per cent. to which the vast sums distributed in elections had raifed money + at Rome, we find, that VERRES, before that factious period, stated 24 per cent. for money, which he left in the publicans hands. And the' CICERO declaims against this article, it is not on account of the extravagant usury; but because it had never been customary to state any interest on such occafions 11. Interest, indeed, sunk at Rome, after the settlement of the empire: But it never remained any confiderable time fo low, as in the commercial states of modern ages §§.

Among the other inconveniencies which the ATHE-NIANS felt from the fortifying DECELIA by the LACE-DEMONIANS, it is represented by THUCYDIDES ++, as

```
* Orat 33. adverf. DIAGIT.
† Contra APHOB. p. 25. ex edit. ALDI.
1 Id. p. 19.
                           | Id. ibid.
& Id. ibid. and ÆSCHINES contra CTESIPH.
4 Epift, ad ATTIC. lib. 5. epift. 21.
                                §§ See Effay IV.
11 Contra VERR. orat. 3.
                                                       †† Lib. 7.
                                                                one
```

one of the most considerable, that they could not bring over their corn from Eubea by land, passing by Oropus; but were obliged to embark it, and to sail about the promontory of Sunium. A surprising instance of the impersection of antient navigation: For the water-carriage is not here above double the land.

I do not remember any passage in any antient author, where the growth of any city is ascribed to the establishment of a manufacture. The commerce which is faid to flourish, is chiefly the exchange of those commodities for which different foils and climates were fuited. fale of wine and oil into AFRICA, according to DIODO-RUS SICULUS\*, was the foundation of the riches of AGRIGENTUM. The fituation of the city of Sybaris. according to the same author +, was the cause of its immense populousness: being built near the two rivers CRATHYS and SYBARIS. But these two rivers, we may observe, are not navigable; and could only produce some fertile valleys, for agriculture and husbandry; an advantage so inconsiderable, that a modern writer would fcarcely have taken notice of it.

The barbarity of the antient tyrants, together with the extreme love of liberty, which animated those ages, must have banished every merchant and manufacturer; and have quite depopulated the state, had it subsisted upon industry and commerce. While the cruel and suspicious Dionysius was carrying on his butcheries, who, that was not detained by his landed property, and could have carried with him any art or skill to procure a subsistence in other countries, would have remained exposed to such implacable barbarity? The persecutions of Philip II. and Lewis XIV. filled all Europe with the manufacturers of Flanders and of France.

I grant, that agriculture is the species of industry which is chiefly requifite to the subsistence of multitudes: and it is possible, that this industry may flourish, even where manufactures and other arts are unknown and neglected. Swisserland is at present a very remarkable instance; where we find, at once, the most skilful husbandmen and the most bungling tradesmen, that are to be met with in all EUROPE. That agriculture flourished in GREECE and ITALY, at least in some parts of them. and at some periods, we have reason to presume: And whether the mechanical arts had reached the fame degree of perfection, may not be esteemed so material; especially, if we confider the great equality in the antient republics, where each family was obliged to cultivate, with the greatest care and industry, its own little field, in order to its subsistence.

But is it just reasoning, because agriculture may, in some instances, shourish without trade or manufactures, to conclude, that, in any great extent of country, and for any great tract of time, it would subsist alone? The most natural way, surely, of encouraging husbandry, is, sirst, to excite other kinds of industry, and thereby afford the labourer a ready market for his commodities, and a return of such goods as may contribute to his pleasure and enjoyment. This method is infallible and universal; and as it prevails more in modern government than in the antient, it affords a presumption of the superior populousness of the former.

Every man, fays Xenophon †, may be a farmer: No art or skill is requisite: All consists in the industry, and attention to the execution. A strong proof, as Colu-Mella hints, that agriculture was but little known in the age of Xenophon.

† Occon.

All our latter improvements and refinements, have they operated nothing towards the easy subsistence of men, and consequently towards their propagation and increase? Our superior skill in mechanics, the discovery of new worlds, by which commerce has been so much enlarged, the establishment of posts, and the use of bills of exchange: These seem all extremely useful to the encouragement of art, industry, and populousness. Were we to strike off these, what a check should we give to every kind of business and labour, and what multitudes of families would immediately perish from want and hunger? And it seems not probable, that we could supply the place of these new inventions by any other regulation or institution.

Have we reason to think, that the police of antient states was any wise comparable to that of modern, or that men had then equal security, either at home, or in their journies by land or water? I question not, but every impartial examiner would give us the preference in this particular \*.

Thus, upon comparing the whole, it feems impossible to assign any just reason, why the world should have been more populous in antient than in modern times. The equality of property among the antients, liberty, and the small divisions of their states, were indeed favourable to the propagation of mankind: But their wars were more bloody and destructive, their governments more factious and unsettled, commerce and manufactures more feeble and languishing, and the general police more loose and irregular. These latter disadvantages seem to form a sufficient counterbalance to the former advantages; and rather favour the opposite opinion to that which commonly prevails with regard to this subject.

But there is no reasoning, it may be said, against matter of fact. If it appear, that the world was then more populous than at prefent, we may be affured, that our conjectures are false, and that we have overlooked some material circumstance in the comparison. This I readily own: All our preceding reasonings, I acknowledge to be mere trifling, or, at least, small skirmishes and frivolous rencounters, which decide nothing. But unluckily the main combat, where we compare facts, cannot be rendered much more decifive. The facts delivered by antient authors, are either fo uncertain or fo imperfect as to afford us nothing politive in this matter. How indeed could it be otherwise? The very facts which we must oppose to them, in computing the greatness of modern states, are far from being either certain or compleat. Many grounds of calculation, proceeded on by celebrated writers, are little better than those of the Emperor HELIOGABALUS, who formed an estimate of the immense greatness of Rome, from ten thousand pound weight of cobwebs which had been found in that city +.

Tis to be remarked, that all kinds of numbers are uncertain in antient manuscripts, and have been subject to much greater corruptions than any other part of the text; and that for a very obvious reason. Any alteration, in other places, commonly affects the sense or grammar, and is more readily perceived by the reader and transcriber.

Few enumerations of inhabitants have been made of any tract of country by any antient author of good authority, so as to afford us a large enough view for comparison.

'Tis probable, that there was formerly a good foundation for the number of citizens affigned to any free city; because they entered for a share of the government, and

† ÆLII LAMPRID. in vita HELIOGAB. cap. 26.

there were exact registers kept of them. But as the number of slaves is seldom mentioned, this leaves us in as great uncertainty as ever, with regard to the populousness even of single cities.

The first page of THUCYDIDES is, in my opinion, the commencement of real history. All preceding narrations are so intermixed with fable, that philosophers ought to abandon them, in a great measure, to the embellishment of poets and orators \*.

With regard to remote times, the numbers of people affigned are often ridiculous, and lose all credit and authority. The free citizens of Sybaris, able to bear arms, and actually drawn out in battle, were 300,000. They encountred at Siagra with 100,000 citizens of Crotona, another Greek city contiguous to them; and were defeated. This is Diodorus Siculus's † account; and is very seriously insisted on by that historian. Strabo ‡ also mentions the same number of Sybarites.

DIODORUS SICULUS §, enumerating the inhabitants of AGRIGENTUM, when it was destroyed by the CARTHAGINIANS, says, that they amounted to 20,000 citizens, 200,000 strangers, besides slaves, who, in so opulent a city as he represents it, would probably be, at

\* In general, there is more candour and fincerity in antient historians, but less exactness and care, than in the moderns. Our speculative factions, especially those of religion, throw such an illusion over our minds, that men feem to regard impartiality to their adversaries and to heretics, as a vice or weakness: But the commonness of books, by means of printing, has obliged modern historians to be more careful in avoiding contradictions and incongruities. Diodorus Siculus is a good writer; but 'tis with pain I fee his narration contradict, in so many particulars, the two most authentic pieces of all Greek history, viz. Xenophon's expedition, and Demostrenes's orations. Plutarch and Appian seem scarce ever to have read Cicero's epistles.

† Lib. 12.

1 Lib. 6.

§ Lib. 13.

least,

least, as numerous. We must remark, that the women and the children are not included; and that therefore, upon the whole, the city must have contained near two millions of inhabitants \*. And what was the reason of so immense an increase! They were very industrious in cultivating the neighbouring fields, not exceeding a small English county; and they traded with their wine and oil to Africa, which, at that time, had none of these commodities.

PTOLEMY, fays THEOCRITUS †, commands 33,339 cities. I suppose the singularity of the number was the reason of affigning it. DIODORUS SICULUS ‡ affigns three millions of inhabitants to ÆGYPT, a very small number: But then he makes the number of their cities amount to 18,000: An evident contradiction.

He fays ||, the people were formerly seven millions. Thus remote times are always most envied and admired.

That XERXES'S army was extremely numerous, I can readily believe; both from the great extent of his empire, and from the foolish practice of the Eastern nations, of encumbering their camp with a superfluous multitude: But will any rational man cite HERODOTUS'S wonderful narrations as an authority? There is something very rational, I own, in Lysias's & argument upon this subject. Had not XERXES'S army been incredibly numerous, says he, he had never built a bridge over the Hellespont: It had been much easier to have transported his men over so short a passage, with the numerous shipping of which he was master.

POLYBIUS | fays, that the ROMANS, between the first and second Punic wars, being threatened with an

<sup>\*</sup> DIOGENES LARRTIUS (in vita EMPEDOCLIS) fays, that AGRIGENTUM contained only 800,000 inhabitants.

<sup>†</sup> Idyll. 17. 1 Lib. 1. | Id. ibid.

<sup>§</sup> Orat, funebris, \( \preceq \text{Lib. 2.} \)
\( \text{G g 4} \)

invasion from the Gauls, mustered all their own forces, and those of their allies, and found them amount to seven hundred thousand men able to bear arms. A great number surely, and which, when joined to the slaves, is probably not less, if not rather more than that extent of country affords at present\*. The enumeration too seems to have been made with some exactness: and Polybius gives us the detail of the particulars. But might not the number be magnified, in order to encourage the people.

DIODORUS SICULUS †, makes the same enumeration amount to near a million. These variations are suspicious. He plainly too supposes, that ITALY in his time was not so populous: Another very suspicious circumstance. For who can believe, that the inhabitants of that country diminished from the time of the first Punic war to that of the triumvirates?

JULIUS CÆSAR, according to APPIAN<sup>‡</sup>, encountered four millions of GAULS, killed one million, and took another million prisoners ||. Supposing the number of the enemy's army and of the killed could be exactly assigned, which never is possible; how could it be known how often the same man returned into the armies, or how distinguish the new from the old levied soldiers? No attention ought ever to be given to such loose, exaggerated calculations; especially where the author tells us not the mediums upon which the calculations were founded.

PATERCULUS § makes the number killed by CÆSAR amount only to 400,000: A much more probable ac-

<sup>\*</sup> The country that supplied this number, was not above a third of ITALY viz. the Pope's dominions, Tuscany, and a part of the kingdom of Naples: But perhaps in those early times there were very sew slaves, except in Rome, or the great cities. † Lib. 2. † Celtica.

<sup>||</sup> PLUTARCH (in vita CES.) makes the number that CESAR fought with amount only to three millions. JULJAN. (in CESABIBUS) to two.

<sup>§</sup> Lib. 2. cap. 47.

count, and more easily reconciled to the history of these wars given by that conqueror himself in his Commentaties.

One would imagine, that every circumstance of the life and actions of DIONYSIUS the elder might be regarded as authentic, and free from all fabulous exaggeration; both because he lived at a time when letters flourished most in GREECE, and because his chief historian was PHILISTUS, a man allowed to be of great genius, and who was a courtier and minister of that prince. But can we admit, that he had a flanding army of 100,000 foot, 10,000 horse, and a fleet of 400 gallies \*? These, we may observe, were mercenary forces, and subsisted upon their pay, like our armies in EUROPE. For the citizens were all difarmed; and when DION afterwards invaded SICILY, and called on his countrymen to vindicate their liberty, he was obliged to bring arms along with him, which he distributed among those who joined him +, In a state where agriculture alone flourishes, there may be many inhabitants; and if these be all armed and disciplined, a great force may be called out upon occasion: But great numbers of mercenary troops can never be maintained without either trade and manufactures, or very extensive dominions. The United Provinces never were mafters of fuch a force by fea and land, as that which is faid to belong to DIONYSIUS; yet they possess as large a territory, perfectly well cultivated, and have infinitely more resources from their commerce and industry. DIODORUS SICULUS allows, that, even in his time, the army of Dionysius appeared incredible; that is, as I interpret it, it was entirely a fiction, and the opinion arose from the exaggerated flattery of the courtiers, and perhaps from the vanity and policy of the tyrant himself.

<sup>9</sup> DIOD. Szc. lib. 2.

'Tis a very usual fallacy, to consider all the ages of antiquity as one period, and to compute the numbers contained in the great cities mentioned by antient authors, as if these cities had been all cotemporary. The GREEK colonies slourished extremely in SICILY during the age of ALEXANDER: But in AUGUSTUS's time they were so decayed, that almost all the product of that sertile island was consumed in Italy \*.

Let us now examine the numbers of inhabitants affigned to particular cities in antiquity; and omitting the numbers of Nineveh, Babylon, and the Egyptian Thebes, let us confine ourselves to the sphere of real history, to the Grecian and Roman states. I must own, the more I consider this subject, the more am I inclined to scepticism, with regard to the great populousness ascribed to antient times.

ATHENS is said by PLATO † to be a very great city; and it was surely the greatest of all the GREEK ‡ cities, except Syracuse, which was nearly about the same size in Thucydides's || time, and afterwards increased beyond it. For Cicero § mentions it as the greatest of all the Greek cities in his time; not comprehending, I suppose, either Antioch or Alexandria under that denomination. Athenæus † says, that, by the enumeration of Demetrius Phalereus, there were in Athens 21,000 citizens, 10,000 strangers, and 400,000 slaves. This number is very much insisted on by those

<sup>\*</sup> Strabo, lib 6.

<sup>+</sup> Apolog. Sock.

<sup>‡</sup> Argos feems also to have been a great city: For Lysias contents himfelf with faying that it did not exceed Athens. Orat. 24.

Lib. 6. See also PLUTARCH in vita NICIE.

<sup>§</sup> Orat. contra Verrem, lib. 4. cap. 52. Strabo, lib. 6, fays it was twenty two miles in compais. But then we are to confider, that it contained two harbours within it; one of which was a very large one, and might be regarded as a kind of bay.

<sup>+</sup> Lib. 6. cap. 20.

whose opinion I call in question, and is esteemed a fundamental sact to their purpose: But, in my opinion, there is no point of criticism more certain, than that ATHENEUS, and CTESICLES, whom he cites, are here mistaken, and that the number of slaves is augmented by a whole cypher, and ought not to be regarded as more than 40,000.

First, When the number of citizens is faid to be 21,000 by ATHENÆUS\*, men of full age are only understood. For, (1.) HERODOTUS says +, that ARISTAGO-RAS, ambassador from the longains, found it harder to deceive one Spartan than 30,000 Athenians; meaning, in a loofe way, the whole state, supposed to be met in one popular affembly, excluding the women and chil-(2.) THUCYDIDES I fays, that, making allowance for all the absentees in the fleet, army, garrisons, and for people employed in their private affairs, the ATHE-NIAN affembly never role to five thousand. (3.) The forces enumerated by the fame historian &, being all citizens, and amounting to 13,000 heavy-armed infantry, prove the fame method of calculation; as also the whole tenor of the GREEK historians, who always understand men of full age, when they affign the number of citizens in any republic. Now, these being but the fourth of the inhabitants, the free ATHENIANS were by this account 84,000; the strangers 40,000; and the slaves, calculating by the smaller number, and allowing that they married and propagated at the same rate with freemen, were 160,000; and the whole inhabitants 284,000: A large enough number furely. The other number, 1,720,000 makes ATHENS larger than London and Paris united.

Secondly, There were but 10,000 houses in ATHENS |,

Demostheres affigns 20,000; contra Aristog.
† Lib. 5.
† Lib. 8.

<sup>§</sup> Lib. 2. Diodorus Siculus's account perfee ly agrees, lib. 12.

XENOPHON Mem. lib. 2.

Thirdly, Tho' the extent of the walls, as given us by THUCYDIDES \*, be great, (viz. eighteen miles, beside the sea-coast): yet XENOPHON + says, there was much waste ground within the walls. They seemed indeed to have joined four distinct and separate cities ‡.

Fourthly, No infurrection of the flaves, nor suspicion of insurrection, are ever mentioned by historians; except one commotion of the miners §,

Fifthly, The ATHENIANS treatment of their flaves is faid by XENOPHON ||, and DEMOSTHENES \*\*, and PLAUTUS ††, to have been extremely gentle and indulgent: Which could never have been the case, had the disproportion been twenty to one. The disproportion is not so great in any of our colonies; and yet we are obliged to exercise a very rigorous military government over the negroes.

Sixthly, No man is ever esteemed rich for possessing what may be reckoned an equal distribution of property in any country, or even triple or quadruple that wealth. Thus every person in England is computed by some to spend six-pence a-day: Yet is he estimated but poor who has five times that sum. Now Timarchus is said by Æschines ‡‡ to have been left in easy circumstances;

#### \* Lib. 2.

#### + De ratione red.

T We are to observe, that when DIONYSIUS HALICARNASSEUS says, that if we regard the antient walls of Rome, the extent of the city will not appear greater than that of ATHENS; he must mean the ACROPOLIS and high town only. No antient author ever speaks of the PYREUM, PHALERUS, and MUNYCHIA, as the same with ATHENS. Much less can it be supposed, that DIONYSIUS would consider the matter in that light, after the walls of CIMON and PERICLES were destroyed, and ATHENS was entirely separated from these other towns. This observation destroys all Vossius's reasonings, and introduces common sense into these calculations.

§ ATHEN. lib. 6.

De rep. ATHEN.

\*\* PHILIP. 3.

II Contra TIMARCH.

but he was master only of ten slaves employed in manufactures. Lysias and his brother, two strangers, were proscribed by the thirty for their great riches; tho' they had but fixty a-piece \*. Demosthenes was lest very rich by his father; yet he had no more than fifty-two slaves †. His workhouse, of twenty cabinet-makers, is said to be a very considerable manufactory ‡.

Seventhly, During the DECELIAN war, as the GREEK historians call it, 20,000 slaves deserted, and brought the ATHENIANS to great distress, as we learn from THUCYDIDES §. This could not have happened, had they been only the twentieth part. The best slaves would not desert.

Eighthly, XENOPHON | proposes a scheme for entertaining by the public 10,000 slaves: And that so great a number may possibly be supported, any one will be convinced, says he, who considers the numbers we possessed before the Decelian war. A way of speaking altogether incompatible with the larger number of ATHENEUS.

Ninthly, The whole census of the state of Athens was less than 6000 talents. And the numbers in antient manuscripts be often suspected by critics, yet this is unexceptionable; both because Demosthenes ¶, who gives it, gives also the detail, which checks him; and because Polybius ‡‡ assigns the same number, and reasons upon it. Now, the most vulgar slave could yield by his labour an obolus a day, over and above his maintenance, as we learn from Xenophon ††, who says, that Nicias's overseer paid his master so much for slaves, whom he employed in digging of mines. If you will

\* Orat. 11. † Contra Арнов. ‡ Ibid. § Lib. 7. || De rat. red. ¶ De classibus. ‡‡ Lib. 2. cap. 62. †† De rat. red. take the pains to estimate an obolus a day, and the slaves at 400,000, computing only at sour years purchase, you will find the sum above 12,000 talents; even tho' allowance be made for the great number of holidays in ATHENS. Besides, many of the slaves would have a much greater value from their art. The lowest that DEMOSTHENES estimates any of his \* father's slaves, is two minas a-head. And upon this supposition, it is a little difficult, I consess, to reconcile even the number of 40,000 slaves with the census of 6000 talents.

Tenthly, Chios is faid by Thucydides †, to contain more flaves than any Greek city, except Sparta. Sparta then had more than Athens, in proportion to the number of citizens. The Spartans were 9000 in the town, 30,000 in the country ‡. The male flaves, therefore, of full age, must have been more than 780,000; the whole more than 3,120,000. A number impossible to be maintained in a narrow barren country, such as Laconia, which had no trade. Had the Helotes been fo very numerous, the murder of 2000 mentioned by Thucydides §, would have irritated them, without weakening them.

Besides, we are to consider, that the number affigned by ATHENEUS ||, whatever it is, comprehends all the inhabitants of ATTICA, as well as those of ATHENS. The ATHENIANS affected much a country life, as we learn from THUCYDIDES ¶; and when they were all

He fame author affirms, that Corinth had once 460,000 flaves, ÆGINA 470,000. But the foregoing arguments hold stronger against these facts, which are indeed entirely absurd and impossible. 'Tis however remarkable, that ATHENÆUS cites so great an authority as ARISTOTLE for this last fact: And the scholiast on PINDAR mentions the same number of slaves in ÆGINA.

chased into town, by the invasion of their territory during the Peloponnesian war, the city was not able to contain them; and they were obliged to lie in the portices, temples, and even streets, for want of lodging \*.

The fame remark is to be extended to all the other GREEK cities; and when the number of the citizens is affigned, we must always understand it of the inhabitants of the neighbouring country, as well as of the city. Yet, even with this allowance, it must be confessed, that GREECE was a populous country, and exceeded what we could imagine of so narrow a territory, naturally not very fertile, and which drew no supplies of corn from other places. For excepting ATHENS, which traded to Pontus for that commodity, the other cities seem to have subsisted chiefly from their neighbouring territory.

RHODES is well known to have been a city of extenfive commerce, and of great fame and splendor; yet it contained only 6000 citizens able to bear arms, when it was besieged by DEMETRIUS ‡.

THEBES was always one of the capital cities of GREECE §: But the number of its citizens exceeded not those of Rhodes ||. Phliasia is said to be a small city by

#### \* THUCYD. lib. z.

† Demost. contra Left. The Athenians brought yearly from Pontus 400,000 medimni or bushels of corn, as appeared from the custom-house books. And this was the greatest part of their importation. This by the by is a strong proof that there is some great mistake in the foregoing passage of Athenæus. For Attica itself was so barren in corn, that it produced not enough even to maintain the peasants. Tit. Liv. lib. 43. cap. 6. Lucian, in his navigium five wota, says, that a ship, which by the dimensions he gives, seems to have been about the size of our third rates, carried as much corn as would maintain all Attica for a twelvemonth. But perhaps Athens was decayed at that time; and besides, it is not safe to trust such loose thetorical calculations.

I DIOD. SIC. lib. 20. § Isock. paneg.

DIOD. Sic. lib. 17. When ALEXANDER attacked THEBES, we may fafely conclude, that almost all the inhabitants were present. Whoever is

by Xenophon \*, yet we find, that it contained 6000 citizens †. I pretend not to reconcile these two sacts. Perhaps, Xenophon calls Phliasia a small town, because it made but a small figure in Greece, and maintained only a subordinate alliance with Sparta; or perhaps the country belonging to it, was extensive, and most of the citizens were employed in the cultivation of it, and dwelt in the neighbouring villages.

MANTINEA was equal to any city in Arcadia ‡:
Consequently it was equal to Megalopolis, which was fifty stadia or fixty miles and a quarter in circumference §. But Mantinea had only 3000 citizens ||. The Greek cities, therefore, contained often fields and gardens, together with the houses; and we cannot judge of them by the extent of their walls. Athens contained no more than 10,000 houses; yet its walls, with the sea-coast, were about twenty miles in extent. Syracuse was twenty-two miles in circumference; yet was scarce ever

acquainted with the spirit of the GREEKS, especially of the THEBANS, will never suspect, that any of them would desert their country, when it was reduced to such extreme peril and diffress. As ALEXANDER took the town by fform, all those who bore arms were put to the sword without mercy; and they amounted only to 6000 men. Among these were some strangers and manumitted flaves. The captives, confifting of old men, women, children, and flaves, were fold, and they amounted to 30,000. We may therefore conclude that the free citizens in THEBES, of both fexes and all ages, were near 24,000; the strangers and slaves about 12,000. These last, we may observe, were somewhat fewer in proportion than at ATHENS; as is reasonable to imagine from this circumstance, that ATHENS was a town of more trade to support slaves, and of more entertainment to allure strangers. It is also to be remarked, that thirty-fix thousand was the whole number of people, both in the city of THEBES, and the neighbouring territory: A very moderate number, it must be confessed; and this computation being founded in facts which appear undisputable, must have great weight in the present controversy. The above mentioned number of RHODIANS too were all the inhabitants of the ifland, who were free, and able to bear arms.

<sup>■</sup> Hift, GREC. lib. 7. † Id. lib. 7. ‡ Росув. 16, 2.

<sup>§</sup> POLYB. lib. 9. cap. 20. | Lysias, orat. 34.

fpoke of by the antients as more populous than ATHENS. BABYLON was a fquare of fifteen miles, or fixty miles in circuit; but it contained large cultivated fields and inclosures, as we learn from PLINY. Tho' AURELIAN'S wall was fifty miles in circumference \*; the circuit of all the thirteen divisions of Rome, taken apart, according to PUBLIUS VICTOR, was only about forty-three miles. When an enemy invaded the country, all the inhabitants retired within the walls of the antient cities, with their cattle and furniture, and instruments of husbandry; and the great height to which the walls were raised, enabled a small number to defend them with facility.

SPARTA, fays XENOPHON †, is one of the cities of GREECE that has the fewest inhabitants. Yet Poly-Bius ‡ says, that it was forty-eight stadia in circumference, and was round.

All the ÆTOLIANS able to bear arms in ANTIPATER'S time, deducting some few garrisons, were but ten thou-fand men §.

POLYBIUS || tel's us, that the ACHÆAN league might, without any inconvenience, march 30 or 40,000 men: And this account feems very probable: For that league comprehended the greatest part of PELOPONNESUS. Yet PAUSANIAS ¶, speaking of the same period, says, that all the ACHÆANS able to bear arms, even when several manumitted slaves were joined to them, did not amount to fifteen thousand.

The Thessalians, till their final conquest by the ROMANS, were, in all ages, turbulent, factious, seditious, disorderly 1. 'Tis not therefore natural to sup-

<sup>\*</sup> Vopiscus in vita Aurel.

<sup>†</sup> De rep. Laced. This passage is not easily reconciled with that of Prutarch above, who says, that Sparta had 9000 citizens.

<sup>1</sup> POLYB. lib. q. cap. 20.

DIOD. Sic. lib. 18. LEGAT. ¶ In ACHAICIS.

<sup>4</sup> TIT. LIV. lib. 34. cap. ST. PLATO in CRITONE.

Vol. I. Hh pose,

pose, that that part of GREECE abounded much in people.

We are told by THUCYDIDES\*, that the part of PE-LOPONNESUS adjoining to PYLOS, was defart and uncultivated. HERODOTUS fays †, that MACEDONIA was full of lions and wild bulls; animals which can only inhabit vast unpeopled forests. These were the two extremities of GREECE.

All the inhabitants of EPIRUS, of all ages, fexes and conditions, who were fold by PAULUS ÆMILIUS, amounted only to 150,000 †. Yet EPIRUS might be double the extent of YORKSHIRE.

JUSTIN & tells us, that when PHILIP of MACEDON was declared head of the GREEK confederacy, he called a congress of all the states, except the LACEDEMO-NIANS, who refused to concur; and he found the force of the whole, upon computation, to amount to 200,000 infantry, and 15,000 cavalry. This must be understood to be all the citizens capable of bearing arms. For as the GREEK republics maintained no mercenary forces, and had no militia diffinct from the whole body of the citizens, it is not conceivable what other medium there could be of computation. That fuch an army could ever by GREECE be brought into the field, and be maintained there, is contrary to all history. Upon this supposition, therefore, we may thus reason. GREEKS of all ages and fexes were 860,000. The flaves, estimating them by the number of ATHENIAN flaves as above, who feldom married or had families, were double the male citizens of full age, viz. 430,000. And all the inhabitants of antient Greece, excepting LACONIA, were about one million two hundred and

\* Lib. 7. † Lib. 7. † Lib. 7. † Lib. 9. cap. 54.

nincty

ninety thousand: No mighty number, nor exceeding what may be found at present in Scotland, a country of nearly the same extent, and very indifferently peopled.

We may now consider the numbers of people in Rome and ITALY, and collect all the lights afforded us by scattered passages in antient authors. We shall find, upon the whole, a great difficulty in fixing any opinion on that head; and no reason to support those exaggerated calculations, so much insisted on by modern writers.

DIONYSIUS HALLICARNASSÆUS \* fays, that the antient walls of Rome were nearly of the fame compass with those of Athens, but that the suburbs ran out to a great extent; and it was difficult to tell, where the town ended or the country begun. In some places of Rome, it appears, from the same author †, from Juvenal‡, and from other antient writers §, that the houses were high, and samilies lived in separate storeys, one above another: But it is probable, that these were only the poorer citizens, and only in some few streets. If we may judge from the younger Pliny's || account of his house,

### \* Lib. 4. † Lib. 10. ‡ Satyr. 3. 1. 269, 270.

§ STRABO, lib. 5. fays, that the emperor Augustus prohibited the raifing houses higher than seventy foot. In another passage, lib. 16, he speaks of the houses of Rome as remarkably high. See also to the same purpose Vitruvius, lib. 2, cap. 8. Aristides the sophist, in his oration εις Ρωμην, says, that Rome confissed of cities on the top of cities; and that if one were to spread it out, and unfold it, it would cover the whole surface of Italy. Where an author indulges himself in such extravagant declamations, and gives so much into the hyperbolical style, one knows not how far he must be reduced. But this reasoning seems natural: If Rome was built in so scattered a manner as Dionysius says, and ran so much into the country, there must have been very few fireets where the houses were raised so high. 'Tis only for want of ground, that any body builds in that inconvenient manner.

| Lib. 2. epift. 16. lib. 5. epift. 6. 'Tis true, PLINY there describes a country-house: But since that was the idea which the ancients formed of

house, and from BARTOLI's plans of antient buildings, the men of quality had very spacious palaces; and their buildings were like the Chinese houses at this day, where each apartment is separated from the rest, and rises no higher than a fingle storey. To which if we add, that the ROMAN nobility much affected very extensive porticoes, and even woods \* in town; we may perhaps allow Vossius (tho' there is no manner of reason for it) to read the famous passage of the elder PLINY + his own way without admitting the extravagant confequences which he draws from it.

The

a magnificent and convenient building, the great men would certainly build the fame way in town. " In laxitatem ruris exourrunt," fays SENECA of the rich and voluptuous, epift. 114. VALERIUS MAXIMUS, lib. 4. cap. 4. speaking of CINCINNATUS's field of four acres, says, "Auguste se " habitare nunc putat, cujus domus tantum patet quantum CINCINNATI " rura patuerant." To the same purpose see lib. 36. cap. 15. also lib. 18. cap. z.

\* VITRUV. lib. 5. cap. 11. TACIT. annal. lib. 11. cap. 3. SUETON. in vita OCTAV. cap. 72, &c.

† " Mænia ejus (Romæ) collegere ambitu imperatoribus, cenforibusque " VESPASIANIS, A. U. C. 828. paff. xiii. MCC. complexa montes " feptem, ipsa dividitur in regiones quatuordecim, compita earum 265. 66 Ejustem spatii mensura, currente a milliario in capite Rom. Fori statuto, of ad fingulas portas, quæ funt hodie numero 37, ita ut duodecim portæ fe-" mel numerentur, prætereanturque ex veteribus septem, quæ esse defie-46 runt, efficit passum per directum 30,775. Ad extrema vero tecto-" rum cum castris prætoris ab eodem Milliario, per vicos omnium " viarum, mensura collegit paulo amplius septuaginta milia passuum. Quo " fi quis altitudinem tectorum addat, dignam profecto, æstimationem con-" cipiat, fateaturque nullius urbis manitudinem in toto orbe potnisse ei " comparari." PLIN. lib. 3. cap. 5.

All the best manuscripts of PLINY read the passage as here cited, and fix the compais of the walls of Rome to be thirteen miles. The question is, What PLINY means by 30,775 paces, and how that number was formed? The manner in which I conceive it, is this. Rome was a semicircular area of thirteen miles circumference. The Forum, and confequently the Millia. rium, we know, was fituated on the banks of the TYBER, and near the center of the circle, or upon the diameter of the femicircular area. Thothere were thirty-feven gates to Rome, yet only twelve of them had firaight

Arcets,

The number of citizens who received corn by the public diffribution in Augustus's time, were two hundred

streets, leading from them to the Milliarium. PLINY, therefore, having affigned the circumference of ROME, and knowing that that alone was not sufficient to give us a just notion of its surface, uses this farther method. He supposes all the streets, leading from the Milliarium to the twelve gates, to be laid together into one straight line, and supposes we run along that line, so as to count each gate once: In which case, he says, that the whole line is 30,775 paces: Or, in other words, that each street or radius of the semi-circular area is upon an average two miles and a half; and the whole length of Rome is five miles, and its breadth about half as much, besides the scattered suburbs.

PERE HARDOUIN understands this passage in the same manner; with regard to the laying together the several streets of Rome into one line, in order to compose 30,775 paces: But then he supposes, that streets led from the Millianium to every gate, and that no street exceeded 800 paces in length. But (1.) a semicircular area, whose radius was only 800 paces, could never have a circumference near thirteen miles, the compass of Rome as assigned by PLINY. A radius of two miles and a half forms very nearly that circumference. (2.) There is an absurdity in supposing a city so built as to have streets running to its center from every gate in its circumference. These streets must interfere as they approach. (3.) This diminishes too much from the greatness of ancient Rome, and reduces that city below even Bristol or Rotterdam.

The sense which Vossius in his Observationes variae puts on this passage of PLINY, errs widely in the other extreme. One manuscript, of no authority, instead of thirteen miles, has assigned thirty miles for the compass of the walls of Rome. And Vossius understands this only of the curvilinear part of the circumference; supposing, that as the TYBER formed the diameter, there were no walls built on that fide. But (1.) this reading is allowed contrary to almost all the manuscripts. (2.) Why should PLINY, a concise writer, repeat the compass of the walls of Rome in two successive sentences? (3.) Why repeat it with so sensible a variation? (4.) What is the meaning of PLINY's mentioning twice the MILLIARIUM. if a line was measured that had no dependence on the MILLIARIUM? (5.) Aurelian's wall is faid by Voriscus to have been drawn laxiore ambitu, and to have comprehended all the buildings and suburbs on the north fide of the TYBER; yet its compass was only fifty miles; and even here critics suspect some mistake or corruption in the text. It is not probable, that Rome would diminish from Augustus to Aurelian. It remained still the capital of the same empire; and none of the civil wars in that long period except the tumults on the death of MAXIMUS and

Hh 3

thousand\*. This one would esteem a pretty certain ground of calculation: Yet it is attended with such circumstances as throw us back into doubt and uncertainty.

Did the poorer citizens only receive the distribution? It was calculated, to be sure, chiefly for their benefit. But it appears from a passage in CICERO +, that the rich might also take their portion, and that it was esteemed no reproach in them to apply for it.

To whom was the corn given; whether only to heads of families, or to every man, woman, and child? The portion every month was five modii to each ‡, (about 5 fixths of a bushel.) This was too little for a family, and too much for an individual. A very accurate antiquarian ||, therefore, infers, that it was given to every man of full years: But he allows the matter to be uncertain.

BALBINUS, ever affected the city. CARACALLA is faid by AURELIUS VICTOR to have increased ROME. (6.) There are no remains of ancient buildings, which mark any such greatness of ROME. Vossius's reply to this objection seems absurd, That the rubbish would fink fixty or seventy feet below ground. It appears from Spartian (in vita Severi) that the five-mile stone in via Lavicana was out of the city. (7.) Olympio-Dorus and Publius Victor fix the number of houses in Rome to be betwirt forty and fifty thousand. (8.) The very extravagance of the consequences drawn by this critic, as well as Lipsius, if they be necessary, defroys the foundation on which they are grounded: That Rome contained fourteen millions of inhabitants; while the whole kingdom of France contains only five, according to his computation,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

The only objection to the sense which we have affixed above to the passe of Pliny, seems to lie in this, That Pliny, after mentioning the thirty-seven gates of Rome, assigns only a reason for suppressing the seven old ones, and says nothing of the eighteen gates, the streets leading from which terminated, according to my opinion, before they reached the Forum. But as Pliny was writing to the Romans, who persectly knew the disposition of the streets, it is not strange he should take a circumstance for granted, which was so familiar to every body. Perhaps too, many of these gates led to wharfs upon the river.

<sup>\*</sup> Ex monument. Ancyr. † Tusc. quast. lib. 3. cap. 48.

<sup>1</sup> Licinius apud Sallust. Eist. frag, lib. 3.

Nicolaus Hortensius de re frumentaria Roman.

Was it strictly inquired, whether the claimant lived within the precincts of Rome, or was it sufficient that he presented himself at the monthly distribution? This last seems more probable \*.

Were there no false claimants? We are told +, that CESAR struck off at once 170,000, who had crept in without a just title; and it is very little probable, that he remedied all abuses.

But, lastly, what proportion of slaves must we assign to these citizens? This is the most material question; and the most uncertain. 'Tis very doubtful, whether ATHENS can be established as a rule for Rome. Perhaps the ATHENIANS had more slaves, because they employed them in manufactures, for which a capital city, like Rome, seems not so proper. Perhaps, on the other hand, the Romans had more slaves, on account of their superior luxury and riches.

There were exact bills of mortality kept at Rome; but no antient author has given us the number of burials, except Suetonius; who tells us, that in one feason there were 30,000 names carried to the temple of Libetina: But this was during a plague; which can afford no certain foundation for any inference.

The public corn, tho' diffributed only to 200,000 citizens, affected very confiderably the whole agriculture of ITALY ||: A fact no way reconcilable to some modern exaggerations with regard to the inhabitants of that country.

Not to take the people too much from their business, Augustus ordained the distribution of corn to be made only thrice a-year: But the people finding the monthly distributions more convenient, (as preserving, I suppose, a more regular economy in their family) defined to have them restored. SUETON. August. cap. 40. Had not some of the people come from some distance for their corn, Augustus's precaution seems superstuous.

<sup>†</sup> Sueton. in Jul. cap. 41.

<sup>1</sup> In vita Neronis.

Sueton. Aug. cap. 42.

The best ground of conjecture I can find concerning the greatness of antient Rome, is this: We are told by Herodian\*, that Anticch and Alexandria were very little inserior to Rome. It appears from Diodorus Siculus †, that one straight street of Alexandria reaching from port to port, was five miles long; and as Alexandria was much more extended in length than breadth, it seems to have been a city nearly of the bulk of Paris; and Rome might be about the size of London.

There lived in ALEXANDRIA, in DIODORUS SICULUS'S time |, 300,000 free people, comprehending, I sup-

\* Lib. 4. cap. 5. † Lib. 1-.

† QUINTUS CURTIUS fays, its walls were only ten miles in circumference, when founded by ALEXANDER.; lib. 4. cap. 8. STRABO, who had travelled to ALEXANDRIA, as well as Dioporus Siculus, fays it was scarce four miles long, and in most places about a mile broad; lib. 17. PLI. MY fays it refembled a MACEDONIAN cassock, stretching out in the corners; lib. 5. cap. 10. Notwithstanding this bulk of ALEXANDRIA, which feems but moderate, Diodorus Siculus, speaking of its circuit as drawn by ALEXANDER, (which it never exceeded, as we learn from AMMIANUS MARCELLINUS, lib. 22. cap. 16.) faye it was unyever diapegorta, extremely great, ibid. The reason why he affigns for its surpassing all cities of the world (for he excepts not Rome) is, that it contained 300,000 free inhabitants. He also mentions the revenues of the kings, viz. 6000 talents, as another circumstance to the same purpose: No such mighty sum in our eyes even though we make allowances for the different value of money. What STRABO fays of the neighbouring country, means only that it was well peopled, ourguera nalog. Might not one affirm, without any great hyperbole, that the whole banks of the river from GRAVESEND to WINDson are one city? This is even more than STRABO fays of the banks of the lake MAREOTIS, and of the canal to CANOPUS. 'Tis a vulgar faying in ITALY, that the King of SARDINIA has but one town in PIED-MONT; for it is all a town. AGRIPPA in JOSEPHUS de bello JUDAIC. lib. z. cap. 16. to make his audience comprehend the excessive greatness of ALEXANDRIA, which he endeavours to magnify, describes only the compass of the city as drawn by ALEXANDER: A clear proof that the bulk of the inhabitants were ledged there, and that the neighbouring country was no more than what might be expected about all great towns, very well cultivated, and well peopled.

pose, women and children \*. But what number of slaves? Had we any just ground to fix these at an equal number with the free inhabitants, it would favour the foregoing calculation.

There is a passage in HERODIAN, which is a little surprising. He says positively, that the palace of the Emperor was as large as all the rest of the city t. This was NERO'S golden house, which is indeed represented by Suetonius ‡ and Pliny as of an enormous extent ||; but no power of imagination can make us conceive it to bear any proportion to such a city as London.

We may observe, that, had the historian been relating Nero's extravagance, and had he made use of such an expression, it would have had much less weight; these rhetorical exaggerations being so apt to creep into an author's style, even when the most chaste and correct. But 'tis mentioned by Herodian only by the by, in relating the quarrels between Geta and Caracalla.

- \* He fays ελευθεροι, not σολιται, which last expression must have been understood of citizens alone, and grown men.
- † Lib. 4. cap. 1. ωασης ωολίως. POLITIAN interprets it "ædibus ma"joribus etiam reliqua urbe."
- ‡ He says (in Nerone cap. 30.) that a portico or piazza of it was 3000 feet long; "tanta laxitas ut porticus triplices milliarias haberet." He cannot mean three miles. For the whole extent of the house from the Palatine to the Esquiline was not near so great. So when Vorisc. in Aureliano mentions a portico in Sallust's gardens, which he calls porticus milliariensis, it must be understood of a thousand seet. So also Hobertics;

" Nulla decempedis

Metata privatis opacam
Porticus excipiebat Arcton." Lib. 2. ode 15.

So also in lib. 1. Satyr. 8.

Mille pedes in fronte, trecentos cippus in agrum Hic dabat."

PLINIUS, lib. 36. cap. 15. "Bis vidimus urbem totam cingi domi"bus principum, CATI ac NERONIS."

It

It appears from the same historian\*, that there was then much land uncultivated, and put to no manner of use; and he ascribes it as a great praise to Pertinax, that he allowed every one to take such land either in ITALY or elsewhere, and cultivate it as he pleased, without paying any taxes. Lands uncultivated, and put to no manner of use! This is not heard of in any part of Christendom; except perhaps in some remote parts of Hungary; as I have been informed. And it surely corresponds very ill with that idea of the extreme populousness of antiquity, so much insisted on.

We learn from Vopiscus †, that there was in Etru-RIA much fertile land uncultivated, which the Emperor Aurelian intended to convert into vineyards, in order to furnish the Roman people with a gratuitous distribution of wine: A very proper expedient to dispeople still farther that capital and all the neighbouring territories.

It may not be amiss to take notice of the account which Polybius ‡ gives of the great herds of swine to be met with in Tuscany and Lombardy, as well as in Greece, and of the method of feeding them which was then practised. "There are great herds of swine," fays he, "throughout all Italy, particularly in former times, thro' Etruria and Cisalpine Gaul. And a herd frequently contains a thousand or more swine. When one of these herds in feeding meets with another, they mix together; and the swine-herds have no other expedient to separate them than to go to different quarters, where they sound their horn; and these animals, being accustomed to that signal, run immediately each to the horn of his own keeper.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Whereas in GREECE, if the herds of swine happen to mix in the forests, he who has the greatest flock, takes

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 2. cap. 15. † In Aurelian, cap. 48.

İ Lib. 12. cap. 2.

- cunningly the opportunity of driving all away. And thieves are very apt to purloin the stragglings hogs.
- " which have wandered to a great distance from their
- " keeper in fearch of food."

May we not infer from this account, that the north of ITALY was then much less peopled, and worse cultivated, than at present? How could these vast herbs be sed in a country so thick of inclosures, so improved by agriculture, so divided by farms, so planted with vines and corn intermingled together? I must confess, that Polybius's relation has more the air of that occonomy which is to be met with in our American colonies, than the management of an European country.

We meet with a reflection in ARISTOTLE's \* ethics, which feems to me unaccountable on any supposition, and by proving too much in favour of our present reasoning. may be thought really to prove nothing. That philosopher, treating of friendship, and observing, that that relation ought neither to be contracted to a very few, nor extended over a great multitude, illustrates his opinion by the following argument. "In like manner," fays he, "as a city cannot subsist, if it either have so few inhabitants as ten, or fo many as a hundred thousand; " fo is there a mediocrity required in the number of " friends; and you destroy the essence of friendship by " running into either extreme." What! impossible that a city can contain a hundred thousand inhabitants! Had ARISTOTLE never feen nor heard of a city which was near so populous? This, I must own, passes my comprehension.

PLINY + tells us that Seleucia, the seat of the Greek empire in the East, was reported to contain 600,000

Lib. 9. cap. 10. His expression is ανθέωπ., not σολιτης; inhabitant, not citizen.

<sup>+</sup> Lib. 6. cap. 28.

people. CARTHAGE is faid by STRABO \* to have con-The inhabitants of PEKIN are not tained 700,000. much more numerous. LONDON, PARIS, and CONSTAN-TINOPLE, may admit of nearly the fame computation; at least, the two latter cities do not exceed it. Rome, ALEX-ANDRIA, ANTIOCH, we have already spoke of. From the experience of past and present ages, one might conjecture, that there is a kind of impossibility, that any city could ever rife much beyond this proportion. Whether the grandeur of a city be founded on commerce or on empire, there feem to be invincible obstacles, which prevent its farther progress. The feats of vast monarchies, by introducing extravagant luxury, irregular expence, idleness, dependence, and false ideas of rank and supericrity, are improper for commerce. Extensive commerce checks itself, by raising the price of all labour and commodities. When a great court engages the attendance of a numerous nobility, possessed of overgrown fortunes, the middling gentry remain in their provincial towns, where they can make a figure on a moderate income. And if the dominions of a state arrive at an enormous fize, there necessarily arise many capitals, in the remoter provinces, whither all the inhabitants except a few courtiers, repair, for education, fortune, and amusement +. London, by uniting extensive commerce and middling empire, has, perhaps, arrived at a greatness, which no city will ever be able to exceed.

Chuse Dover or Calais for a center: Draw a circle of two hundred miles radius: You comprehend LONDON, Paris, the Netherlands, the United Provinces, and some of the best cultivated counties of France and

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 17.

<sup>†</sup> Such were Alexandria, Antioch, Carthage, Ephesus, Lyons, &c. in the Roman empire. Such are even Bourdeaux, Tholouse, Dijon, Rennes, Rouen, Aix, &c. in France; Dublin, Edinburgh, York, in the British dominions.

ENGLAND. It may fafely, I think, be affirmed that no fpot of ground can be found, in antiquity, of equal extent, which contained near fo many great and populous cities, and was fo stocked with riches and inhabitants. To balance, in both periods, the states, which possessed most art, knowlege, civility, and the best police, seems the truest method of comparison.

'Tis an observation of L'Abbe du Bos\*, that ITALY is warmer at present than it was in antient times. "The annals of Rome tell us," says he, "that in the year 480 ab U. C. the winter was so severe that it destroyed the trees. The Tyber froze in Rome, and the ground was covered with snow for forty days. When Juvenal + describes a superstitious woman, he represents her as breaking the ice of the Tyber, that she might perform her ablutions.

- " Hybernum fracta glacie descendet in amnem,
- " Ter matutino Tyberi mergetur.
- 46 He speaks of that river's freezing as a common event.
- Many passages of HORACE suppose the streets of Rome
- 66 full of snow and ice. We should have more cer-
- se tainty with regard to this point, had the antients
- known the use of thermometers: But their writers,
- " without intending it, give us information, sufficient
- to convince us, that the winters are now much more
- " temperate at Rome than formerly. At prefent, the
- "TYBER no more freezes at ROME than the NILE at
- <sup>66</sup> CAIRO. The ROMANS esteem the winter very ri-<sup>66</sup> gorous, if the snow lies two days, and if one sees for
- " eight and forty hours a few icicles hang from a foun-
- eight and forty hours a few icicles nang from a foun-
- " tain that has a north exposition.

The observation of this ingenious critic may be extended to other European climates. Who could dif-

\* Vol. 2, \$ 16.

cover the mild climate of France in Diodorus Siculus's \* description of that of Gaul? "As it is a "northern climate," says he, "it is infested with cold to an extreme degree. In cloudy weather, instead of rain, there sall great snows; and in clear weather it there freezes so excessive hard, that the rivers acquire bridges of their own substance, over which, not only single travellers may pass, but large armies, accompanied with all their baggage and loaded waggons. And there being many rivers in Gaul, the Rhine, &c. almost all of them are froze over; and 'tis usual, in order to prevent salling, to cover the ice with chaff and straw at the places where the road passes." Colder than a Gallic Winter, is used by Petronius, as a proverbial expression.

North of the CEVENNES, fays STRABO †, GAUL produces not figs and olives: And the vines, which have been planted, bear not grapes, that will ripen.

OVID positively maintains, with all the serious affirmation of prose, that the Euxine sea was frozen over every winter in his time; and he appeals to Roman governours, whom he names, for the truth of his affertion ‡. This seldom or never happens at present in the latitude of Tomi, whither Ovid was banished. All the complaints of the same poet seem to mark a rigour of the seasons, which is scarce experienced at present in Petersburg or Stockholm.

TOURNEFORT, a Provencal, who had travelled into the fame countries, observes, that there is not a finer climate in the world: And he afferts, that nothing but OVID's melancholy could have given him such dismal ideas of it.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 4. † Lib. 4.

<sup>1</sup> Trift. lib. 3. eleg, 9. De Ponto, lib 4 eleg. 7. 9, 10.

But the facts mentioned by that poet, are too circumflantial to bear any such interpretation.

POLYBIUS \* fays, that the climate in ARCADIA was very cold, and the air moift.

"ITALY," days VARRO+, "is the most temperate climate in EUROPE. The inland parts" (GAUL, GERMANY, and PANNONIA, no doubt) "have almost perfect petual winter."

The northern parts of SPAIN, according to STRABO ‡, are but ill inhabited, because of the great cold.

Allowing, therefore, this remark to be just, that Europe is become warmer than formerly; how can we account for it? Plainly, by no other method, but by supposing, that the land is at present much better cultivated, and that the woods are cleared, which formerly threw a shade upon the earth, and kept the rays of the sun from penetrating to it. Our northern colonies in America become more temperate, in proportion as the woods are selled \$; but in general, every one may remark, that cold still makes itself much more severely selt, both in North and South America, than in places under the same latitude in Europe.

SASERNA, quoted by COLUMELLA ||, affirmed, that the disposition of the heavens was altered before his time, and that the air had become much milder and warmer; as appears hence, says he, that many places now abound with vineyards and olive plantations, which formerly, by reafon of the rigour of the climate, could raise none of these

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 4. cap. 21. † Lib. 1. cap. 2. ‡ Lib. 3.

<sup>§</sup> The warm southern colonies also become more healthful: And 'tis remarkable, that in the SPANISH histories of the first discovery and conquest of these countries, they appear to have been very healthful; being then well peopled and cultivated. No account of the sickness or decay of Cortes's or PIZZARRO's small armies.

<sup>|</sup> Lib. 1. cap, 1.

productions. Such a change, if real, will be allowed an evident fign of the better cultivation and peopling of countries before the age of SASERNA; \* and if it be continued to the present times, is a proof, that these advantages have been continually increasing throughout this part of the world.

Let us now cast our eye over all the countries which were the scene of antient and modern history, and compare their past and present situation: We shall not, perhaps, find fuch foundation for the complaint of the present emptiness and depopulation of the world. ÆGYPT is represented by MAILLET, to whom we owe the best account of it, as extremely populous; tho' he esteems the number of its inhabitants to be diminished. Syria, and the Lesser Asia, as well as the coast of Barbary, I can really own, to be very defart in comparison of their antient condition. The depopulation of GREECE is also But whether the country now called very obvious. TURKY in EUROPE may not, in general, contain as many inhabitants as during the flourishing period of GREECE, may be a little doubtful. The THRACIANS feem then to have lived like the TARTARS at present, by pasturage and plunder +: The GETES were still more uncivilized 1: And the ILLYRIANS were no better §. These occupy nine tenths of that country: And tho' the government of the Turks be not very favourable to industry. and propagation; yet it preserves at least peace and order among the inhabitants; and is preferable to that barbarous, unfettled condition in which they antiently lived.

POLAND and Muscovy in Europe are not populous; but are certainly much more so than the antient SARMA-

<sup>\*</sup> He feems to have lived about the time of the younger Africanus; lib. 1. cap. 1.

<sup>†</sup> Xenoph. exp. lib. 7. Polyb. lib. 4. cap. 45.

I Ovid. passim, &c. Strabo, lib. 7. § Polyb. lib. 2. cap. 12.

TIA and SCYTHIA; where no husbandry or tillage was ever heard of, and pasturage was the fole art by which the people were maintained. The like observation may be extended to DENMARK and SWEDEN. No one ought to esteem the immense swarms of people, which formerly came from the North, and over-ran all EUROPE, to be any objection to this opinion. Where a whole nation, or even half of it remove their feat; 'tis easy to imagine, what a prodigious multitude they must form; with what desperate valour they must make their attacks; and how the terror they strike into the invaded nations will make these magnify, in their imagination, both the courage and multitude of the invaders. SCOTLAND is neither extenfive nor populous; but were the half of its inhabitants to feek new feats, they would form a colony as large as the TEUTONS and CIMBRI; and would fhake all EUROPE. fupposing it in no better condition for defence than formerly.

GERMANY has furely at present twenty times more inhabitants than in antient times, when they cultivated no ground, and each tribe valued itself on the extensive desolation which it spread around; as we learn from Cæsar\*, and Tacitus†, and Strabo‡. A proof, that the division into small republics will not alone render a nation populous, unless attended with the spirit of peace, order, and industry.

The barbarous condition of BRITAIN in former times is well known, and the thinness of its inhabitants may easily be conjectured, both from their barbarity, and from a circumstance mentioned by HERODIAN §, that all BRITAIN was marshy, even in SEVERUS'S time, after the ROMANS had been fully settled in it above a whole century.

<sup>\*</sup> De bello Gallico, lib. 6. † De moribus Germ. ‡ Lib. 7. § Lib. 3. cap. 47. Vol. I. Ii \*Tis

"Tis not easily imagined, that the Gauls were antiently much more advanced in the arts of life than their northern neighbours; fince they travelled to this island for their education in the mysteries of the religion and philosophy of the Druids\*. I cannot, therefore, think, that Gaul was then near so populous as France is at present.

Were we to believe, indeed, and join together the testimony of APPIAN, and that of Diodorus Siculus, we must admit an incredible populousness in GAUL. The former historian + fays, that there were 400 nations in that country; the latter I affirms, that the largest of the · GALLIC nations confifted of 200,000 men, besides women and children, and the least of 50,000. Calculating, therefore, at a medium, we must admit of near 200 millions of people, in a country, which we efteem populous at prefent, tho' supposed to contain little more than twenty &. Such calculations, therefore, by their extravagance lofe all manner of authority. We may observe, that that equality of property, to which the populousness of antiquity may be afcribed, had no place among the GAULS |. Their intestine wars also, before CÆSAR's time, were almost perpetual 4. And STRABO \*\* observes, that tho' all GAUL was cultivated, yet it was not cultivated with any skill or care; the genius of the inhabitants leading them less to arts than arms, till their flavery to ROME produced peace among themselves.

CÆSAR ‡‡ enumerates very particularly the great forces which were levied at Belgium to oppose his conquests; and makes them amount to 208,000. These were not

<sup>\*</sup> CESAR de bello Gallico; lib. 16. STRABO, lib. 7. fays, the GAULS were not much more improved than the GERMANS.

<sup>+</sup> Celt, pars 1. 1 Lib. 5.

Antient GAUL was more extensive than modern FRANCE.

<sup>[</sup> Cafar de bello Gailico ; lib &. 4 Id. ibid. \*\* Lib. 4.

<sup>11</sup> De bello Gallico; lib. 2.

the whole people able to bear arms in Belgium: For the fame historian tells us, that the BELLOVACI could have brought a hundred thousand men into the field, tho' they engaged only for fixty. Taking the whole, therefore, in this proportion of ten to fix, the fum of fighting men in all the states of BELGIUM was about 350,000; all the inhabitants a million and a half. And BELGTUM being about the fourth of GAUL, that country might contain fix millions, which is not the third of its prefent inhabitants †. We are informed by CESARS that the GAULS had no fixed property in land; but that the chieftains, when any death happened in a family, made a new divifion of all the lands among the several members of the family. This is the custom of Tanistry, which so long prevailed in IRELAND; and which retained that country in a state of misery, barbarism, and desolation.

The antient Helvetia was 250 miles in length, and 180 in breadth, according to the same author; yet contained only 360,000 inhabitants. The canton of Berne alone has, at present, as many people.

After this computation of APPIAN and DIODORUS SICULUS, I know not, whether I dare affirm, that the modern DUTCH are more numerous than the antient BATAVI.

† It appears from CASAR's account, that the GAULS had no domeftic flaves, who formed a different order from the Plebes. The whole common people were indeed a kind of flaves to the nobility, as the people of POLAND are at this day: And a nobleman of GAUL had fometimes ten thousand dependants of this kind. Nor can we doubt, that the armies were composed of the people as well as of the nobility: An army of 100,000 noblemen from a very small state is incredible. The fighting men amongst the HELVETII were the fourth part of the whole inhabitants; a clear proof that all the males of military age bore arms. See CASAR de beilo Gall. lib. 1.

We may remark, that the numbers in CESAR's commentaries can be more depended on than those of any other antient author, because of the GREEK franslation, which still remains, and which checks the LATIN original,

<sup>†</sup> De bello Gallico; lib, 1.

Spain is decayed from what it was three centuries ago: but if we step backward two thousand years, and consider the restless, turbulent, unsettled condition of its inhabitants, we may probably be inclined to think, that it is now much more populous. Many Spaniards killed themselves when deprived of their arms by the ROMANS \*. It appears from PLUTARCH to that robbery and plunder were esteemed honourable among the SPANIARDS. HIR-TIUS I represents in the same light the situation of that country in Cæs AR's time; and he fays, that every man was obliged to live in caftles and walled towns for his fecurity. It was not till its final conquest under Au-GUSTUS, that these disorders were repressed &. The account which STRABO | and JUSTIN + give of SPAIN, corresponds exactly with those above mentioned. How much, therefore, must it diminish from our idea of the populousness of antiquity, when we find, that CICERO, comparing ITALY, AFRIC, GAUL, GREECE, and SPAIN, mentions the great number of inhabitants, as the peculiar circumstance which rendered this latter country formidable \*\*.

ITALY, 'tis probable however, has decayed: But how many great cities does it still contain? Venice, Genoa, Pavia, Turin, Milan, Naples, Florence, Legmon, which either subsisted not in antient times, or were then very inconsiderable? If we restect on this, we shall not be apt to carry matters to so great an extreme as is usual, with regard to this subject.

<sup>\*</sup> Tit. Livii; lib. 34. cap. 17. † In vita Marii. ‡ De bello Hifp. § Vell. Paterc. lib. 2. § 90. || Lib. 3. ‡ Lib. 44.

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Nec numero Hifpanos, nec robore Gallos, nec calliditate Pænos, nec artibus Græcos, nec denique hoc ipso hujus gentis, ac terræ domestico "nativoque sensu, Italos ipsos ac Latinos—superavimus." De barusp. resp. cap. 9. The disorders of Spain seem to have been almost proverbial: "Nec "impacatos a tergo horrebis Iberos." Virg. Georg. lib. 3. The IBERI are here plainly taken, by a poetical figure, for robbers in general.

When the Roman authors complain, that ITALY, which formerly exported corn, became dependent on all the provinces for its daily bread, they never ascribe this alteration to the increase of its inhabitants, but to the neglect of tillage and agriculture †. A natural effect of that pernicious practice of importing corn, in order to distribute it gratis among the Roman citizens, and a very bad means of multiplying the inhabitants of any country ‡. The sportula, so much talked of by Martial and Juvenal, being presents regularly made by the great lords to their smaller clients, must have had a like tendency to produce idleness, debauchery, and a continual decay among the people. The parish-rates have at present the same bad consequences in England.

Were I to affign a period, when I imagine this part of the world might possibly contain more inhabitants than at present, I should pitch upon the age of TRAJAN and the ANTONINES; the great extent of the ROMAN empire being then civilized and cultivated, settled almost in a profound peace both foreign and domestic, and living under the same regular police and government #. But we are told,

<sup>†</sup> VARRO de re rustica, lib. 2. præf. Columella præf. Sueton. August. cap. 42.

Tho' the observations of L'Abbé du Bos should be admitted, that ITALY is now warmer than in former times, the consequence may not be necessary, that it is more populous or better cultivated. If the other countries of Europe were more savage and woody, the cold winds that blew from them, might affect the climate of ITALY.

<sup>||</sup> The inhabitants of MARSEILLES lost not their superiority over the GAULS in commerce and the mechanic arts, till the ROMAN dominion turned the latter from arms to agriculture and civil life. See STRARO, lib.
4. That author, in several places, repeats the observation concerning the improvement arising from the ROMAN arts and civility: And he lived at the time when the change was new, and would be more sensible. So also PLINY: "Quis enim non, communicato orbe terrarum, majestate ROMANI imperii, profecisse vitam putet, commercio rerum ac societate sesse pacis, omniaque etiam, quæ occulta antea suerant, in promiscuo usu sacta. Lib.

I i 3

told, that all extensive governments, especially absolute monarchies, are destructive to population, and contain a se-

14. procem. Numine deum electa (speaking of ITALY) quæ cælum ipfum clarius faceret, sparsa congregaret imperia, ritusque molliret, & tot or populorum discordes, ferasque linguas fermonis commercio contraheret ad " colloquia, & humanitatem homini daret; breviterque, una cunctarum " gentium in toto orbe patria fieret;" lib. 2. cap. 5. Nothing can be Aronger to this purpose than the following passage from TERTULLIAN, who lived about the age of Severus. " Certe quidem ipse orbis in promptu est, " cultior de die & instructior priftino. Omnia jam pervia, omnia nota, " omnia negotiofa. Solitudines famofas retro fundi amenissimi obliterave-" runt, filvas arva domuerunt, feras pecora fugaverunt; arenæ feruntur, " saxa panguntur, paludes eliquantur, tantæ urbes, quantæ non casæ quondam. Jam nec infulæ horrent, nec scopuli terrent; ubique domus, Summum testimo-" ubique populus, ubique respublica, ubique vita. or nium frequentiæ humanæ, onerosi sumus mundo, vix nobis elementa 66 fufficiunt ; & necessitates arctiores, & quærelæ apud omnes, dum jam nos " natura non fustinet." De anima, cap. 30. The air of rhetoric and declamation which appears in this passage, diminishes somewhat from its authority, but does not entirely defiroy it. The fame remark may be extended to the following passage of ARISTIDES the sophist, who lived in the age of Adrian. " The whole world," fays he, addressing himself to the ROMANS, " feems to keep one holiday; and mankind, laying afide the fword which they formerly wore, now betake themselves to feathing and to joy. "The cities, forgetting their antient contentions, preserve only one emu-" Iation, which shall embellish itself most by every art and ornament? "Theatres every where arife, amphitheatres, porticoes, aqueducts, temples, of fchools, academies; and one may fafely pronounce, that the finking world has been again, raifed by your auspicious empire. Nor have cities of alone received an increase of ornament and beauty; but the whole earth, " like a garden or paradife, is cultivated and adorned: Infomuch, that fuch of mankind as are placed out of the limits of your empire (who are but " few) feem to merit our fympathy and compaffion."

Tis remarkable, that the DIDDORUS SICULUS makes the inhabitants of ÆGYPT, when conquered by the ROMANS, amount only to three millions; yet Joseph. de bello Jud. lib. 2. cap. 16. fays, that its inhabitants, excluding those of Alexandria, were seven millions and a half, in the reign of Nero: And he expressly says, that he drew this account from the books of the Roman publicans, who levied the poll-tax. Strabo, lib. 17. praises the superior police of the Romans with regard to the sinances of ÆGYPT, above that of its former monarchs: And no part of administration is more essential to the happiness of a people. Yet we read in Athenæus, (lib. 1. cap. 25.) who squrished during the reign of the Antonines, that

a fecret vice and poison, which destroy the effect of all these promising appearances\*. To confirm this, there is a passage cited from Plutarch +, which being somewhat singular, we shall here examine it.

That author, endeavouring to account for the filence of many of the oracles, fays, that it may be afcribed to the prefent defolation of the world, proceeding from former wars and factions; which common calamity, he adds, has fallen heavier upon Greece than on any other country; infomuch, that the whole could fcarce at prefent furnish three thousand warriors; a number which, in the time of the Median war, were supplied by the single city of Megara. The gods, therefore, who affect works of dignity and importance, have suppressed many of their oracles, and deign not to use so many interpreters of their will to so diminutive a people.

I must consess, that this passage contains so many disficulties, that I know not what to make of it. You may observe, that Plutarch assigns, for a cause of the decay of mankind, not the extensive dominion of the Romans, but the former wars and factions of the several nations; all which were quieted by the Roman arms. Plutarch's reasoning, therefore, is directly contrary to the inference which is drawn from the fact he advances.

POLYBIUS supposes, that GREECE had become more prosperous and flourishing after the establishment of the

the town Mareia, near Alexandria; which was formerly a large city, had dwindled into a village. This is not, properly speaking, a contradiction. Suidas (August) says, that the Emperor Augustus, having numbered the whole Roman empire, found it contained only 4,101,017 men (apoless). There is here surely some great mistake, either in the author or transcriber. But this authority, seeble as it is, may be sufficient to counterbalance the exaggerated accounts of Herodotus and Diodorus Siculus with regard to more early times.

<sup>\*</sup> L'Esprit des loix, liv. 23. chap. 19.

<sup>†</sup> De orac. defectus.

ROMAN yoke \*; and tho' that historian wrote before these conquerors had degenerated, from being the patrons, to be the plunderers of mankind; yet as we find from Tacitus †, that the severity of the emperors afterwards checked the licence of the governors, we have no featon to think that extensive monarchy so destructive as it is so often represented.

We learn from Strabo; that the Romans from their regard to the Greeks, maintained, to his time, most of the privileges and liberties of that celebrated nation; and Nero afterwards rather increased them §. How therefore can we imagine, that the Roman yoke was so burdensome over that part of the world? The oppression of the proconfuls was restrained; and the magistracies in Greece being all bestowed, in the several cities, by the free votes of the people, there was no great necessity for the competitors to attend the emperor's court. If great numbers went to seek their fortunes in Rome, and advance themselves by learning or eloquence, the commodities of their native country, many of them would return with the fortunes which they had acquired, and thereby enrich the Grecian commonwealths.

But PLUTARCH fays, that the general depopulation had been more fenfibly felt in GREECE than in any other country. How is this reconcileable to its superior privileges and advantages?

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 2. cap. 62. It may perhaps be imagined, that Polybius, being dependent on Rome, would naturally extol the Roman dominion. But, in the first place, Polybius, tho' one sees sometimes instances of his caution, discovers no symptoms of flattery. Secondly, This opinion is only delivered in a single stroke, by the by, while he is intent upon another subject; and 'tis allowed, if there be any suspicion of an author's insincerity, that these oblique propositions discover his real opinion better than his more formal and direct affertions.

<sup>†</sup> Annal. lib. 1. cap. 2. 1 Lib. 8. and 9.

<sup>§</sup> PLUTAREH. De bis qui fero a Numine puniuntur.

Besides, this passage, by proving too much, really proves nothing. Only three thousand men able to bear arms in all GREECE! Who can admit so strange a proposition, especially if we consider the great number of GREEK cities, whose names still remain in history, and which are mentioned by writers long after the age of PLUTARCH? There are there surely ten times more people at present, when there scarce remains a city in all the bounds of antient GREECE. That country is still tolerably cultivated, and surnishes a sure supply of corn, in case of any scarcity in SPAIN, ITALY, or the south of FRANCE.

We may observe, that the antient frugality of the GREEKS, and their equality of property, still subsisted during the age of PLUTARCH; as appears from LUCIAN §. Nor is there any ground to imagine, that that country was possessed by a few masters, and a great number of slaves.

'Tis probable, indeed, that military discipline, being intirely useless, was extremely neglected in Greece aster the establishment of the Roman empire; and if these commonwealths, formerly so warlike and ambitious, maintained each of them a small city-guard, to prevent mobbish disorders, 'tis all they had occasion for: And these, perhaps, did not amount to 3000 men, throughout all Greece. I own, that if Plutarch had this sact in his eye, he is here guilty of a very gross paralogism, and assigns causes no wise proportioned to the effects. But is it so great a prodigy, that an author should fall into a mistake of this nature || ?

But

### § De mercede conductis.

I must confess that that discourse of PLUTARCH, concerning the silence of the oracles, is in general of so odd a texture, and so unlike his other productions, that one is at a loss what judgment to form of it. 'Tis wrote in dialogue, which is a method of composition that PLUTARCH commonly little affects. The personages he introduces advance very wild, absurd, and contradictory opinions, more like the visionary systems or ravings of PLATO

But whatever force may remain in this passage of PLU-TARCH, we shall endeavour to counterbalance it by as remarkable a passage in Dioporus Siculus, where the historian, after mentioning Ninus's army of 1,700,000 foot and 200,000 horse, endeavours to support the credibility of this account by some posterior sucts; and adds, that we must not form a notion of the antient populousness of mankind from the present emptiness and depopulation which is spread over the world to Thus an author, who lived at that very period of antiquity which is represented as most populous 1, complains of the desolation which then prevailed, gives the preference to former times, and has recourse to antient fables as a foundation for his opinion. The humour of blaming the prefent, and admiring the past, is strongly rooted in human nature, and has an influence even on persons endued with the most profound judgment and most extensive learning.

than the folid fense of Plutarch. There runs also through the whole an air of superstition and credulty which resembles very little the spirit that appears in other philosophical compositions of that author. For 'tis remarkable, that the' Plutarch be an historian as superstitious as Herodotus or Livy, yet there is scarcely, in all antiquity, a philosopher less superstitious, excepting Cicero and Lucian. I must therefore confess, that a passage of Plutarch, cited from this discourse, has much less authority with me, than if it had been found in most of his other compositions.

There is only one other discourse of PLUTARCH liable to like objections, with the concerning these subset punishment is delayed by the Deity. It is also wrote in dialogue, contains like superstitions, wild visions, and seems to have been chiefly composed in rivalship to PLATO, particularly his last book de republica.

And here I cannot but observe, that Mons. Fontenelle, a writer eminent for candor, seems to have departed a little from his usual character, when he endeavours to throw a ridicule upon Plutarch on account of passages to be met with in this dialogue concerning oracles. The absurdities here put into the mouths of the several personages are not to be ascribed to Plutarch. He makes them resute each other; and, in general, he seems to intend the ridiculing of those very opinions, which Fontenelle would ridicule him for maintaining. See Histoires des oracles.

<sup>4</sup> Lb. 2.

<sup>1</sup> He was cotemporary with CESAR and AUGUSTUS.

# E S S A Y XII.

### Of the ORIGINAL CONTRACT.

S no party, in the present age, can support itself. without a philosophical or speculative system of principles, annexed to its political or practical one; we accordingly find, that each of the parties, into which this nation is divided, has reared up a fabric of the former kind, in order to protect and cover that scheme of actions. which it purfues. The people being commonly very rude builders, especially in this speculative way, and more especially still, when actuated by party-zeal; 'tis natural to imagine, that their workmanship must be a little unshapely, and discover evident marks of that violence and hurry, in which it was raised. The one party, by tracing up the origin of government to the DEITY, endeavour to render government so sacred and inviolate, that it must be little less than sacrilege, however disorderly it may become, to touch or invade it, in the smallest article. The other party, by founding government altogether on the confent of the PEOPLE, suppose that there is a kind of original contract, by which the subjects have reserved the power of refisting their fovereign, whenever they find themselves aggrieved by that authority, with which they have, for certain purposes, voluntarily entrusted him. These are the speculative principles of the two parties; and these too are the practical consequences deduced from them.

I shall

I shall venture to affirm, That both these systems of speculative principles are just; tho' not in the sense, intended by the parties: And That both the schemes of practical consequences are prudent; tho' not in the extremes, to which each party, in opposition to the other, has commonly endeavoured to carry them.

That the DEITY is the ultimate author of all government, will never be denied by any who admits a general providence, and allows, that all events in the universe are conducted by an uniform plan and directed to wife purpoles. As 'tis impossible for human race to subsist, at least in any comfortable or secure state, without the protection of government; government must certainly have been intended by that beneficent Being, who means the good of all his creatures: And as it has univerfally, in fact, taken place, in all countries, and all ages; we may conclude, with still greater certainty, that it was intended by that omniscient Being, who can never be deceived by any event or operation. But fince he gave rife to it, not by any particular or miraculous interpolition, but by his concealed and universal efficacy; a sovereign cannot, properly fpeaking, be called his vicegerent, in any other fense than every power or force, being derived from him, may be faid to act by his commission. Whatever actually happens is comprehended in the general plan or intention of providence; nor has the greatest and most lawful prince any more reason, upon that account, to plead a peculiar facredness or inviolable authority, than an inferior magistrate, or even an usurper, or even a robber and a pyrate. The same divine super-intendant, who, for wife purposes, invested an ELIZABETH or a HENRY # with authority, did also, for purposes, no doubt, equally wife, tho' unknown, bestow power on a Borgia or an ANGRIA. The same causes which gave rise to the sovereign power in every state, established likewise every petty jurisdiction in it, and every limited authority. A constable therefore, no less than a king, acts by a divine commission, and possesses an indefeasible right.

When we consider how nearly equal all men are in their bodily force, and even in their mental powers and faculties, till cultivated by education; we must necessarily allow, that nothing but their own confent could, at first, affociate them together, and subject them to any autho-The people, if we trace government to its first origin in the woods and deferts, are the fource of all power and jurisdiction, and voluntarily, for the sake of peace and order, abandoned their native liberty, and received laws from their equal and companion. ditions, upon which they were willing to fubmit, were either exprest, or were so clear and obvious, that it might well be esteemed superfluous to express them. If this, then, be meant by the original contract, it cannot be denied, that all government is, at first, founded on a contract, and that the most ancient rude combinations of mankind were formed entirely by that principle. In vain, are we fent to the records to feek for this charter of our liberties. It was not wrote on parchment, nor yet on leaves or barks of trees. It preceded the use of writing and all the other civilized arts of life. But we trace it plainly in the nature of man, and in the equality, which we find in all the individuals of that species. The force, which now prevails, and which is founded on fleets and armies, is plainly political, and derived from authority, the effect of established government. A man's natural force confifts only in the vigour of his limbs, and the firmness of his courage; which could never subject multitudes to the command of one. Nothing but their own confent, and their fense of the advantages of peace and order, could have had that influence.

But philosophers, who have embraced a party (if that be not a contradiction in terms) are not contented with there concessions. They affert, not only that government in its earliest infancy arose from consent, or the voluntary combination of the people; but also, that, even at present, when it has attained its full maturity, it rests on no other foundation. They affirm, that all men are still born equal, and owe allegiance to no prince or government, unless bound by the obligation and fanction of a promise. And as no man, without some equivalent, would forego the advantages of his native liberty, and subject himself to the will of another; this promise is always understood to be conditional, and imposes on him no obligation, unless he meets with justice and protection from his fovereign. These advantages the sovereign promises him in return; and if he fails in the execution, he has broke, on his part, the articles of engagement, and has thereby freed his subjects from all obligations to allegiance. Such, according to these philosophers, is the foundation of authority in every government; and fuch the right of relistance, possest by every subject.

But would these reasoners look abroad into the world, they would meet with nothing that, in the least, corresponds to their ideas, or can warrant so refined and philosophical a theory. On the contrary, we find, every where, princes, who claim their subjects as their property, and affert their independent right of sovereignty, from conquest or succession. We find also, every where, subjects, who acknowlege this right in their princes, and suppose themselves born under obligations of obedience to a certain sovereign, as much as under the ties of reverence and duty to certain parents. These connexions are always conceived to be equally independent of our consent, in Persia and China; in France and Spain; and even in Holland and England, wherever the

doctrines abovementioned have not been carefully inculcated. Obedience or subjection becomes so familiar, that most men never make any enquiry about its origin or cause, more than about the principle of gravity, resistance, or the most universal laws of nature. Or if curiofity ever move them; fo foon as they learn, that they themfelves and their ancestors have, for feveral ages, or from time immemorial, been subject to such a government or fuch a family; they immediately acquiesce, and acknowledge their obligation to allegiance. Were you to preach. in most parts of the world, that political connexions are founded altogether on voluntary confent or a mutual promife, the magistrate would foon imprison you, as seditious, for loofening the ties of obedience; if your friends did not before that you up, as delirious, for advancing 'Tis strange, that an act of the mind, fuch abfurdities. which every individual is supposed to have formed, and after he came to the use of reason too, otherwise it could have no authority; that this act, I fav, should be so unknown to all of them, that over the face of the whole earth there scarce remain any traces or memory of it.

But the contract, on which government is founded, is faid to be the *original contract*; and confequently may be fupposed too old to fall under the knowledge of the prefent generation. If the agreement, by which savage men first associated and conjoined their force, be here meant, this is acknowledged to be real; but being so antient, and being obliterated by a thousand changes of government and princes, it cannot now be supposed to retain any authority. If we would say any thing to the purpose, we must affert, that every particular government, which is lawful, and which imposes any duty of allegiance on the subject, was, at first, founded on consent and a voluntary compact. But besides that this supposes the consent of the fathers to bind the children, even to the

most remote generations (which republican writers will never allow) besides this, I say, it is not justified by history or experience, in any age or country of the world.

Almost all the governments, which exist at present, or of which there remains any record in story, have been founded originally, either on usurpation or conquest, or both, without any pretence of a fair confent, or voluntary subjection of the people. When an artful and bold man is placed at the head of an army or faction, 'tis often eafy for him, by employing fometimes violence, fometimes false pretences, to establish his dominion over a people a hundred times more numerous than his partizans. He allows no fuch open communication, that his enemies can know, with certainty, their number or force. He gives them no leifure to affemble together in a body to oppose him. Even all those, who are the instruments of his usurpation, may wish his fall; but their ignorance of each other's intention keeps them in awe, and is the fole cause of his security. By such arts as these, many governments have been established; and this is all the ariginal contract, which they have to boast of.

The face of the earth is continually changing, by the encrease of small kingdoms into great empires, by the dissolution of great empires into smaller kingdoms, by the planting of colonies, by the migration of tribes. Is there any thing discoverable in all these events, but force and violence? Where is the mutual agreement or voluntary association so much talked of?

Even the smoothest way, by which a nation may receive a foreign master, by marriage or a will, is not extremely honourable for the people; but supposes them to be disposed of, like a dowry or a legacy, according to the pleasure or interest of their rulers.

But where no force interposes, and election takes place; what is this election so highly vaunted? 'Tis either the

combination of a few great men, who decide for the whole, and will allow of no opposition: Or 'tis the fury of a rabble, that follow a feditious ringleader, who is not known, perhaps, to a dozen among them, and who owes his advancement merely to his own impudence, or to the momentary caprice of his fellows.

Are these disorderly elections, which are rare too, of such mighty authority, as to be the only lawful foundation of all government and allegiance?

In reality, there is not a more terrible event, than a total diffolution of government, which gives liberty to the multitude, and makes the determination or choice of a new establishment depend upon a number which nearly approaches the body of the people: For it never comes entirely to the whole body of them. Every wise man, then, wishes to see, at the head of a powerful and obedient army, a general, who may speedily seize the prize, and give to the people a master, which they are so unfit to choose for themselves. So little correspondent is fact and reality to those philosophical notions,

Let not the establishment at the revolution, deceive us, or make us so much in love with a philosophical origin to government, as to imagine all others monstrous and irregular. Even that event was far from corresponding to these refined ideas. It was only the succession, and that only in the regal part of the government, which was then changed: And it was only the majority of seven hundred, who determined that change for near ten millions. I doubt not, indeed, but the bulk, of these ten millions acquiesced willingly in the determination: But was the matter left, in the least, to their choice? Was it not justly supposed to be, from that moment, decided, and every man punished, who resused to submit to the new sovereign? How otherways could the matter have ever been brought to any issue or conclusion?

Vol. I. Kk The

The republic of ATHENS was, I believe, the most extensive democracy, which we read of in history: Yet if we make the requifite allowances for the women, the flaves. and the strangers, we shall find, that that establishment was not, at first, made, nor any law ever voted, by a tenth part of those who were bound to pay obedience to it. Not to mention the islands and foreign dominions, which the ATHENIANS claimed as theirs by right of conquest. And as 'tis well known, that popular affemblies in that city were always full of licence and diforder, notwithstanding the forms and laws by which they were checked: How much more diforderly must they be, where they form not the established constitution, but meet tumultuously on the diffolution of the antient government, in order to give rife to a new one? How chimerical must it be to talk of a choice in any fuch circumstances?

The ACHEANS enjoyed the freest and most perfect democracy of all antiquity; yet they employed force to oblige some cities to enter into their league, as we learn from Polybius ‡.

HARRY the IV th and HARRY the VIIth of ENGLAND, had really no other title to the throne but a parliamentary election; yet they never would acknowledge it, for fear of weakening their authority. Strange! if the only real foundation of all authority be confent and promife.

'Tis in vain to fay, that all governments are, or should be, at first, founded on popular consent, as much as the necessity of human affairs will admit. This favours entirely my pretension. I maintain, that human affairs never will admit of this consent; seldom of the appearance of it. But that conquest or usurpation, that is, in plain terms, force, by dissolving the antient governments, is the origin of almost all the new ones, which ever were

established in the world. And that in the sew cases, where consent may seem to have taken place, it was commonly so irregular, so confined, or so much intermixed either with fraud or violence, that it cannot have any great authority.

My intention here is not to exclude the consent of the people from being one just foundation of government where it has place. It is surely the best and most facred of any. I only pretend, that it has very seldom had place in any degree, and never almost in its sull extent. And that therefore some other soundation of government must also be admitted.

Were all men possest of so inflexible a regard to justice. that, of themselves, they would totally abstain from the properties of others; they had for ever remained in a flate of absolute liberty, without subjection to any magistrates or political fociety: But this is a state of perfection, of which human nature is justly esteemed incapable. Again: were all men possest of so just an understanding, as always to know their own interest, no form of government had ever been submitted to, but what was established on confent, and was fully canvast by each member of the fociety: But this state of perfection is likewise much superior to human nature. Reason, history and experience shew us, that all political societies have had an origin much less accurate and regular; and were one to choose a period of time, when the people's consent was least regarded in public transactions, it would be precisely on the establishment of a new government. In a settled constitution, their inclinations are often studied; but during the fury of revolutions, conquests, and public convulsions, military force or political craft usually decides the controwerfy.

When a new government is established, by whatever means, the people are commonly distatisfied with it, and

pay obedience more from fear and necessity, than from any idea of allegiance or of moral obligation. The prince is watchful and jealous, and must carefully guard against every beginning or appearance of infurrection. by degrees, removes all these difficulties, and accustoms the nation to regard, as their lawful or native princes. that family, whom, at first, they considered as usurpers or foreign conquerors. In order to found this opinion, they have no recourse to any notion of voluntary consent or promise, which, they know, never was, in this case, either expected or demanded. The original establishment was formed by violence, and submitted to from necessity. The subsequent administration is also supported by powerand acquiesced in by the people, not as a matter of choice. but of obligation. They imagine not, that their confent gives their prince a title: But they willingly confent, because they think, that, from long possession, he has acquired a title, independent of their choice or inclination.

Should it be said, that by living under the dominion of a prince, which one might leave, every individual has given a tacit consent to his authority, and promised him obedience; it may be answered, That such implied consent can only take place, where a man imagines, that the matter depends on his choice. But where he thinks (as all mankind do who are born under established governments) that by his birth he owes allegiance to a certain prince or certain government; it would be absurd to infer a consent or choice, which he expressly, in this case, renounces and abjures.

Can we feriously say, that a poor peasant or artizan has a free choice to leave his own country, when he knows no foreign language or manners, and lives from day to day, by the small wages which he acquires? We may as well aftert, that a man, by remaining in a vessel, freely consents to the dominion of the master; tho' he was car-

ried on board while asleep, and must leap into the ocean, and perish, the moment he leaves her.

What if the prince forbid his subjects to quit his dominions; as in Tiberius's time, it was regarded as a crime in a Roman knight that he had attempted to fly to the Parthians, in order to escape the tyranny of that emperor †? Or as the antient Muscovites prohibited all travelling under pain of death? And did a prince observe, that many of his subjects were seized with the frenzy of transporting themselves to foreign countries, he would doubtles, with great reason and justice, restrain them, in order to prevent the depopulation of his own kingdom. Would he forseit the allegiance of all his subjects, by so wise and reasonable a law? Yet the freedom of their choice is surely, in that case, ravished from them.

A company of men, who should leave their native country, in order to people some uninhabited region, might dream of recovering their native freedom; but they would soon find, that their prince still laid claim to them, and called them his subjects, even in their new settlement. And in this he would but act conformably to the common ideas of mankind.

The truest tacit consent of this kind, which is ever obferved, is when a foreigner settles in any country, and is beforehand acquainted with the prince, and government, and laws, to which he must submit: Yet is his allegiance, tho' more voluntary, much less expected or depended on, than that of a natural born subject. On the contrary, his native prince still afferts a claim to him. And if he punishes not the renegade, when he seizes him in war with his new prince's commission; this clemency is not sounded on the municipal law, which in all countries condemns the prisoner; but on the consent of princes, who have agreed to this indulgence, in order to prevent reprifals.

Suppose an usurper, after having banished his lawful prince and royal family, should establish his dominion for ten or a dozen years in any country, and should preserve fuch exact discipline in his troops, and so regular a dispofition in his garrisons, that no infurrection had ever been raised, or even murmur heard, against his administration: Can it be afferted, that the people, who in their hearts abhor his treason, have tacitly confented to his authority. and promifed him allegiance, merely because, from necesfity, they live under his dominion? Suppose again their natural prince restored, by means of an army, which he assembles in foreign countries: They receive him with joy and exultation, and shew plainly with what reluctance they had submitted to any other yoke. I may now ask. upon what foundation the prince's title stands? Not on popular confent furely: For tho' the people willingly acquiesce in his authority, they never imagine, that their confent makes him fovereign. They confent; because they apprehend him to be already, by birth, their lawful fovereign. And as to that tacit confent, which may now be inferred from their living under his dominion, this is no more than what they formerly gave to the tyrant and usurper.

When we affert, that all lawful government arises from the people, we certainly do them a great deal more honour than they deserve, or even expect and desire from us. After the Roman dominions became too unwieldy for the republic to govern, the people, over the whole known world, were extremely grateful to Augustus for that authority, which, by violence, he had established over them; and they shewed an equal disposition to submit to the successor, whom he left them, by his last will and testament. It was afterwards their missortune, that there

never was, in one family, any long regular succession; but that their line of princes was continually broke, either by private assassing or public rebellion. The practorean bands, on the failure of every family, set up one emperor; the legions in the East a second; those in Germany, perhaps, a third: And the sword alone could decide the controversy. The condition of the people, in that mighty monarchy, was to be lamented, not because the choice of the emperor was never lest to them; for that was impracticable: But because they never fell under any succession of masters, who might regularly follow each other. As to the violence and wars and bloodshed, occasioned by every new settlement; those were not blameable, because they were inevitable.

The house of LANCASTER ruled in this island about fixty years; yet the partizans of the white rose seemed daily to multiply in ENGLAND. The present establishment has taken place during a still longer period. Have all views of right in another family been utterly extinguished; even tho' scarce any man now alive had arrived at years of discretion, when it was expelled, or could have confented to its dominion, or have promifed it allegiance? A fufficient indication furely of the general fentiment of mankind on this head. For we blame not the partizans of the abdicated family, merely on account of the long time, during which they have preserved their imaginary fidelity. We blame them for adhering to a family, which, we affirm, has been justly expelled, and which, from the moment the new fettlement took place, had forfeited all title to authority.

But would we have a more regular, at least, a more philosophical refutation of this principle of an original contract or popular consent; perhaps, the following observations may suffice. All moral duties may be divided into two kinds. The first are those, to which men are impelled by a natural instruct or immediate propensity, which operates in them, independent of all ideas of obligation, and of all views, either to public or private utility. Of this nature are, love of children, gratitude to benefactors, pity to the unfortunate. When we resect on the advantage, which results to society from such humane instincts, we pay them the just tribute of moral approbation and esteem: But the person, actuated by them, feels their power and instuence, antecedent to any such resection.

The fecond kind of moral duties are fuch as are not fupported by any original inftinct of nature, but are performed entirely from a fenfe of obligation, when we confider the necessities of human society, and the impossibility of supporting it, if these duties were neglected. justice or a regard to the property of others, fidelity or the observance of promises, become obligatory, and acquire an authority over mankind. For as 'tis evident, that every man loves himself better than any other person, he is naturally impelled to extend his acquisitions as much as possible; and nothing can restrain him in this propensity. but reflection and experience, by which he learns the pernicious effects that licence, and the total diffolution of fociety, which must ensue from it. His original inclination, therefore, or instinct, is here checked and restrained by a subsequent judgment or observation.

The case is precisely the same with the political or civil ducy of allegiance, as with the natural duties of justice and fidelity. Our primary instincts lead us, either to indulge ourselves in unlimited liberty, or to seek dominion over others: 'And 'tis reflection only, which engages us to sacrifice such strong passions to the interests of peace and order. A very small degree of experience and observation suffices to teach us, that society cannot possibly be maintained

maintained without the authority of magistrates, and that this authority must soon fall into contempt, where exact obedience is not payed to it. The observation of these general and obvious interests is the source of all allegiance, and of that moral obligation, which we attribute to it.

What necessity, therefore, is there to found the duty of allegiance or obedience to magistrates on that of fidelity or a regard to promifes, and to suppose, that 'tis the confent of each individual, which subjects him to government; when it appears, that both allegiance and fidelity stand precisely on the same foundation, and are both submitted to by mankind, on account of the apparent interests and necessities of human society? We are bound to obey our fovereign, 'tis faid; because we have given a tacit promise to that purpose. But why are we bound to observe our promise? It must here be afferted, that the commerce and intercourse of mankind, which are of such mighty advantage, can have no fecurity where men pay no regard to their engagements. In like manner, may it be faid, that men could not live at all in fociety, at least in a civilized fociety, without laws and magistrates and judges. to prevent the encroachments of the strong upon the weak, of the violent upon the just and equitable. The obligation to allegiance being of like force and authority with the obligation to fidelity, we gain nothing by refolving the one into the other. The general interests or necessities of fociety are sufficient to establish both.

If the reason is asked of that obedience, which we are bound to pay to government, I readily answer: because society could not otherwise subsists: And this answer is clear and intelligible to all mankind. Your answer is, because we should keep our word. But besides, that no body, till trained in a philosophical system, can either comprehend or relish this answer: Besides this, I say, you find yourself embarrassed, when 'tis asked, why we are bound to keep our word?

word? And you can give no other answer, but what would, immediately, without any circuit, have accounted for our obligation to allegiance.

But to whom is allegiance due? And who are our lawful fovereigns? This question is often the most difficult of any, and liable to infinite discussions. When people are fo happy, that they can answer, Our present sovereign, who inherits, in a direct line, from ancestors, that have governed zes for many ages; this answer admits of no reply; even tho' historians, in tracing up to the remotest antiquity the origin of that royal family, may find, as commonly happens, that its first authority was derived from usurpation and violence. 'Tis confest, that private justice or the abstinence from the properties of others, is a most cardinal virtue: Yet reason tells us, that there is no property in durable objects, fuch as lands or houses, when carefully examined in passing from hand to hand, but must, in some period, have been founded on fraud and injustice. necessities of human society, neither in private nor public life, will allow of fuch an accurate enquiry: And there is no virtue or moral duty, but what may, with facility, be refined away, if we indulge a false philosophy, in fifting and fcrutinizing it, by every captious rule of logic, in every light or position, in which it may be placed.

The questions with regard to public property have filled infinite volumes of law and philosophy, if in both we add the commentators to the original text; and in the end, we may safely pronounce, that many of the rules, there established, are uncertain, ambiguous, and arbitrary. The like opinion may be formed with regard to the successions and rights of princes and forms of government. Many cases, no doubt, occur, especially in the infancy of any government, which admit of no determination from the laws of justice and equity: And our historian RAPIN, allows, that the controversy between Edward the third

and PHILIP de VALOIS was of this nature, and could be decided only by an appeal to heaven, that is, by war and violence.

Who shall tell me, whether GERMANICUS or DRUSUS ought to have succeeded TIBERIUS, had he died, while they were both alive, without naming any of them for his fuccessor? Ought the right of adoption to be received. as equivalent to that of blood, in a nation, where it had the same effect in private families, and had already, in two instances, taken place in the public? Ought GER-MANICUS to be esteemed the eldest son because he was born before DRUSUS; or the younger, because he was adopted after the birth of his brother? Ought the right of the elder to be regarded in a nation, where the eldest brother had no advantage in the fuccession of private families? Ought the ROMAN empire, at that time to be esteemed hereditary, because of two examples; or ought it, even so early, to be regarded as belonging to the stronger or present possessor, as being founded on so recent an usurpation?

Commodus mounted the throne after a pretty long fuccession of excellent emperors, who had acquired their title, not by birth, or public election, but by the fictitious rite of adoption. That bloody debauchee being murdered by a confpiracy fuddenly formed between his wench and her gallant, who happened at that time to be Prætorian Præfect, these immediately deliberated about choosing a master to human kind, to speak in the style of those ages; and they cast their eyes on PERTINAX. Before the tyrant's death was known, the Præfect went fecretly to that fenator, who, on the appearance of the foldiers, imagined that his execution had been ordered by COMMODUS. He was immediately faluted emperor by the officer and his attendants; chearfully proclaimed by the populace; unwillingly submitted to by the guards; forformally recognized by the senate; and passively received by the provinces and armies of the empire.

The discontent of the Pratorian bands foon broke out in a sudden sedition, which occasioned the murder of that excellent prince: And the world being now without a mafter and without government, the guards thought proper to fet the empire formally to fale. JULIAN, the purchaser, was proclaimed by the soldiers, recognized by the fenate, and fubmitted to by the people, and must also have been submitted to by the provinces, had not the envy of the legions begot opposition and resistance. Pescen-NIUS NIGER in SYRIA elected himself emperor, gained the tumultuary confent of his army, and was attended with the secret good-will of the senate and people of ROME. ALBINUS in BRITAIN found an equal right to fet up his claim; but Severus, who governed PANNO-NEA, prevailed in the end above both of them. able politician and warrior, finding his own birth and dignity too much inferior to the imperial crown, profest at first, an intention only of revenging the death of PERTINAX. He marched as general into ITALY, defeated JULIAN; and without our being able to fix any precise commencement even of the foldiers confent, he was from necessity acknowledged emperor by the fenate and people; and fully established in his violent authority by subduing NI-CFR and ALBINUS \*.

Inter hea Gordianus Cæsar (fays Capitolinus, speakfing of another period) fublatus a militibus, Imperator, estappellatus, quia non erat alius in præsenti. 'Tis to be remarked that Gordian was a boy of sourteen years of age.

Frequent instances of a like nature occur in the history of the emperors; in that of ALEXANDER'S successors;

<sup>\*</sup> Herodian, lib. 2.

and of many other countries: Nor can any thing be more unhappy than a despotic government of that kind; where the succession is disjointed and irregular, and must be determined, on every occasion, by force or election. In a free government, the matter is often unavoidable, and is also much I so dangerous. The interests of liberty may there frequently lead the people, in their own defence, to alter the succession of the crown. And the constitution, being compounded of parts, may still maintain a sufficient stability, by resting on the aristocratical or democratical members, tho' the monarchical be altered, from time to time, in order to accommodate it to the former.

In an absolute government, when there is no legal prince, who has a title to the throne, it may safely be determined to belong to the first occupier. Instances of this kind are but too frequent, especially in the eastern monarchies. When any race of princes expires, the will or destination of the last sovereign will be regarded as a title. Thus the edict of Lewis the XIVth, who called the bastard princes to the succession in case of the failure of all the legitimate princes, would, in such an event, have some authority \*. Thus the will of Charles the second

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Tis remarkable that in the remonstrance of the duke of Bourbon and the legitimate princes, against this destination of Louis the XIVth, the doctrine of the original contract is infisted on, even in that absolute government. The French nation, say they, choosing Hugh Capet and his posterity to rule over them and their posterity, where the former line sails, there is a tacit right reserved to choose a new royal samily; and this right is invaded by calling the bastard princes to the throne, without the consent of the nation But the Comte de Boulainvilliers, who wrote in desence of the bastard princes, ridicules this notion of an original contract, especially when applied to Hugh Capet; who mounted the throne, says he, by the same arts, which have ever been employed by all conquerors and usurpers. He got his title, indeed, recognized by the states after he had put himself in possession; But is this a choice or contract? The Comte de Boulainvilliers, we

cond disposed of the whole Spanish monarchy. The cession of the antient proprietor, especially when joined to conquest, is likewise esteemed a very good title. The general bond or obligation, which unites us to government, is the interest and necessities of society; and this obligation is very strong. The determination of it to this or that particular prince or form of government is frequently more uncertain and dubious. Present possession has considerable authority in these cases, and greater than in private property; because of the disorders which attend all revolutions and changes of government \*.

We shall only observe, before we conclude, that tho' an appeal to general opinion may justly, in the speculative sciences of metaphysics, natural philosophy, or astronomy, be esteemed unfair and inconclusive, yet in allquestions with regard to morals, as well as criticism, there is really no other standard, by which any controverfy can ever be decided. And nothing is a clearer proof that a theory of this kind is erroneous, than to find, that it leads to paradoxes, which are repugnant to the common fentiments of mankind, and to general practice and opinion. The doctrine, which founds all lawful government on an original contract, or confent of the people, is plainly of this kind; nor has the ablest of its partizans, in profecution of it, scrupled to affirm, that absolute monarchy is inconsistent with civil society, and so can be no form of civil government at all +; and that the

may observe, was a noted republican; but being a man of learning, and very conversant in history, he knew the people were never almost consulted in these revolutions and new establishments, and that time alone bestowed right and authority on what was commonly at first founded on force and violence. See Etat de la France, Vol. III.

<sup>\*</sup> The crime of rebellion, amongst the antients was commonly marked by the terms year selfery, novas res moliri.

<sup>†</sup> See Locke on government, chap. 7. § 90.

fupreme power in a flate cannot take from any man, by taxes and impositions, any part of his property, without his own consent or that of his representatives. What authority any moral reasoning can have, which leads to opinions so wide of the general practice of mankind, in every place but this single kingdom, 'tis easy to determine ||.

+ Id. chap. 11. § 138, 139, 140.

The only passage I meet with in antiquity, where the obligation of obedience to government is ascribed to a promise is in Plato in Critone; where Socrates refuses to escape from prison, because he had tacitly promised to obey the laws. Thus he builds a tory consequence of passive obedience, on a wbig foundation of the original contract.

New discoveries are not to be expected in these matters. If no man, till very lately, ever imagined that government was founded on contract, 'tis certain it cannot, in general, have any such foundation,

### ESSAY XIII.

#### Of PASSIVE OBEDIENCE.

N the former essay, we endeavoured to resute the speculative systems of politics advanced in this nation; as well the religious system of the one party, as the philosophical of the other. We come now to examine the prastical consequences, deduced by each party, with regard to the measures of submission due to sovereigns.

As the obligation to justice is founded intirely on the interests of society, which require mutual abstinence from property, in order to preferve peace among mankind; 'tis evident, that, when the execution of justice would be attended with very pernicious consequences, that virtue must be suspended, and give place to public utility, in fuch extraordinary and fuch pressing emergencies. The maxim, fiat Justitia & ruat Cælum, let justice be performed, tho' the universe be destroyed, is apparently false, and by facrificing the end to the means, shews a preposterous idea of the subordination of duties. governor of a town makes any fcruple of burning the fuburbs, when they facilitate the advances of the enemy? Or what general abstains from plundering a neutral country, when the necessities of war require it, and he cannot otherwife maintain his army? The case is the fame with the duty of allegiance; and common sense teaches us, that as government binds us to obedience only on account of its tendency to public utility, that duty must always, in extraordinary cases, when public ruin would evidently attend obedience, yield to the primary 1.1 Vol. I.

mary and original obligation. Salus populi suprema Lex, the safety of the people is the supreme law. This maxim is agreeable to the sentiments of mankind in all ages: Nor is any one, when he reads of the insurrections against a Nero, or a Philip, so infatuated with party-systems, as not to wish success to the enterprize, and praise the undertakers. Even our high monarchical party, in spite of their sublime theory, are forced, in such cases, to judge, and feel, and approve, in conformity to the rest of mankind.

Resistance, therefore, being admitted in extraordinary emergencies, the question can only be, among good reasoners, with regard to the degree of necessity, which can justify relistance, and render it lawful or commendable. And here I must confess, that I shall always incline to their fide, who draw the bond of allegiance the closest possible, and consider an infringement of it, as the last refuge in desperate cases, when the public is in the highest danger, from violence and tyranny. For befides the mischiefs of a civil war, which commonly attends infurrection; 'tis certain, that where a disposition to rebellion appears among any people, it is one chief cause of tyranny in the rulers, and forces them into many violent measures, which they never would have embraced, had every one feemed inclined to fubmission and obedience. 'Tis thus the tyrannicide or affaffination, approved of by antient maxims, instead of keeping tyrants and usurpers in awe, made them ten times more fierce and unrelenting; and is now justly, upon that account, abolished by the laws of nations, and universally condemned as a base and treacherous method of bringing to justice these disturbers of society.

Besides; we must consider, that as obedience is our duty in the common course of things, it ought chiefly to be inculcated; nor can any thing be more preposterous than an anxious care and follicitude in stating all the cases, in which resistance may be allowed. Thus, tho' a philosopher reasonably acknowleges, in the course of an argument, that the rules of justice may be dispensed with in cases of urgent necessity; what should we think of a preacher or casuist, who should make it his chief study to find out such cases, and enforce them with all the vehemence of argument and eloquence? Would he not be better employed in inculcating the general doctrine, than in displaying the particular exceptions, which we are, perhaps, but too much inclined, of our-felves, to embrace, and to extend?

There are, however, two reasons, which may be pleaded in defence of that party among us, who have, with so much industry, propagated the maxims of resistance; maxims, which, it must be confest, are, in general, so pernicious, and so destructive of civil society. The first is, that their antagonists carrying the doctrine of obedience to such an extravagant height, as not only never to mention the exceptions in extraordinary cases (which might, perhaps, be excusable) but even positively to exclude them; it became necessary to insist on these exceptions, and defend the rights of injured truth and liberty. The second, and, perhaps, better reason, is founded on the nature of the British constitution and form of government.

'Tis almost peculiar to our constitution to establish a first magistrate with such high pre-eminence and dignity, that tho' limited by the laws, he is, in a manner, so far as regards his own person, above the laws, and can neither be questioned nor punished for any injury or wrong, which may be committed by him. His ministers alone, or those who act by his commission, are obnoxious to justice; and while the prince is thus allured, by the prospect of personal safety, to give the laws their free course, an equal security is, in essect, obtained, by the

punishment of lesser offenders, and at the same time a civil war is avoided, which would be the infallible consequence, were an attack, at every turn, made directly upon the fovereign. But tho' the conflitution pays this falutary compliment to the prince, it can never reasonably be understood, by that maxim, to have determined its own destruction, or to have established a tame submission, where he protects his ministers, perseveres in injustice, and usurps the whole power of the common-This case, indeed, is never expresly put by the laws; because it is impossible for them, in their ordinary course, to provide a remedy for it, or establish any magistrate, with superior authority, to chastise the exorbitancies of the prince. But as a right without a remedy would be the greatest of all absurdities; the remedy in this case, is the extraordinary one of resistance, when affairs come to that extremity, that the constitution can be defended by it alone. Resistance, therefore, must, of course, become more frequent in the BRITISH government, than in others, which are simpler and confift of fewer parts and movements. Where the king is an absolute sovereign, he has little temptation to commit fuch enormous tyranny as may juftly provoke rebellion: But where he is limited, his imprudent ambition, without any great vices, may run him into that perilous fituation. This is commonly supposed to have been the case with CHARLES the First; and if we may now speak truth, after animosities are laid, this was also the case with JAMEs the Second. These were harmless, if not, in their private character, good men; but mistaking the nature of our constitution, and engrossing the whole legislative power, it became necessary to oppose them with fome vehemence; and even to deprive the latter formally of that authority, which he had used with fuch imprudence and indifcretion.

## E S S A Y XIV.

Of the Coalition of Parties.

O abolish all distinctions of party may not be practicable, perhaps not defirable, in a free government. The only parties, which are dangerous, are fuch as entertain opposite views with regard to the effentials of government, the fuccession of the crown, or the more confiderable privileges belonging to the feveral members of the constitution; where there is no room for any compromize or accommodation, and where the controversy may appear so momentous as to justify even an opposition by arms to the pretentions of antagonists. Of this nature was the animofity continued for above a century between the parties in ENGLAND; an animofity which broke out fometimes into civil war, which occasioned violent revolutions, and which continually endangered the peace and tranquillity of the nation. But as there has appeared of late the strongest symptoms of an universal desire to abolish these party distinctions; this tendency to a coalition affords the most agreeable prospect of future happiness, and ought to be carefully cherished and promoted by every lover of his country.

There is not a more effectual method of promoting fo good an end, than to prevent all unreasonable insult and triumph of the one party over the other, to encourage moderate opinions, to find the proper medium in all dis-

Ll3

putes.

putes, to persuade each that its antagonist may possibly be sometimes in the right, and to keep a balance in the praise and blame which we bestow on either side. The two sormer Essays, concerning the original contrast and possive obedience, are calculated for this purpose with regard to the philosophical controversies between the parties, and tend to show that neither side are in these respects so sully supported by reason as they endeavour to flatter themselves. We shall proceed to exercise the same moderation with regard to the historical disputes, by proving that each party was justified by plausible topics; that there were on both sides wise men who meant well to their country, and that the past animosity between the sactions had no better soundation than narrow prejudice or interested passion.

The popular party, who afterwards acquired the name of whigs, might justify by very specious arguments, that opposition to the crown, from which our present free constitution is derived. Tho' obliged to acknowlege, that precedents in favour of prerogative had uniformly taken place during many reigns before CHARLES the First, they thought, that there was no reason for submitting any longer to fo dangerous an authority. Such might have been their reasoning: The rights of mankind are fo facred, that no prescription of tyranny or arbitrary power can have authority sufficient to abolish them. Liberty is the most inestimable of all blessings; and whereever there appears any probability of recovering it, a nation may willingly run many hazards, and ought not even to repine at the greatest effusion of blood or distipation of treasure, All human institutions, and none more than government, are in continual fluctuation. Kings are fure to embrace every opportunity of extending their prerogatives: And if favourable incidents be not also laid hold of to extend and secure the privileges of the people, an universal despotism must for ever prevail among mankind. The example of all the neighbouring nations prove, that it is no longer safe to entrust with the crown the same exorbitant prerogatives which had formerly been exercised during rude and simple ages. And tho' the example of many late reigns may be pleaded in favour of a power in the prince somewhat arbitrary, more remote reigns afford instances of stricter limitations imposed on the crown; and those pretensions of the parliament, now branded with the title of innovations, are only a recovery of the just rights of the people.

These views, far from being odious, are surely large. and generous, and noble: To their prevalence and fuccess the kingdom owes its liberty; perhaps its learning, its industry, commerce, and naval power: By them chiefly the ENGLISH name is distinguished among the society of nations, and aspires to a rivalship with that of the freest and most illustrious commonwealths of antiquity. But as all these mighty consequences could not reasonably be foreseen at the time when the contest began, the royalists of that age wanted not specious arguments on their side, by which they could justify their defence of the then established prerogatives of the crown-We shall state the question, as it might appear to them at the affembling of that parliament, which by their violent encroachments on the crown, began the civil wars.

The only rule of government, they might have faid, known and acknowleged among men, is use and practice: Reason is so uncertain a guide that it will always be exposed to doubt and controversy: Could it ever render itself prevalent over the people, men had always retained it as their sole rule of conduct: They had still continued in the primitive, unconnected state of nature, without submitting to political government, whose sole

basis is, not pure reason, but authority and precedent. Dissolve these tyes, you break all the bonds of civil society, and leave every man at liberty to consult his particular interest, by those expedients, which his appetite, disguised under the appearance of reason, shall distate to him. The spirit of innovation is in itself pernicious, however savourable its particular object may sometimes appear: A truth so obvious, that the popular party themselves are sensible of it, and therefore cover their encroachments on the crown by the plausible pretence of their recovering the antient liberties of the people.

But the present prerogatives of the crown, allowing all the suppositions of that party, have been incontestibly established ever fince the accession of the house of Tudor, a period, which, as it now comprehends an hundred and fixty years, may be allowed sufficient to give stability to any constitution. Would it not have appeared ridiculous, in the reign of the Emperor Adrian, to talk of the constitution of the republic as the rule of government; or to suppose that the former rights of the senate, and consuls and tribunes were still subsisting?

But the present claims of the English monarchs are infinitely more favourable than those of the Roman emperors during that age. The authority of Augustus was a plain usurpation, grounded only on military violence, and forms such an æra in the Roman history, as is obvious to every reader. But if Henry VII. really, as some pretend, enlarged the power of the crown, it was only by insensible acquisitions, which escaped the apprehension of the people, and have scarcely been remarked even by historians and politicians. The new government, if it deserves the name, is an imperceptible transition from the former; is entirely engrafted on it; derives its title fully from that root; and is to be considered only as one of those, gradual revolutions, to which human

human affairs, in every nation, will be for ever sub-ject.

The House of Tudor, and after them that of Stuart, exercise no prerogatives, but what had been claimed and exercised by the Plantagenets. Not a single branch of their authority can be said to be altogether an innovation. The only difference is, that perhaps the more antient kings exerted these powers only by intervals, and were not able, by reason of the opposition of their barons, to render them so steady a rule of administration \*. But the sole inference from this sact is, that those times were more turbulent and seditious; and that royal authority, the constitution, and the laws have happily of late gained the ascendant.

Under what pretence can the popular party now talk of recovering the antient constitution? The former controul over the kings was not placed in the commons, but in the barons: The people had no authority, and even little or no liberty, till the crown, by suppressing these factious tyrants, enforced the execution of the laws, and obliged all the subjects equally to respect each others rights, privileges, and properties. If we must return to the antient barbarous and Gothic constitution; let those gentlemen, who now behave themselves with so much insolence to their sovereign, set the first example. Let them make court to be admitted as retainers to a neighbouring baron; and by submitting to slavery under him, acquire some protection to themselves; together with the power of exercising rapine and oppression over their

The author believes that he was the first writer who advanced that the family of Tudor possession in general more authority than their immediate predecessors: An opinion, which, he hopes, will be supported by history, but which he proposes with some distince. There are strong symptoms of arbitrary power in some former reigns, even after signing of the charters. The power of the crown in that age depended less on the constitution than on the capacity and vigour of the prince who were it.

inferior flaves and villains. This was the condition of the commons among their remote ancestors.

But how far back shall we go, in having recourse to antient constitutions and governments? There was a constitution still more antient than that to which these innovators affect so much to appeal. During that period there was no magna charta: The barons themselves possessed few regular, stated privileges: And the house of commons probably had not an existence.

It is pleafant to hear a house, while they are usurping the whole power of government, talk of reviving antient institutions. Is it not known, that, tho' the representatives received wages from their constituents; to be a member of their house was always considered as a burthen, and a freedom from it as a privilege? Will they persuade us, that power, which of all human acquisitions is the most coveted, and in comparison of which even reputation and pleasure and riches are slighted, could ever be regarded as a burthen by any man?

The property acquired of late by the commons, it is faid, entitles them to more power than their ancestors enjoyed. But to what is this encrease of their property owing, but to an encrease of their liberty and their security? Let them therefore acknowledge, that their ancestors, while the crown was restrained by the seditious barons, really enjoyed less liberty than they themselves have attained, after the sovereign acquired the ascendant: And let them enjoy that liberty with moderation; and not forseit it by new exorbitant claims, and by rendering it a pretence for endless innovations.

The true rule of government is the present established practice of the age. That has most authority, because it is recent: It is also better known, for the same reason. Who has assured those tribunes, that the PLANTAGE-

NETS did not exercise as high acts of authority as the Tudors? The historians, they say, do not mention them. But the historians are also filent with regard to the chief exertions of prerogative by the Tudors. Where any power or prerogative is fully and undoubtedly established, the exercise of it passes for a thing of course, and readily escapes the notice of history and annals. Had we no other monuments of Elizabeth's reign, than what are preserved even by Camden, the most copious, judicious, and exact of our historians, we should be entirely ignorant of the most important maxims of her government.

Was not the present monarchical government, to its full extent, authorized by lawyers, recommended by divines, acknowleged by politicians, acquiesced in, nay passionately cherished, by the people in general; and all this during a period of at least a hundred and sixty years, and till of late, without the least murmur or controversy? This general consent surely, during so long a time, must be sufficient to render a constitution legal and valid. If the origin of all power be derived, as is pretended, from the people; here is their consent in the sullest and most ample terms that can be desired or imagined.

But the people must not pretend, because they can, by their consent, lay the soundations of government, that therefore they are to be permitted, at their pleasure, to overthrow and subvert them. There is no end of these seditious and arrogant claims. The power of the crown is now openly struck at: The nobility are also in visible peril: The gentry will soon follow: The popular leaders, who will then assume the name of gentry, will next be exposed to danger: And the people themselves, having become incapable of civil government, and lying under the restraint of no authority, must, for the sake of peace, admit, instead of their legal and mild momarchs, a succession of military and despotic tyrants.

Thefe

These consequences are the more to be dreaded, as the present sury of the people, tho' glossed over by pretensions to civil liberty, is in reality incited by the fanaticism of religion; a principle the most blind, headstrong
and ungovernable, by which human nature can ever
possibly be actuated. Popular rage is dreadful from whatever motive derived: But must be attended with the most
pernicious consequences, when it arises from a principle,
which disclaims all controul by human law, reason, or
authority.

These are the arguments, which each party may make use of to justify the conduct of their predecessors, during that great crisis. The event has shown, that the reasonings of the popular party were better founded; but perhaps, according to the established maxims of lawyers and politicians, the views of the royalists ought, beforehand, to have appeared more folid, more fafe, and more legal. But this is certain, that the greater moderation we now employ in representing past events; the nearer we shall be to produce a full coalition of the parties, and an entire acquiescence in our present happy establishment. Moderation is of advantage to every establishment: Nothing but zeal can overturn a fettled power: And an overactive zeal in friends is apt to beget a like spirit in anta-The transition from a moderate opposition against an establishment, to an entire acquiescence in it. is easy and insensible.

There are many invincible arguments, which should induce the malecontent party to acquiesce entirely in the present settlement of the constitution. They now find, that the spirit of civil liberty, tho' at first connected with religious fanaticism, could purge itself from that pollution, and appear under a more genuine and engaging aspect; a friend to toleration, and an encourager of all the enlarged and generous sentiments that do honour to

human

human nature. They may observe, that the popular claims could stop at a proper period; and after retrenching the exorbitant prerogatives of the crown, could still maintain a due respect to monarchy, to nobility, and to all antient institutions. Above all, they must be senfible, that the very principle, which made the strength of their party, and from which it derived its chief authority, has now deferted them, and gone over to their antagonists. The plan of liberty is settled; its happy effects are proved by experience; a long tract of time has given it stability; and whoever would attempt to overturn it, and to recall the past government or abdicated family, would, besides other more criminal imputations, be exposed in their turn to the reproach of faction and innovation. While they peruse the history of past events, they ought to reflect, both that the rights of the crown are long fince annihilated, and that the tyranny, and violence, and oppression, to which they often gave rife, are ills, from which the established liberty of the constitution has now at last happily protected the people. These reflections will prove a better fecurity to our freedom and privileges, than to deny, contrary to the clearest evidence of facts, that fuch regal powers ever had any existence. There is not a more effectual method of betraying a cause, than to lay the stress of the argument on a wrong place, and by disputing an untenable post, enure the adversaries to success and victory.

# ESSAY XV.

#### Of the PROTESTANT SUCCESSION.

Suppose, that a member of parliament, in the reign of King William or Queen Anne, while the eftablishment of the *Protestant Succession* was yet uncertain, were deliberating concerning the party he would chuse in that important question, and weighing, with impartiality, the advantages and disadvantages on each side. I believe the following particulars would have entered into his consideration.

He would easily perceive the great advantages resulting from the restoration of the STUART family; by which we should preserve the succession clear and undisputed. free from a pretender, with fuch a specious title as that of blood, which, with the multitude, is always the claim. the strongest and most easily comprehended. 'Tis in vain to fay, as many have done, that the question with regard to governors, independent of government, is frivolous, and little worth disputing, much less fighting about. The generality of mankind never will enter into these fentiments; and 'tis much happier, I believe, for fociety, that they do not, but rather continue in their natural prejudices and prepoffessions. How could stability be preserved in any monarchical government, (which, tho' perhaps, not the best, is, and always has been, the most common of any) unless men had so passionate a regard for the true heir of their royal family; and even tho he be weak in understanding, or infirm in years, gave him fo great a preference above persons the most accomplished in shining talents, or celebrated for great atchievements? Would not every popular leader put in his claim at every vacancy, or even without any vacancy; and the kingdom become the theatre of perpetual wars and convulsions? The condition of the ROMAN empire, surely, was not, in this respect, much to be envied; nor is that of the Eastern nations, who pay little regard to the title of their fovereigns, but facrifice them, every day, to the caprice or momentary humour of the populace or foldiery. 'Tis but a foolish wisdom, which is so carefully displayed, in undervaluing princes, and placing them on a level with the meanest of mankind. To be sure, an anatomist finds no more in the greatest monarch than in the lowest peasant or day-labourer; and a moralist may, perhaps, frequently find less. But what do all these reflections tend to? We, all of us, still retain these prejudices in favour of birth and family; and neither in our ferious occupations, nor most careless amusements, can we ever get entirely rid of them. A tragedy, that should represent the adventures of failors, or porters, or even of private gentlemen, would presently disgust us; but one that introduces kings and princes, acquires in our eyes an air of importance and dignity. Or fhould a man be able, by his fuperior wisdom, to get entirely above such prepossessions, he would soon, by means of the same wifdom, again bring himself down to them, for the sake of fociety, whose welfare he would perceive to be intimately connected with them. Far from endeavouring to undeceive the people in this particular, he would cherish such fentiments of reverence to their princes; as requisite to preserve a due subordination in society. And the' the lives of twenty thousand men be often facrificed to maintain a king in possession of his throne, or preserve the right right of succession undisturbed, he entertains no indignation at the loss, on pretence that every individual of these was, perhaps, in himself, as valuable as the prince he served. He considers the consequences of violating the hereditary rights of kings: Consequences, which may be felt for many centuries; while the loss of several thousand men brings so little prejudice to a large kingdom, that it may not be perceived a few years afterwards.

The advantages of the HANOVER fuccession are of an opposite nature, and arise from this very circumstance. that it violates hereditary right; and places on the throne a prince, to whom birth gave no title to that dignity. 'Tis evident to any one who confiders the history of this illand, that the privileges of the people have, during the two last centuries, been continually upon the increase, by the division of the church-lands, by the alienations of the barons estates, by the progress of trade, and above all, by the happiness of our situation, which, for a long time, gave us sufficient security, without any standing army or military establishment. On the contrary, public liberty has, almost in every other nation of EUROPE, been, during the fame period, extremely upon the decline; while the people were difgusted at the hardships of the old feudal militia, and chose rather to intrust their prince with mercenary armies, which he eafily turned against themselves. It was nothing extraordinary, therefore, that some of our BRITISH sovereigns mistook the nature of the constitution, and genius of the people; and as they embraced all the favourable precedents left them by their ancestors, they overlooked all those which were contrary, and which supposed a limitation in our government. They were encouraged in this mistake, by the example of all the neighbouring princes, who, bearing the same title or appellation, and being adorned with the fame enfigns of authority, naturally led them to claim Vol. I. M m

claim the same powers and prerogatives\*. The flattery of courtiers farther blinded them; and above all, that of the clergy, who from several passages of scripture, and these wrested too, had erected a regular and avowed system of tyranny and despotic power. The only method of

\* It appears from the speeches, and proclamations, and whole train of King JAMES I.'s actions, as well as his fon's, that they confidered the ENGLISH government as a simple monarchy, and never imagined that any confiderable part of their subjects entertained a contrary idea. This made them discover their pretensions, without preparing any force to support them : and even without referve or disguise, which are always employed by those, who enter upon any new project, or endeavour to innovate in any government. King JAMES told his parliament plainly, when they meddled in state affairs, Ne sutor ultra crepidam. He used also, at his table, in promischous companies, to advance his notions, in a manner still more undisguised: As we may learn from a flory told in the life of Mr. WALLER, and which that poet used frequently to repeat. When Mr. WALLER was young, he had the curiofity to go to court; and he stood in the circle, and saw King JAMES dine, where, amongst other company, there sat at table two bishops. The King, openly and aloud, proposed this question, Whether be might not take his subjects money, when he had occasion for it, without all this formality of parliament? The one bishop readily replied, God forbid you should not: For you are the breath of our nostrils. The other bishop declined answering, and faid he was not skilled in parliamentary cases: But upon the King's urging him, and faying he would admit of no evalion, his lordship replied very pleafantly, Why, then, I think your majesty may lawfully take my brother's money : For be offers it. In Sir WALTER RALEIGH's preface to the History of the World, there is this remarkable passage. PHILIP II. by strong hand and main force, attempted to make himself not only an absolute monarch over the Netherlands, like unto the kings and fowereigns of England and France; but Turk like, to tread under his feet all their natural and fundamental laws, privileges, and antient rights. SPENSER, speaking of some grants of the English kings to the Inish corporations, fays, "All which, tho', " at the time of their first grant, they were tolerable, and perhaps reason-45 able, yet now are most unreasonable and inconvenient. But all these " will easily be cut off with the superior power of her majesty's prerogative, " against which her own grants are not to be pleaded or inforced." State of IRELAND, p. 1537. Edit. 1706.

As these were very common, if not, perhaps, the universal notions of the times, the two first princes of the house of STUART were the more excusable for their mistake. And RAPIN, suitable to his usual makignity and partiality, seems to treat them with too much severity, upon account of it.

destroying, at once, all these exorbitant claims and pretensions, was to depart from the true hereditary line. and choose a prince, who, being plainly a creature of the public, and receiving the crown on conditions, expressed and avowed, found his authority established on the same bottom with the privileges of the people. By electing him in the royal line, we cut off all hopes of ambitious fubjects, who might, in future emergencies, disturb the government by their cabals and pretenfions: By rendering the crown hereditary in his family, we avoided all the inconveniencies of elective monarchy: And by excluding the lineal heir, we fecured all our conftitutional limitations, and rendered our government uniform and of a piece. The people cherish monarchy, because protected by it: The monarch favours liberty, because created by And thus every advantage is obtained by the new establishment, as far as human skill and wisdom can extend itself.

These are the separate advantages of fixing the succession, either in the house of STUART, or in that of HANO.

VER. There are also disadvantages on each establishment, which an impartial patriot would ponder and examine, in order to form a just judgment upon the whole.

The disadvantages of the protestant succession consist in the foreign dominions, which are possessed by the princes of the Hanover line, and which, it might be supposed, would engage us in the intrigues and wars of the continent, and lose us, in some measure, the inestimable advantage we possess of being surrounded and guarded by the sea, which we command. The disadvantages of recalling the abdicated samily consist chiefly in their religion, which is more prejudicial to society than that established among us, is contrary to it, and affords no toleration, or peace, or security to any other religion.

It appears to me, that all these advantages and disadvantages are allowed on both fides; at leaft, by every one who is at all fusceptible of argument or reasoning. No subject, however loyal, pretends to deny, that the difputed title and foreign dominions of the present royal family are a lofs. Nor is there any partizan of the STUART family, but will confess, that the claim of hereditary, indefeafible right, and the Roman Catholic religion, are also disadvantages in that family. It belongs, therefore, to a philosopher alone, who is of neither party, to put all these circumstances in the scale, and assign to each of them its proper poife and influence. Such an one will readily, at first, acknowledge, that all political questions, are infinitely complicated, and that there scarce ever occurs, in any deliberation, a choice, which is either purely good, or purely ill. Consequences, mixed and varied, may be foreseen to flow from every measure: And many consequences, unforeseen, do always, in fact, result Hesitation, and referve, and suspence, are therefore the only fentiments he brings to this essay or trial. Or if he indulges any passion, 'tis that of derision and ridicule against the ignorant multitude, who are always clamorous and dogmatical, even in the nicest questions, of which, from want of temper, perhaps still more than of understanding, they are altogether unfit judges.

But to fay fomething more determinate on this head, the following reflections will, I hope, show the temper, if not the understanding of a philosopher.

Were we to judge merely by first appearances, and by past experience, we must allow that the advantages of a parliamentary title of the house of Hanover are much greater than those of an undisputed hereditary title in the house of Stuart; and that our fathers acted wisely in preferring the former to the latter. So long as the house of Stuart reigned in Britain, which, with some interruption,

terruption, was above 80 years, the government was kept in a continual fever, by the contentions between the privileges of the people and the prerogatives of the crown. If arms were dropt, the noise of disputes continued: Or if these were silenced, jealousy still corroded the heart, and threw the nation into an unnatural ferment and disorder. And while we were thus occupied in domestic contentions, a foreign power, dangerous, if not satal, to public liberty, erected itself in Europe, without any opposition from us, and even sometimes with our afsistance.

But during these last fixty years, when a parliamentary establishment has taken place; whatever factions may have prevailed either among the people or in public afsemblies, the whole force of our constitution has always fallen to one fide, and an uninterrupted harmony has been preserved between our princes and our parliaments. Public liberty, with internal peace and order, has flourished almost without interruption: Trade and manufactures, and agriculture, have increased: The arts, and sciences, and philosophy, have been cultivated. Even religious parties have been necessitated to lay aside their mutual rancour: And the glory of the nation has spread itself all over EUROPE; while we stand the bulwark against oppression, and the great antagonist of that power which threatens every people with conquest and subjection. So long and so glorious a period no nation almost can boast of: Nor is there another instance in the whole history of mankind, that so many millions of people have, dur-ing such a space of time, been held together, in a manner fo free, fo rational, and fo fuitable to the dignity of human nature.

But tho' this recent instance seems clearly to decide in favour of the present establishment, there are some circumstances to be thrown into the other scale; and 'tis dan-

M m 3

gerous

gerous to regulate our judgment by one event or ex-

We have had two rebellions during the flourishing period above mentioned, besides plots and conspiracies without number. And if none of these have produced any very fatal event, we may ascribe our escape chiefly to the narrow genius of those princes who disputed our establishment; and may esteem ourselves so far fortunate. But the claims of the banished family, I fear, are not yet antiquated; and who can foretel, that their suture attempts will produce no greater disorder?

The disputes between privilege and prerogative may easily be composed by laws, and votes, and conferences, and concessions; where there is tolerable temper or prudence on both sides, or on either side. Among contending titles, the question can only be determined by the sword, and by devastation, and by civil war.

A prince who fills the throne with a diffputed title, dates not arm his subjects; the only method of securing a people fully, both against domestic oppression and so-reign conquest.

Notwithstanding all our riches and renown, what a critical escape did we lately make from dangers, which were owing not so much to bad conduct and ill success in war, as to the pernicious practice of mortgaging our finances, and the still more pernicious maxim of never paying off our incumbrances? Such fatal measures could never have been embraced, had it not been to secure a precarious establishment\*.

But to convince us, that an hereditary title is to be embraced rather than a parliamentary one, which is not

<sup>\*</sup> Those who confirst how universal this pernicious practice of funding has become all over Europe, may perhaps dispute this last opinion. But the lay under less necessary than other states.

fupported by any other views or motives; a man needs only transport himself back to the æra of the restoration, and suppose, that he had had a seat in that parliament which recalled the royal samily, and put a period to the greatest disorders that ever arose from the opposite pretensions of prince and people. What would have been thought of one, that had proposed, at that time, to set aside Charles II. and settle the crown on the Duke of York or Gloucester, merely in order to exclude all high claims, like those of their father and grandsather? Would not such an one have been regarded as a very extravagant projector, who loved dangerous remedies, and could tamper and play with a government and national constitution, like a quack with a sickly patient?

The advantages which refult from a parliamentary title, preferably to an hereditary one, tho' they are great, are too refined ever to enter into the conception of the vulgar. The bulk of mankind would never allow them to be fufficient for committing what would be regarded as an injuffice to the prince. They must be supported by some gross, popular, and familiar topics; and wise men, though convinced of their force, would reject them, in compliance with the weakness and prejudices of the people. An incroaching tyrant or deluded bigot alone, by his misconduct, is able to enrage the nation, and render practicable what was always perhaps desirable.

In reality, the reason assigned by the nation for excluding the race of STUART, and so many other branches of the royal family, is not on account of their hereditary title (which, however just in itself, would, to vulgar apprehensions, have appeared altogether absurd) but on account of their religion. Which leads us to compare the disadvantages above mentioned of each establishment.

I confess, that, considering the matter in general, it were much to be wished, that our prince had no foreign M m 4 dominions,

dominions, and could confine all his attention to the government of this island. For not to mention some real inconveniencies that may result from territories on the continent, they afford such a handle for calumny and defamation, as is greedily seized by the people, who are always disposed to think ill of their superiors. It must, however, be acknowledged, that Hanover is, perhaps, the spot of ground in Europe the least inconvenient for a King of Britain. It lies in the heart of Germany, at a distance from the great powers, which are our natural rivals: It is protected by the laws of the empire, as well as by the arms of its own sovereign, and it serves only to connect us more closely with the house of Australa, which is our natural ally.

In the last war, it has been of service to us, by surnishing us with a considerable body of auxiliary troops, the bravest and most faithful in the world. The Elector of Hanover is the only considerable prince in the empite, who has pursued no separate end, and has raised up no stale pretensions, during the late commotions of Europe; but has acted, all along, with the dignity of a King of Britain. And ever since the accession of that samily, it would be difficult to show any harm we have ever received from the electoral dominions, except that short disgust in 1718, with Charles XII. who, regulating himself by maxims very different from those of other princes, made a personal quarrel of every public injury\*.

The religious persuasion of the house of STUART is an inconvenience of a much deeper dye, and would threaten us with much more dismal consequences. The Roman Catholic religion, with its huge train of priests and friers, is vastly more expensive than ours: Even the unaccompanied with its natural attendants of inquisitors, and

F This was published in the year 1752.

stakes, and gibbets, it is less tolerating: And not contented with dividing the facerdotal from the regal office (which must be prejudicial to any state) it bestows the former on a foreigner, who has always a separate, and may often have an opposite interest to that of the public.

But were this religion ever fo advantageous to fociety, it is contrary to that which is established among us, and which is likely to keep possession for a long time, of the minds of the people. And tho' it is much to be hoped. that the progress of reason and philosophy will, by degrees, abate the virulent acrimony of opposite religions all over EUROPE; yet the spirit of moderation has, as yet, made too flow advances to be entirely trufted. The conduct of the SAXON family, where the fame person can be a Catholic King and Protestant Elector, is, perhaps, the first instance, in modern times, of so reasonable and prudent a behaviour. And the gradual progress of the Catholic superstition does, even there, prognosticate a speedy alteration: After which, 'tis justly to be apprehended, that perfecutions will put a speedy period to the Protestant religion in the place of its nativity.

Thus, upon the whole, the advantages of the fettlement in the family of STUART, which frees us from a disputed title, seem to bear some proportion with those of the fettlement in the family of HANOVER, which frees us from the claims of prerogative: But at the same time, its disadvantages, by placing on the throne a Roman Catholic, are much greater than those of the other establishment, in settling the crown on a foreign prince. What party an impartial patriot, in the reign of K. WILLIAM or Q. ANNE, would have chosen amidst these opposite views, may, perhaps, to some appear hard to determine. For my part, I esteem liberty so invaluable a bleffing in fociety, that whatever favours its progrefs and fecurity, can scarce be too fondly cherished by every one who is a lover of human kind. But

But the fettlement in the house of HANOVER has actually taken place. The princes of that family, without intrigue, without cabal, without folicitation on their part, have been called to mount our throne, by the united voice of the whole legislative body. They have, fince their accession, displayed in all their actions, the utmost mildness, equity, and regard to the laws and constitution. Our own ministers, our own parliaments, ourselves have governed us; and if aught ill has befallen us, we can only blame fortune or ourselves. What a reproach must we become among nations, if, disgusted with a settlement fo deliberately made, and whose conditions have been fo religiously observed, we should throw every thing again into confusion; and by our levity and rebellious disposition, prove ourselves totally unfit for any state but that of absolute flavery and subjection?

The greatest inconvenience attending a disputed title, is, that it brings us in danger of civil wars and rebellions. What wise man, to avoid this inconvenience, would run directly upon a civil war and rebellion? Not to mention, that so long possession, secured by so many laws, must, ere this time, in the apprehension of a great part of the nation, have begot a title in the house of HANOVER, independent of their present possession: So that now we should not, even by a revolution, obtain the end of avoiding a disputed title.

No revolution made by national forces, will ever be able, without some other great necessity, to abolish our debts and incumbrances, in which the interest of so many persons is concerned. And a revolution made by foreign forces, is a conquest: A calamity with which the precarious balance of power threatens us, and which our civil diffensions are likely, above all other circumstances, to bring upon us.

## E S S A Y XVI.

#### IDEA of a PERFECT COMMONWEALTH.

F all mankind there are none fo pernicious as political projectors, if they have power; nor so ridiculous, if they want it: As on the other hand, a wise politician is the most beneficial character in nature, if accompanied with authority; and the most innocent, and not altogether useless, even if deprived of it. with forms of government, as with other artificial contrivances; where an old engine may be rejected, if we can discover another more accurate and commodious, or where trials may fafely be made, even tho' the fuccess be doubtful. An established government has an infinite advantage, by that very circumstance of its being established; the bulk of mankind being governed by authority, not reason, and never attributing authority to any thing that has not the recommendation of antiquity. To tamper, therefore, in this affair, or try projects merely upon the credit of supposed argument and philosophy, can never be the part of a wife magistrate, who will bear a reverence to what carries the marks of age; and tho' he may attempt fome improvements for the public good. yet will he adjust his innovations, as much as possible, to the antient fabric, and preserve intire the chief pillars and supports of the conftitution.

The mathematicians in EUROPE have been much divided concerning that figure of a ship, which is the most commodious for sailing; and HUYGENS, who at last determined

termined this controversy, is justly thought to have obliged the learned, as well as commercial world; tho' Co-LUMBUS had failed to AMERICA, and Sir FRANCIS DRAKE made the tour of the world, without any fuch discovery. As one form of government must be allowed more perfect than another, independent of the manners and humours of particular men; why may we not inquire what is the most perfect of all, tho' the common botched and inaccurate governments feem to ferve the purposes of society, and tho' it be not so easy to establish a new government, as to build a veffel upon a new plan? The subject is surely the most worthy curiosity of any the wit of man can possibly devise. And who knows, if this controverly were fixed by the universal consent of the learned, but, in some future age, an opportunity might be afforded of reducing the theory to practice, either by a diffolution of the old governments, or the combination of men to form a new one, in some distant part of the world? In all cases, it must be advantageous to know what is most perfect in the kind, that we may be able to bring any real constitution or form of government as near it as possible, by such gentle alterations and innovations as may not give too great disturbance to society.

All I pretend to in the present essay is to revive this subject of speculation; and therefore I shall deliver my sentiments in as sew words as possible. A long differtation on that head would not, I apprehend, be very acceptable to the public, who will be apt to regard such disquisitions both as useless and chimerical.

All plans of government, which suppose great reformation in the manners of mankind, are plainly imaginary. Of this nature, are the Republic of PLATO, and the Utopia of Sir Thomas More. The Oceana is the only valuable model of a commonwealth, that has as yet been offered to the public.

The chief desects of the OCEANA seem to be these. First, Its rotation is inconvenient, by throwing men, of whatever ability, by intervals, out of public employments. Secondly, Its Agrarian is impracticable. will foon learn the art, which was practifed in antient ROME, of concealing their possessions under other people's names; till at laft, the abuse will become so common, that they will throw off even the appearance of restraint. Thirdly, The OCEANA provides not a sufficient fecurity for liberty, or the redress of grievances. The fenate must propose, and the people consent; by which means, the fenate have not only a negative upon the people, but, what is of infinitely greater consequence, their negative goes before the votes of the people. Were the King's negative of the same nature in the ENGLISH constitution, and could he prevent any bill from coming into parliament, he would be an absolute monarch. his negative follows the votes of the houses, it is of little confequence: Such a difference is there in the manner of placing the fame thing. When a popular bill has been debated in the two houses, is brought to maturity, all its conveniencies and inconveniencies weighed and balanced: if afterwards it be presented for the royal assent, few princes will venture to reject the unanimous defire of the people. But could the King crush a disagreeable bill in embryo (as was the cafe, for some time, in the Scots parliament, by means of the lords of the articles) the BRITISH government would have no balance, nor would grievances ever be redreffed: And 'tis certain, that exorbitant power proceeds not, in any government, from new laws, fo much as from neglecting to remedy the abuses which frequently rife from the old ones. A government, fays MACHIAVEL, must often be brought back to its original principles. It appears then, that in the OCEANA the whole legislature may be faid to rest in the fenate; which HARRINGTON would own to be an inconinconvenient form of government, especially after the Agrarian is abolished.

Here is a form of government, to which I cannot, in theory, discover any considerable objection.

Let Great Britain and Ireland, or any territory of equal extent, be divided into a 100 counties, and each county into 100 parishes, making in all 10,000. If the country purposed to be erected into a commonwealth, be of more narrow extent, we may diminish the number of counties; but never bring them below thirty. If it be of greater extent, it were better to enlarge the parishes, or throw more parishes into a county, than increase the number of counties.

Let all the freeholders of ten pounds a year in the country, and all the house-holders worth 200 pounds in the town-parishes, meet annually in the parish church, and chuse, by ballot, some freeholder of the county for their member, whom we shall call the county representative.

Let the 100 county representatives, two days after their election, meet in the county-town, and chuse by ballot, from their own body, ten county magistrates, and one fenator. There are, therefore, in the whole commonwealth, 100 senators, 1100 county magistrates, and 10,000 county representatives. For we shall bestow on all senators the authority of county magistrates, and on all county magistrates the authority of county representatives.

Let the senators meet in the capital, and be endowed with the whole executive power of the commonwealth; the power of peace and war, of giving orders to generals, admirals, and ambassadors, and, in short, all the prerogatives of a British King, except his negative.

Let the county representatives meet in their particular counties, and possess the whole legislative power of the commonwealth; the greatest number of counties deciding the question; and where these are equal, let the senate have the casting vote.

Every new law must first be debated in the senate; and the rejected by it, if ten senators insist and protest, it must be sent down to the counties. The senate may join to the copy of the law, their reasons for receiving or rejecting it.

Because it would be troublesome to assemble all the county representatives for every trivial-law, that may be requisite, the senate have their choice of sending down the law either to the county magistrates or county representatives.

The magistrates, tho' the law be referred to them, may, if they please, call the representatives, and submit the affair to their determination.

Whether the law be referred by the fenate to the county magistrates or representatives, a copy of it, and of the senate's reasons, must be sent to every representative eight days before the day appointed for the assembling, in order to deliberate concerning it. And tho' the determination be, by the senate, referred to the magistrates, if sive representatives of the county order the magistrates to assemble the whole court of representatives, and submit the affair to their determination, they must obey.

Either the county magistrates or representatives may give, to the senator of the county, the copy of a law to be proposed to the senate; and if five counties concur in the same order, the law, tho' resused by the senate, must come either to the county magistrates or representatives, as is contained in the order of the five counties.

Any twenty counties, by a vote either of their magifirates or representatives, may throw any man out of all public offices for a year. Thirty counties for three years.

The fenate has a power of throwing out any member or number of members of its own body, not to be reelected elected for that year. The senate cannot throw out twice in a year the senator of the same county.

The power of the old senate continues for three weeks after the annual election of the county representatives. Then all the new senators are shut up in a conclave, like the cardinals; and by an intricate ballot, such as that of Venice or Malta, they chuse the following magistrates; a protector, who represents the dignity of the commonwealth, and presides in the senate; two secretaries of state; these six councils, a council of state, a council of religion and learning, a council of trade, a council of laws, a council of war, a council of the admiralty, each council consisting of sive persons; together with six commissioners of the treasury and a sirst commissioner. All these must be senators. The senate also names all the ambassadors to foreign courts, who may either be senators or not.

...The senate may continue any or all of these, but must re-elect them every year.

The protector and two secretaries have session and suffrage in the council of state. The business of that council is all foreign politics. The council of state has session and suffrage in all the other councils.

The council of religion and learning inspects the universities and clergy. That of trade inspects every thing that may affect commerce. That of laws inspects all the abuses of laws by the inferior magistrates, and examines what improvements may be made of the municipal law. That of war inspects the militia and its discipline, magazines, stores, &c. and when the republic is in war, examines into the proper orders for generals. The council of admiralty has the same power with regard to the navy, together with the nomination of the captains and all inserior officers.

#### IDEA of a Perfect Commonwealth. 545

None of these [councils can give orders themselves, except where they receive such powers from the senate. In other cases, they must communicate every thing to the senate.

When the senate is under adjournment, any of the councils may assemble it before the day appointed for its meeting.

Besides these councils or courts, there is another called the court of competitors; which is thus constituted. If any candidates for the office of senator have more votes than a third of the representatives, that candidate, who has most votes, next to the senator elected, becomes incapable for one year of all public offices, even of being a magnificate or representative: But he takes his seat in the court of competitors. Here then is a court which may sometimes consist of a hundred members, sometimes have no members at all; and by that means, be for a year abolished.

The court of competitors has no power in the commonwealth. It has only the inspection of public accounts, and the accusing any man before the senate. If the senate acquit him, the court of competitors may, if they please, appeal to the people, either magistrates or representatives. Upon that appeal, the magistrates or representatives meet at the day appointed by the court of competitors, and chuse in each county three persons; from which number every senator is excluded. These to the number of 300 meet in the capital, and bring the person accused to a new trial.

The court of competitors may propose any law to the senate; and if refused, may appeal to the people; that is, to the magistrates or representatives, who examine it in their counties. Every senator, who is thrown out of the senate by a vote of the court, takes his seat in the court of competitors.

Vol. I. N n The

The fenate possesses all the judicative authority of the house of Lords, that is, all the appeals from the inserior courts. It likewise nominates the Lord Chancellor, and all the officers of the law.

Every county is a kind of republic within itself, and the representatives may make county-laws; which have no authority 'till three months after they are voted. A copy of the law is sent to the senate, and to every other county. The senate, or any single county, may, at any time, annul any law of another county.

The representatives have all the authority of the BRI-TISH justices of peace in trials, commitments, &c.

The magistrates have the nomination of all the officers of the revenue in each county. All causes with regard to the revenue are appealed ultimately to the magistrates. They pass the accompts of all the officers; but must have all their own accompts examined and passed at the end of the year by the representatives.

The magistrates name rectors or ministers to all the parishes.

The Presbyterian government is established; and the highest ecclesiastical court is an assembly or synod of all the Presbyters of the county. The magistrates may take any cause from this court, and determine it them-selves.

The magistrates may try, and depose or suspend any presbyter.

The militia is established in imitation of that of Swisserland, which being well known, we shall not insist upon it. It will only be proper to make this addition, that an army of 20,000 men be annually drawn out by rotation, paid and encamped during six weeks in summer; that the duty of a camp may not be altogether unknown.

# IDEA of a PERFECT COMMONWEALTH. 547

The magisfrates nominate all the colonels and downwards. The senate all upwards. During war, the general nominates the colonel and downwards, and his commission is good for a twelvemonth. But after that, it must be confirmed by the magisfrates of the county, to which the regiment belongs. The magisfrates may break any efficer in the county regiment. And the senate may do the same to any officer in the service. If the magisfrates do not think proper to confirm the general's choice, they may nominate another officer in the place of him they reject.

All crimes are tried within the county by the magiflrates and a jury. But the fenate can stop any trial, and bring it before themselves.

Any county may indict any man before the senate, for any crime.

The protector, the two fecretaries, the council of state, with any five more that the senate appoints, on extraordinary emergencies, are possessed of distatorial power for six months.

The protector may pardon any person condemned by the inferior courts.

In time of war, no officer of the army that is in the field, can have any civil office in the commonwealth.

The capital, which we shall call London, may be allowed four members in the senate. It may therefore be divided into sour counties. The representatives of each of these chuse one senator, and ten magistrates. There are therefore in the city sour senators, forty-sour magistrates, and sour hundred representatives. The magistrates have the same authority as in the counties. The representatives also have the same authority; but they never meet in one general court: They give their votes in their particular county, or division of hundreds.

When

When they enact any city-law, the greatest number of counties or divisions determines the matter. And where these are equal, the magistrates have the casting vote.

The magistrates chuse the mayor, sheriff, recorder, and other officers of the city.

In the commonwealth, no representative, magistrate, or senator, as such, has any salary. The protector, secretaries, councils, and ambassadors, have salaries.

The first year in every century is set apart to correct all inequalities, which time may have produced in the representative. This must be done by the legislature.

The following political aphorisms may explain the reason of these orders.

The lower fort of people and small proprietors are good enough judges of one not very distant from them in rank or habitation; and therefore, in their parochial meetings, will probably chuse the best, or nearly the best representative: But they are wholly unsit for county-meetings, and for electing into the higher offices of the republic. Their ignorance gives the grandees an opportunity of deceiving them.

Ten thousand, even though they were not annually elected, are a large enough basis for any free government. Tis true, the nobles in POLAND are more than 10,000, and yet these oppress the people. But as power continues there always in the same persons and families, this makes them, in a manner, a different nation from the people. Besides, the nobles are there united under a few heads of families.

All free governments must consist of two councils, a less and a greater, or, in other words, of a senate and people. The people, as HARRINGTON observes, would

want

### IDEA of a Perfect Commonwealth. 549

want wisdom, without the senate: The senate, without the people, would want honesty,

A large affembly of 1000, for instance, to represent the people, if allowed to debate, would fall into disorder. If not allowed to debate, the senate has a negative upon them, and the worst kind of negative, that before resolution.

Here therefore is an inconvenience, which no government has yet fully remedied, but which is the easiest to be remedied in the world. If the people debate, all is confusion: If they do not debate, they can only resolve; and then the senate carves for them. Divide the people into many separate bodies; and then they may debate with safety, and every inconvenience seems to be prevented.

Cardinal de Retz fays that all numerous affemblies, however composed, are mere mob, and swayed in their debates by the least motive. This we find confirmed by daily experience. When an absurdity strikes a member, he conveys it to his neighbour, and so on, till the whole be infected. Separate this great body; and tho' every member be only of middling sense, 'tis not probable, that any thing but reason can prevail over the whole. Influence and example being removed, good sense will always get the better of bad among a number of people. Good sense is one thing: But sollies are numberless; and every man has a different one. The only way of making a people wise, is to keep them from uniting into large assemblies.

There are two things to be guarded against in every fenate: Its combination, and its division. Its combination is most dangerous. And against this inconvenience we have provided the following remedies. 1. The great dependence of the senators on the people by annual elec-

Nn 3 tion:

tion; and that not by an undistinguishing rabble, like the ENGLISH electors, but by men of fortune and education.

2. The small power they are allowed. They have few offices to dispose of. Almost all are given by the magistrates in the counties. 3. The court of competitors, which being composed of men that are their rivals, next to them in interest, and uneasy in their present situation, will be sure to take all advantages against them.

The division of the senate is prevented, 1. By the fmallness of their number. 2. As faction supposes 2 combination to a separate interest, it is prevented by their dependence on the people. 3. They have a power of expelling any factious member. 'Tis true, when another member of the same spirit comes from the county, they have no power of expelling him: Nor is it fit they should; for that shows the humour to be in the people, and probably arises from some ill conduct in public affairs. Almost any man, in a senate so regularly chosen by the people, may be supposed fit for any civil office. It would be proper, therefore, for the senate to form some general resolutions with regard to the disposing of offices among the members: which refolutions would not confine them in critical times, when extraordinary parts on the one hand, or extraordinary stupidity on the other, appears in any fenator; but yet they would be fufficient to prevent brigue and faction, by making the disposal of the offices a thing of course. For instance, let it be a resolution, That no man shall enjoy any office, till he has fat four years in the fenate: That, except ambaffadors, no man shall be in office two years following: That no man shall attain the higher offices but thro' the lower: That no man shall be protector twice, &c. of VENICE govern themselves by such resolutions.

In foreign politics the interest of the senate can scarce ever be divided from that of the people; and therefore It is fit to make the senate absolute with regard to them; otherwise there could be no secrecy nor refined policy. Besides, without money no alliance can be executed; and the senate is still sufficiently dependant. Not to mention, that the legislative power being always superior to the executive, the magistrates or representatives may interpose, whenever they think proper.

The chief support of the British government is the opposition of interests; but that, tho' in the main serviceable, breeds endless factions. In the foregoing plan, it does all the good without any of the harm. The competitors have no power of controlling the senate; they have only the power of accusing, and appealing to the people.

'Tis necessary, likewise, to prevent both combination and division in the thousand magistrates. This is done sufficiently by the separation of places and interests.

But lest that should not be enough, their dependence on the 10,000 for their elections, serves to the same purpose.

Nor is that all: For the 10,000 may refume the power whenever they please; and not only when they all please, but when any five of a hundred please, which will happen upon the very first suspicion of a separate interest.

The 10,000 are too large a body either to unite or divide, except when they meet in one place, and fall under the guidance of ambitious leaders. Not to mention their annual election, by the whole body of the people, that are of any confideration.

A fmall commonwealth is the happiest government in the world within itself, because every thing lies under the eye of the rulers: But it may be subdued by great force from without. This scheme seems to have all the advantages both of a great and a little commonwealth.

Every county-law may be annulled either by the senate or another county; because that shows an opposition of interest:

interest: In which case no part ought to decide for itself. The matter must be referred to the whole, which will best determine what agrees with general interest.

As to the clergy and militia, the reasons of these orders are obvious. Without the dependence of the clergy on the civil magistrates, and without a militia, 'tis folly to think any free government will ever have security or stability.

In many governments, the inferior magistrates have no rewards but what arise from their ambition, vanity, or public spirit. The salaries of the French judges amount not to the interest of the sums they pay for their offices. The Dutch burgo-masters have little more immediate profit than the English justices of peace, or the members of the house of commons formerly. But lest any should suspect, that this would beget negligence in the administration, (which is little to be feared, considering the natural ambition of mankind) let the magistrates have competent salaries. The senators have access to so many honourable and lucrative offices, that their attendance needs not be bought. There is little attendance required of the representatives.

That the foregoing plan of government is practicable, no one can doubt, who confiders the refemblance it bears to the commonwealth of the United Provinces, formerly one of the wisest and most renowned governments in the world. The alterations in the present scheme are all evidently to the better. 1. The representation is more equal. 2. The unlimited power of the burgo-masters in the towns, which forms a perfect aristocracy in the Dutch commonwealth, is corrected by a well-tempered democracy, in giving to the people the annual election of the county representatives. 3. The negative, which every province and town has upon the whole body of the Dutch republic, with regard to alliances, peace and war, and the imposition of taxes, is here removed. 4. The counties,

counties, in the present plan, are not so independent of each other, nor do they form separate bodies so much as the seven provinces; where the jealousy and envy of the smaller provinces and towns against the greater, particularly Holland and Amsterdam, have frequently diffurbed the government. 5. Larger powers, tho' of the safest kind, are intrusted to the senate than the States-General posses; by which means, the former may become more expeditious, and secret in their resolutions, than 'tis possible for the latter.

The chief alterations that could be made on the BRI-TISH government, in order to bring it to the most perfect model of limited monarchy, feem to be the following. First, The plan of the republican parliament ought to be restored, by making the representation equal, and by allowing none to vote in the county elections who possess not a property of 200 pounds value. Secondly, As fuch a house of Commons would be too weighty for a frail house of Lords, like the present, the Bishops and Scots Peers ought to be removed, whose behaviour, in former parliaments, destroyed entirely the authority of that house: The number of the upper house ought to be raised to three or four hundred: Their seats not hereditary, but during life: They ought to have the election of their own members; and no commoner should be allowed to refuse a feat that was offered him. means, the house of Lords would consist entirely of the men of chief credit, ability, and interest of the nation; and every turbulent leader in the house of Commons might be taken off, and connected in interest with the house of Peers. Such an aristocracy would be an excellent barrier both to the monarchy and against it. present, the balance of our government depends in some measure on the ability and behaviour of the sovereign; which are variable and uncertain circumstances.

I allow, that this plan of limited monarchy, however corrected, is still liable to three great inconveniencies. First, It removes not entirely, tho' it may soften, the parties of court and country. Secondly, The King's perfonal character must still have a great insluence on the government. Thirdly, The sword is in the hands of a single person, who will always neglect to discipline the militia, in order to have a pretence for keeping up a standing army. 'Tis evident, that this is a mortal distemper in the British government, of which it must at last inevitably perish. I must, however, confess, that Sweden seems, in some measure, to have remedied this inconvenience, and to have a militia, with its limited monarchy, as well as a standing army, which is less dangerous than the British.

We shall conclude this subject with observing the falsehood of the common opinion, that no large state. fuch as FRANCE or BRITAIN, could ever be modelled into a commonwealth, but that fuch a form of government can only take place in a city or small territory. The contrary feems evident. Tho' 'tis more difficult to form a republican government in an extensive country than in a city; there is more facility, when once it is formed, of preserving it steady and uniform, without tumult and faction. 'Tis not easy, for the distant parts of a large state to combine in any plan of free government; but they eafily conspire in the esteem and reverence for a fingle person, who, by means of this popular favour, may feize the power, and forcing the more obstinate to fubmit, may establish a monarchical government. On the other hand, a city readily concurs in the same notions of government, the natural equality of property favours liberty, and the nearness of habitation enables the citizens mutually to affift each other. Even under absolute princes, the subordinate government of cities is commonly republirepublican: while that of counties and provinces is monarchical. But these same circumstances, which facilitate the erection of commonwealths in cities, render their constitution more frail and uncertain. Democracies are furbulent. For however the people may be separated or divided into small parties, either in their votes or elections: their near habitation in a city will always make the force of popular tides and currents very fenfible. Aristocracies are better adapted for peace and order, and accordingly were most admired by antient writers; but they are jealous and oppressive. In a large government, which is modelled with mafterly skill, there is compass and room enough to refine the democracy, from the lower people, who may be admitted into the first elections or first concoction of the commonwealth, to the higher magistrates, who direct all the movements. At the same time, the parts are fo distant and remote, that 'tis very difficult, either by intrigue, prejudice, or paffion, to hurry them into any measures against the public interest.

'Tis needless to inquire, whether such a government would be immortal. I allow the justness of the poet's exclamation on the endless projects of human race. Man and for ever! The world itself probably is not immortal. Such confuming plagues may arise as would leave even a perfect government a weak prey to its neighbours. We know not to what lengths enthusiasm, or other extraordinary motions of the human mind, may transport men, to the neglect of all order and public good. Where difference of interest is removed, whimsical and unaccountable factions often arise, from perfonal favour or enmity. Perhaps rust may grow to the fprings of the most accurate political machine, and diforder its motions. Lastly, extensive conquests, when purfued, must be the ruin of every free government; and of the more perfect governments fooner than of the imperfect:

imperfect; because of the very advantages which the former possess above the latter. And tho' such a state ought to establish a fundamental law against conquests; yet republics have ambition as well as individuals, and present interest makes men forgetful of their posterity. 'Tis a sufficient incitement to human endeavours, that such a government would flourish for many ages; without pretending to bestow on any work of man, that immortality, which the Almighty seems to have resused to his own productions.

END of the FIRST VOLUME.

